



SLOVENSKI STANDARD
kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 18086:2024
01-julij-2024

Izpostavljenost na delovnem mestu - Nizkocenovni senzorji z direktnim odčitavanjem za merjenje lebdečih nanopredmetov ter njihovih agregatov in aglomeratov (NOAA) - Smernice za uporabo

Workplace exposure - Direct-reading low-cost particulate matter sensors for measuring airborne NOAA - Guidelines for application

Exposition am Arbeitsplatz - Direkt anzeigende, kostengünstige Sensoren für die Messung von NOAA am Arbeitsplatz - Leitlinien für die Anwendung

Exposition sur le lieu de travail - Capteurs de particules à lecture directe et à faible coût pour la mesure des particules en suspension dans l'air NOAA - Lignes directrices pour l'application

[\(https://standards.iteh.ai/\)](https://standards.iteh.ai/)
[kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 18086:2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/standards/kSIST-TS-FprCEN-TS-18086-2024)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/standards/kSIST-TS-FprCEN-TS-18086-2024>
Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: FprCEN/TS 18086

ICS:

13.040.30 Kakovost zraka na delovnem mestu Workplace atmospheres

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 18086:2024 en,fr,de

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION
SPÉCIFICATION TECHNIQUE
TECHNISCHE SPEZIFIKATION

FINAL DRAFT
FprCEN/TS 18086

May 2024

ICS 13.040.30

English Version

Workplace exposure - Direct-reading low-cost particulate matter sensors for measuring airborne NOAA - Guidelines for application

Exposition sur le lieu de travail - Capteurs de particules à lecture directe et à faible coût pour la mesure des particules en suspension dans l'air NOAA - Lignes directrices pour l'application

Exposition am Arbeitsplatz - Direkt anzeigende, kostengünstige Sensoren für die Messung von NOAA am Arbeitsplatz - Leitlinien für die Anwendung

This draft Technical Specification is submitted to CEN members for Vote. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 137.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Warning : This document is not a Technical Specification. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a Technical Specification.

[ksist-ts FprCEN/TS 18086:2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d12abb0-58dd-4944-a9f8-8a93bc8e85f1/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-18086-2024)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d12abb0-58dd-4944-a9f8-8a93bc8e85f1/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-18086-2024>



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

Contents	Page
European foreword	3
Introduction	4
1 Scope	6
2 Normative references	6
3 Terms and definitions	6
4 Symbols and abbreviations	9
4.1 Symbols	9
4.2 Abbreviations	9
5 Measurement principle of low-cost PM sensors	10
6 Usability of low-cost sensors for monitoring NOAA concentrations in workplaces	12
7 Calibration and adjustment of low-cost PM sensors	13
7.1 General	13
7.2 Laboratory calibration and adjustment	16
7.2.1 General	16
7.2.2 Particle size dependence of sensor reaction	16
7.2.3 Calibration and adjustment for polydisperse aerosols	20
7.3 Comparability of sensors	26
7.4 On site calibration in workplaces	27
8 Interfering factors and error sources	29
Annex A (informative) Sampling convention for different mass concentration fractions	31
Annex B (informative) Calibration of low-cost PM sensors with monodisperse particles - Results from prenormative research	33
Annex C (informative) Calibration of low-cost PM sensors with polydisperse particles - Results from prenormative research	37
Annex D (informative) Workplace measurements - Results from prenormative research	47
Annex E (informative) Measurement principle of low-cost PM sensors	58
Bibliography	64

European foreword

This document (FprCEN/TS 18086:2024) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 137 “Assessment of workplace exposure to chemical and biological agents”, the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This document is currently submitted to the Vote on TS.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission.

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 18086:2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d12abb0-58dd-4944-a9f8-8a93bc8e85f1/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-18086-2024)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d12abb0-58dd-4944-a9f8-8a93bc8e85f1/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-18086-2024>

Introduction

The production and use of engineered nano-objects and their agglomerates and aggregates (NOAA) has increased over the recent years, as well as the concerns related to their potential adverse health effects. The measurement of nano-objects is particularly difficult because of the small size of the particles and small relative mass in comparison with other contaminants. Human exposure to NOAA is most likely to occur in workplaces, where they are produced, processed and handled in large quantities or over long periods of time. Continuous monitoring of such workplaces would improve exposure assessment, especially in cases where the exposure pattern is very inhomogeneous and large, and fluctuating background concentrations are present.

There has been an increased interest in the use of low-cost particulate matter (PM) sensors in different areas. Up to now, exposure concentrations are measured only during limited time periods and with limited spatial resolution, using expensive bulky static measurement and sampling equipment and/or direct reading personal monitors and personal samplers. In contrast, due to their low costs and small size, the low-cost PM sensors allow for:

- the setting up of dense measurement networks in workplaces to monitor dust concentrations with high spatio-temporal resolution;
- use as personal monitors;
- use to produce information on the efficiency of process controls of NOAA-production facilities, the background and the far field.

Therefore, due to the lower costs compared with established scientific grade instruments, more devices can be employed for in total lower costs. The main purpose of such sensor networks is to estimate exposure levels in workplaces. At the time of writing this document, low-cost PM sensors should not be considered for any compliance measurements, because they cannot replace reference measurements e.g. with samplers for the respirable fraction. They should rather be considered as complementary to reference measurements. However, this limitation can become obsolete in the future, when new generations of low-cost PM sensors overcome the shortcomings of today's sensors. They can thus be applied as an indicator for exposure, as a warning system or to identify potential particle sources, e.g. as a permanent implementation of a Tier 1 exposure assessment (EN 17058 [1]). Individual threshold values may be defined to implement and/or initiate control measures.

The low-cost PM sensors available are based on measuring the light scattered by airborne particles, which depends on particle size, shape and refractive index. To calibrate the sensors for the determination of particle mass concentrations, average values for these properties, as well as for the effective particle density, must be assumed. The sensors are typically calibrated for use in ambient air monitoring. However, the particle properties assumed in the calibration for ambient use can be very different from those of particles encountered in workplaces. Due to the wide diversity of particle properties in workplace air, a single generalizable calibration for different workplaces is not feasible. In addition, measurement artefacts, e.g. stemming from relative humidity, can be different in workplace and atmospheric measurements.

Low-cost PM sensors exist with different levels of complexity, resulting in different wealth of information, ranging from a voltage output as a measure for the total particle concentration, via different size-integrated fractions of the mass and/or number concentration to number size distributions with high size resolution. The focus of this document is on those sensors that are able to deliver size-integrated number and/or mass concentrations in different size fractions. Most low-cost PM sensors were originally developed for ambient air quality monitoring and are thus calibrated following ambient sampling conventions, e.g. for PM_{2,5} and PM₁₀ (US EPA 40 CFR part 62 [2] and 40

CFR part 53 [3], respectively), which are not identical with the sampling conventions for workplaces, e.g. for the respirable or thoracic fraction according to EN 481 (see Annex A).

The methods and procedures described in this document apply to the sensor modules only and not to complete devices, based on these. Sensor modules are considered to be low-cost, if their prices are at least 10 to 100 times lower than the prices of established instruments of comparable type, e.g. those described in CEN/TR 16013-2 [4] and CEN/TR 16013-3 [5].

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 18086:2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d12abb0-58dd-4944-a9f8-8a93bc8e85f1/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-18086-2024)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d12abb0-58dd-4944-a9f8-8a93bc8e85f1/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-18086-2024>

FprCEN/TS 18086:2024 (E)

1 Scope

This document gives guidelines on the use, calibration and evaluation of low-cost optical particulate matter sensor modules and systems for workplace exposure assessments.

This document is based on extensive laboratory and workplace tests for airborne NOAA.

This document is particularly aimed at engineered NOAA at workplaces and the sensors' applicability for process control of NOAA-producing plants via airborne particle concentration measurements in workplace air.

NOTE This document is also applicable to other airborne particles included in some of the tests during the prenormative research.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 481, *Workplace atmospheres — Size fraction definitions for measurement of airborne particles*

EN 1540, *Workplace exposure — Terminology*

ISO 27891, *Aerosol particle number concentration — Calibration of condensation particle counters*

ISO 21501-1, *Determination of particle size distribution — Single particle light interaction methods — Part 1: Light scattering aerosol spectrometer*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in EN 1540 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

3.1

adjustment of a measuring system

set of operations carried out on a measuring system so that it provides prescribed indications corresponding to given values of a quantity to be measured

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007, 3.11 [6]]

3.2

advanced aerosol photometer

measurement device that combines a photometric measurement of light scattered by a cloud of particles inside a measurement volume to determine total number and/or mass concentrations with spectrometric features to distinguish between concentrations in different particle size fractions

3.3

aerodynamic aerosol classifier

AAC

measurement device that size-classifies airborne particles according to the aerodynamic diameter based on classification of the particle relaxation time

3.4

aerosol photometer

measurement device that determines particle size-integrated number or mass concentrations by measuring the integral intensity of light scattered by a cloud of particles inside a measurement volume

Note 1 to entry: Photometers can only be calibrated for known particle size distributions.

3.5

calibration

operation that, under specified conditions, in a first step, established a relation between the quantity values with measurement uncertainties provided by measurement standards and corresponding indications with associated measurement uncertainties and, in a second step, uses this information to establish a relation for obtaining a measurement result from an indication

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007, 2.39]

Note 1 to entry: In practice, a calibration is often done in conjunction with a later adjustment.

3.6

condensation particle counter

CPC

measurement device that determines in real-time the number concentration of airborne particles ranging from few nm up to several μm in size in a limited range of concentration, but does not discriminate between particles of different sizes or origin

3.7

mobility particle size spectrometer

MPSS

measurement device that determines number size distributions of airborne submicron particles based on electrical mobility analysis

3.8

optical aerosol spectrometer

measurement device that determines number size distributions of airborne particles by measuring the intensity of light scattered by individual particles inside a small measurement volume as a measure for the particle size and counting the number of scattered light pulses as a measure for the number concentration

Note 1 to entry: CEN/TR 16013-2 [4] also refers to Optical Aerosol Spectrometers as Optical Particle Counters.

3.9

optical equivalent diameter

diameter of a spherical particle with known refractive index that causes the same scattering light intensity as the particle under investigation

FprCEN/TS 18086:2024 (E)**3.10****PM_x**

particulate matter suspended in air which is small enough to pass through a size-selective inlet with a 50 % efficiency cut-off at $x \mu\text{m}$ aerodynamic diameter

[SOURCE: EN 12341:2023, 3.1.16] [7]

Note 1 to entry: Commonly measured PM_x fractions are PM₁, PM_{2,5}, PM₄ and PM₁₀. PM₄ is nearly identical with the respirable fraction.

3.11**reference measurement instrument**

instrument that is calibrated against a traceable method and that is designated for the calibration of other measurement devices of a given kind in a given organization

3.12**sensor module**

sensor and signal processing algorithms that determine number concentration and/or mass concentration and/or size distribution of airborne particles, based on the measurement of light scattered by particles

Note 1 to entry: Sensor modules are considered to be low-cost if prices are at least 10 to 100 times lower than established instruments of the same type.

3.13**sensor**

individual sensor

physical unit that produces a signal related to the concentration of particulate matter in air

Note 1 to entry: The low-cost PM sensors available at the time of writing this document were all based on light scattering. This document might not be applicable to sensors working on a different measurement principle.

3.14**sensor network**

set of multiple connected sensors or sensor systems that are spatially distributed to monitor particulate concentrations in a workplace with spatiotemporal resolution

3.15**sensor system**

single integrated set of hardware that uses one or more sensor modules to produce a signal related to the concentration of particulate matter in air that can supply real time measurements

Note 1 to entry: Sensor systems contain many common components in addition to the basic sensing or analytical element that is used for detection. Common core components and functions can include:

- sensing detector (the actual sensor);
- sampling capability (generally active sampling for PM);
- power systems, which may include batteries;
- analogue to digital conversion;
- signal processing;

- local data storage;
- data transmission;
- enclosure.

4 Symbols and abbreviations

4.1 Symbols

a	Slope of linear fit curve [-]
b	y-intercept of linear fit curve [$1/m^3$ or kg/m^3]
C_N	Number concentration [$1/m^3$]
C_m	Mass concentration [kg/m^3]
C_c	Cunningham slip correction factor [-]
d_{50}	Particle diameter, at which instrument reaches 50 % detection efficiency [m]
d_{ae}	Aerodynamic equivalent diameter [m]
d_{mob}	Mobility equivalent diameter [m]
d_{opt}	Optical equivalent diameter [m]
d_p	Particle diameter [m]
I	Light intensity [cd]
m	Refractive index [-]
R^2	Regression coefficient [-]
α	Scattering parameter [-]
ϕ	Aperture angle [rad]
λ	Wavelength of light [m]
η	Detection efficiency [-]
ρ_0	Unit density [$1000 kg/m^3$]
ρ_{eff}	Effective particle density [kg/m^3]
ρ_p	Particle density [kg/m^3]
θ	Detection angle [rad]

4.2 Abbreviations

AAC	Aerodynamic Aerosol Classifier
APS	Aerodynamic Particle Sizer
CPC	Condensation Particle Counter
DEHS	Di-Ethyl-Hexyl-Sebacate
DEMC	Differential Electrical Mobility Classifier
ELPI	Electrical Low Pressure Impactor

FprCEN/TS 18086:2024 (E)

LCS	Low-Cost Sensor
LOQ	Limit of quantification
MPSS	Mobility Particle Size Spectrometer
NOAA	Nano-objects, their agglomerates and aggregates
OAS	Optical Aerosol Spectrometer
PM	Particulate Matter
PSL	Polystyrene Latex
TEOM	Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance
TiO ₂	Titanium dioxide

5 Measurement principle of low-cost PM sensors

The available low-cost PM sensors are based on the measurement of light, scattered by particles inside a measurement volume, illuminated by a light source, within the sensor. The light-scattering sensors can be categorized in three sub-categories:

- a) Spectrometers;
- b) Photometers;
- c) Advanced photometers.

In a spectrometer, individual particles are transported with a flow through the measurement volume. To ensure that at most a single particle is present inside the measurement volume, its size shall be small. Each individual particle causes a light pulse, whose intensity is determined with a photo-detector as a measure for the particle size. The pulses are furthermore counted and classified based on their height in order to obtain the number size distribution of the particles, based on the optical equivalent diameter (CEN/TR 16013-2 [4]). Mass size distributions are calculated from the number size distributions, by assuming the particles' refractive indices, shapes and densities, which often depend on the particle size. Different number and mass (PM_x) size fractions can be obtained from the corresponding size distributions by weighting them with the required sampling convention (see Annex A) and integration over the particle size.

In a photometer, the light scattered by a cloud of particles inside the measurement volume is measured (CEN/TR 16013-3 [5]). The measurement volume of a photometer is much larger than that of a spectrometer. A photometer cannot distinguish particle sizes and only delivers size-integrated information. Only for stable particle size distributions and compositions, the total light intensity measured by a photometer is proportional to the total particle mass and number concentration. Photometers usually report the mass concentration of one PM_x fraction by assuming the (constant) particle number size distribution, refractive indices, particle shapes and densities.

Advanced photometers use a combination of a photometer and a spectrometer. The size of the measurement volume is usually in-between the sizes in spectrometers and photometers. An advanced photometer measures a photometric signal of a cloud of particles as an offset and a measure for the overall concentration. In addition, individual peaks sticking out of the offset signal are detected. These peaks stem from the typically rather low number of large particles, which, however, have a strong contribution to the mass concentration. These peaks are counted and classified based on their heights in order to differentiate between different size fractions [8]. Advanced photometers are thus able to deliver mass concentrations in more than one different size fraction. Some advanced photometers also deliver estimates of the number concentration in different size fractions.

Most available low-cost PM sensors apply the advanced photometric or photometric principle due to their lower complexity compared with the spectrometric principle. Whereas the overall measurement principles of the low-cost PM sensors are identical to the ones used in established, scientific grade measurement devices, there are some differences. For example, most low cost sensors do not use a particle free sheath flow to protect the optics from fouling, which can have an effect on the measurement accuracy, longterm stability and durability.

The sensors typically provide the measurement results in terms of particle mass concentrations in one or more size fractions (PM_x) and in some cases also as particle number concentrations in one or more size fractions. It shall be noted that the intensity of light, scattered by particles depends on multiple particle properties, but not the particle mass and thus a bespoke calibration is needed in order to determine mass concentrations (see Clause 6). A detailed description of the measurement principle of the three sensor categories is provided in Annex E.

Advantages and disadvantages of the three sensor categories are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 — Advantages and disadvantages of low-cost PM sensors

	Advantages	Disadvantages
Photometer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> — No fan needed — Lowest cost — Wide concentration range — Fast response to changes inside measurement volume — Easy data handling (single data point per time step) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Signal not exclusively proportional to mass concentration, specific calibration needed — Dependent on particle size distribution, refractive indices, shapes, but not particle density — Slow dynamic response without forced flow through measurement volume — Single value for total light scattered only, no particle size differentiation
Advanced photometer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Delivers concentrations in different size fractions — Can deliver both number and mass concentrations — Quick response to dynamic size distribution and concentration changes — Lower costs compared with spectrometers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Size fractions pre-defined by manufacturer and not freely selectable — Signal not exclusively proportional to number or mass concentration, but dependent on particle size distribution, refractive indices and shapes — Output relies on the typically undisclosed manufacturer calibration and algorithms
Spectrometer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Delivers particle number size distributions — Size-integrated number and mass concentrations can be calculated for any size fraction within measurement range — Suitable for low concentrations; lower limit depending on measurement volume — Quick response to dynamic size distribution and concentration changes — Signal proportional to number 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Not suitable for particle sizes below approximately $0,3 \mu\text{m}$ — Not suitable for high concentrations; upper concentration limit due to coincidence error (depending on measurement volume) — Signal not exclusively proportional to mass concentration — More expensive than photometers and advanced photometers

	concentration	
--	---------------	--

Figure 1 provides a decision tree for the choice of an appropriate sensor type, depending on the anticipated sensor application.




Figure 1— Decision tree for the choice of a sensor type depending on purpose of its application in a workplace

6 Usability of low-cost sensors for monitoring NOAA concentrations in workplaces

Due to their low costs, PM sensors can allow for establishing measurement networks with high density to permanently monitor the spatio-temporal distribution of different dust fractions in workplaces. With such networks, it is possible to keep track of when and where concentrations increase and can therefore be a valuable indicator for potential particle leaks and areas of increased exposure. It shall, however, be kept in mind that the sensors use a measurement principle, which is based on many assumptions. Further, the possibilities for quality assurance, e.g. an inherent control of the sample flow rate, are reduced and consequently the measurement uncertainty is higher compared to reference instruments.

Therefore, low cost sensor measurements shall not replace reference measurements for compliance testing according to regulations.

The possibilities to monitor NOAA concentrations is limited due to the detectable particle size range of the sensors. With optical light scattering devices like the PM sensors, particles with optical equivalent sizes below approximately 0,3 µm cannot or not adequately be detected [9]. Nano-objects have by definition a geometric size which at least in one dimension is smaller than 0,1 µm (ISO 80004-1 [10]). Concentrations of single, unbound nano-objects can therefore normally not or not adequately be