
**Information technology — Office
equipment — Test pages and methods
for measuring monochrome printer
resolution**

*Technologies de l'information — Équipement de bureau —
Diagrammes et méthodes pour mesurer la résolution des imprimantes
monochromes*

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC 29112:2018

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/37f44fa5-59a9-49b3-82e6-54a22026a297/iso-iec-29112-2018>



iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC 29112:2018

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/37f44fa5-59a9-49b3-82e6-54a22026a297/iso-iec-29112-2018>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviations	1
3.1 Terms and definitions.....	1
3.2 Abbreviations.....	6
4 Print resolution characteristics — Methods for measurement and analysis	6
4.1 Conformance requirements.....	6
4.1.1 General.....	6
4.1.2 Test page conformance.....	6
4.1.3 Printing process conformance.....	7
4.1.4 Scanner characteristics conformance.....	7
4.1.5 Measurement method conformance.....	7
4.2 Native addressability.....	8
4.2.1 General.....	8
4.2.2 Method for measuring native addressability.....	8
4.3 Effective addressability.....	10
4.3.1 General.....	10
4.3.2 Method for measuring effective addressability.....	10
4.4 Edge blurriness and edge raggedness.....	12
4.4.1 General.....	12
4.4.2 Edge blurriness.....	12
4.4.3 Edge raggedness.....	13
4.4.4 Method for measuring edge blurriness and edge raggedness.....	14
4.5 Spatial frequency response.....	15
4.5.1 General.....	15
4.5.2 Printer SFR estimation from edge characteristics.....	15
4.5.3 Method for measuring printer SFR from edge characteristics.....	15
4.5.4 Printer SFR estimation from 1-D repeating patterns.....	16
4.5.5 Method for measuring printer SFR from 1-D repeating pattern characteristics.....	16
4.6 ROI size and shape variation.....	18
5 Test set-up, configurations and procedure	18
5.1 Printer set-up and configuration.....	18
5.2 Printer testing environment.....	19
5.3 Substrate.....	19
5.4 Test platform connection to the printer.....	19
5.5 Printing process.....	19
5.6 Test pages.....	19
5.7 Sample size.....	20
5.8 Set-up procedure.....	20
5.9 Testing procedure for visual evaluation.....	20
5.10 Testing procedure for scanner-based measurement.....	21
6 Presentation of results	21
6.1 General.....	21
6.2 Required assessment configuration documentation.....	22
6.3 Required assessment measurement documentation.....	23
Annex A (normative) Printing process	25
Annex B (normative) Scanner conformance	30
Annex C (normative) Reference measurement methods and conformance	36

Annex D (informative) Reporting	53
Annex E (informative) Usage	59
Annex F (informative) Electro-photographic system measurement method verification example	65
Bibliography	87

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/IEC 29112:2018](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/37f44fa5-59a9-49b3-82e6-54a22026a297/iso-iec-29112-2018)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/37f44fa5-59a9-49b3-82e6-54a22026a297/iso-iec-29112-2018>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC1.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/forward.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 28, *Office equipment*.

This first edition cancels and replaces ISO/IEC TS 29112:2012.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide a process for the objective measurement of print quality characteristics that contribute to perceived resolution in pages printed on paper or similar opaque materials using monochrome electro-photographic printing processes.

This document prescribes the following:

- Definitions of print quality characteristics that contribute to perceived resolution.
- Definitions of conformance methods to qualify a reflection scanner for use as a measuring device.
- A testing procedure based upon:
 - a) a well-documented printer and printing environment setup,
 - b) well-controlled printing of specified test pages, and
 - c) subsequent measurement of print quality characteristics using reflection scans of test pattern elements on the printed test pages.
- Definitions of methods for measuring the contributing print quality characteristics using printed test pattern elements of the specified test pages and analysing the resulting data to derive an assessment of printer resolution.
- Requirements for the report of a printer resolution assessment that define the context of the assessment and describe the results of the assessment.

Printer resolution, a quantification of the ability of a digital printing system to depict fine spatial detail, is a perceptually complex entity with no single, simple, objective measure. Five print quality characteristics that meaningfully contribute to resolution are described in this document. These print quality characteristics are native addressability, effective addressability, edge blurriness, edge raggedness and the printing system spatial frequency response characteristic (SFR).

- Native or physical addressability refers to the imaging framework in a digital printing process, usually a rectangular grid of printable spots, which enables depiction of fine spatial detail. Native addressability specifies only one facet of the perceived resolution of a printing system. The common unit for native addressability is DPI (dots per inch).
- Effective addressability is a measure of the minimum pitch by which the centre of a printed object (e.g. line segment) can be displaced and evaluates the effects of imaged spot position modulation, size modulation or exposure modulation.
- Edge blurriness provides an optical measure of the geometric transition width of an edge between an unprinted substrate region and a printed solid area region.
- Edge raggedness provides an optical measure of the geometric deviations of a printed edge from a requested straight line.
- The spatial frequency response characteristic (SFR) describes the ability of a linear imaging system to depict fine spatial detail. This is the spatial analogue of frequency response used to characterize sound reproduction. A common synonym of the SFR characteristic is the modulation transfer function (MTF). The ability to depict fine spatial detail is affected by edge blurriness and edge raggedness as well as the spot size and shape of the printer's marking technology and any adjacency effects that can occur in the reproduction of fine detail. Two measurement methods are described that provide estimates of the printing system's spatial frequency response including contributions from edge blurriness, edge raggedness, spot-size, spot shape and adjacency effects.

An essential part of the development of this document was verification that the specified measurement methods correlate well with perceived printer resolution (the ability of a digital printing system to depict fine spatial detail) and that the measurements are reproducible across laboratories and instruments.

The steps in and results of this process to verify the utility of the measurement methods specified in this document are presented in more detail in [Annex F](#). The applicability of the measurement methods specified in this document could be expanded by undertaking similar verification processes with other printing technologies.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC 29112:2018

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/37f44fa5-59a9-49b3-82e6-54a22026a297/iso-iec-29112-2018>

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/IEC 29112:2018](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/37f44fa5-59a9-49b3-82e6-54a22026a297/iso-iec-29112-2018)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/37f44fa5-59a9-49b3-82e6-54a22026a297/iso-iec-29112-2018>

Information technology — Office equipment — Test pages and methods for measuring monochrome printer resolution

1 Scope

This document defines methods for the objective measurement of the print quality characteristics that contribute to the perceived resolution of reflection mode monochrome printed pages produced by digital electro-photographic printers. The measurement methods of this document are derived from several existing techniques for the assessment of an imaging system's resolution characteristics. Each of these measurement methods is intended for the engineering evaluation of a printing system's perceived resolution and is not intended to be used for purposes of advertising claims.

The methods of this document are applicable only to monochrome prints produced in reflection mode by electro-photographic printing technology. This document is intended for monochrome printers utilizing PostScript®¹⁾ interpreters capable of accepting PostScript and encapsulated PostScript (EPS) jobs.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 12233, *Photography — Electronic still-picture imaging — Resolution and spatial frequency responses*

ISO 14524, *Photography — Electronic still-picture cameras — Methods for measuring opto-electronic conversion functions (OECFs)*

ISO 16067-1, *Photography: Spatial resolution measurements of electronic scanners for photographic images — Part 1: Scanners for reflective media*

ISO/IEC 24790, *Information technology — Office equipment — Measurement of image quality attributes for hardcopy output — Monochrome text and graphic images*

3 Terms, definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org>

3.1.1

addressability

number of uniquely identifiable printable spot positions per unit distance

1) PostScript® and Encapsulated PostScript® are registered trademarks for freely usable page description formats controlled and defined by Adobe Systems Incorporated. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by ISO/IEC.

3.1.2

bitmap

two-dimensional rectangular matrix of values representing the *pixels* (3.1.21) in a printed page

3.1.3

cross-track

oriented perpendicular to the direction of print substrate motion (cross-track direction)

3.1.4

cross-track addressability

addressability (3.1.1) of the printer in the direction perpendicular to the motion of the print substrate through the printer

3.1.6

edge blurriness

appearance of being hazy or indistinct in outline, giving a noticeable transition of density from a line element to the background substrate whose transition width is zero for an ideally sharp edge

Note 1 to entry: The measured optical width of the transition region perpendicular to the straight edge boundary between an unprinted substrate area and a solid printed area provides an assessment of edge blurriness.

3.1.7

edge raggedness

appearance of geometric distortion of an edge from its ideal position

Note 1 to entry: Measurement of the geometric deviations from straightness of a contour at a specific reflectance ratio in the edge boundary region between the unprinted substrate area and the solid printed area of a requested straight edge provides an assessment of edge raggedness.

Note 2 to entry: An ideal edge should be absolutely straight along the length of a straight line.

3.1.8

edge transition width

distance between the points of a *normal edge profile* (3.1.19) identified at 70 % of the edge transition reflectance range and 10 % of that reflectance range, the region in which *edge blurriness* (3.1.6) is measured

Note 1 to entry: The edge transition reflectance range is the reflectance difference between the maximum measured reflectance factor, R_{\max} , typically of the substrate, and the minimum measured reflectance factor, R_{\min} , typically of a region printed at a maximum printing value.

3.1.9

edge spread function

normalized spatial signal distribution in the scanned output of a printing system resulting from imaging a theoretical infinitely sharp edge

Note 1 to entry: In measurement of the edge spread function, the tone-scale of the scanning system is corrected to be linear in reflectance. See ISO 12231.

3.1.10

effective addressability

one over the minimum pitch by which the centre of a printed object can be displaced, with the constraint that the objects compared are of constant dimension in the direction parallel to the centroid position change direction

Note 1 to entry: The effective addressability of a printer can be greater than its native addressability. This higher effective addressability is generally controlled algorithmically within the digital data path processing of the printer and is generally not accessible to a user of the printer.

3.1.11**human eye modulation response**

response of the human visual system to viewed sinusoidal *modulation* (3.1.15) patterns as a function of the spatial frequency of these modulation patterns

3.1.12**in-track**

oriented along the direction of print substrate motion

3.1.13**in-track addressability**

addressability (3.1.1) of the printer in the direction parallel to the motion of the print substrate through the printer

3.1.14**limiting resolution**

spatial frequency at which the *modulation* (3.1.15) of alternating printed high-contrast lines and spaces is 10 % of the zero-frequency spatial frequency response of the printing system

3.1.15**modulation**

difference between the maximum and minimum signal levels divided by the sum of these two levels

3.1.16**modulation transfer function****MTF****spatial frequency response****SFR**

ratio, as a function of spatial frequency, of the measured *modulation* (3.1.15) response in a print produced by a printing system, to the stimulus modulation presented to that printing system

3.1.17**monochrome**

printing using a single colorant, in particular, a single black colorant

3.1.18**native addressability**

one over the minimum pitch between adjacent *spots* (3.1.35) that can be independently controlled and produced by the printer

3.1.19**normal edge profile****NEP**

reflectance trace across the transition region perpendicular to the boundary of a straight edge between an unprinted substrate area and a solid printed area

Note 1 to entry: For the edge blurriness measurement specified in this document, the transition region is measured between the 10 % and 70 % reflectance levels.

Note 2 to entry: The normal edge profile can be represented as the convolution of an edge spread function and an infinitely sharp edge transition. In turn, for a linear system, the edge spread function is the Fourier transform of the spatial frequency response (or modulation transfer function). For printing systems, which are usually not linear systems, this latter relationship is only approximate.

3.1.20**Nyquist limit****Nyquist frequency**

spatial frequency equal to one half the inverse of the sampling spacing for an adjacent pair of sampling points

Note 1 to entry: This can also be expressed as one half of the spatial sampling frequency.

3.1.21

pixel

smallest addressable element of a digital source image

3.1.22

raster image processor

RIP

component used in a printing system which produces a *bitmap* (3.1.2)

3.1.23

reflectance factor

ratio of the reflected flux as measured to the reflected flux under the same geometrical and spectral conditions for an ideal 100 % diffuse reflecting surface

3.1.24

resolution enhancement technology

control of the printed spot (3.1.36) position to a pitch that is less than the *native addressability* (3.1.18) of the printing system accomplished through local control of one or more spot characteristics

Note 1 to entry: The spot characteristics are spot reflectance (gray-level modulation), size of a spot (size modulation) or local position of a spot (position modulation).

3.1.25

reflectance threshold

R_p

level in the reflectance gradient profile of an edge that is at some specified percentage of the transition from the minimum reflectance factor, R_{\min} (3.1.27), to the maximum reflectance factor, R_{\max} (3.1.26), as: $R_p = R_{\min} + p\%(R_{\max} - R_{\min})$

3.1.26

R_{\max}

maximum measured *reflectance factor* (3.1.23), typically of the substrate

3.1.27

R_{\min}

minimum measured *reflectance factor* (3.1.23), typically of a region printed at a maximum printing value

3.1.28

R_{10}

contour of points of an image element where the edge gradient profiles cross a reflectance level that is 10 % of the transition from the substrate reflectance factor, R_{\max} (3.1.26), to the minimum image reflectance factor, R_{\min} (3.1.27): $R_{10} = R_{\min} + 10\% (R_{\max} - R_{\min})$

3.1.29

R_{25}

contour of points of an image element where the edge gradient profiles cross a reflectance level that is 25 % of the transition from the substrate reflectance factor, R_{\max} (3.1.26), to the minimum image reflectance factor, R_{\min} (3.1.27): $R_{25} = R_{\min} + 25\% (R_{\max} - R_{\min})$

3.1.30

R_{40}

contour of points of an image element where the edge gradient profiles cross a reflectance level that is 40 % of the transition from the substrate reflectance factor, R_{\max} (3.1.26), to the minimum image reflectance factor, R_{\min} (3.1.27): $R_{40} = R_{\min} + 40\% (R_{\max} - R_{\min})$

3.1.31

R_{70}

contour of points of an image element where the edge gradient profiles cross a reflectance level that is 70 % of the transition from the substrate reflectance factor, R_{\max} (3.1.26), to the minimum image reflectance factor, R_{\min} (3.1.27): $R_{70} = R_{\min} + 70\% (R_{\max} - R_{\min})$

3.1.32**sampling efficiency**

fraction of the *Nyquist frequency* (3.1.20) corresponding to the frequency where the *spatial frequency response* characteristic (3.1.16) has dropped to 10% of its zero-frequency value

3.1.33**sampling frequency**

spatial frequency of adjacent sampling points where sampling points are conventionally oriented along the direction of print substrate motion, the *in-track direction* (3.1.12), or perpendicular to the direction of print substrate motion, the *cross-track direction* (3.1.3)

3.1.34

scanner tone-scale calibration
opto-electronic conversion function
OECF

digital signal conversion that adjusts the relationship between the reflectance values of large imaged areas and the corresponding digital code values

Note 1 to entry: Code values are the reflection scanner response to a scanned reflection stimulus, e.g. *test elements* (3.1.37). Scanner tone-scale calibration can be used to adjust the relationship between scanned pixel values and large area reflectance to an aim relationship, e.g. scanned pixel values that have a linear relationship with measured print reflectance.

3.1.35**spot**

smallest mark that can be placed under user control at a desired position on a printed page, independently from all other adjacent marks

3.1.36**tangential edge profile****TEP**

array of geometric positions of a contour at a specific *reflectance threshold* (3.1.25) along the printed rendition of a perfectly straight edge boundary between an unprinted substrate area and a solid printed area

Note 1 to entry: For the edge raggedness measure specified in this document, the contour is taken at a 40 % reflectance level.

3.1.37**test element**

elemental part of a test pattern used to assess a particular aspect of a printing system

3.1.38**test page**

arrangement in a printable page of *test elements* (3.1.37) or *test patterns* (3.1.39) designed to test one or more particular aspects of a printing system

3.1.39**test pattern**

specified arrangement of printable objects [*test elements* (3.1.37)] designed to test a particular aspect of a printing system

3.1.40**test suite**

set of printable *test pages* (3.1.38) designed to test a number of different aspects of a printing system

3.2 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Term
--------------	------

cy/mm	cycles per millimetre
-------	-----------------------

NOTE 1 This is the unit for specifying spatial frequency.

dpi (DPI)	dots per inch
-----------	---------------

NOTE 2 This is the common unit for printing system native addressability.

lp/mm	line pairs per millimetre
-------	---------------------------

NOTE 3 This is the unit for specifying resolution in terms of the number of equal width black and white line pairs per millimetre that can be resolved according to a criterion such as limiting resolution (3.1.14).

MTF	modulation transfer function (3.1.16)
-----	---------------------------------------

NEP	normal edge profile (3.1.19)
-----	------------------------------

ppi (PPI)	pixels per inch
-----------	-----------------

NOTE 4 This refers to the physical addressability of a digital scanning system.

RIP	raster image processor (3.1.22)
-----	---------------------------------

TEP	tangential edge profile (3.1.36)
-----	----------------------------------

SFR	spatial frequency response (3.1.16)
-----	-------------------------------------

spi (SPI)	spots per inch
-----------	----------------

NOTE 5 The printing system native addressability can be expressed in spots per inch. This is an alternative to the more common term DPI.

ITeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ISO/IEC 29112:2018
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/37f44fa5-59a9-49b3-82e6-54a22026a297/iso-iec-29112-2018>

4 Print resolution characteristics — Methods for measurement and analysis

4.1 Conformance requirements

4.1.1 General

The print resolution characteristic measurement methods defined in this document rely on the objective evaluation of digital images scanned from printed test pages. The single exception to this is the method for native addressability which relies on the visual evaluation of a printed test page.

The test pages, the printing process employed to print test page samples for evaluation, the characteristics of the scanner employed for objective evaluation and the measurement methods utilized shall all meet conformance requirements to ensure that the reported measurements are valid.

4.1.2 Test page conformance

The test pages used with the measurement methods specified in this document are available from <http://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/29112/ed-1/en> in the ZIP file named Test pages.zip.

- The latest collection of these test pages shall always be used.
- The test page name and version used in printer resolution assessment shall be recorded in the test report.

- Test page names referred to in this document are base file names without a version number suffix. Version numbers will be incremented in later collections of test pages.

These test pages are provided for PostScript compatible printers in Encapsulated PostScript (EPS) format and in PostScript Document Format (PDF). The EPS format, that permits automatic matching of the test page content to the addressability characteristics of the printer's raster image processor (RIP), is the preferred format for use in printing test pages for evaluation using the measurement methods specified in this document. For printing systems with a native addressability known to be either 600 spi or 1 200 spi, test pages for these known addressabilities are also provided in PostScript-based PDF format.

Test pages provided for use with the measurement methods specified in this document are encoded as separation black which avoids colour re-interpretation in most workflows so that only the black printer colorant is used. Colour mixtures and half-tone reproduction of test pages shall be avoided. The provided EPS files can be edited to evaluate the resolution capability of printing systems using any separation colorant. The black separation colorant provides the highest contrast for measurement.

The name and version of a test page used in printer resolution assessment shall be recorded in the test report.

4.1.3 Printing process conformance

The printing process specified in this document avoids the re-interpretations of test page content provided by many imaging or graphics applications. The assessment of printer resolution characteristics is thus made independent of application features and is therefore representative of the inherent capability of the printer.

The procedure specified in [Annex A](#) shall be used to submit the test pages for this printer resolution assessment to the printer being assessed. The name of the printer, the settings of the low-level printing application used to submit the test pages and a specification of the printing application, as specified in [6.2](#) and in [Annex A](#), shall be recorded in the test report.

To ensure that the printer is properly warmed up, one or more pages shall be printed prior to an assessment.

4.1.4 Scanner characteristics conformance

Many of the measurement methods utilized in this document employ a reflection scanner as an analytic measurement device. These measurements provide an accurate assessment of printer resolution characteristics only if the scanner capabilities are high enough, so that the scanner itself does not limit the assessment, and if the scanner control application is configured to deliver accurate and repeatable imagery. [Annex B](#) specifies the conditions that shall be met by a reflection scanner and its scanner control application for qualification as a measurement device with the measurement methods specified in this document.

4.1.5 Measurement method conformance

The procedures specified in [Annex C](#) shall be used to qualify the measurement method implementations specified in this document.

Failure to use a compliant implementation of the measurement methods specified in this document shall invalidate any test results obtained using that implementation. The name of the implementation and its conformance shall be recorded in the test report as specified in [6.2](#) for each measurement method used in printer resolution assessment.