
**Software and systems engineering —
Reference model for product line
engineering and management**

*Ingénierie du logiciel et des systèmes - Modèle de référence pour
l'ingénierie et la gestion de lignes de produits*

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/IEC 26550:2015](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/da1d4e1b-e929-47d2-88e1-9b296d218b19/iso-iec-26550-2015>



Reference number
ISO/IEC 26550:2015(E)

© ISO/IEC 2015

iTeh Standards

(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)

Document Preview

[ISO/IEC 26550:2015](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/da1d4e1b-e929-47d2-88e1-9b296d218b19/iso-iec-26550-2015>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2015, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Contents

	Page
Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	2
4 From single-system engineering and management toward product line engineering and management	6
4.1 Challenges product companies face in the use of single-system engineering and management	6
4.2 Variability management	7
4.3 Key differentiators between single-system engineering and management and product line engineering and management	7
5 Reference model for product line engineering and management	9
5.1 General	9
5.2 Product line reference model	10
6 Two life cycles and two process groups for product line engineering and management	12
6.1 Domain engineering life cycle	12
6.1.1 Product line scoping	12
6.1.2 Domain requirements engineering	12
6.1.3 Domain design	13
6.1.4 Domain realization	14
6.1.5 Domain verification and validation	15
6.2 Application engineering life cycle	16
6.2.1 Application requirements engineering	16
6.2.2 Application design	16
6.2.3 Application realization	17
6.2.4 Application verification and validation	18
6.3 Organizational management process group	19
6.3.1 Organizational-level product line planning	19
6.3.2 Organizational product line-enabling management	21
6.3.3 Organizational product line management	21
6.4 Technical management process group	22
6.4.1 Process management	22
6.4.2 Variability management	23
6.4.3 Asset management	24
6.4.4 Support management	25
7 Relationships within and between domain engineering and application engineering	25
7.1 Interrelations between product line scoping and domain requirements engineering	25
7.2 Interrelations between domain requirements engineering and domain design	26
7.3 Interrelations between domain design and domain realization	26
7.4 Interrelations between domain requirements engineering and domain verification and validation	27
7.5 Interrelations between domain design and domain verification and validation	27
7.6 Interrelations between domain realization and domain verification and validation	28
7.7 Interrelations between product line scoping and application requirements engineering	28
7.8 Interrelations between domain requirements engineering and application requirements engineering	29
7.9 Interrelations between domain design and application design	29
7.10 Interrelations between domain realization and application realization	30
7.11 Interrelations between domain verification and validation and application verification and validation	30
7.12 Interrelations between application requirements engineering and application design	31

7.13	Interrelations between application design and application realization	31
7.14	Interrelations between application requirements engineering and application verification and validation	32
7.15	Interrelations between application design and application verification and validation ..	32
7.16	Interrelations between application realization and application verification and validation	33
Annex A (informative) Further information on products.....		34
Bibliography.....		35

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/IEC 26550:2015](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/da1d4e1b-e929-47d2-88e1-9b296d218b19/iso-iec-26550-2015>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [Foreword - Supplementary information](#)

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 7, *Software and systems engineering*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO/IEC 26550:2013), of which it constitutes a minor revision.

[ISO/IEC 26550:2015](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/da1d4e1b-e929-47d2-88e1-9b296d218b19/iso-iec-26550-2015>

Introduction

Software and Systems Product Line (SSPL) engineering and management creates, exploits, and manages a common platform to develop a family of products (e.g. software products, systems architectures) at lower cost, reduced time to market, and with better quality. As a result, it has gained increasing global attention since 1990s.

This International Standard provides a reference model consisting of an abstract representation of the key processes of software and systems product line engineering and management and the relationships between the processes. Two key characteristics, the need for both domain and application engineering lifecycle processes and the need for the explicit variability definition, differentiate product line engineering from single-system engineering. The goal of domain engineering is to define and implement domain assets commonly used by member products within a product line, while the goal of application engineering is to develop applications by exploiting the domain assets including common and variable assets. Domain engineering explicitly defines product line variability which reflects the specific needs of different markets and market segments. Variability may be embedded in domain assets. During application engineering, the domain assets are deployed in accordance with the defined variability models.

The reference model for SSPL engineering and management can be used in subsequent standardization efforts to create standards having a high level of abstraction (e.g. product management, scoping, requirements engineering, design, realization, verification and validation, and organizational and technical management), a medium level of abstraction (e.g. configuration management, variability modeling, risk management, quality assurance, measurement, evaluation, asset repository), or a detailed level of abstraction (e.g. texture, configuration mechanism, asset mining) for software and systems product line engineering.

Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/IEC 26550:2015](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/da1d4e1b-e929-47d2-88e1-9b296d218b19/iso-iec-26550-2015>

Software and systems engineering — Reference model for product line engineering and management

1 Scope

This International Standard is the entry point of the whole suite of International Standards for software and systems product line engineering and management.

The scope of this International Standard is to

- provide the terms and definitions specific to software and systems product line engineering and management,
- define a reference model for the overall structure and processes of software and systems product line engineering and management and describe how the components of the product line reference model fit together, and
- define interrelationships between the components of the product line reference model.

This International Standard does not describe any methods and tools associated with software and systems product line engineering and management. Descriptions of such methods and tools will appear in the consecutive International Standards (ISO/IEC 26551¹⁾ to ISO/IEC 26556²⁾). This International Standard does not deal with terms and definitions addressed by ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765:2010 that provides a common vocabulary applicable to all systems and software engineering work.

Whenever this International Standard refers to “products”, it means “system-level products” consisting of software systems or both hardware and software systems. It may be useful for the engineering and management of product lines that consist of only hardware systems but it has not been explicitly created to support such hardware product lines. This International Standard is not intended to help the engineering, production, warehousing, logistics, and management of physical items that, possibly combined with software, comprise the products. These processes belong to other disciplines (e.g. mechanics, electronics).

NOTE [Annex A](#) provides further information on products.

This International Standard, including the product line reference model and the terms and definitions, has been produced starting from References [6], [7], and [8] which finally resulted in a broad consensus from National Member Bodies at the time of publication. In addition to this background process, structures from ISO/IEC 12207:2008, ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288:2015, ISO/IEC 15940:2006 and ISO/IEC 14102:2008 have been used as a baseline.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

There are no normative references cited in this document.

1) Second edition to be published.

2) Under development.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1

application architecture

architecture including the architectural structure and rules (e.g. common rules and constraints) that constrains a specific member product within a product line

Note 1 to entry: The application architecture captures the high-level design of a specific member product of a product line. An application architecture of the member products included in the product line reuses (possibly with modifications) the common parts and binds variable parts of the domain architecture. In most cases, an application architecture of the member products needs to develop application-specific variability.

3.2

application asset

output of a specific application engineering process (e.g. application realization) that may be exploited in other lifecycle processes of application engineering and may be adapted as a domain asset based on a product management decision

Note 1 to entry: Application asset encompasses requirements, an architectural design, components, and tests. In contrast to domain assets that need to support the mass-customization of multiple applications within the product line, most application assets do not contain variability. However, applications may possess variability (e.g. end-users may be enabled to mass-customize the applications they are using by binding application variability during run time). Application Assets may thus possess variability as well, but the variability of an application asset only serves the purposes of the particular application, for which the application asset has been created. As a result, the scope of application asset variability is typically much narrower than the scope of domain asset variability.

Note 2 to entry: Application assets are not physical products available off-the-shelf and ready for commissioning. Physical products (e.g. mechanical parts, electronic components, harnesses, optic lenses) are stored and managed according to the best practices of their respective disciplines. Application assets have their own life cycles; ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288 may be used to manage a life cycle.

3.3

application design

process of application engineering where a single application architecture conforming to the domain architecture is derived

3.4

application engineering

life cycle consisting of a set of processes in which the application assets and member products of the product line are implemented and managed by reusing domain assets in conformance to the domain architecture and by binding the variability of the platform

Note 1 to entry: Application engineering in the traditional sense means the development of single products without the strategic reuse of domain assets and without explicit variability modeling and binding.

3.5

application realization

process of application engineering that develops application assets, some of which may be derived from domain assets, and member products based on the application architecture and the sets of application assets and domain assets

3.6

asset base

reusable assets produced from both domain and application engineering

3.7**asset scoping**

process of identifying the potential domain assets and estimating the returns of investments in the assets

Note 1 to entry: Information produced during asset scoping, together with the information produced by product scoping and domain scoping, can be used to determine whether to introduce a product line into an organization. Asset scoping takes place after domain scoping.

3.8**binding**

task to make a decision on relevant variants, which will be application assets, from domain assets using the domain variability model and from application assets using the application variability model

Note 1 to entry: Performing the binding is a task to apply the binding definition to generate new application from domain and application assets using the domain and application variability models.

3.9**commonality**

set of functional and non-functional characteristics that is shared by all applications belonging to the product line

3.10**domain architecture****reference architecture****product line architecture**

core architecture that captures the high-level design of a software and systems product line including the architectural structure and texture (e.g. common rules and constraints) that constrains all member products within a software and systems product line

Note 1 to entry: Application architectures of the member products included in the product line reuse (possibly with modifications) the common parts and bind variable parts of the domain architecture. Application architectures of the member products may (but do not need to) provide variability.

3.11**domain asset**

[ISO/IEC 26550:2015](https://standards.iteh.ai)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/da1d4e1b-e929-47d2-88e1-9b296d218b19/iso-iec-26550-2015>

output of domain engineering life cycle processes and can be reused in producing products during application engineering

Note 1 to entry: Domain assets may include domain features, domain models, domain requirements specifications, domain architectures, domain components, domain test cases, domain process descriptions, and other assets.

Note 2 to entry: In systems engineering, domain assets may be subsystems or components to be reused in further system designs. Domain assets are considered through their original requirements and technical characteristics. Domain assets include but are not limited to use cases, logical principles, environmental behavioral data, and risks or opportunities learnt from previous projects. Domain assets are not physical products available off-the-shelf and ready for commissioning. Physical products (e.g. mechanical parts, electronic components, harnesses, optic lenses) are stored and managed according to the best practices of their respective disciplines. Domain assets have their own life cycles. ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288 may be used to manage a life cycle.

3.12**domain engineering**

life cycle consisting of a set of processes for specifying and managing the commonality and variability of a product line

Note 1 to entry: Domain assets are developed and managed in domain engineering processes and are reused in application engineering processes.

Note 2 to entry: Depending on the type of the domain asset, that is, a system domain asset or a software domain asset, the engineering processes to be used may be determined by the relevant discipline.

Note 3 to entry: IEEE 1517-2010, Clause 3 defines domain engineering as a reuse-based approach to defining the scope (i.e., domain definition), specifying the structure (i.e., domain architecture), and building the assets (e.g. requirements, designs, software code, documentation) for a class of systems, subsystems, or member products.

3.13

domain scoping

subprocess for identifying and bounding the functional domains that are important to an envisioned product line and provide sufficient reuse potential to justify the product line creation

3.14

feature

abstract functional characteristic of a system of interest that end-users and other stakeholders can understand

Note 1 to entry: In systems engineering, features are syntheses of the needs of stakeholders. These features will be used, amongst others, to build the technical requirement baselines.

3.15

member product

application

product belonging to the product line

3.16

product line

product family

set of products and/or services sharing explicitly defined and managed common and variable features and relying on the same domain architecture to meet the common and variable needs of specific markets

3.17

product line architecture

synonym of domain architecture

(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

3.18

product line platform

product line architecture, a configuration management plan, and domain assets enabling application engineering to effectively reuse and produce a set of derivative products

Note 1 to entry: Platforms have their own life cycles. ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288 may be used to manage a life cycle.

3.19

product line reference model

abstract representation of the domain and application engineering life cycle processes, the roles and relationships of the processes, and the assets produced, managed, and used during product line engineering and management

3.20

product line scoping

process for defining the member products that will be produced within a product line and the major common and variable features among the products, analyzes the products from an economic point of view, and controls and schedules the development, production, and marketing of the product line and its products

Note 1 to entry: Product management is primarily responsible for product line scoping.

3.21

product scoping

subprocess of product line scoping that determines the product roadmap, that is (1) the targeted markets; (2) the product categories that the product line organization should be developing, producing, marketing, and selling; (3) the common and variable features that the products should provide in order to reach the long and short term business objectives of the product line organization, and (4) the schedule for introducing products to markets

3.23**variability**

characteristics that may differ among members of the product line

Note 1 to entry: The differences between members may be captured from multiple viewpoints such as functionality, quality attributes, environments in which the members are used, users, constraints, and internal mechanisms that realize functionality and quality attributes.

Note 2 to entry: It is important to distinguish between the concepts of system and software variability and product line variability. Any system partially or fully composed of software can be considered to possess software variability because software systems are inherently malleable, extendable, or configurable for specific use contexts. Product line variability is concerned with the variability that is explicitly defined by product management. This International Standard is primarily concerned with product line variability.

3.24**variability constraint**

constraint relationships between a variant and a variation point, between two variants, and between two variation points

3.25**variability dependency**

relationship between a variation point and a set of variants, which indicates that the variation point implies a decision about the variants

Note 1 to entry: Two kinds of variability dependencies are possible: (1) the optional variability dependency states that the variant optionally dependent on a variation point can be a part of a member product of a product line; (2) the mandatory variability dependency defines that a variant dependent on a variation point must be selected for a member product if the variation point is selected for the member product.

3.26**variability management**

managerial tasks relate to variability and has two dimensions: variability dimension and asset dimension

Note 1 to entry: Variability management in the variability dimension consists of tasks for overseeing variability in the level of the entire product line, creating and maintaining variability models, ensuring consistencies between variability models, managing all variability and constraint dependencies across the product line, and managing the traceability links between a variability model and associated domain and application assets (e.g. requirements models, design models). Variability management in the asset dimension consists of tasks for managing the impacts of variability within each domain and application asset, that is, in which location of an asset a particular variability occurs and which alternative shapes the asset can take in that location. The dimensions are complementary in nature, that is, both are needed for successful variability management.

3.27**variability model**

explicit definition for product line variability

Note 1 to entry: It introduces variation points, types of variation for the variation points, variants offered by the variation points, variability dependencies, and variability constraints. Variability models may be orthogonal to or integrated in other models such as requirements or design models. There are two types of variability models: application variability models and domain variability models.

3.28**variant**

one alternative that may be used to realize particular variation points

Note 1 to entry: One or more variants must correspond to each variation point. Each variant has to be associated with one or more variation points. Selection and binding of variants for a specific product determine the characteristics of the particular variability for the product.

3.29

variation point

representation corresponding to particular variable characteristics of products, domain assets, and application assets in the context of a product line

Note 1 to entry: Variation points show what of the product line varies. Each variation point should have at least one variant.

4 From single-system engineering and management toward product line engineering and management

Single-system engineering and management is the dominant way of conceptualizing and developing software and systems products. This Clause first outlines some of the main challenges software and systems product companies face in using single-system engineering and management approaches. It identifies variability management as the most challenging area. Variability management is discussed in 4.1. The clause concludes by explaining major differences between single-system engineering and management and product line engineering and management. Understanding these differences is a key for successful organizational transitioning from single-system engineering and management toward product line engineering and management.

4.1 Challenges product companies face in the use of single-system engineering and management

The excessive use of single-system engineering and management in environments where the assumptions no longer hold contributes to a variety of issues encountered by customers, end-users, and providers. For example, customers may feel their needs are unique and acquire and sustain expensive tailored systems while commoditized, inexpensive products might be completely adequate. End-users may experience that the functionality they really need is difficult to find and/or use because the software systems are too complex and provide too much functionality. Finally, a provider may sell several interrelated products, which look and feel completely different and do not interoperate, even to the same customers.

ISO/IEC 26550-2015
Part 2: Product line engineering and management

Providers of single products typically encounter at least some of the following issues when using single-system engineering: work efforts and costs are underestimated, productivity is overestimated, must-have features are missing, product schedules and/or quality goals are not met, and/or customer satisfaction remains lower than expected. Work efforts may be underestimated and productivity overestimated because the organization has never before created a similar product or if it has, the organizational unit who created the similar product may not want to share its experiences and other possibly reusable assets due to rivalry between organizational units. Inaccurate estimates together with typically fixed budgets result in schedule fluctuations, missing features, and/or quality issues. Quality issues may also result from the lack of a reuse culture because the software developed from scratch typically has much higher defect density than the software reusing well-tested components.

The accommodation of adequate variability is typically the most significant problem faced by the providers of single products. In this context, the variability needs typically emerge over time from interactions with various customers. Providers commonly use one or more seemingly simple but ineffective tactics to deal with emerging variability. For example, a provider may incorporate variability into a single product by introducing more and more (partly end-user-visible) parameters in the product and more and more if-then-else-statements in the source code text of the product to deal with the parameters during run time. As a result, the number of source code lines grows, the source code becomes increasingly complex to understand and maintain, and the testability (and often also the performance) of the software deteriorates. Alternatively, a provider with an existing product may deal with the variable requirements of a new customer by branching a new product from the existing product, modifying the source code of the new product, merging the modified source code back to the main line when there is time and other resources available, and finally deleting the branch. Branching and merging is very expensive and error prone and the source code of the main line will typically become very complex after a few branches and merges, requiring expensive periodical refactoring to utilize the tactic on a long term basis. In the worst case, the provider may end up with many partially cloned