

First edition  
2018-06

Corrected version  
2019-02

---

---

**Earth-moving machinery —  
Functional safety —**

**Part 1:  
Methodology to determine safety-  
related parts of the control system and  
performance requirements**

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW  
(standards.iteh.ai)

*Engins de terrassement — Sécurité fonctionnelle —*

*Partie 1: Méthodologie pour la détermination des parties relatives à  
la sécurité des systèmes de commande et les exigences de performance*

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c9754f2f-066d-4764-9834-11d186e42e47/iso-19014-1-2018>



Reference number  
ISO 19014-1:2018(E)

© ISO 2018

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

ISO 19014-1:2018

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c9754f2f-066d-4764-9834-11d186e42e47/iso-19014-1-2018>



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

	Page
<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Method to determine MPLr for SRP/CS of earth moving machinery</b> .....	<b>5</b>
4.1 General.....	5
4.2 Machine Control System Safety Analysis (MCSSA) method.....	5
<b>5 Requirements for immediate action warning indicators</b> .....	<b>6</b>
5.1 General.....	6
<b>6 Performance level determination procedures</b> .....	<b>6</b>
6.1 General.....	6
6.2 Participants in the risk assessment.....	6
6.3 Assessment and classification of a potential harm.....	6
6.4 Assessment of exposure in the situation observed.....	7
6.5 Assessment of a possibility to avoid harm.....	7
6.6 Determining the required MPL.....	9
<b>Annex A (informative) Process flow chart for machinery risk assessment</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<b>Annex B (informative) Table of warning/operation indicators</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>Annex C (informative) Example of MCSSA Process</b> .....	<b>14</b>
<b>Annex D (informative) List of possible safety control systems (SCS) of earth moving machines</b> .....	<b>18</b>
<b>Bibliography</b> ..... <a href="https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c97542f066d-4764-9834-11d186e42e47/iso-19014-1-2018">https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c97542f066d-4764-9834-11d186e42e47/iso-19014-1-2018</a>	<b>20</b>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 127, *Earth-moving machinery*, Subcommittee SC 2, *Safety, ergonomics and general requirements*.

This first edition of ISO 19014-1, together with ISO 19014-2, ISO 19014-3, ISO 19014-4 and ISO/TS 19014-5, cancels and replaces ISO 15998 and ISO/TS 15998-2, which have been technically revised.

The main changes compared to the previous documents are as follows:

- method for determination of performance levels and machine control system safety analysis,
- additional requirements for mobile machines,
- environmental test requirements for components of safety controls systems, and
- requirements for software validation and verification of machine performance levels.

This corrected version of ISO 19014-1:2018 incorporates the following corrections:

- in 4.2 c) 2), 4.2 d) 1), 6.1 and Annex C, the cross-references to the steps defined in 4.2 have been corrected.

A list of all parts in the ISO 19014-series can be found on the ISO website. At the time of publication of this document, Part 2, *Design and evaluation of safety-related machine control systems*, Part 4, *Design and evaluation of software and transmission for safety related parts of the control system*, and Part 5, *Tables of performance levels*, are under development.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

## Introduction

This document addresses systems of all energy types used for functional safety in earth-moving machinery.

The structure of safety standards in the field of machinery is as follows.

Type-A standards (basis standards) give basic concepts, principles for design and general aspects that can be applied to machinery.

Type-B standards (generic safety standards) deal with one or more safety aspects, or one or more types of safeguards that can be used across a wide range of machinery:

- type-B1 standards on particular safety aspects (e.g. safety distances, surface temperature, noise);
- type-B2 standards on safeguards (e.g. two-hands controls, interlocking devices, pressure sensitive devices, guards).

Type-C standards (machinery safety standards) deal with detailed safety requirements for a particular machine or group of machines.

This document is a type C standard as stated in ISO 12100.

This document is of relevance, in particular, for the following stakeholder groups representing the market players with regard to machinery safety:

- machine manufacturers (small, medium and large enterprises);
- health and safety bodies (regulators, accident prevention organisations, market surveillance etc.).

Others can be affected by the level of machinery safety achieved with the means of the document by the above-mentioned stakeholder groups:

- machine users/employers (small, medium and large enterprises);
- machine users/employees (e.g. trade unions, organizations for people with special needs);
- service providers, e. g. for maintenance (small, medium and large enterprises);

The above-mentioned stakeholder groups have been given the possibility to participate at the drafting process of this document.

The machinery concerned and the extent to which hazards, hazardous situations or hazardous events are covered are indicated in the Scope of this document.

When requirements of this type-C standard are different from those which are stated in type-A or type-B standards, the requirements of this type-C standard take precedence over the requirements of the other standards for machines that have been designed and built according to the requirements of this type-C standard.

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

ISO 19014-1:2018

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c9754f2f-066d-4764-9834-11d186e42e47/iso-19014-1-2018>

# Earth-moving machinery — Functional safety —

## Part 1: Methodology to determine safety-related parts of the control system and performance requirements

### 1 Scope

This document provides a methodology for the determination of performance levels required for earth moving machinery (EMM) as defined in ISO 6165.

A Machine Control System Safety Analysis (MCSSA) determines the amount of risk reduction of hazards associated with control systems, required for Safety Control Systems (SCS). This reduction is quantified by the Machine Performance Level (MPL), the hazards are identified using the risk assessment principles as defined in ISO 12100 or by other means.

NOTE 1 Step 2 as shown in [Annex A](#) demonstrates the relationship between ISO 12100 and ISO 19014 as a complementary protective measure.

NOTE 2 ISO 19014 can also be used to assess the functional safety requirements of other off-road mobile machinery.

For those controls determined to be safety-related, the characteristics for architecture, hardware, software environmental requirements and performance are covered by other parts in ISO 19014.

ISO 19014 covers the hazards caused by the failure of a safety control system and excludes hazards arising from the equipment itself (for example, electric shock, fire, etc.).

Other controls that are not safety control systems (SCS), that do not mitigate a hazard or perform a control function and where the operator would be aware of a failure, are excluded from this standard (e.g. windscreen wipers, head lights, cab light, etc.).

NOTE 3 A list of safety control systems is included in [Annex D](#).

NOTE 4 Audible warnings are excluded from the requirements of diagnostic coverage.

### 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 6165, *Earth-moving machinery — Basic types — Identification and terms and definitions*

ISO 12100:2010, *Safety of machinery — General principles for design — Risk assessment and risk reduction*

### 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 6165 and ISO 12100 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at <http://www.iso.org/obp>

**3.1  
Machine Performance Level**

**MPL**  
discrete level to specify the ability of *safety-related parts of control systems* (3.3.2) to perform a safety function under reasonably foreseeable conditions

Note 1 to entry: The term MPL is used to describe the performance level required from a safety-related part of a control system. The 'M' refers to machine and denotes Earth Moving Machinery covered by the scope of this document and is used to differentiate from other functional safety standards (e.g. PL, AgPL, ASIL, etc.).

**3.1.1  
Machine Performance Level required**

**MPL<sub>r</sub>**  
discrete level required as determined by processes in this document

**3.1.2  
Machine Performance Level achieved**

**MPL<sub>a</sub>**  
discrete level achieved by the *safety control systems* (3.3.1) hardware, architecture and software

Note 1 to entry: Process for determination of MPL<sub>a</sub> will be covered in ISO 19014-2 and ISO 19014-4, under development.

**3.2  
functional safety**

part of the overall safety relating to the equipment under control and its control system that depends on the correct functioning of the *safety control system (SCS)* (3.3.1) and other risk reduction measures

[SOURCE: IEC 61508-4:2010, 3.1.12, modified] [ISO 19014-1:2018](#)

<https://standards.itech.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c9754f2f-066d-4764-9834-11d186e42e47/iso-19014-1-2018>

**3.3  
machine control system**

**MCS**  
system which responds to input signals from parts of machine elements, *operators* (3.4.1), external control equipment or any combination of these and generates output signals causing the machine to behave in the intended manner

[SOURCE: ISO 13849-1:2015, 3.1.32]

**3.3.1  
safety control system**

**SCS**  
sub-system or system used by a *MCS* (3.3) to achieve *functional safety* (3.2) by affecting machine behaviour or mitigating a hazard

Note 1 to entry: A system which can fail in a way that creates a hazard is considered a SCS.

Note 2 to entry: For example, SCS for propulsion may include throttle, gear shift, start/stop, etc.

**3.3.2  
safety-related part of the control system**

**SRP/CS**  
part of a *SCS* (3.3.1) that responds to safety-related input signals and generates safety-related output signals

Note 1 to entry: The combined safety-related parts of a control system start at the point where the safety-related input signals are initiated (including, for example, the actuating cam and the roller of the position switch) and end at the output of the power control elements (including, for example, the main contacts of a contactor).

Note 2 to entry: If monitoring systems are used for diagnostic coverage, they are also considered as SRP/CS.



Note 3 to entry: SRP/CS is a part or component within the specific MCS.

[SOURCE: ISO 13849-1:2015, 3.1.1, modified - Note 3 to entry has been added.]

### 3.4

#### **person group**

groups of people analyzed in the *MCSSA* (3.14)

#### 3.4.1

##### **operator**

person operating the EMM and aware of associated risks or hazards

#### 3.4.2

##### **co-worker**

person working in the vicinity of a machine and aware of associated hazards

#### 3.4.3

##### **bystander**

person including non-employee, child, or member of the public with little or no awareness of machine hazards and no training

#### 3.4.4

##### **maintainer**

person whose function is to perform maintenance tasks on the machine

Note 1 to entry: A maintainer is trained and familiar with the machine.

### 3.5

#### **controllability**

ability to avoid harm to the *person group* (3.4) at risk through the timely reactions of the *operator* (3.4.1), possibly with the support of alternative controls

### 3.6

#### **exposure**

percentage of time a *person group* (3.4) is exposed to the hazard

Note 1 to entry: The exposure is the product of the following dependent probabilities: *application use case* (3.11), *hazard time* (3.12), and *person group exposure* (3.15).

### 3.7

#### **severity**

estimate of the extent of harm to one or more individuals that can occur in a potentially hazardous situation

[SOURCE: ISO 26262-1:2011, 1.120]

### 3.8

#### **operation indicator**

means by which the state of the equipment or machinery is represented to an observer

[SOURCE: ISO 22555:2007, 3.2]

#### 3.8.1

##### **warning indicator**

visual, sensory or audible indications where an action from the *operator* (3.4.1) or control system is required

#### 3.8.2

##### **immediate action warning indicator**

*warning indicator* (3.8.1) requiring immediate action from the *operator* (3.4.1) to mitigate hazard or system failure

**3.9  
application**

different industries where a machine is used in, that can have different hazardous situations from one another

Note 1 to entry: Applications can include general construction, road construction, waste management, quarrying, etc.

**3.10  
use case**

intended use of a machine within an *application* (3.9)

Note 1 to entry: For example, a dozer can have dozing, ripping, travel and maintenance use cases within an application.

**3.11  
application use case**

highest percentage of time a machine is anticipated to be used in a *use case* (3.10) within a given *application* (3.9) during the intended use of the life cycle of the machine

Note 1 to entry: Because the application use case represents the highest percentage of time, and not the average, a machine in the population spends in a use case, the sum of application use cases across an application can be greater than 100 %.

**3.12  
hazard time**

percentage of time within the work cycle of the application use where it is reasonably foreseeable that a hazard may exist should the control system being assessed fail

Note 1 to entry: For example, a dozer pushing material off a high wall is only exposed to the hazard of going over the high wall for the time where the machine is traveling towards the high wall within the stopping distance of the machine.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c9754f2f-066d-4764-9834-11d186e42e47/iso-19014-1-2018>

**3.13  
hazard zone**

any space within or around machinery in which a person can be exposed to a hazard from the SCS (3.3.1) under analysis

[SOURCE: ISO 12100:2010 3.11, modified - "from the SCS under analysis" has been added.]

**3.14  
machine control system safety analysis  
MCSSA**

risk assessment used to determine the *MPLr* (3.1.1) for the SCS (3.3.1) on a machine as outlined in this document

**3.15  
person group exposure**

highest percentage of *hazard time* (3.12) that someone from the *person group* (3.4) being assessed is present in the *hazard zone* (3.13)

Note 1 to entry: The analysis is a sum of all the persons exposed from the person group, not a single individual within that group i.e. not a single car driving by, but the flow of traffic.

**3.16  
failure type**

description of the type of failure that can occur in a SCS (3.3.1)

Note 1 to entry: Failure types to consider include failure to apply, failure to release, uncommanded apply, uncommanded release, incorrect apply rate, incorrect release rate or incorrect direction, etc.

**3.17****worst credible**

estimation of *severity* (3.7) of the most severe harm that can realistically occur from a single hazardous event

Note 1 to entry: Worst credible is not always the worst conceivable or the most likely but it is based on consideration of incident history and potential outcome of a hazardous events.

**4 Method to determine MPLr for SRP/CS of earth moving machinery****4.1 General**

Functional safety is achieved by one or more SCS which rely on many technologies (e.g. mechanical, hydraulic, pneumatic, electrical, electronic, programmable electronic). Any safety strategy shall consider all of the elements within a SCS, such as sensors, controlling devices and actuators.

Parts of the SCS which provide safety functions are called safety-related parts of control systems (SRP/CS). These can consist of hardware or software, can be separate or integrated parts of a control system, that shall be included in the MCSSA process.

The objective is to reduce the risk associated with a given hazard (or hazardous situation) during intended use of the machine. This shall be achieved by applying various protective measures (both SRP/CS and non-SRP/CS) with the end-result of achieving a safe condition.

An examination of risk for safety functions is focused on the origin of injuries to people. If in the analysis of potential harm it can be established that damage is clearly limited to property and does not involve injury to people, this would not require a MCS to be classified as a SCS. In addition, it is the responsibility of the user (owner) to perform a specific job site risk assessment and these assessments are not part of the MCSSA process.

ISO 19014-1:2018

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c9754f2f-066d-4764-9834-444444444444>

**4.2 Machine Control System Safety Analysis (MCSSA) method**

- a) Identify all MCS or functions for the machine being evaluated.
- b) Identify possible failure types for each MCS or functions.
- c) Identify risks presented for each failure type of each MCS or functions.
  - 1) If no risks are identified, the MCS or functions is not a SCS but may still be covered by the requirements for Quality Measure (QM) (see 6.6).
  - 2) If risks are identified, the MCS or functions is a SCS. Continue MCSSA with step d).
- d) Evaluate risks
  - 1) Determined above using severity, exposure and controllability assessments using the method as defined in Clause 6, and continue to step e).

NOTE ISO/TS 19014-5, on Machine Control System Safety Analysis (MCSSA) and performance levels, is being developed; this document will detail an alternative method to use when determining the appropriate MPLr for some common MCS's.
- e) Determine MPLr using a risk graph (see Figure 2 in 6.6) for each failure type of each SCS, following the process in 6.3, 6.4 and 6.5.
  - 1) Select the highest MPLr to assign to that SCS as per 6.6.
- f) If MCSSA was completed by function, not system, then assign MPLr to relevant SCS.
- g) Use the other parts in the ISO 19014 series to determine the MPLa of the SCS.