



SLOVENSKI STANDARD
oSIST prEN ISO 17117-1:2023
01-maj-2023

Zdravstvena informatika - Terminološki viri - 1. del: Značilnosti (ISO 17117-1:2018)

Health informatics - Terminological resources - Part 1: Characteristics (ISO 17117-1:2018)

Medizinische Informatik - Terminologische Ressourcen - Teil 1: Merkmale (ISO 17117-1:2018)

Informatique de santé - Ressources terminologiques - Partie 1: Caractéristiques (ISO 17117-1:2018)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a5d95885-df36-4422-adb6-17117-1:2023>

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN ISO 17117-1

ICS:

01.020	Terminologija (načela in koordinacija)	Terminology (principles and coordination)
35.240.80	Uporabniške rešitve IT v zdravstveni tehniki	IT applications in health care technology

oSIST prEN ISO 17117-1:2023

en,fr,de

INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD

ISO
17117-1

First edition
2018-04

**Health informatics — Terminological
resources —**

**Part 1:
Characteristics**

Informatique de santé — Ressources terminologiques —

Partie 1: Caractéristiques

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[oSIST prEN ISO 17117-1:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a5d95885-df36-4422-adb6-28b30d2e57af/osist-pren-iso-17117-1-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a5d95885-df36-4422-adb6-28b30d2e57af/osist-pren-iso-17117-1-2023>



Reference number
ISO 17117-1:2018(E)

© ISO 2018

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[oSIST prEN ISO 17117-1:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a5d95885-df36-4422-adb6-28b30d2e57af/osist-pren-iso-17117-1-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a5d95885-df36-4422-adb6-28b30d2e57af/osist-pren-iso-17117-1-2023>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	2
3 Terms and definitions	2
4 Characteristics of terminological resources in the health domain	8
4.1 Basics.....	8
4.2 Pre-coordinated characteristics aiming at identification of a specific concept/term.....	9
4.2.1 Term identifier.....	9
4.2.2 Concept identifier.....	9
4.2.3 Concept orientation.....	10
4.3 Characteristics related to extensibility for concept representations.....	10
4.3.1 Characteristics to specify more detailed concepts.....	10
4.3.2 Characteristics to broaden coverage of concepts.....	11
4.4 Characteristics related to data aggregation or classification.....	12
4.4.1 General.....	12
4.4.2 Mutual exclusiveness.....	12
4.4.3 Exhaustiveness.....	12
4.5 Characteristics related to formal concept representation and semantic interoperability.....	12
4.5.1 Compositionality.....	12
4.5.2 Hierarchical relation.....	13
4.5.3 Associative relation.....	14
4.5.4 Categorial structure.....	14
4.5.5 Semantic consistency.....	14
4.6 Characteristics related to maintenance of terminological resources.....	15
4.6.1 Context-free identifiers.....	15
4.6.2 Persistence of identifiers.....	15
4.6.3 Version identifier.....	15
4.6.4 Editorial information.....	15
4.6.5 Obsolete marking.....	15
4.6.6 Responsiveness.....	16
5 Functions invoked by a certain set of characteristics	16
5.1 Basics.....	16
5.2 Data capture.....	16
5.2.1 General.....	16
5.2.2 Extensibility for concept representation.....	17
5.2.3 Providing semantically consistent formal concept representation.....	17
5.3 Display/presentation/identification.....	17
5.3.1 General.....	17
5.3.2 Accessing concepts using terminology structure.....	18
5.4 Data aggregation for statistical analysis.....	18
5.5 Reasoning.....	19
5.5.1 Reasoning of internal consistency.....	19
5.6 Maintenance-related functions.....	19
5.6.1 Concept permanence.....	19
5.6.2 Version control.....	20
Annex A (informative) Selected definitions from ISO 1087-1	21
Annex B (informative) Relations between characteristics, functions, requirements and evaluation criteria of terminological resources	28
Annex C (informative) Relations among terminological resources	29
Bibliography	30

ISO 17117-1:2018(E)

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by ISO/TC 215, *Health informatics*.

This first edition of ISO 17117-1 cancels and replaces ISO/TS 17117:2002, which has been technically revised.

A list of all the parts of ISO 17117 is available on the ISO website.

Introduction

Health terminology is complex and multifaceted. It has been estimated that up to 45 million different terms are needed to adequately describe health-related concepts like conditions of patients and populations, actions in healthcare and related concepts, such as medicines, biomedical molecules, genes, organisms, technical methods and social concepts^[3]. Many formal and less formal terminological resources exist to represent this complexity. These may be called terminological systems, coding systems, formal concept representation systems, classification systems, and others. Specific features of different terminological resources make them more or less useful for particular purposes and technological environments.

The need for formal terminological resources to support health information management has been widely recognized^{[6][7][8]}. Such resources are required for precise data collection, accurate interpretation of data and interoperability among information systems that exchange such data^[7]. National governments, healthcare organizations and others are currently concerned with the question of which of the available terminological resources will meet their requirements, i.e. they wish to 'assign value' to specific terminological resources to decide which are suitable for their purposes and healthcare contexts.

A set of criteria to support such evaluations was originally published by ISO in 2002 (ISO/TS 17117). The main purpose was to enable users to assess whether a terminological resource has the characteristics that will support their specified requirements, since the characteristics of a terminological resource influence its utility and appropriateness in applications. There has been much progress in the study and use of terminological resources since that time and some experience of formal evaluations^{[9][10]}. This revision updates the original Technical Specification with a revised scope and purpose commensurate with present and future healthcare and technology contexts, incorporating new definitional standards where relevant.

As the first part of the entire revision work, this document (ISO 17117-1) identifies the characteristics of terminological resources in healthcare ([Clause 4](#)) and functions or roles invoked by those characteristics ([Clause 5](#)). This document also provides a framework to identify different types of terminological resources using a combination of those characteristics and functions, which is essential for the development of criteria for the categorization of terminological resources in healthcare. Requirements for, and evaluation criteria of, terminological resources in healthcare, which will be addressed in the future parts of ISO 17117, are tightly related to the characteristics of terminological resources and functions that they can provide.

Health informatics — Terminological resources —

Part 1: Characteristics

1 Scope

This document defines universal and specialized characteristics of health terminological resources that make them fit for the purposes required of various applications. It refers only to terminological resources that are primarily designed to be used for clinical concept representation or to those parts of other terminological resources designed to be used for clinical concept representation.

This document helps users to assess whether a terminology has the characteristics or provides the functions that will support their specified requirements. The focus of this document is to define characteristics and functions of terminological resources in healthcare that can be used to identify different types of them for categorization purposes. [Clauses 4](#) and [5](#) support categorization according to the characteristics and functions of the terminological resources rather than the name.

NOTE Categorization of healthcare terminological systems according to the name of the system might not be helpful and has caused confusion in the past.

The target groups for this document are:

- a) organizations wishing to select terminological systems for use in healthcare information systems;
- b) developers of terminological systems;
- c) developers of terminology standards;
- d) those undertaking independent evaluations/academic reviews of terminological resources;
- e) terminology Registration Authorities.

This document contains general characteristics and criteria with which systems can be evaluated.

The following considerations are outside the scope of this document.

- Evaluations of terminological resources.
- Health service requirements for terminological resources and evaluation criteria based on the characteristics and functions.
- The nature and quality of mappings between different terminologies. It is unlikely that a single terminology will meet all the terminology requirements of a healthcare organization: some terminology providers produce mappings to administrative or statistical classifications such as the International Classification of Diseases (ICD). The presence of such maps would be a consideration in the evaluation of the terminology.
- The nature and quality of mappings between different versions of the same terminology. To support data migration and historical retrieval, terminology providers can provide maps between versions of their terminology. The presence of such maps would be a consideration in the evaluation of the terminology.
- Terminology server requirements and techniques and tools for terminology developers.
- Characteristics for computational biology terminology. Progress in medical science and in terminology science will necessitate updating of this document in due course.

ISO 17117-1:2018(E)

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <http://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

NOTE Selected terms from ISO 1087-1 are given in [Annex A](#) as background to the terms and definitions in Clause 3.

3.1 General terms

3.1.1

concept

unit of knowledge created by a unique combination of characteristics

Note 1 to entry: Informally, the term 'concept' is often used when what is meant is 'concept representation'. However, this leads to confusion when precise meanings are required. Concepts arise out of human individual and social conceptualizations of the world around them. Concept representations are artefacts constructed of symbols.

Note 2 to entry: Concept representations are not necessarily bound to particular languages. However, they are influenced by the social or cultural context of use often leading to different categorizations.

[SOURCE: ISO 1087-1:2000, 3.2.1, modified]

3.1.2

term

linguistic representation of a concept in a specific subject field

[SOURCE: ISO 1087-1:2000, 3.4.3, modified]

3.1.3

characteristic

abstraction of a property of an object or of a set of objects

[SOURCE: ISO 1087-1:2000, 3.2.4]

3.1.4

term identifier

sequence of letters, numbers or symbols, capable of uniquely identifying a term within the terminological resource

Note 1 to entry: Term identifier shall be unique within the terminological resource.

3.1.5

concept identifier

canonical expression (3.3.5), or sequence of letters, numbers or symbols, capable of uniquely identifying a concept within the terminological resource

Note 1 to entry: Concept identifier shall be unique within the terminological resource, so terms shall not be used for the purpose here in case polysemy exists.

3.1.6**code**

identifier expressed by a series of letters, numbers, or symbols

Note 1 to entry: A code is a *concept identifier* (3.1.5) when used in a *coding system* (3.4.4).

3.1.7**terminological resource identifier**

unique permanent identifier of a *terminological resource* (3.4.1) for use in information interchange

Note 1 to entry: This is equivalent to Health Coding Scheme Designator in EN 1068:2005 for registration of coding systems.

Note 2 to entry: Globally unique schemes such as OIDs, UUIDs, and URIs may be used for this purpose.

3.1.8**terminological resource version identifier****version identifier**

identifier assigned to a version under which a *terminological resource* (3.4.1) is published or updated

3.1.9**coding scheme**

collection of rules that maps the elements in one set, the “coded set”, onto the elements in a second set, “the code set”

Note 1 to entry: The two sets are not part of the coding scheme.

[SOURCE: ISO 17115:2007, 2.7.2, modified]

3.1.10**composite characteristic**

representation of a *characteristic* (3.1.3)

EXAMPLE `http` has Cause Bacteria; Location = LeftUpperLobeOfLung.

Note 1 to entry: Typically expressed by a *semantic link* (3.2.5) and a *characterizing concept* (3.1.11).

[SOURCE: ISO 17115:2007, 2.2.1]

3.1.11**characterizing concept**

concept that is referenced by a *semantic link* (3.2.5) in a *composite characteristic* (3.1.10)

EXAMPLE “Bacterium” in the construct “Disease that has Cause Bacterium”; “Yellow” in the construct “Skin Lesion that has Colour Yellow”.

[SOURCE: ISO 17115:2007, 2.2.2]

3.1.12**characterizing generic concept****characterizing category****value domain**

formal category whose specialization by a *domain constraint* (3.1.14) is allowed to be used as *characterizing concept* (3.1.11) in a particular context

EXAMPLE 1 <INFECTIOUS_ORGANISM> = {bacterium, virus, parasite}, in the context of “infection that has Cause INFECTIOUS_ORGANISM”.

EXAMPLE 2 has Cause Bacteria; Location = LeftUpperLobeOfLung.

Note 1 to entry: The context includes a superordinate concept and a semantic link.

[SOURCE: ISO 17115:2007, 2.3.3]

ISO 17117-1:2018(E)

3.1.13

sanctioned characteristic

formal representation of a type of *characteristics* (3.1.3)

EXAMPLE 1 performed Using <INSTRUMENT>; hasLocation <BodyPartOfImplantedDevice>.

EXAMPLE 2 “Cause Of Inflammation can Be set {bacteria, virus, parasite, autoimmune, chemical, physical}”, where “can Be” is the semantic link, and “set{bacteria, virus, parasite, autoimmune, chemical, physical}” is the characterizing generic concept.

Note 1 to entry: A sanctioned characteristic is typically made up of a combination of a *semantic link* (3.2.5) and a *characterizing generic concept* (3.1.12), and can be used in *domain constraints* (3.1.14).

[SOURCE: ISO 17115:2007, 2.3.1]

3.1.14

domain constraint

sanction rule prescribing the set of *sanctioned characteristics* (3.1.13) that are valid to specialize a concept in a certain subject field

EXAMPLE “Infection possibly has Location Skeletal Structure” describes that an infection in a certain context can be located in a structure that is a kind of skeletal structure.

Note 1 to entry: The rule describes the set of potential characteristics by combining the *semantic link* (3.2.5) and the *characterizing generic concept* (3.1.12) it links to, possibly by enumeration of the concepts in the characterizing generic concept.

Note 2 to entry: Different levels of sanctioning are possible (e.g. conceivable, sensible, normal, usually In The Context Of, necessary).

[SOURCE: ISO 17115:2007, 2.3.2]

3.2 Relations between concepts oSIST prEN ISO 17117-1:2023

3.2.1

generic relation

generalization-specialization relation

relation between two concepts where the intension of one of the concepts includes that of the other concept and at least one additional delimiting characteristic

Note 1 to entry: A generic relation exists between the concepts ‘word’ and ‘pronoun’, ‘vehicle’ and ‘car’, ‘person’ and ‘child’.

Note 2 to entry: This relation is equivalent to ‘parent-child’ or ‘is-a’ relation. The child concept has the same intension as the parent concept and at least one additional delimiting characteristic. Also the same in X ‘is-a’ Y.

Note 3 to entry: The use of the term ‘relation’ from an English perspective means that this is describing the concept (i.e. this concept is a generic relation of another concept) not the relationship. However, the ‘generic relation’ here means the relationship itself, not a concept.

[SOURCE: ISO 1087-1:2000, 3.2.21, modified]

3.2.2

partitive relation

whole-part relation

relation between two concepts where one of the concepts constitutes the whole and the other concept a part of that whole

Note 1 to entry: A partitive relation exists between the concepts ‘week’ and ‘day’, ‘molecule’ and ‘atom’.

Note 2 to entry: This relation is different from *generic relation* (3.2.1). For example, a day is part of a week, but is not a specialization of a week, i.e. it is not a type of week.

[SOURCE: ISO 1087-1:2000, 3.2.22, modified]