This document is not an ASTM standard and is intended only to provide the user of an ASTM standard an indication of what changes have been made to the previous version. Because it may not be technically possible to adequately depict all changes accurately, ASTM recommends that users consult prior editions as appropriate. In all cases only the current version of the standard as published by ASTM is to be considered the official document.



Designation:D5639/D5639M-05 Designation: D5639/D5639M - 09

Standard Practice for Selection of Corrugated Fiberboard Materials and Box Construction Based on Performance Requirements¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D5639/D5639M; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

 $\frac{1.5}{1.5}$

1.1 This practice provides information on corrugated fiberboard for the prospective user who wants guidance in selecting attributes of materials and box construction based on performance requirements. These attributes should be part of specifications which establish levels of the qualities a shipping container must have in order to be acceptable to the purchaser or user. The attributes and qualities should be testable, using standard methods that are recognized by both the buyer and seller. This practice will assist users in developing specifications for corrugated containers through an analysis of performance requirements and subsequent relationships to fiberboard materials and box construction attributes. This practice is intended to provide specific corrugated container performance standards as opposed to packaged product performance evaluation through distribution and handling environments, such as Practice D4169.

1.2 The attributes and their levels should be based on the intended use of the box, including the handling and environment it will encounter. Many packaging regulations include detailed descriptions of the materials that may be used and style, closure, or other construction details of allowed shipping containers. These regulations are presented as minimum requirements; they may be exceeded for functional reasons, but there is no regulatory reason to do so. Rail and motor freight classifications applicable for surface common carrier transportation have established minimum requirements for certain attributes of corrugated packaging. These may or may not be appropriate for application in the complete distribution system, as they encompass only containerboard or combined corrugated board—not finished boxes—and are not intended to provide for the distribution system beyond the transportation segment.

1.3 Corrugated containers for packaging of hazardous materials for transportation must comply with federal regulations administered by the U.S. Department of Transportation (Code of Federal Regulations—49CFRRegulations, CFR 49).

1.4The values stated in both SI and inch-pound units are to be regarded separately as standard. Within the text, the inch-pound units are shown in brackets. The values stated in each system are not exact equivalents; therefore, each system shall be used independently of the other.

ASTM D5639/D5639M-0

<u>1.4</u> Lists and Descriptions of Performance and Material Characteristics and Related Test Procedures—For further information on the development of performance-based specifications, please refer to the sections on Specifications and Test Procedures of the Fibre Box Handbook.

1.5 The values stated in both SI and inch-pound units are to be regarded separately as standard. Within the text, the inch-pound units are shown in brackets. The values stated in each system are not exact equivalents; therefore, each system shall be used independently of the other.

1.6 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

D585 Practice for Sampling and Accepting a Single Lot of Paper, Paperboard, Fiberboard, and Related Product D642 Test Method for Determining Compressive Resistance of Shipping Containers, Components, and Unit Loads

Copyright © ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States.

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D10 on Packaging and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D10.27 on Paper and Paperboard Products. Current edition approved April 1, 2005. Published April 2005. Originally approved in 1994. Last previous edition approved in 2000 as D5639/D 5639–95 (2000)^{e1}. DOI: 10.1520/D5639_D5639M-05.

Current edition approved Oct. 1, 2009. Published October 2009. Originally approved in 1994. Last previous edition approved in 2005 as D5639/D5639 - 05. DOI: 10.1520/D5639_D5639M-09.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For Annual Book of ASTM Standards volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

D5639/D5639M – 09

D685 Practice for Conditioning Paper and Paper Products for Testing

D996 Terminology of Packaging and Distribution Environments

D4169 Practice for Performance Testing of Shipping Containers and Systems

D5118/D5118M Practice for Fabrication of Fiberboard Shipping Boxes

D5168 Practice for Fabrication and Closure of Triple-Wall Corrugated Fiberboard Containers

D5276 Test Method for Drop Test of Loaded Containers by Free Fall

E122 Practice for Calculating Sample Size to Estimate, With Specified Precision, the Average for a Characteristic of a Lot or Process

2.2 TAPPI Methods:

T 411 Thickness of Paper, Paperboard, and Combined Board³

T 803 Puncture Test of Corrugated Fiberboard³

T 808 Flat Crush Test of Corrugated Fiberboard-Flexible Beam Method³

T 810 Burst Test of Corrugated Fiberboard³

T 811 Edgewise Crush Test of Corrugated Fiberboard³

T 825 Flat Crush Test of Corrugated Fiberboard-Fixed Platen Method³

2.3 Government Documents:

Code of Federal Regulations, Title 49CFR 49 Code of Federal Regulations, Title 49⁴

2.4 Other Publications:

Fibre Box Handbook⁵ National Motor Freight Classification Item 222

Item 222 National Motor Freight Classification⁶

Uniform Freight Classification Rule 41

Rule 41 Uniform Freight Classification⁷

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions—For general definitions of packaging and distribution environments, see Terminology D996.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 This practice assists users in selecting appropriate performance characteristics of corrugated fiberboard or box construction, or both, commensurate with the user's need for packing and distribution of goods. This practice describes several attributes of fiberboard and boxes which relate to various hazards encountered in distribution and describes test parameters which may be specified by the user to ensure sufficient strength in the box for containment, storage, handling, and protection of contents.

4.2 The user should specify only those attributes and related tests which are required for satisfactory performance in the user's operations and distribution cycle(s). When using packaging regulations as a basis for developing specifications, the reason for the existence of the regulation and its function and importance should be understood. As previously stated, regulations may be exceeded and should be when the minimum specifications are inadequate for the full effects of the distribution cycle.

4.3 See Appendix X7 for several examples of specification determinations.

5. Sampling

5.1 Selection of a sampling plan depends on the purpose of the testing. The sampling plan from Appendix X2.2.2 of Practice D585 is recommended for acceptance criteria. An example of acceptance and rejection criteria based on various lot sizes may be found in Appendix X1. For purposes of other than acceptance criteria, use Practice E122.

6. Conditioning

6.1 All test specimens shall be preconditioned, conditioned, and tested in accordance with Practice D685.

7. Fiberboard Attributes

7.1 Corrugated fiberboard is commercially available in three wall constructions, and four common flute structures. The user should specify desired wall construction and flute structure based on performance requirements.

7.1.1 Construction—Singlewall board is used for lighter contents where some structural rigidity, compression strength, puncture resistance, and cushioning is needed. Doublewall board is used for heavier contents requiring a greater degree of

⁴ Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

⁴ Available from U.S. Government Printing Office Superintendent of Documents, 732 N. Capitol St., NW, Mail Stop: SDE, Washington, DC 20401, http:// www.access.gpo.gov.

³ Available from the Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry (TAPPI), 15 Technology Parkway South, Norcross, GA 30092, http://www.tappi.org.

⁵ Available from the Fibre Box Association, 2850 Golf Rd., Rolling Meadows, IL 60008.

⁵ Available from the Fibre Box Association, 25 Northwest Point Blvd., Suite 510, Elk Grove Village, IL 60007.

⁶ Available from American Trucking Association, Inc., 2200 Mill Rd., Alexandria, VA 22314-4677.

⁷ Available from National Railroad Freight Committee, Tariff Publishing Officer, 151 Ellis Street, NE, Suite 200, Atlanta, GA 30335.

🕼 D5639/D5639M – 09

structural rigidity, compression strength, and puncture resistance. *Triplewall* is used for the heaviest contents where maximum structural rigidity, compression strength, and puncture resistance are required.

7.1.2 *Flute Structure*—"A" flute offers the highest top-to-bottom compression strength, but low resistance to flat crush. "B" flute has high flat crush resistance but lower top-to-bottom compression than "A" or "C". "C" flute is the most common with average resistance to flat crush and top-to-bottom compression. "E" flute generally replaces solid boxboard, has excellent flat crush resistance, is used mostly for graphics and consumer products, but seldom used for shipping containers. The following typical flute structures are provided as a reference:

	Approximate Number		Approximate Flute Height (not including thickness of facings)	
	Flutes/Meter	Flutes/Foot	mm	[in.]
A-Flute	100 to 120	[30 to 36]	4.67	[0.184]
B-Flute	145 to 165	[44 to 50]	2.46	[0.097]
C-Flute	120 to 140	[36 to 42]	3.61	[0.142]
E-Flute	280 to 310	[86 to 94]	1.19	[0.047]

7.2 *Burst Strength*—This attribute relates to the tensile strength and stretch elongation of the fiberboard. It also provides rupture strength as protection against rough handling.

7.2.1 Burst Strength is measured by the burst (Mullen) test utilizing TAPPI Method T 810.

7.2.2 There is no direct relationship, such as a formula, to relate box handling performance to needed burst strength. However, as a function of box size and weight of the filled package, minimum burst strength requirements for corrugated packaging used in surface common carrier transportation are published in the rail and truck classifications and are shown in Table X2.1. These requirements may or may not be appropriate for the user's applications.

7.3 *Puncture Resistance*—This attribute relates to the ability of the fiberboard to resist both internal and external forces. It also relates to the rough handling integrity of the finished container.

7.3.1 Puncture resistance is measured by the puncture test utilizing TAPPI Method T 803.

7.3.2 There is no direct relationship, such as a formula, to predict rough handling performance of a box based on the puncture resistance of the fiberboard from which it is made. Shippers and carriers, however, have used various puncture grades successfully for years as noted in Appendix X3. Table X3.1 lists suggested puncture strengths versus maximum gross weights and size. These requirements may or may not be appropriate for the user's application.

7.4 *Edgewise Crush Resistance*—This attribute of fiberboard relates directly to the finished box compression strength through the well-known simplified formula published in 1963 by the Institute of Paper Chemistry (now the Institute of Paper Science and Technology, or IPST) and commonly known as the McKee Formula. Other versions of the McKee Formula utilize the exponent values of box perimeter and board thickness instead of the square root function, or bending stiffness instead of board thickness, and will understate the resultant box compression by will be about 5 % less compared to the simplified square root method.

7.4.1 The simplified McKee Formula is: sist/4eb4bec9-a94b-4195-b850-52aedea2dbaa/astm-d5639-d5639m-09(1) $BCT = (5.87) \times (ECT) \times (BP) \times (T)$

where:

BCT = estimated average top to bottom compression test strength of an RSC box, kN [lbf],

ECT = edge crush test, kN/m [lb/in.],

BP = inside box perimeter (sum of twice inside length and twice inside width), m [in.], and

T = combined board thickness, m [in.].

When solving for ECT using this formula, rearrange as follows:

See Appendix X4 for example and limitations of formula use.

7.4.2Edgewise crush resistance is measured by the edgewise crush test (ECT) utilizing TAPPI Method T811 7.4.2 The exponent version of the McKee formula is:

7.4.3 Edgewise crush resistance is measured by the edgewise crush test (ECT) utilizing TAPPI Method T 811.

7.4.34 Although, as shown in 7.4.1, ECT directly relates to finished box compression strength, the rail and truck classifications have minimum *ECT* requirements as an alternate to minimum burst strength requirements as shown in Table X4.1. These requirements may or may not be appropriate for the user's application.

7.4.5 Recent research calls into question the accuracy of performing edge crush testing on E flute fiberboard.

7.5 *Minimum Uncombined Flute Height*—The overall thickness (caliper) of corrugated fiberboard is an important material attribute relating directly to finished box compression strength. Since thickness consists primarily of the flute structures, *minimum* flute heights may be specified, *not* including any linerboards (facings).

7.5.1Use as minimum flute heights, the manufacturer's target flute heights,

7.5.1 To determine minimum flute heights, use the corrugated fiberboard manufacturer's target flute heights, minus 4 %.

7.5.2 Test Method—First measure the thickness of the combined board structure using TAPPI Test Method T 411. Then measure

🖽 D5639/D5639M – 09

the thickness of each facing (linerboard), without soaking apart, and subtract the thickness of the facings to obtain flute structure(s) height. All readings must be taken at least 25 mm [1 in.] from any score line, cut edge, or printed area.

7.6 *Flat Crush Resistance*—This attribute is an indication of the rigidity of the flute structure which is in turn directly related to the finished box compression, printing crush resistance, and quality of fabrication practice.

7.6.1 Combined singlewall fiberboard should meet the following minimum flat crush requirements:

Flute	Flexible Beam Method, kPa [lbf/in. ²]
A	130 [19]
В	200 [29]
С	165 [24]

7.6.2 Flat crush resistance is measured by the flat crush test (*FCT*). The above values are measured by using the flexible beam test method of TAPPI $\frac{7808}{1802}$. An alternate method utilizing the fixed beam, TAPPI $\frac{7825}{1825}$, is also available but will produce values about 20 to 30 % higher.

7.7 *Printing Crush*—Excessive printing crush of fiberboard will reduce compression strength of the finished box and adversely affect automatic packing equipment and warehouse stacking.

7.7.1 The following are suggested *maximum* crush deformations for singlewall boards:

	Oil-Based Inks, mm [in.]	Water-Based Inks, mm [in.]
A-flute	0.38 [0.015]	0.20 [0.008]
B-flute	0.28 [0.011]	0.15 [0.006]
C-flute	0.33 [0.013]	0.18 [0.007]

7.7.2 For doublewall boards used 75 % of the combination of flute structure allowances, for triplewall use 50 % (that is, AAA-flute has maximum allowable crush of 0.30 mm [0.012 in.] for water-based inks).

7.7.3 *Test Method*—Using TAPPI Test Method T 411 measure the board sample at least 25 mm [1 in.] from any score line, cut edge, or printed area. Then measure it in the printed area and subtract from the first reading to determine amount of crush deformation.

8. Finished Container Attributes

8.1 Box Style—A wide variety of box styles are available to the user ranging from the most common Regular Slotted Container (*RSC*) to specialized styles configured for particular applications. The more common styles are depicted in Practice D5118/D5118M, Figures 1 through 14 and in the Fibre Box Handbook. In addition, rigid boxes formed by automatic in-plant equipment may be appropriate and include the following styles: Bliss, Bliss with tri-fold ends; Bliss with internal flange; Bliss with triangular corner posts; Bliss with integral "H" divider; Tray with side flange sealed flaps; Tray, six corners glued; Tray with triangular corner posts; and Tray split minor. The user should specify the style which is most economical in view of requirements for packing, closure, protection, handling, storage, and transportation.

8.2 *Containment Strength*—The basic purpose of a corrugated box is to contain the product in such a way that the product can be moved safely through the entire distribution cycle. A method of determining containment strength of a box is to conduct drop tests which stress its fibers and structure in a manner similar to that imposed by various environmental hazards. This test is appropriate for common carrier trucking and small parcel shipments, but may not be appropriate for unitized or full truckload or railcar-load shipments.

8.2.1 The test method recommended for measurement of containment strength of corrugated boxes is a free fall drop of loaded containers in accordance with Test Method D5276. See Appendix X5 for drop sequence and suggested drop heights.

8.2.2 For the dropping mass, use the actual product (or a dummy load of similar shape, size, weight, and dynamic characteristics) with the same interior packaging as generally used.

8.2.3 The container fails if it does not meet acceptance criteria previously determined. This criteria should consider the required condition of the container at receipt by the ultimate customer.

8.3 *Top to Bottom Stacking Strength*—A major function of the corrugated container is to provide sufficient stacking strength in storage and transportation for the dual purpose of protecting the contents from damage and maintaining stacks from toppling over due to crushing container walls.

8.3.1 Using Test Method D642, measure the resistance of corrugated boxes to stacking loads and provide an indication as to the amount of safe load it can withstand in normal stacking situations.

8.3.2 Test Method D642 permits either fixed or swivel platens. Since fixed platen machines generally cause failure to occur at the specimen's strongest point, while swivel platen machines cause failure at the specimen's weakest point, only one of these two methods should be specified by the user. Failure is considered to occur if the compression strength is less than the specified load.

8.3.3 Specified load will depend on the stacking load expected in storage or transportation. A method of determining compression test requirements based on specified stacking loads is described in Appendix X6. Calculation of specified load includes the use of a design or F-factor to account for the loss of strength in a corrugated box due to distribution hazards such as long-term storage, high humidity, stacking and palletizing irregularities, and rough handling. The factor is multiplied by the known stacking load to determine desired machine compression strength.

9. Workmanship

9.1 Corrugated fiberboard should show no continuous visual surface break of the outer component ply nor any facing

🕼 D5639/D5639M – 09

completely split through at the score line. Commercially accepted fiberboard is normally free of tears, punctures, wrinkles, blisters, washboarding, splices, and scuff marks or any other types of physical damage.

9.2 Edges of fiberboard should be properly aligned so that the distance between the edges of any two components should not exceed 6 mm [$\frac{1}{4}$ in.].

9.3 The amount of warp upon delivery to the customer should not exceed 20 mm/m [1/4 in./ft].

9.4 Corrugated fiberboard should be free of excessive dirt or oil spots or any other deposit which will detract from the appearance of the fiberboard.

9.5 The edges or ends of the fiberboard sheet should not be delaminated for a distance of more than 6 mm [1/4 in.].

10. Precision and Bias

10.1 The precision and bias of this practice are dependent on those of the various test methods used, and cannot be expressly determined.

11. Keywords

11.1 box; containment; corrugated; fiberboard; performance; rough handling; stacking

APPENDIXES

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. EXAMPLE OF SAMPLING PLAN BASED ON PRACTICE D585

X1.1 Table X2.2 in Practice D585lists the acceptance/rejection based on various lot sizes. (Table X1.1 is excerpted from Table X2.2 in Practice D585.)

X1.2 The following is an example based on an order for 5000 corrugated containers.

X1.2.1 In accordance with Table X1.1, a sample size of 8 is used for the lot size of 5000 (within the range from 1201 to 35 000). Eight test units are selected at random and are tested for each attribute specified. For each attribute, *no* test unit may be below the minimum specified. If not more than one test unit fails, a second series of eight may be retested but no further failures are allowed. In this example the acceptance of the double sample lot is 15 of 16. 3000.

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4eb4baa9.304b4195.b850-52aedea2dbaa/astm-d5639-d5639m-09

X2.1 Experience of shippers and carriers for many years has shown that the limits in Table X2.1 on gross weights and dimensions of corrugated boxes, as related to minimum burst requirements, will provide sufficient burst strength and containment strength for most products or contents, or both, when shipped by means of less-than-truckload (LTL), as well as air freight, truckload, and railcar. Shipments by small parcel carrier may require lower gross weight limits.

TABLE X1.1 Acceptance/Rejection Based on Various Lot Sizes

NOTE 1 - n = sample size for first try and n_t = total sample size, that is sum of test units in first and second tries (if a second sample is required), and where Ac_t and Re_t are the acceptance and rejection numbers for double samples.

Lot Size -	Sample Size		Accepta	Acceptance and Rejection Numbers			
Lot Size -	п	n _t	Ac	Re	Ac_t	Ret	
151 to 1200	5		0	1			
1201 to 35 000	8	16	0	2	1	2	
35 001 and over	13	26	0	3	2	3	

🖽 D5639/D5639M – 09

TABLE X2.1 Limits of Weight and Size Based on Burst Strength (based on Rule 41 and Item 222 of most recent issue of rail and truck classifications respectively)Note 1—Since heavier doublewall constructions (400 burst and up) may exceed capability of burst (Mullen) testers, one may wish to substitute puncture resistance requirements as follows:

Maximum Gross Weight, kg [lb]	Maximum Outside Dimensions (1 + w + d), m [in.]	Burst Strength, kPa [psi]	Construction SW (Singlewall) DW (Doublewall)	Burst, kPa [psi]	Puncture, jou inoz/in. Te
9 [20]	1.0 [40]	860 [125]	SW	2760 [400]	13.5 [450]
16 [35]	1.3 [50]	1030 [150]	SW	3440 [500]	16.5 [550]
23 [50]	1.5 [60]	1200 [175]	SW	4140 [600]	19.5 [650]
29 [65]	1.9 [75]	1380 [200]	SW		
36 [80]	2.2 [85]	1380 [200]	DW		
36 [80]	2.2 [85]	1720 [250]	SW		
43 [95]	2.4 [95]	1900 [275]	SW		
45 [100]	2.4 [95]	1900 [275]	DW		
54 [120]	2.7 [105]	2410 [350]	SW, DW		
63 [140]	2.8 [110]	2760 [400]	Ð₩		
63 [140]	2.8 [110]	2760 [400]	DW	13.5 [450] ^A	
72 [160]	2.9 [115]	3440 [500]	DW		
72 [160]	2.9 [115]	3440 [500]	DW	16.5 [550] ^A	
81 [180]	3.0 [120]	4140 [600]	DW		
81 [180]	3.0 [120]	4140 [600]	DW	19.5 [650] ^A	

^A Since heavier doublewall constructions (400 burst and up) may exceed capability of burst (Mullen) testers, one may wish to substitute puncture resistance requirements as noted.

X3. PUNCTURE STRENGTH

X3.1 Experience of shippers and carriers for many years has shown that the limits in Table X3.1 on gross weights and dimensions of triplewall corrugated boxes, as related to minimum puncture requirements, will provide sufficient puncture resistance, rigidity, and containment strength for most products or contents, or both, when shipped by means of LTL, as well as air freight, truckload, and railcar.

X4. EDGEWISE CRUSH TEST (ECT)

X4.1 The average top-to-bottom compression strength (BCT) of a finished RSC-style box can be estimated by using the simplified McKee formula with the ECT in conjunction with box perimeter and thickness of board:

The thickness (caliper) of board in the formula includes linerboards as well as the flute height. Users should contact supplier(s) to obtain expected minimum combined board thickness (caliper).

X4.2 The formula is based only on a regular slotted-style (RSC) box with normal shape where all dimensions (l, w, d) do not vary by extreme amounts from each other. Specifically the depth must not be less than $\frac{1}{7}$ of the box perimeter and no one dimension more than double any other. When dimensions do vary extremely, the following adjustments are suggested:

Dimension Variations	Alter Calculated Strength
Depth < 2 / 3 of width	add 5 %
Depth > 1.5 width	subtract 8 %
Length > 2.5 width	subtract 8 %

NOTE X4.1-Extreme variations beyond the dimensions in X4.2 preclude use of the formula. Individual experimentation will be required.

X4.2.1 Following is an example using the shape modifier:

X4.2.1.1 Given container is an RSC style, inside length (*L*) = 0.6 m, inside width (*W*) is 0.4 m, inside depth = 0.15 m, ECT = 6 kN/m, T = 0.004 m, and shape modifier = +5% (depth is less than $\frac{2}{3}$ width): Substituting these values in the McKee formula:

X4.3 The McKee formula may be realigned to produce the following equation:

TABLE X3.1 Limits of Weight and Size for Triplewall Boxes Based on Puncture Strength

NOTE 1—Based on most recent issue of carrier classifications, Rule 41 and Item 222.

Maximum Gross Weight, kg [lbs]	Maximum Outside Dimen- F sions (1 + w + d), m [in.]	
511		L . []
109 [240]	2.8 [110]	21 [700]
118 [260]	2.9 [115]	27 [900]
127 [280]	3.0 [120]	33 [1100]
136 [300]	3.2 [125]	39 [1300]