

SLOVENSKI STANDARD SIST ISO 1328-2:2023

01-marec-2023

Nadomešča:

SIST ISO 1328-2:1998

Cilindrični zobniki - Sistem klasifikacije bočnih toleranc po ISO - 2. del: Definicije in dovoljene vrednosti radialnih kompozitnih odstopanj dvojnega boka

Cylindrical gears - ISO system of flank tolerance classification - Part 2: Definitions and allowable values of double flank radial composite deviations

standards.iteh.ai)

Engrenages cylindriques - Système ISO de classification des tolérances sur flancs - Partie 2: Définitions et valeurs admissibles des écarts sur composés radiaux sur 2 flancs

ha54e6fe3h54/sist-iso-1328-2-2023

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: ISO 1328-2:2020

ICS:

21.200 Gonila Gears

SIST ISO 1328-2:2023 en,fr

SIST ISO 1328-2:2023

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

SIST ISO 1328-2:2023

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/dea8d249-87ba-4490-86a1-ba54e6fe3b54/sist-iso-1328-2-2023

SIST ISO 1328-2:2023

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 1328-2

Second edition 2020-02

Cylindrical gears — ISO system of flank tolerance classification —

Part 2:

Definitions and allowable values of double flank radial composite deviations

Engrenages cylindriques — Système ISO de classification des tolérances sur flancs —

Partie 2: Définitions et valeurs admissibles des écarts composés radiaux

<u>SIST ISO 1328-2:2023</u>

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/dea8d249-87ba-4490-86a1ba54e6fe3b54/sist-iso-1328-2-2023



iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

SIST ISO 1328-2:2023
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/dea8d249-87ba-4490-86a1



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2020

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Fax: +41 22 749 09 47 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org Published in Switzerland

| Scope | Con | 1 Scope 1 2 Normative references 1 3 Terms, definitions and symbols 1 | | | | |
|--|-------|---|----|--|--|--|
| 2 Normative references 1 3 Terms, definitions and symbols 1 3.1 Terms and definitions 1 3.2 Symbols 3 4 Application of the ISO double flank radial composite tolerance classification system 3 4.1 General 3 4.2 Gear tooth tolerance class 4 4.3 Specification of datum surfaces 4 4.4 Application of the ISO flank classification standard 5 4.4.1 Measurement equipment and master gears 5 4.4.2 Equipment verification and uncertainty 5 4.4.2 Equipment verification and uncertainty 5 4.5 Acceptance criteria 5 4.6 Correlation of double flank radial composite and element deviations 5 4.7 Designation of the double flank radial composite tolerance class or tolerances 6 5.1 General 6 5.2 Use of formulae 6 5.1 Inspect and composite tolerance, f_{icit} 7 5.1 Total radial composite tolerance, f_{icit} 7 | Forew | | | | | |
| Terms, definitions and symbols13.1Terms and definitions13.2Symbols34Application of the ISO double flank radial composite tolerance classification system34.1General34.2Gear tooth tolerance class44.3Specification of datum surfaces44.4Application of the ISO flank classification standard54.4Application of the ISO flank classification standard54.4.1Measurement equipment and master gears54.4.2Equipment verification and uncertainty54.5Acceptance criteria54.6Correlation of double flank radial composite and element deviations54.7Designation of the double flank radial composite tolerance class or tolerances65.1General65.2Use of formulae65.2.1Number of teeth used to calculate tolerances65.2.2Rounding rules65.3Tooth-to-tooth radial composite tolerance, F_{idT} 75.4Total radial composite tolerance for cylindrical gears75.4.1Total radial composite tolerance for sector gears7Annex A (informative) Graph of tolerance values for class R34, R44, and R50 for spur gears with module = 1,0 mm8Annex B (informative) Reasons for changing double flank composite tolerances12Annex D (informative) Conversion from another double flank composite tolerance specification 1314 | 1 | cope | 1 | | | |
| 3.1 Terms and definitions 3.2 Symbols 3 4 Application of the ISO double flank radial composite tolerance classification system 3 4.1 General 3 4.2 Gear tooth tolerance class 4 4.3 Specification of datum surfaces 4 4.4 Application of the ISO flank classification standard 5 4.4.1 Measurement equipment and master gears 5 4.4.2 Equipment verification and uncertainty 5 4.4.3 Filtering and data density 5 4.5 Acceptance criteria 5 4.6 Correlation of double flank radial composite and element deviations 5 4.7 Designation of the double flank radial composite tolerance class or tolerances 6 5 Tolerance values 6 5.1 General 6 5.2 Use of formulae 6 5.2.1 Number of teeth used to calculate tolerances 6 5.2.2 Rounding rules 6 5.3 Tooth-to-tooth radial composite tolerance, F _{idT} 7 5.4 Total radial composite tolerance, F _{idT} 7 5.4.1 Total radial composite tolerance for cylindrical gears 7 5.4.2 Total radial composite tolerance for sector gears 7 Annex A (informative) Graph of tolerance values for class R34, R44, and R50 for spur gears with module = 1,0 mm 8 Annex B (informative) Bouble flank radial composite deviation over segments of k teeth 10 Annex C (informative) Reasons for changing double flank composite tolerance specification 13 Annex E (informative) Conversion from another double flank composite tolerance specification 13 Annex E (informative) Calculation examples 14 | 2 | • | | | | |
| 4.1 General 4.2 Gear tooth tolerance class 4 4.3 Specification of datum surfaces 4 4.4 Application of the ISO flank classification standard 5 4.4.1 Measurement equipment and master gears 5 4.4.2 Equipment verification and uncertainty 5 4.4.3 Filtering and data density 5 4.5 Acceptance criteria 5 4.6 Correlation of double flank radial composite and element deviations 5 4.7 Designation of the double flank radial composite tolerance class or tolerances 6 5 Tolerance values 6 5.1 General 6 5.2 Use of formulae 6 5.2.1 Number of teeth used to calculate tolerances 6 5.2.2 Rounding rules 6 5.3 Tooth-to-tooth radial composite tolerance, F_{idT} 7 5.4 Total radial composite tolerance, F_{idT} 7 5.4.1 Total radial composite tolerance for cylindrical gears 7 5.4.2 Total radial composite tolerance for sector gears 7 Annex A (informative) Graph of tolerance values for class R34, R44, and R50 for spur gears with module = 1,0 mm 8 Annex B (informative) Reasons for changing double flank composite tolerances specification 13 Annex E (informative) Calculation examples 14 | 3 | .1 Terms and definitions | 1 | | | |
| 5Tolerance values6 5.1 General6 5.2 Use of formulae6 $5.2.1$ Number of teeth used to calculate tolerances6 $5.2.2$ Rounding rules6 5.3 Tooth-to-tooth radial composite tolerance, F_{idT} 7 5.4 Total radial composite tolerance, F_{idT} 7 $5.4.1$ Total radial composite tolerance for cylindrical gears7 $5.4.2$ Total radial composite tolerance for sector gears7Annex A (informative) Graph of tolerance values for class R34, R44, and R50 for spur gears with module = 1,0 mm8Annex B (informative) Double flank radial composite deviation over segments of k teeth10Annex C (informative) Reasons for changing double flank composite tolerances12Annex D (informative) Conversion from another double flank composite tolerance specification 1314 | 4 | General Gear tooth tolerance class Specification of datum surfaces Application of the ISO flank classification standard 4.4.1 Measurement equipment and master gears 4.4.2 Equipment verification and uncertainty 4.4.3 Filtering and data density Acceptance criteria Correlation of double flank radial composite and element deviations | | | | |
| with module = 1,0 mm 8 Annex B (informative) Double flank radial composite deviation over segments of k teeth 10 Annex C (informative) Reasons for changing double flank composite tolerances 12 Annex D (informative) Conversion from another double flank composite tolerance specification 13 Annex E (informative) Calculation examples 14 | | olerance values 1 General 2 Use of formulae 5.2.1 Number of teeth used to calculate tolerances 5.2.2 Rounding rules 3 Tooth-to-tooth radial composite tolerance, f_{idT} 4 Total radial composite tolerance, F_{idT} 5.4.1 Total radial composite tolerance for cylindrical gears 5.4.2 Total radial composite tolerance for sector gears | | | | |
| Annex C (informative) Reasons for changing double flank composite tolerances12 Annex D (informative) Conversion from another double flank composite tolerance specification 13 Annex E (informative) Calculation examples14 | Annex | | | | | |
| Annex E (informative) Calculation examples 14 | Annex | (informative) Reasons for changing double flank composite tolerances | 12 | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| | | aphy | | | | |

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 60, Gears.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 1328-2:1997), which has been technically revised. The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows: 7ba-4490-86a1-

- the document title of this part has been revised to correspond to that of part 1 and better reflect the contents of this part;
- the scope of applicability has been expanded to include sector gears;
- revisions have been made to the formulae which define the double flank radial composite tolerances, and the range of classification numbers has been changed to clarify the independence of this classification system from that given in part 1;
- the change in tolerance value between consecutive tolerance classes has been reduced, so two steps in the new system results in the same change as one step of the old system, but approximately the same global range of tolerance values is maintained with additional steps;
- annexes have been added to describe complementary information and examples;
- evaluation of runout, previously handled in this document, has been moved to ISO 1328-1:2013;
- advice on appropriate inspection methods has been removed; the information can be found in ISO/TR 10064-2.

A list of all parts in the ISO 1328 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Cylindrical gears — ISO system of flank tolerance classification —

Part 2:

Definitions and allowable values of double flank radial composite deviations

1 Scope

This document establishes a gear tooth classification system relevant to double flank radial composite deviations of individual cylindrical involute gears and sector gears. It specifies the appropriate definitions of gear tooth deviations, the structure of the gear tooth flank classification system, and the allowable values of the gear tooth deviations. It provides formulae to calculate tolerances for individual product gears when mated in double flank contact with a master gear. Tolerance tables are not included.

This document is applicable to gears with three or more teeth that have reference diameters of up to 600 mm.

This document does not provide guidance on gear design nor does it recommend tolerances.

2 Normative references tandards.iteh.ai)

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 701, International gear notation — Symbols for geometrical data

ISO 1122-1, Vocabulary of gear terms — Part 1: Definitions related to geometry

3 Terms, definitions and symbols

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 701 and ISO 1122-1 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp
- IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/

3.1.1

double flank test

test where a *master gear* (3.1.4) and a *product gear* (3.1.5) are rotated in tight mesh contact, i.e. held together by a spring load so there is no backlash, while measuring the changes in center distance

3.1.2

elemental deviation

deviation, such as profile and helix deviation on individual teeth or pitch deviation between teeth, generally using a single point of contact probe

3.1.3

elemental method

method to measure *elemental deviations* (3.1.2)

Note 1 to entry: ISO 1328-1 describes elemental methods and deviations.

3.1.4

master gear

gear with the required precision that is designed to measure double flank radial composite deviation in a double flank contact test with a *product gear* (3.1.5)

3.1.5

product gear

gear that is being measured or evaluated


3.1.6

tooth-to-tooth radial composite deviation

 $f_{\rm id}$

value of the greatest change in center distance within any one pitch, found after evaluating all the teeth of a product gear (3.1.5) in a double flank test (3.1.1) with a master gear (3.1.4)

Note 1 to entry: See Figure 1.



Key

- 1 single tooth pitch
- n tooth number
- $a_{\rm c}$ tight mesh center distance

Figure 1 — Tooth-to-tooth radial composite deviation

3.1.7

tooth-to-tooth radial composite tolerance

 f_{idT}

maximum tooth-to-tooth radial composite deviation (3.1.6) allowable by specification

3.1.8

total radial composite deviation

 $F_{\rm id}$

difference between the maximum and minimum values of center distance found after evaluating all the teeth of a *product gear* (3.1.5) in a *double flank test* (3.1.1) with a *master gear* (3.1.4)


Note 1 to entry: See Figure 2.

3.1.9

total radial composite tolerance

 $F_{\rm idT}$

maximum total radial composite deviation (3.1.8) allowable by specification



Key

- *n* tooth number
- $a_{\rm c}$ tight mesh center distance

Figure 2 — Total radial composite deviation

3.2 Symbols

For the purposes of this document, the symbols given in <u>Table 1</u> apply. The symbols are based on those given in ISO 701.

| Symbol | Term Term | Units | First used |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------|--------------|
| $a_{\rm c}$ | Tight mesh center distance | mm | <u>3.1.6</u> |
| $F_{\rm id}$ | Total radial composite deviation | μm | 3.1.8 |
| $f_{\rm id}$ | Tooth-to-tooth radial composite deviation | μm | <u>3.1.6</u> |
| $F_{\mathrm{id}k}$ | Radial composite deviation over k teeth 328-222023 | μm | <u>B.3</u> |
| $F_{\mathrm{id}k\mathrm{T}}$ | Radial composite tolerance over k teeth | -802 <u>i</u> m | <u>B.4</u> |
| F_{idT} | Total radial composite tolerance | μm | 3.1.9 |
| $f_{ m idT}$ | Tooth-to-tooth radial composite tolerance | μm | 3.1.7 |
| k_{max} | Maximum number of tooth pitches in measurement segment | _ | B.4 |
| $m_{\rm n}$ | Normal module | mm | 5.3 |
| n | Tooth number | _ | <u>3.1.6</u> |
| R | Tolerance class number | _ | 5.3 |
| $R_{\rm x}$ | Tolerance class modifier based on number of teeth | _ | <u>5.3</u> |
| Z | Number of teeth | _ | 5.2.1 |
| $Z_{\rm C}$ | Number of teeth for calculation | _ | <u>5.3</u> |
| z_{k} | Number of teeth in the sector | _ | 5.4.2 |
| β | Helix angle | 0 | 5.3 |

Table 1 — Symbols

4 Application of the ISO double flank radial composite tolerance classification system

4.1 General

This document provides classification tolerances and measuring methods for unassembled gears.

Surface texture is not considered in this document. For additional information on surface texture, see $ISO/TR\ 10064-4$.

With agreement between the purchaser and manufacturer, the tolerances may be applied to other types of gears such as cylindrical worms, worm gears, racks, and bevel gears. However, in these cases, modified procedures and associated measurement processes should be considered since this standard only describes procedures for parallel axis gears. See ISO/TR 10064-2 for additional information.

Some design and application considerations may warrant measurements or documentation not normally included in standard manufacturing processes. Specific requirements shall be stated in the contractual documents.

Additional information on double flank testing is given in ISO/TR 10064-2.

NOTE 1 Tolerances for a specified class are calculated according to the formulae in Clause 5. To aid in visualizing how the tolerances change with the number of gear teeth, graphs are provided in <u>Annex A</u> showing tolerance values for 3 tolerance classes.

NOTE 2 There is no correlation or interrelation between the classes specified in this document and other parts or standards such as ISO 1328-1. This document uses a unique set of tolerance classes (i.e., R30 to R50) to reinforce that no correlation to other elemental or radial composite standards exists (see $\underline{\text{Annex C}}$). However, while there is no general correlation to other standards, for a specific gear it is possible to find a tolerance class or classes according to this document that will give similar tolerances to those that were originally specified for the gear, see $\underline{\text{Annex D}}$.

NOTE 3 The specific methods of measurement, documentation of the results, inspection frequency, and use of statistical methods are items that normally are mutually agreed upon between the manufacturer and the purchaser.

4.2 Gear tooth tolerance class TANDARD PREVIEW

In this document, the double flank radial composite tolerance class is determined by measurement of total radial composite deviation, $F_{\rm id}$, and tooth-to-tooth radial composite deviation, $f_{\rm id}$. A gear that is specified to a single ISO double flank radial composite tolerance class shall meet both individual tolerance requirements.

In addition to the total and tooth-to-tooth tolerances, <u>Annex B</u> provides an optional specification for composite tolerance over a selected number of teeth, *k*.

NOTE 1 Specifying a class or measurement criteria that require more precise tolerances than required by the application can unnecessarily increase the cost.

NOTE 2 Double flank measurements, such as tight mesh center distance, can be used for control of tooth thickness and total radial composite effects simultaneously.

This document allows for the specification of separate classes for total radial composite deviation, $F_{\rm id}$, and tooth-to-tooth radial composite deviation, $f_{\rm id}$.

The assessment of the gear's double flank radial composite tolerance class shall be performed after the final manufacturing process. Double flank composite checks may also be performed at any step in the manufacturing process.

This document is for classes R30 to R50. It may be convenient in a specific application to use the formulae in this document by extrapolating them below R30 or beyond R50. When this is done, individual tolerances should be used on these applications as opposed to defining a class outside of the R30 to R50 range.

4.3 Specification of datum surfaces

Specification of radial composite tolerances requires the definition of datum surfaces to be used for double flank inspection. See ISO/TR 10064-3.

4.4 Application of the ISO flank classification standard

4.4.1 Measurement equipment and master gears

When measurement according to this document is specified, the double flank equipment for the gears being measured is to be calibrated and appropriate. Unless otherwise agreed upon, the manufacturer may select the double flank equipment to be used.

A master gear shall be used for double flank radial composite tests. The design of the teeth, including specified tolerances, of a master gear shall be agreed upon between the manufacturer and purchaser of the product gear. Master gears are subject to wear and damage during use and should be periodically calibrated and traceable to national standards, with a stated measurement uncertainty.

NOTE Master gear deviations can increase or decrease the measured deviations in the test gear. Therefore, parts requiring higher levels of precision normally require more precise master gears. The use of lower quality masters will increase the risk of false acceptance or rejection of a product gear.

There shall be no gear mesh interference between the product gear and the master gear. Interference between the tips and fillets at the minimum center distance during measurement should be checked. Minimum total contact ratio should be checked, and its value should be greater than 1,02 with all tolerances applied.

4.4.2 Equipment verification and uncertainty

The equipment used for the measurement of gears should be verified periodically.

The uncertainty of the measuring process should be determined, see ISO 14253-1.

4.4.3 Filtering and data density

Tooth-to-tooth radial composite deviation can be greatly influenced by runout, especially on gears with low numbers of teeth. Some double flank equipment may have the option of using filtering techniques to report tooth-to-tooth radial composite deviations after removing the effect of eccentricity. The tolerance values in this document shall be applied without the use of filtering that removes the effects of eccentricity.

Other filtering can occur due to the mechanical dynamic frequency response of the moving pieces of the tester including the effects from the mass of the gear itself, mass of the moving head, frictional resistance of the measuring system, and the spring. Slower rotation during testing reduces the effect of this filtering due to mechanical dynamic response.

When an electronic measuring device is used, a minimum of 30 data samples per tooth pitch should be taken.

4.5 Acceptance criteria

The double flank radial composite tolerance class of a gear is determined by the larger class number measured for the tolerance parameters specified for the gear by this document.

The tolerances for double flank radial composite deviations apply to the inspection of a gear meshing with a master gear. Use of double flank radial composite tolerances on two product gears meshing together should be agreed upon between the manufacturer and the purchaser.

4.6 Correlation of double flank radial composite and element deviations

The tolerance class determined for a gear measured with the double flank radial composite methods of this document does not correlate to the class determined for that gear by the elemental methods covered in ISO 1328-1. Users are cautioned that specification to this document alone may not properly