



SLOVENSKI STANDARD
SIST EN 17997:2025

01-marec-2025

Železniške naprave - Zavore - Opredelitev parametrov zavorne krivulje ETCS za vlake Gamma

Railway applications - Braking - Definition of ETCS brake curve parameters for Gamma trains

Bahnanwendungen - Bremsen - Bestimmung der ETCS-Bremskurvenparameter für Gamma-Züge

Applications ferroviaires - Freinage - Détermination des paramètres des courbes de freinage ETCS pour les trains Gamma

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: EN 17997:2025

[SIST EN 17997:2025](https://standards.slovenski-standard.si/standards/sist/8cc78232-87ed-4aa7-a3c6-072467751467/sist-en-17997-2025)

<https://standards.slovenski-standard.si/standards/sist/8cc78232-87ed-4aa7-a3c6-072467751467/sist-en-17997-2025>

ICS:

45.040 Materiali in deli za železniško Materials and components
tehniko for railway engineering

SIST EN 17997:2025

en,fr,de

EUROPEAN STANDARD

EN 17997

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

January 2025

ICS 45.060.01

English Version

Railway applications - Braking - Definition of ETCS brake curve parameters for Gamma trains

Applications ferroviaires - Freinage - Détermination
des paramètres des courbes de freinage ETCS pour les
trains Gamma

Bahnanwendungen - Bremsen - Bestimmung der ETCS-
Bremskurvenparameter für Gamma-Züge

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 25 November 2024.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.

<https://standards.iteh.ai>
SIST EN 17997:2025

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bcc70232-07ed-4aa7-a3c8-e724b7751407/sist-en-17997-2025>



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

Contents	Page
European foreword	6
Introduction	7
1 Scope	8
2 Normative references	8
3 Terms, definitions, symbols and abbreviated terms	8
3.1 Terms and definitions	8
3.2 Symbols and abbreviated terms.....	10
4 ETCS on-board brake model parameters	11
4.1 ETCS on-board emergency brake model parameters.....	11
4.1.1 Nominal emergency brake deceleration A_{nominal}	11
4.1.2 Correction factor $K_{\text{dry}}(C,V,EBCL)$	11
4.1.3 Correction factor $K_{\text{wet}}(C,V)$	12
4.1.4 Emergency brake response time.....	12
4.1.5 Traction cut-off time.....	12
4.2 ETCS on-board service brake model parameters.....	12
4.2.1 General.....	12
4.2.2 Nominal service brake deceleration $A_{\text{nominalSB}}$	12
4.2.3 Service brake response time	12
4.2.4 Normal service brake deceleration and correction factors K_n	12
5 Brake system architecture model	13
5.1 General.....	13
5.2 General procedure description for $K_{\text{dry}}(C,V,EBCL)$ determination	14
5.2.1 General.....	14
5.2.2 Step 1: Bottom-up functional analysis.....	14
5.2.3 Step 2: Top-down impact analysis	16
5.2.4 Step 3: Model simplification.....	17
5.3 Mathematical model building.....	18
6 Input data	20
6.1 General.....	20
6.2 Origin of input data	20
6.3 Validity of input data	21
7 Determination of ETCS emergency brake model parameters	22
7.1 Parameters.....	22
7.1.1 General.....	22
7.1.2 ETCS brake parameters set approaches.....	24
7.1.3 Approach dependency	25
7.1.4 Resolution of ETCS brake parameters.....	26
7.2 Nominal emergency brake deceleration	26
7.2.1 General.....	26
7.2.2 Determination by dynamic brake testing	27
7.2.3 Determination by calculation.....	33
7.2.4 Determination at degraded conditions.....	34
7.2.5 Determination for multiple unit operation.....	34
7.3 Correction factor $K_{\text{dry}}(C,V,EBCL)$	35

7.3.1	General	35
7.3.2	Determination of weighting factors $\alpha_j(C,V)$	37
7.3.3	Determination of factors $\beta_j(i,C,V)$	37
7.3.4	Determination of factors $\alpha'_k(C,V)$ and $\beta'_k(C,V)$	39
7.3.5	Determination of correction factor $K_{dry}(C,V,EBCL)$ with Monte Carlo method.....	40
7.4	Correction factor $K_{wet}(C,V)$	40
7.4.1	General method for determination of $K_{wet}(C,V)$	40
7.4.2	Determination of $K_{wet}(C,V)$ for wheel/rail adhesion independent brake units	41
7.5	Emergency brake time characteristic	42
7.5.1	General	42
7.5.2	Multiple units operation	42
7.6	Traction cut-off time.....	43
7.6.1	General	43
7.6.2	Multiple units operation	44
8	Determination of ETCS service brake model parameters	44
8.1	General	44
8.2	Nominal deceleration for service braking.....	44
8.3	Service brake response time	44
8.4	Normal service brake deceleration and correction factors K_n	44
9	Common set of parameters	45
10	Validation of the calculation tool.....	45
10.1	General	45
10.2	Verification using a simplified model	46
10.3	Validation by example calculations	46
11	Documentation	47
11.1	General	47
11.2	Brake system architecture model	47
11.3	Input data	47
11.4	Nominal values	47
11.5	Correction factors.....	48
11.6	Source list.....	48
Annex A (informative)	Basic formulae for the commonly used types of brake unit	49
A.1	General	49
A.2	Factor $\beta_j(i,C,V)$	49
A.2.1	Internal and external parameters for tread brake unit	49
A.2.2	Internal and external parameters for disc brake unit.....	51
A.2.3	Internal and external parameters for magnetic track brake unit	53
A.2.4	Internal and external parameters for eddy current brake unit.....	54
A.2.5	Internal and external parameters for electro-dynamic brake.....	57
A.3	Factor $\beta'_j(i,C,V)$	58
Annex B (informative)	Derivation of the formulae for $K_{dry}(C,V,EBCL)$	60
B.1	General	60
B.2	Linear and nonlinear input variables	60
B.3	Consideration of the complete train.....	62
B.4	Consideration of the structure of the train and subsystem.....	63

EN 17997:2025 (E)

B.4.1	General.....	63
B.4.2	Higher level structure of the train and subsystem	63
B.4.3	Structure of the control units without redundancies	65
B.4.4	Consideration of redundancies.....	66
B.4.5	Cross system variables.....	68
Annex C (normative) Application of $K_{dry}(C,V,EBCL)$ formulae		71
C.1	General.....	71
C.2	Example 1: 3-car EMU.....	72
C.2.1	Description of the train.....	72
C.2.2	Brake system architecture model.....	76
C.2.3	Weighting factors	76
C.2.4	Determination of factors $\beta_j(i,C,V)$	76
C.2.5	$K_i(C,V)$ formulae	80
C.2.6	Results.....	81
C.3	Example 2: architecture defined in EN 14531-1.....	82
C.3.1	Description of the train.....	82
C.3.2	Brake system architecture model.....	85
C.3.3	Weighting factors	86
C.3.4	Determination of factors $\beta_j(i,C,V)$	87
C.3.5	K_i formulae	88
C.3.6	Results.....	89
Annex D (informative) Determination of $K_{dry}(C,V,EBCL)$ using the Monte Carlo method depending on the number of Monte Carlo iterations		90
D.1	Definitions	90
D.2	Determination of $K_{dry}(C,V,EBCL)$ depending on the number of Monte Carlo iterations	90
D.3	Examples	92
Annex E (informative) Methods for simplifying the brake system architecture model.....		93
E.1	General.....	93
E.2	Structure grouping.....	94
E.2.1	Serial structure.....	94
E.2.2	Parallel redundant structure.....	95
E.2.3	Parallel branched structure.....	96
E.2.4	Double failure in parallel branched structure	97
E.3	Simplification example	97
E.3.1	Example system.....	97
E.3.2	Double failure check.....	98

E.3.3	Grouping of parallel branched structure	99
E.3.4	Grouping of parallel redundant structure	100
E.3.5	Grouping of serial structure	102
E.4	Extended description of the methods mentioned in 5.2.4	103
E.4.1	S-1 Grouping of components and technical functions	103
E.4.2	S-2 “Worst case consideration”	104
E.4.3	S-3 Neglection of highly improbable event	105
E.4.4	S-4 Reduction of model levels	105
E.4.5	S-5 Assumption of permanently failed components	106
Annex F (informative) Determination of the failure probability by FIT rate analysis		107
F.1	General	107
F.2	Conversion of FIT rates into failure probability	107
Annex G (informative) Simplified model, used for the validation of a calculation tool		108
G.1	General	108
G.2	Train model	108
G.2.1	General	108
G.2.2	Statistical data for pneumatic brake	111
G.2.3	Statistical data for magnetic track brake	112
G.2.4	Statistical data for electro-dynamic brake	112
G.2.5	Statistical data for traction units	113
G.3	Examples of validation of the correct use of parameter information	113
G.3.1	Mass deviation	113
G.3.2	Wheel diameter deviation	113
G.3.3	MTB brake force deviation	114
G.3.4	ED brake force deviation	114
G.3.5	Failure probability of traction cut-off	115
G.4	Example of verification of the correct use of structural information	115
G.4.1	Failure probability on bogie level for pneumatic brake	115
G.4.2	Failure probability on vehicle type level for MTB	115
Bibliography		117

EN 17997:2025 (E)

European foreword

This document (EN 17997:2025) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 256 “Railway applications”, the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by July 2025, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by July 2025.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users’ national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CEN website. According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organisations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and the United Kingdom.

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.itih.ai>)
Document Preview

[SIST EN 17997:2025](https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bcc70232-07ed-4aa7-a3c8-e724b7751407/sist-en-17997-2025)

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bcc70232-07ed-4aa7-a3c8-e724b7751407/sist-en-17997-2025>

Introduction

European Train Control System (ETCS) specifications have become part of, or are referred to the Technical Specifications for Interoperability (TSI) for railway control-command systems, as part of the European legislation, managed by the European Union Agency for Railways (ERA).

The Braking model specification in this document is based on the definition in the System Requirements Specification (SRS) [SUBSET-026, Version 3.6.0 of 13/05/2016](#) [11], published by the European Union Agency for Railways: [ETCS B3 R2 GSM-R B1](#) [10].

Based on a generic “brake system architecture model” a procedure is described to design a train specific software model which is applied for calculating the rolling stock correction factors and a method for determination of the nominal emergency and service braking deceleration for normal and degraded modes is described. Furthermore, the derivation of all the required traction and braking model parameters is specified.

This document describes the different steps to define ETCS emergency and service brake parameters for ETCS gamma braking model trains intended to operate on lines equipped with ETCS Baseline 3 [10].

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[SIST EN 17997:2025](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bcc70232-07ed-4aa7-a3c8-e724b7751407/sist-en-17997-2025>

EN 17997:2025 (E)**1 Scope**

This document specifies the methodology to define the train related braking model and required emergency and service brake on-board parameters to enable speed and distance monitoring for trains equipped and operated on railway lines using ETCS Baseline 3.

This document is only applicable for ETCS Gamma braking model trains (i.e. the train is said to be a “gamma” train). This document does not specify the way these parameters are transferred to and can be used by the ETCS on-board system (e.g. during start of mission - SoM).

The ETCS “conversion models” are not covered by this document and are described in EN 16834:2019, Annex F. The ETCS “conversion models” are intended for use with trains where the braking performance is expressed using braked weight percentages (“lambda” train).

Any trackside related input parameters, including national values, are not covered in this document. Information can be found in the SUBSET-026 (see [11]).

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 15595:2018+A1:2023, *Railway applications — Braking — Wheel slide protection*

EN 16834:2019, *Railway applications - Braking - Brake performance*

EN 17343:2023, *Railway applications - General terms and definitions*

EN 50126-2, *Railway Applications - The Specification and Demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) - Part 2: Systems Approach to Safety*

EN ISO 24478:2024, *Railway applications — Braking — General vocabulary (ISO 24478:2023)*

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bcc70232-07ed-4aa7-a3c8-e724b7751407/sist-en-17997-2025>

3 Terms, definitions, symbols and abbreviated terms**3.1 Terms and definitions**

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in EN 17343:2023, EN ISO 24478:2024 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp/>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1.1**base unit**

smallest considered unit of a certain system on the lowest level of the level model

3.1.2**building block**

validated information for the characteristics of a brake sub-system (e.g. Magnetic Track Brake), that is derived from the difference between test results of configurations with and without the brake sub-system

3.1.3**highly improbable event**

event which is extremely unlikely to occur and can be neglected

Note 1 to entry: See also EN 50126-1:2017, Table C.1 [5].

3.1.4**brake system architecture model**

calculation model for some ETCS brake parameters which can be applied for K_{dry} definition with Monte Carlo simulation

3.1.5**level model**

model that enables the consideration of structural information of the vehicle in the brake system architecture model

3.1.6**technical function**

function, which can be generated by a single component or a complete system

Note 1 to entry: The technical function of the brake system is to generate braking force.

3.1.7**structural information**

information about the levels, units and structure used in a brake system

3.1.8**statistical information**

information that describes the failure or/and the deviation behaviour of a technical function

3.1.9**failure coefficient**

coefficient that represents the effect of the failure of a technical function on the braking force of a brake unit/group of brake units and is linked to the probability of failure of the technical function

3.1.10**deviation coefficient**

coefficient that represents the effect of the deviation of a technical function on the braking force of a brake unit/group of brake units and is linked to the statistical distribution of deviation of the technical function

3.1.11**normal mode**

operating condition with all expected brakes available and performing as specified

[SOURCE: ISO 24221:2024, 3.6]

3.1.12**degraded mode**

operating condition where some of the brakes are not available and/or not performing as specified (e.g. equipment failure, leakage)

[SOURCE: ISO 24221:2024, 3.7]

EN 17997:2025 (E)

3.1.13

car

type of vehicle (e.g. trailer car, motor car)

Note 1 to entry: A trailer car can be a coach or a wagon, a motor car can be a locomotive.

3.2 Symbols and abbreviated terms

For the purposes of this document, the symbols and abbreviated terms given in Table 1 apply.

Table 1 — Symbols and abbreviated terms

Symbol	Definition	Unit
$A_{\text{brake safe}}(C,V,EBCL)$	safe emergency brake deceleration, also called $A_{\text{brake_safe}}$ in SUBSET-026-3 [11]	m/s ²
$A_{\text{brake safe dry}}(C,V,EBCL)$	safe emergency brake deceleration on dry rails	m/s ²
$A_{\text{nominal}}(C,V)$	nominal deceleration in emergency brake, also called $A_{\text{brake_emergency}}$ in SUBSET-026-3 [11]	m/s ²
$A_{\text{nominalSB}}(C,V)$	nominal deceleration in service brake, also called $A_{\text{brake_service}}$ in SUBSET 026-3 [11]	m/s ²
$A_{\text{normal}}(C,V)$	normal service brake deceleration, also called $A_{\text{brake_normal_service}}$ in SUBSET-026-3 [11]	m/s ²
C	identification of the configuration of the brakes (combination of special brake, degraded modes with brakes isolated, multiple unit operation, etc.)	—
F	braking force	N
$K_{\text{dry}}(C,V,EBCL)$	correction factor, also called $K_{\text{dry_rst}}$ in SUBSET-026-3 [11]	—
$K_i(C,V)$	correction factor for one random selected combination “i” (also called case “i”) of parameters (influencing braking force and failure behaviour) for calculation of deceleration	—
$K_n(V)$	speed dependent correction factors for gradient on the normal service brake; split in $K_{n+}(V)$ (uphill) and $K_{n-}(V)$ (downhill)	—
$K_{\text{wet}}(C,V)$	correction factor, also called $K_{\text{wet_rst}}$ in SUBSET-026-3 [11]	—
P	probability of failure	—
$t_{\text{eEB}}(C)$	equivalent emergency brake response time, also called $T_{\text{brake_emergency}}$ (for emergency brake) in SUBSET-026-3 [11]	s
$t_{\text{eSB}}(C)$	equivalent service brake response time, also called $T_{\text{brake_service}}$ (for service brake) in SUBSET-026-3 [11]	s
$t_{\text{tco}}(C)$	traction cut-off time, also called $T_{\text{traction_cut_off}}$ in SUBSET-026-3 [11], 3.13.2.2.2	s
V	identification of the speed interval	—
V_{max}	maximum design speed of the train	—
α	weighting factor representing the part of a brake unit/group of brake units/brake type force in the total braking force	—

Symbol	Definition	Unit
α'	ratio of the maximum force generated by a traction unit compared to the total braking force at train level	—
β	factor representing the product of the impact of all deviations and failure impacting the braking force of a brake unit	—
β'	factor representing the impact of possible traction cut-off failures leading to a reduction of total braking force by generation of a traction force	—
BCU	brake control unit	—
DBU	disc brake unit	—
EBCL	emergency brake confidence level	—
ECB	eddy current brake	—
ED	electro-dynamic brake	—
ETCS	European Train Control System	—
FIT	failures in time	—
MTB	magnetic track brake	—
Rnd	function providing a random value depending on a distribution type and on parameters	—
B	Bernoulli distribution	—
N	Normal distribution	—
U	Uniform distribution	—
TBU	tread brake unit	—
WSP	wheel slide protection	—

4 ETCS on-board brake model parameters

4.1 ETCS on-board emergency brake model parameters

4.1.1 Nominal emergency brake deceleration A_{nominal}

$A_{\text{nominal}}(C,V)$ is the established deceleration during an emergency braking for a given configuration of the train for a defined speed interval (see SUBSET-026-3 [11] and SUBSET-040 [12]). The determination of A_{nominal} is described in 7.2.

4.1.2 Correction factor $K_{\text{dry}}(C,V,EBCL)$

$K_{\text{dry}}(C,V,EBCL)$ is a rolling stock correction factor that, applied to A_{nominal} , gives the safe emergency brake deceleration for a given configuration of the train, on dry rails in accordance with the required confidence level, and for a defined speed interval (see SUBSET-026-3 [11] and SUBSET-040 [12]).

$$A_{\text{brake safe dry}}(C, V, EBCL) = K_{\text{dry}}(C, V, EBCL) \times A_{\text{nominal}}(C, V) \quad (1)$$

The determination of $K_{\text{dry}}(C,V,EBCL)$ is described in 7.3.

EN 17997:2025 (E)**4.1.3 Correction factor $K_{\text{wet}}(C,V)$**

$K_{\text{wet}}(C,V)$ is a rolling stock correction factor that considers in a limited way the loss of deceleration with regards to emergency braking on dry rails, when the emergency brake is applied on low adhesion rails, in accordance with wheel/rail adhesion reference conditions, and for a defined speed interval (see SUBSET-026-3 [11] and SUBSET-040 [12]).

$$A_{\text{brake safe}}(C, V, \text{EBCL}) = \left(K_{\text{wet}}(C, V) + M_{\text{NVAADH}} \times (1 - K_{\text{wet}}(C, V)) \right) \times A_{\text{brake safe dry}}(C, V, \text{EBCL}) \quad (2)$$

NOTE M_{NVAADH} is a trackside ETCS parameter and is not in the scope of this document.

The determination of $K_{\text{wet}}(C,V)$ is described in 7.4.

4.1.4 Emergency brake response time

The equivalent emergency brake response time t_{eEB} (see 7.5) is used to model the transition between the emergency brake demand and the fully established emergency braking force (see SUBSET-026-3 [11] and SUBSET-040 [12]).

4.1.5 Traction cut-off time

The traction cut-off time t_{tco} (see 7.6) is used to model the transition between the emergency brake demand or traction cut-off demand and the moment the acceleration due to traction (A_{traction}) is zero after a trainwide control signal for an emergency brake application.

4.2 ETCS on-board service brake model parameters**4.2.1 General**

The service brake performance is not safety relevant. Therefore, no worst-case conditions (e.g. correction factors, adhesion conditions) are considered for its calculation.

4.2.2 Nominal service brake deceleration $A_{\text{nominalSB}}$

$A_{\text{nominalSB}}(C,V)$ is the established deceleration during a full service braking for a given configuration of the train for a defined speed interval (see SUBSET-026-3 [11]).

The determination of $A_{\text{nominalSB}}$ is described in 8.2.

4.2.3 Service brake response time

The equivalent service brake response time t_{eSB} (see 8.3) is used to model the transition between the full service brake demand and the fully established service brake braking force (see SUBSET-026-3 [11]).

4.2.4 Normal service brake deceleration and correction factors K_n

The normal service brake deceleration $A_{\text{normal}}(C,V)$ is used in combination with the speed dependent on-board correction factors for gradient $K_{n+}(V)$ and $K_{n-}(V)$ to calculate $A_{\text{normal_service}}(V,d)$. This deceleration is used to calculate the guidance curve (GUI), which is an optional braking curve in ETCS (see SUBSET-026-3 [11]).

Recommendations for determining A_{normal} are described in 8.4.