



SLOVENSKI STANDARD

oSIST prEN ISO 11268-2:2022

01-januar-2022

Kakovost tal - Učinki onesnaževal na deževnike - 2. del: Določanje učinkov na reprodukcijo Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei (ISO 11268-2:2021)

Soil quality - Effects of pollutants on earthworms - Part 2: Determination of effects on reproduction of Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei (ISO/DIS 11268-2:2021)

Bodenbeschaffenheit - Wirkungen von Schadstoffen auf Regenwürmer - Teil 2: Bestimmung der Wirkung auf die Reproduktionsleistung von Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei (ISO/DIS 11268-2:2021)

Qualité du sol - Effets des polluants vis-à-vis des vers de terre - Partie 2: Détermination des effets sur la reproduction de Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei (ISO/DIS 11268-2:2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a7ea0d63-1d24-4a4d-b545-7379230733e3/osist-pren-iso-11268-2-2022>

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN ISO 11268-2

ICS:

13.080.30 Biološke lastnosti tal Biological properties of soils

oSIST prEN ISO 11268-2:2022

en,fr,de

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW **(standards.iteh.ai)**

[oSIST prEN ISO 11268-2:2022](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a7ea0d63-1d24-4a4d-b545-7379230733e3/osist-pren-iso-11268-2-2022)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a7ea0d63-1d24-4a4d-b545-7379230733e3/osist-pren-iso-11268-2-2022>

DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/DIS 11268-2

ISO/TC 190/SC 4

Secretariat: AFNOR

Voting begins on:
2021-11-16Voting terminates on:
2022-02-08

Soil quality — Effects of pollutants on earthworms —

Part 2:

Determination of effects on reproduction of *Eisenia fetida*/ *Eisenia andrei*

Qualité du sol — Effets des polluants vis-à-vis des vers de terre —

Partie 2: Détermination des effets sur la reproduction de Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei

ICS: 13.080.30

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[oSIST prEN ISO 11268-2:2022](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a7ea0d63-1d24-4a4d-b545-7379230733e3/osist-pren-iso-11268-2-2022)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a7ea0d63-1d24-4a4d-b545-7379230733e3/osist-pren-iso-11268-2-2022>

This document is circulated as received from the committee secretariat.

THIS DOCUMENT IS A DRAFT CIRCULATED FOR COMMENT AND APPROVAL. IT IS THEREFORE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AND MAY NOT BE REFERRED TO AS AN INTERNATIONAL STANDARD UNTIL PUBLISHED AS SUCH.

IN ADDITION TO THEIR EVALUATION AS BEING ACCEPTABLE FOR INDUSTRIAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, COMMERCIAL AND USER PURPOSES, DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS MAY ON OCCASION HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF THEIR POTENTIAL TO BECOME STANDARDS TO WHICH REFERENCE MAY BE MADE IN NATIONAL REGULATIONS.

RECIPIENTS OF THIS DRAFT ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT, WITH THEIR COMMENTS, NOTIFICATION OF ANY RELEVANT PATENT RIGHTS OF WHICH THEY ARE AWARE AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

ISO/CEN PARALLEL PROCESSING



Reference number
ISO/DIS 11268-2:2021(E)

© ISO 2021

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[oSIST prEN ISO 11268-2:2022](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a7ea0d63-1d24-4a4d-b545-7379230733e3/osist-pren-iso-11268-2-2022)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a7ea0d63-1d24-4a4d-b545-7379230733e3/osist-pren-iso-11268-2-2022>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2021

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword.....	iv
Introduction.....	v
1 Scope.....	1
2 Normative references.....	1
3 Terms and definitions.....	2
4 Principle.....	3
5 Reagents and material.....	4
6 Apparatus.....	6
7 Procedure.....	6
7.1 Experimental design.....	6
7.1.1 General.....	6
7.1.2 Preliminary test.....	7
7.1.3 Definitive test.....	7
7.2 Preparation of test mixtures.....	7
7.2.1 Testing of contaminated soil.....	7
7.2.2 Testing substances added to the control soil.....	8
7.2.3 Preparation of control container.....	8
7.3 Addition of the earthworms.....	8
7.4 Test conditions and measurements.....	9
7.5 Reference substance.....	9
8 Calculation and expression of results.....	9
8.1 Calculation.....	9
8.2 Expression of results.....	9
9 Validity of the test.....	10
10 Statistical analysis.....	10
10.1 General.....	10
10.2 Single-concentration tests.....	10
10.3 Multi-concentration tests.....	11
10.3.1 Preliminary test.....	11
10.3.2 Definitive test.....	11
11 Test report.....	12
Annex A (informative) Determination of the chronic toxicity of chemicals on <i>Eisenia fetida</i>/ <i>Eisenia andrei</i> under tropical conditions.....	13
Annex B (informative) Culturing and testing procedures for assessing the effects of contaminants on juvenile production using the earthworm, <i>Dendrodilus rubidus</i>, representative of boreal and taiga eco-zones in northern temperate regions.....	15
Annex C (informative) Culturing and testing procedures for assessing the effects of contaminants on adult survival and cocoon production and hatchability using the earthworm, <i>Aporrectodea caliginosa</i> s.s., representative of temperate regions and agricultural soils.....	19
Annex D (informative) Culturing of <i>Eisenia fetida</i> and <i>Eisenia andrei</i>.....	23
Annex E (informative) Determination of water holding capacity.....	24
Annex F (informative) Techniques for counting juvenile worms hatched from cocoons.....	25
Annex G (informative) Experience with performance criteria of the test.....	26
Bibliography.....	33

ISO/DIS 11268-2:2021(E)

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 190, *Soil quality*, Subcommittee SC 4, *Biological characterization*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO 11268-2:2012), which has been technically revised. The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

- Modification of the concentration for the reference substance (boric acid);
- Inclusion of alternative species of earthworms – *Dendrodrilus rubidus*, *Aporrectodea caliginosa* – In informative annexes. Information on their taxonomy and ecology as well as their specific testing requirements have also been added.

A list of all the parts in the ISO 11268 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

Ecotoxicological test systems are applied to obtain information about the effects of contaminants in soil and are proposed to complement conventional chemical analysis (see ISO 15799 [2] and ISO 17616 [3]). ISO 15799 includes a list and short characterization of recommended and standardized test systems and ISO 17616 gives guidance on the choice and evaluation of the bioassays. Aquatic test systems with soil eluate are applied to obtain information about the fraction of contaminants potentially reaching the groundwater by the water path (retention function of soils), whereas terrestrial test systems are used to assess the habitat function of soils. As standardized test systems using earthworms as indicator organisms for the habitat function of soil, an acute test for survival and a chronic test for reproduction are available.

This document describes a method that is based on the determination of sublethal effects of contaminated soils on adult earthworms of the species *Eisenia fetida* (Savigny 1826) and *Eisenia andrei* (André 1963). Optionally, the method can be used for testing chemicals added to standard soils (e.g. artificial soil) for their sublethal hazard potential to earthworms. Finally, information is provided on how to use this method for testing chemicals or test soil under tropical conditions (see [Annex A](#)).

Eisenia fetida and *Eisenia andrei* are considered to be representatives of soil fauna and earthworms in particular in temperate regions. Background information on their earthworm ecology and their use in ecotoxicological testing is available. However, these species do not occur regularly in agricultural lands (crop sites and grasslands) or forests in these regions. In addition, they are not representative of boreal or tropical regions. Therefore, other species such as *Aporrectodea caliginosa* (an endogeic mineral dweller in temperate regions) and *Dendrodilus rubidus* (an epigeic litter inhabitant in boreal regions) have been added as potential alternative test species ([Annexes B, C](#)). These alternative earthworm species have been used as ecotoxicological test species for some time, but testing experience has been limited to specific countries.

This document has been drawn up taking into consideration test procedures adopted by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development [43][44] and by the European Union [27].

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW **(standards.iteh.ai)**

[oSIST prEN ISO 11268-2:2022](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a7ea0d63-1d24-4a4d-b545-7379230733e3/osist-pren-iso-11268-2-2022)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a7ea0d63-1d24-4a4d-b545-7379230733e3/osist-pren-iso-11268-2-2022>

Soil quality — Effects of pollutants on earthworms —

Part 2:

Determination of effects on reproduction of *Eisenia fetida*/ *Eisenia andrei*

WARNING — Contaminated soils may contain unknown mixtures of toxic, mutagenic, or otherwise harmful chemicals or infectious microorganisms. Occupational health risks may arise from dust or evaporated chemicals during handling and incubation. Precautions should be taken to avoid skin contact.

1 Scope

This document specifies one of the methods for evaluating the habitat function of soils and determining the effects of soil contaminants and chemicals on the reproduction of *Eisenia fetida*/*Eisenia andrei* by dermal and alimentary uptake. This chronic test is applicable to soils and soil materials of unknown quality, e.g. from contaminated sites, amended soils, soils after remediation, agricultural or other sites concerned, and waste materials.

Effects of substances are assessed using a standard soil, preferably a defined artificial soil substrate. For contaminated soils, the effects are determined in the test soil and in a control soil. According to the objective of the study, the control and dilution substrate (dilution series of contaminated soil) should be either an uncontaminated soil comparable to the soil sample to be tested (reference soil) or a standard soil (e.g. artificial soil).

This method is designed mainly for determining the effects of soil contaminants and chemicals on the reproduction of *Eisenia fetida*/*Eisenia andrei*. Technical information is also provided on how to use *Eisenia fetida*/*andrei* for testing chemicals under tropical conditions (see [Annex A](#)). Finally, this method also includes technical information on how to use it with other environmentally relevant earthworm species: e.g., *Aporrectodea caliginosa* and *Dendrodrilus rubidus* (see [Annexes B, C](#)).

This method does not apply to substances for which the air/soil partition coefficient is greater than one, or to substances with vapour pressure exceeding 300 Pa, at 25 °C. This method does not take into account the persistence of the substance during the test.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 18400-206, *Soil quality — Sampling — Part 206: Collection, handling and storage of soil under aerobic conditions for the assessment of microbiological processes, biomass and diversity in the laboratory*

ISO 10390, *Soil, treated biowaste and sludge – Determination of pH*

ISO 10694, *Soil quality — Determination of organic and total carbon after dry combustion (elementary analysis)*

ISO 11260, *Soil quality — Determination of effective cation exchange capacity and base saturation level using barium chloride solution*

ISO 11268-1, *Soil quality — Effects of pollutants on earthworms — Part 1: Determination of acute toxicity to *Eisenia fetida*/*Eisenia andrei**

ISO/DIS 11268-2:2021(E)

ISO 11277, *Soil quality — Determination of particle size distribution in mineral soil material — Method by sieving and sedimentation*

ISO 11465, *Soil quality — Determination of dry matter and water content on a mass basis — Gravimetric method*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

contaminant

substance or agent present in the soil as a result of human activity [ISO 15176:2002] ^[1]

3.2

growth

increase in biomass (i.e. the fresh mass of organisms)

Note 1 to entry: It is expressed as a percentage of the fresh mass of organisms at the start of the test.

3.3

reproduction

mean number of offspring per test container after eight weeks' incubation under the specified test conditions

3.4

ER_x (effective rate) or EC_x (effective concentration)

x % effect rate or concentration of the test sample or test substance at which reproduction is reduced by x % compared to the control

3.5

limit test

single concentration test consisting of at least four replicates each, the test sample without any dilution or the highest concentration of test substance mixed into the control soil and the control

3.6

lowest observed effect rate (LOER) or effect concentration (LOEC)

lowest tested percentage of a test sample in a control soil or concentration of a substance at which a statistically significant effect is observed

Note 1 to entry: The LOEC is expressed as a percentage of test-soil dry mass per test-mixture dry mass. All test mixtures above the LOEC have a harmful effect equal to or greater than that observed at the LOEC. If this condition cannot be satisfied, an explanation should be given for how the LOEC and *NOEC* (3.7) have been selected.

3.7

NOER (no observed effective rate) or NOEC (no observed effect concentration)

test soil percentage immediately below the LOER/LOEC or, highest tested concentration of a test substance which, when compared to the control, has no statistically significant lethal or other effect such as reduced reproduction or mass alteration (error probability: $p < 0,05$)

Note 1 to entry: The NOEC is expressed as a percentage of test-soil dry mass per test-mixture dry mass.

3.8**reference soil**

uncontaminated site-specific soil (e.g. collected in the vicinity of a contaminated site) with similar properties (nutrient concentrations, pH, organic carbon content and texture) to the test soil

3.9**standard soil**

field-collected soil or artificial soil whose main properties (e.g. pH, texture, organic matter content) are within a known range

EXAMPLE Euro-Soils [27], artificial soil [43], LUFA standard soil [38].

Note 1 to entry: The properties of standard soils can differ from those of the test soil.

3.10**control soil**

reference or standard soil used as a control and as a medium for preparing dilution series with test samples or a reference substance, which fulfils the validity criteria

Note 1 to entry: In the case of natural soil, it is advisable to demonstrate its suitability for a test and for achieving the test validity criteria before using the soil in a definitive test.

3.11**test mixture**

mixture of contaminated soil or the test substance with a *control soil* (3.10)

Note 1 to entry: Test mixtures are given in percent of contaminated soil based on soil dry mass.

3.12**test mixture ratio**

ratio of test soil to control soil in a test mixture

Note 1 to entry: Different ratios may be applied in a dilution series to establish a dose-response relationship.

4 Principle

The effects on reproduction of adult earthworms (species: *Eisenia fetida* or *Eisenia andrei*) exposed to the test soil are compared to those observed for samples exposed to a control soil. If appropriate, effects based on exposure to a dilution range of contaminated soil or range of concentrations of a test substance are determined. In addition, observations on growth and survival of adult earthworms are recorded. Test mixtures are prepared at the start of the test and are not renewed within the test period.

After four weeks, adult worms are removed from the test containers and effects on mortality and biomass are measured by counting and weighing. The effect on reproduction as the definitive end point is measured by counting the number of offspring hatched from the cocoons after an additional period of four weeks. The results obtained from the tests are compared with a control soil (3.10) or, if appropriate, are used to determine the dilutions or concentrations which cause no effects on biomass, mortality and reproduction (NOER/NOEC) and the dilution (concentration) resulting in x % reduction of juveniles hatched from cocoons compared to the control (ER_x/EC_x , 56 d), respectively.

If testing a dilution or concentration series, all test dilutions/concentrations above the LOER/LOEC shall have a harmful effect equal to, or greater than that observed at the LOER/LOEC. Where there is no prior knowledge of the dilution/concentration of the test soil/test substance likely to have an effect, then it is useful to conduct the test in two steps:

- a preliminary test carried out, in accordance with ISO 11268-1, to give an indication of the effect dilution/concentration and of the dilution/concentration giving no mortality (NOER/NOEC). Dilutions/concentrations to be used in the definitive test can then be selected.
- the definitive test to determine sublethal effects of (dilutions of) contaminated soil or the concentration of a chemical which, when evenly mixed into the standard soil, causes no significant

ISO/DIS 11268-2:2021(E)

effects on numbers of offspring hatched from cocoons compared with the control (NOER/NOEC), and the lowest concentration causing effects (LOER/LOEC).

NOTE The use of a reference soil is an essential requirement to demonstrate the present status of the test population, and to avoid misinterpretation of results.

Alternative species of earthworms and their respective requirements are added as specific annexes in the document:

- *Dendrodrilus rubidus* (see [Annex B](#));
- *Aporrectodea caliginosa* (see [Annex C](#)).

5 Reagents and material

5.1 Biological material, consists of adult earthworms of the species *Eisenia fetida* or *Eisenia andrei* [30], [34], [35], which are between two months and one year old, with a clitellum, and a wet mass between 300 mg and 600 mg (*E. fetida*) and between 250 mg and 600 mg (*E. andrei*). It is recommended to check the identity of the strain used to avoid species misidentifications. ISO 21286 is suitable for that purpose.

NOTE During the ring test performed to validate ISO 21286, only 17 of the 28 laboratories involved (61 %) provided correct identification of their laboratory culture of compost worms. Most laboratories with wrong or unknown assignments had *E. andrei* in culture, or a mixture of both species [REF?].

Select worms used for the test to form, as far as is practicable, a homogeneous population from the standpoint of age, size and mass. Worms should preferably be selected from a synchronized culture with a relatively homogeneous age structure. Before the test, wash them with potable water.

NOTE An example of culturing *Eisenia fetida*/*Eisenia andrei* is given in [Annex D](#).

Condition the selected worms for one day to seven days in standard or control soil before use. The food, which is also used as a food source in the test (see [5.4](#)), shall be given in sufficient amount (see [7.4](#)).

5.2 Test sample, may consist of field-collected soil or control soil amended by the test mixture.

The sample(s) can be field-collected soil from an industrial, agricultural or other site of concern, or waste materials (e.g. dredged material, municipal sludge from a wastewater treatment plant, composed material, or manure) under consideration for possible land disposal.

Test samples shall be sieved by 4 mm mesh and thoroughly mixed. If necessary, soil may be air-dried without heating before sieving. Storage of test samples should be as short as possible. Store the soil in accordance with ISO 18400-206 using containers that minimize losses of soil contaminants by volatilization and sorption to the container walls. Soil pH should not be corrected as it can influence bioavailability of soil contaminants.

For interpretation of test results, the following characteristics shall be determined for each soil sampled from a field site:

- pH in accordance with ISO 10390,
- texture (sand, loam, silt) in accordance with ISO 11277,
- water content in accordance with ISO 11465,
- water holding capacity according to [Annex E](#),
- cationic exchange capacity in accordance with ISO 11260,
- organic carbon in accordance with ISO 10694.

NOTE It is important to measure the water holding capacity of all mixtures used in the test.

5.3 Control soil, either a) reference soil (3.8) or b) standard soil (3.9) that allows the presence of earthworms.

- a) If reference soils from uncontaminated areas near a contaminated site are available, they should be treated and characterized like the test samples. If a toxic contamination or unusual soil properties cannot be ruled out, standard control soils should be preferred.
- b) For testing the effects of substances mixed into soil or making dilutions of the test sample, standard soils shall be used to prepare the test sample. The properties of the field-collected standard soil shall be reported.

The substrate called artificial soil can be used as a standard soil and has the following composition:

	Percentage expressed on a dry-mass basis
— Sphagnum peat finely ground and with no visible plant remains	10 %
— Kaolinite clay containing not less than 30 % kaolinite	20 %
— Industrial quartz sand (dominant fine sand with more than 50 % of particle sizes 0,05 mm to 0,2 mm)	69 %

Approximately 0,3 % to 1,0 % calcium carbonate (CaCO_3 , pulverized, analytical grade) are necessary to get a pH of $6,0 \pm 0,5$.

NOTE 1 Taking the properties of highly non-polar ($\log K_{ow} > 2$, where K_{ow} is the octanol/water coefficient) or ionizing substances into account, 5 % of peat have proven to be sufficient for maintaining the desired structure of the artificial soil.

NOTE 2 It has been demonstrated that *Eisenia fetida* can comply with the validity criteria, even regarding reproduction, when tested in field soils with lower organic carbon content (e.g. 2,7 %) [18], and experience shows that this can be achieved in artificial soil with 5 % peat. It is therefore not necessary, before using such a soil in a definitive test, to demonstrate the suitability of the artificial soil in complying with the validity criteria, unless the peat content lowered more than specified above [28].

Prepare the artificial soil at least three days prior to starting the test, by mixing the dry constituents listed above thoroughly in a large-scale laboratory mixer. A portion of the deionized water required is added while mixing is continued. Allowance should be made for any water that is used for introducing the test mixture into the soil. The amount of calcium carbonate required can vary, depending on the properties of the individual batch of sphagnum peat and should be determined by measuring sub-samples immediately before the test. Store the mixed artificial soil at room temperature for at least two days to equilibrate acidity. To determine pH and the maximum water holding capacity, the dry artificial soil is pre-moistened one or two days before starting the test by adding deionized water to obtain approximately half of the required final water content of 40 % to 60 % of the maximum water holding capacity.

The water holding capacity is determined according to Annex G; the pH is determined according to ISO 10390.

5.4 Feeding, any food of a quality shown to be suitable for at least maintaining worm mass during the test is considered acceptable. Experience has shown that oatmeal, mashed potato powder [35], cow or horse manure is a suitable food. Checks should be made to ensure that cows or horses from which manure is obtained are not subject to medication or treatment with substances, such as growth promoters, nematicides or similar veterinary products that could adversely affect the worms during the test. Self-collected cow manure is recommended, since experience has shown that commercially