
Varnost pakirnih naprav - 11. del: Ugotavljanje učinkovitosti in razpoložljivosti

Safety of packaging machines - Part 11: Determination of efficiency and availability

Sicherheit von Verpackungsmaschinen - Teil 11: Ermittlung von Effizienz und Verfügbarkeit

Sécurité des machines d'emballage - Partie 11 : Détermination de l'efficacité et de la disponibilité

ITeH STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN 415-11

oSIST prEN 415-11:2020
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ebb47454-910f-496f-b0f7-8221c0150be0/osist-pren-415-11-2020>

ICS:

55.200

Pakirni stroji

Packaging machinery

oSIST prEN 415-11:2020**en,fr,de**

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[oSIST prEN 415-11:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ebb47454-910f-496f-b0f7-8221c0150be0/osist-pren-415-11-2020)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ebb47454-910f-496f-b0f7-8221c0150be0/osist-pren-415-11-2020>

EUROPEAN STANDARD
NORME EUROPÉENNE
EUROPÄISCHE NORM

DRAFT
prEN 415-11

August 2020

ICS 55.200

English Version

Safety of packaging machines - Part 11: Determination of efficiency and availability

Sécurité des machines d'emballage - Partie 11 :
Détermination de l'efficacité et de la disponibilité

Sicherheit von Verpackungsmaschinen - Teil 11:
Ermittlung von Effizienz und Verfügbarkeit

This draft European Standard is submitted to CEN members for enquiry. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 146.

If this draft becomes a European Standard, CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

This draft European Standard was established by CEN in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ebb47454-910f-496f-b0f7-8221c0150be0/osist-pr-en-415-11-2020>

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Warning : This document is not a European Standard. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a European Standard.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

Contents	Page
Introduction	4
1 Scope	5
2 Normative references	5
3 Terms, definitions and symbols	5
3.1 Terms and definitions	5
3.2 Symbols	13
4 Key figures for describing the operating behaviour of machine systems	16
4.1 General	16
4.2 Calculation bases	17
4.3 General key figures for describing the operating behaviour	17
4.4 Technical key figures for describing the operating behaviour	17
5 System acceptance	17
Annex A (normative) Time model	19
Annex B (normative) Output model	21
Annex C (normative) Minimum points to be agreed upon for the acceptance test	22
Annex D (informative) Acceptance protocol (determination of the technical efficiency E_s in accordance with EN 415-11)	24
D.1 General information	24
D.2 Acceptance test documentation	26
Annex E (informative) Clarification of root-cause of incidents as prerequisite for the calculation of key-figures according to EN 415-11	28
E.1 Root cause clarification of incidents	28
E.1.1 Flow chart root cause clarification	28
E.1.2 Technical root causes	30
E.1.3 Organizational root causes	31
E.1.4 Upstream and downstream of the machine observed	31
E.2 Example for calculation of key figures according to Clause 4 of this document: Filling line for beer cans	32
E.2.1 Description of machine system considered (Figure E.2)	32
E.2.2 Incidents observed during observation period	33
E.2.3 Calculation of key figures for machine observed (here: filling block)	34
E.2.4 Calculation of key figures for can filling line	38
E.2.5 Calculation of technical availability of integrated machine units (upstream and downstream)	41
Bibliography	45

European foreword

This document (prEN 415-11:2020) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 146 “Packaging machines - Safety”, the secretariat of which is held by UNI.

This document is currently submitted to the CEN Enquiry.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[oSIST prEN 415-11:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ebb47454-910f-496f-b0f7-8221c0150be0/osist-pren-415-11-2020)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ebb47454-910f-496f-b0f7-8221c0150be0/osist-pren-415-11-2020>

Introduction

The operation of packaging machines and packaging lines is subject to numerous influences on the part of the machines and linking devices themselves, the upstream and downstream systems, the contents of the packaging, the packaging, the auxiliary packaging, the production organization, the service and maintenance personnel and the ambient conditions at the installation site. As a result, the packaging process is not disruption-free. During the operation of the packaging machine or packaging line, periods in which production takes place according to plan and to the required standard of quality stochastically interchange with periods in which disruptions lead to losses (e.g. due to scrap or production stoppages). This operating behaviour of a packaging machine or packaging line can be characterized by key figures, established from partial times on the basis of the structure of the machine working time and the output produced, or producible, during these periods.

This document defines a time model and an output model derived from this time model. These can be used to define general key figures and technical key figures for describing the operating behaviour of packaging machines and packaging lines. For this purpose, the technical key figures only take into consideration lost time and output losses that can be attributed to each packaging machine or packaging line examined.

Technical key figures are often based on agreed characteristics that are checked as part of an acceptance test. In practice, the system boundaries forming the basis of the acceptance test and that of the organizational and technical boundary conditions clearly influence the value determined for each key figure. This document specifies requirements for the proper performance of an acceptance test, the modalities of which should be agreed by the participating parties prior to the acceptance test being carried out.

ITEH STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[oSIST prEN 415-11:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ebb47454-910f-496f-b0f7-8221c0150be0/osist-pren-415-11-2020)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ebb47454-910f-496f-b0f7-8221c0150be0/osist-pren-415-11-2020>

1 Scope

This document is applicable for packaging machines falling within the scope of EN 415-1, referred to in the following as “machine systems”. This document can also be applied by analogy to other related processing machines.

This document does not contain safety requirements.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 415-1, *Safety of packaging machines — Part 1: Terminology and classification of packing machines and associated equipment*

3 Terms, definitions and symbols

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <http://www.iso.org/obp>

3.1.1

machine system

system

machines and lines with defined system boundaries regarding introduction of processing materials, operating materials, the release of output units, waste or scrap

3.1.2

processing material

referring to packaging machines: contents of the packaging, packaging, auxiliary packaging or package

3.1.3

output unit

processing material after passing through the machine system

Note 1 to entry: A packaging process consists of sub-processes in accordance with the basic tasks of packaging (i.e. forming, filling, sealing, wrapping) as well as the sub-tasks such as the cleaning of the packaging or labelling. In the context of this document, the packaging process comprises the respective machine system with the defined system boundaries. A output unit can be an intermediate product of the packaging process, a package or a loading unit.

3.1.4

acceptance

recognition of fulfilment of agreed characteristics of the machine system

3.1.5

acceptance test

test production to determine selected characteristics of the machine system

prEN 415-11:2020 (E)**3.1.6****acceptance period**

agreed duration of an acceptance test

3.1.7**disruption**

unplanned event that compromises or interrupts the production of quality output units

3.1.7.1**system related disruption**

disruption that can be attributed to the machine system considered

3.1.7.2**disruption not related to system**

disruption that cannot be attributed to the machine system considered

3.1.8**start-up time**

time period from the start of the loading of the first element in the machine system to the point in time when the first output unit leaves the final element of the machine system

3.1.9**emptying time**

time period from the initiation of the production end of the machine system to the point in time when the last output unit leaves the final element of the machine system

3.1.10**time category**

summary of time periods with the same defined use characteristics for the considered machine system

3.1.10.1**theoretically available time**

t_T

theoretically available time

Note 1 to entry: 24 h for seven days of the week.

3.1.10.2**idle time**

t_I

time period in which the machine system cannot be scheduled for production

3.1.10.3**machine working time**

t_W

time period in which the machine system is scheduled for production

3.1.10.4**scheduled down time**

t_D

scheduled time without the production of output units (e.g. for scheduled cleaning, maintenance, repair, equipping or adjustment of the machine system as well as product exchange)

Note 1 to entry: Difference between machine working time and operating time.

3.1.10.5 operating time

t_O

time period scheduled for the production of output units by the machine system

3.1.10.6 unplanned down time

t_F

unplanned time without the production of output units due to a disruption

Note 1 to entry: Unplanned down time can be determined automatically or manually.

3.1.10.6.1 system related unplanned down time

t_{FS}

sum of all system related unplanned down times

3.1.10.6.2 unplanned down time not related to system

t_{FE}

sum of all non-system related unplanned down times

3.1.10.7 running time

t_R

time period in which the machine system produces

Note 1 to entry: The machine system can produce scrap during running time.

3.1.10.8 scrap time

t_{LQ}

sum of the times in which the machine system does not produce in a manner conforming to the required standard of quality

Note 1 to entry: Mathematical variable.

3.1.10.9 performance loss time

t_{LP}

running time minus the sum of quality time and scrap time

Note 1 to entry: Mathematical variable.

Note 2 to entry: All loss times that cannot be attributed to the time categories t_{LQ} and t_F are attributed to t_{LP} .

Note 3 to entry: This time category includes calculated loss times due to a reduced working speed as compared with the set performance as well as scheduled and unscheduled times without performance that are not attributable to disruptions (e.g. due to start-up or emptying times or supply of processing materials (contents of packaging, packaging or auxiliary packaging)).

prEN 415-11:2020 (E)

3.1.10.10**quality time** t_Q

time period in which the machine system produces in a manner conforming to the required standard of quality

Note 1 to entry: Mathematical variable.

3.1.10.11**loss time** t_L

sum of unplanned down time, scrap time and performance loss time

Note 1 to entry: Mathematical variable.

3.1.10.11.1**loss time caused by machine system** t_{LS}

sum of loss times that can be attributed to the machine system

3.1.10.11.2**loss time not caused by machine system** t_{LE}

sum of loss times that cannot be attributed to the machine system

Note 1 to entry: The reasons can be of a technical nature (e.g. effects of upstream or downstream systems, technical disruptions due to operating errors or packaging that does not comply with specifications) or of an organizational nature (internal or external organizational causes). Organizational causes include e.g. a shortage of product or packaging, unavailability of service or maintenance personnel, performance losses due to packaging or product qualities that do not comply with specifications, power failures, shortages of supply media.

3.1.11**output**

sum of all output units in a defined time period

3.1.11.1**quality output** q_Q

output of output units conforming to quality produced in the operating time

3.1.11.2**scheduled output** q_O

scheduled quality output

Note 1 to entry: Planning variable.

3.1.11.3**agreed output** q_{OA}

agreed output of output units conforming to quality in a defined time period

3.1.11.4 manufactured output

q_M

actual output of produced output units including scrap

3.1.11.5 output losses

q_L

difference between scheduled output and quality output

Note 1 to entry: Mathematical variable.

3.1.11.5.1 output losses caused by machine system

q_{LS}

output losses that can be attributed to the machine system

3.1.11.5.2 output losses not caused by machine system

q_{LE}

output losses that cannot be attributed to the machine system

3.1.11.5.3 scrap output

q_{LQ}

output of produced output units that do not comply with the specified quality requirements

[oSIST prEN 415-11:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ebb47454-910f-496f-b0f7-8221c0150be0/osist-pren-415-11-2020)

3.1.11.5.4 performance losses

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ebb47454-910f-496f-b0f7-8221c0150be0/osist-pren-415-11-2020>

q_{LP}

difference between scheduled output and manufactured output

3.1.12 performance

p

output units per time unit

3.1.12.1 nominal performance

p_n

performance for which the machine system has been calculated and designed

Note 1 to entry: The nominal performance depends on the processing materials. Consequently, the machine system can exhibit different nominal performances.

3.1.12.2 set performance

p_s

theoretical performance achievable at a set working speed of the machine system

Note 1 to entry: The set performance has an influence on the quality of the output units and the system stability.

prEN 415-11:2020 (E)**3.1.13****key figure**

figure for the characterization of the use of a machine system

Note 1 to entry: Developed on the basis of use times, loss times and output losses that have been determined empirically or by simulation.

3.1.13.1**general key figure**

key figure for the calculation of which all relevant loss times and output losses are taken into consideration

Note 1 to entry: No differentiation is made as to whether the determined loss times have been caused by the considered machine system itself or by factors external to the system.

3.1.13.1.1**quality performance**

P_Q

quality output related to operating time

Note 1 to entry: Corresponds to the previous key figure "operating performance".

3.1.13.1.2**quality factor**

Q

ratio of quality output to manufactured output

Note 1 to entry: The quality factor is determined based on output and can be converted to a time-based variable.

3.1.13.1.3**running time factor**

R

ratio of running time to operating time

3.1.13.1.4**performance factor**

P

ratio of manufactured output to scheduled output

Note 1 to entry: The performance factor is determined based on output and can be converted to a time-based variable.

3.1.13.1.5**availability**

A

ratio of operating time to machine working time

Note 1 to entry: For technical availability see 3.1.13.2.2.

3.1.13.1.6**loading factor**

L

ratio of machine working time to theoretically available time

3.1.13.1.7**overall equipment effectiveness***OEE*

ratio of quality time to machine working time

Note 1 to entry: Scheduled down time is taken into consideration.

3.1.13.1.8**efficiency***E*

ratio of quality output to scheduled output at a constant set performance at the level of the nominal performance of the considered machine system

Note 1 to entry: Scheduled down time is not taken into consideration.

Note 2 to entry: Unplanned down time and performance loss times are taken into consideration.

Note 3 to entry: $E \leq 1$.**3.1.13.1.9****mean time to repair***MTTR*

mean duration of disruption-related down time of the machine system within the operating time

Note 1 to entry: Key figure for the evaluation of the system stability based on empirical data.

Note 2 to entry: Determination of a safe mean value for *MTTR* is not possible within an implementable acceptance period.

oSIST prEN 415-11:2020

3.1.13.1.10**mean time between failures***MTBF*

mean time elapsed between disruption-related down times of the machine system (time from the end of the previous disruption to the start of the subsequent disruption) within the operating time

Note 1 to entry: Key figure for the evaluation of the system stability based on empirical data.

Note 2 to entry: Determination of a safe mean value for *MTBF* is not possible in the framework of an implementable acceptance period.**3.1.13.2****technical key figure**

key figure in the calculation of which output losses and loss times external to the system are not taken into consideration

3.1.13.2.1**technical quality performance***pQS*

ratio of quality output to operating time adjusted for loss time not caused by machine system