



SLOVENSKI STANDARD
kSIST-TP FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021
01-maj-2021

Vesoljska tehnika - Priročnik za toplotno zasnovno - 13. del: Fluidne zanke

Space Engineering - Thermal design handbook - Part 13: Fluid Loops

Raumfahrttechnik - Handbuch für thermisches Design - Teil 13: Fluidschleifen

Ingénierie spatiale - Manuel de conception thermique - Partie 13: Boucles fluides

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13

[kSIST-TP FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7f05162d-9644-4c4b-b070-3c069e2624f8/ksist-tp-fprcen-clc-tr-17603-31-13-2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7f05162d-9644-4c4b-b070-3c069e2624f8/ksist-tp-fprcen-clc-tr-17603-31-13-2021>

ICS:

49.140 Vesoljski sistemi in operacije Space systems and operations

kSIST-TP FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 en,fr,de

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[kSIST-TP FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7f05162d-9644-4c4b-b070-3c069e2624f8/ksist-tp-fprcen-clc-tr-17603-31-13-2021)
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7f05162d-9644-4c4b-b070-3c069e2624f8/ksist-tp-fprcen-clc-tr-17603-31-13-2021>

TECHNICAL REPORT
RAPPORT TECHNIQUE
TECHNISCHER BERICHT

FINAL DRAFT
FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13

March 2021

ICS 49.140

English version

Space Engineering - Thermal design handbook - Part 13: Fluid Loops

Ingénierie spatiale - Manuel de conception thermique -
Partie 13: Boucles fluides

Raumfahrttechnik - Handbuch für thermisches Design -
Teil 13: Fluidschleifen

This draft Technical Report is submitted to CEN members for Vote. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7f05162d-9644-4c4b-b070-3ef592624ff/k SIST-TP FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021>

Warning : This document is not a Technical Report. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a Technical Report.



CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

Table of contents

European Foreword	29
1 Scope	30
2 References	31
3 Terms, definitions and symbols	32
3.1 Terms and definitions	32
3.2 Abbreviated terms.....	32
3.3 Symbols.....	34
4 General introduction	46
4.1 Fluid loops	47
4.2 Comparison between fluid loops and alternative systems	48
4.2.1 Passive thermal insulations.....	48
4.2.2 Thermoelectric devices.....	48
4.2.3 Phase change materials (pcm).....	49
4.2.4 Heat pipes.....	50
4.2.5 Short-term discharge systems.....	50
5 Analysis of a fluid loop	52
5.1 General.....	52
5.2 Thermal performance	53
5.3 Power requirements.....	56
6 Thermal analysis	58
6.1 General.....	58
6.2 Analytical background.....	58
6.2.1 Heat transfer coefficient	58
6.2.2 Dimensionless groups.....	60
6.2.3 Simplifying assumptions.....	61
6.2.4 Temperature-dependence of fluid properties.....	61
6.2.5 Laminar versus turbulent fluid flow	63
6.2.6 Heat transfer to internal flows.....	63
6.2.7 Heat transfer to external flows.....	65

6.3	Thermal performance data.....	67
6.3.1	Heat transfer to internal flow	67
6.3.2	Heat transfer to external flows.....	83
7	Frictional analysis	92
7.1	General.....	92
7.2	Analytical background.....	92
7.2.1	Introduction	92
7.2.2	Fully developed flow in straight pipes.....	93
7.2.3	Temperature-dependence of fluid properties.....	97
7.2.4	Several definitions of pressure loss coefficient.....	98
7.2.5	Entrance effects	100
7.2.6	Interferences and networks	101
7.2.7	Flow chart	102
7.3	Pressure loss data	105
7.3.1	Straight pipes	105
7.3.2	Bends.....	106
7.3.3	Sudden changes of area.....	113
7.3.4	Orifices and diaphragms.....	116
7.3.5	Screens.....	119
7.3.6	Valves.....	120
7.3.7	Tube banks.....	121
7.3.8	Branching of tubes	124
8	Combined thermal and frictional analysis.....	125
8.1	General.....	125
8.2	Analogies between momentum and heat transfer	125
8.2.1	The Reynolds analogy	125
8.2.2	The Prandtl analogy	128
8.2.3	The Von Karman analogy.....	129
8.2.4	Other analogies.....	129
9	Heat transfer enhancement	130
9.1	General.....	130
9.1.1	Basic augmentation mechanisms.....	131
9.1.2	Criterion for the evaluation of the several techniques	132
9.1.3	Index of the compiled data	133
9.1.4	Validity of the empirical correlations	133
9.2	Single-phase forced convection data	136

FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 (E)

10 Working fluids	170
10.1 General.....	170
10.2 Cooling effectiveness of a fluid	170
10.2.1 Simplified fluid loop configuration	172
10.2.2 Thermal performance of the simplified loop.....	172
10.2.3 Power requirements of the simplified loop.....	173
10.2.4 Several examples	173
10.3 Properties of liquid coolants.....	178
10.4 Properties of dry air	212
11 Heat exchangers	214
11.1 General.....	214
11.2 Basic analysis.....	217
11.2.1 Introduction	217
11.2.2 Analytical background	218
11.2.3 Exchanger performance.....	221
11.3 Exchanging surface geometries.....	236
11.3.1 Tubular surfaces.....	237
11.3.2 Plate-fin surfaces.....	240
11.3.3 Finned tubes.....	246
11.3.4 Matrix surfaces.....	248
11.4 Deviations from basic analysis.....	249
11.4.1 Introduction	249
11.4.2 Longitudinal heat conduction.....	250
11.4.3 Flow maldistribution	253
11.5 Manufacturing defects	263
11.5.1 Introduction	263
11.5.2 Variations of the flow passages.....	263
11.5.3 Fin leading edge imperfections.....	267
11.5.4 Brazing.....	267
11.6 In service degradation	271
11.6.1 Introduction	271
11.6.2 Fouling.....	271
11.7 Existing systems.....	274
12 Pumps	283
12.1 General.....	283
12.2 Specified speed	287
12.3 Net suction energy.....	289

12.4	Requirements for spaceborne pumps	290
12.5	Commercially available pumps	291
12.6	European pump manufacturers.....	297
13	System optimization.....	298
13.1	General.....	298
13.2	Basic analysis.....	298
13.2.1	Interface heat exchanger.....	299
13.2.2	Supply and return plumbing	300
13.2.3	Radiator	301
13.3	Special examples.....	301
13.3.1	Constraints based on source temperature.....	302
13.3.2	Constraints imposed by the integration	305
14	Two-phase flow.....	309
14.1	General.....	309
14.2	Pressure loss.....	311
14.2.1	Lockhart-martinelli correlation.....	311
14.2.2	Improvements upon martinelli correlation.....	316
14.3	Annular flow.....	317
14.3.1	Ideal annular flow model.....	318
14.3.2	Annular flow with entrainment model.....	327
14.4	Condensation in ducts	341
14.4.1	Condensing flow model.....	341
14.4.2	Variation of the vapor quality along the duct in the stratified model	347
14.4.3	Limits of validity of the stratified model.....	349
14.4.4	Annular flow model.....	350
14.4.5	Variation of the vapor quality along the duct in the annular model.....	354
15	Two-phase thermal transport systems	357
15.1	General.....	357
15.1.1	Evolution of thermal transport systems.....	357
15.1.2	Two-phase loop general layout	358
15.1.3	About the nomenclature of this clause.....	361
15.2	Tms trade-off study.....	361
15.2.1	TMS study baseline.....	364
15.2.2	TMS design concepts.....	364
15.2.3	Evaluation of tms concepts	367
15.3	Design for orbital average load	370

FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 (E)

15.3.1	Phase change capacitor performance	370
15.4	Off-design operation	376
15.4.1	Temperature control	378
15.4.2	Instrumentation requirements	381
15.5	Radiator-loop interaction	382
15.5.1	Boosting radiator temperature with a heat pump	383
15.5.2	Thermal-storage assisted radiator	388
15.5.3	Steerable radiators	391
15.5.4	Radiators coupling	402
15.6	Capillary pumped loop (cpl) technology	404
15.6.1	Advantages of cpl systems	408
15.6.2	CPL performance constraints	408
15.6.3	CPL basic system concept	408
15.7	Components	411
15.7.1	Pumping systems	411
15.7.2	Mounting plates	414
15.7.3	Vapour quality sensors	416
15.7.4	Fluid disconnects	420
16	Control technology	422
16.1	Basic definitions	422
16.2	General description of control systems	423
16.2.1	Introduction	423
16.2.2	Closed-loop control systems	424
16.2.3	Open-loop control system	424
16.2.4	Adaptative control systems	425
16.2.5	Learning control system	426
16.2.6	Trade-off of open- and closed-loop control systems	426
16.3	Basic control actions	431
16.3.1	Introduction	431
16.3.2	Two-position or on-off control action	432
16.3.3	Proportional control action (p controller)	433
16.3.4	Integral control action (i controller)	434
16.3.5	Proportional-integral control action (pi controller)	435
16.3.6	Proportional-derivative control action (pd controller)	436
16.3.7	Proportional-integral-derivative control action (pid controller)	437
16.3.8	Summary	438
16.4	Implementation techniques of control laws	439

16.4.1	Introduction	439
16.4.2	Devices characterization	441
16.4.3	Analog-controller implementation techniques	445
16.4.4	Summary	456
16.5	Hardware description	458
16.5.1	Introduction	458
16.5.2	Controllers	460
16.5.3	Sensors	465
16.5.4	Actuators. Control valves	468
16.6	Control software	469
16.7	Existing systems	472
16.7.1	Space radiator system	472
Bibliography.....		476

Figures

Figure 5-1:	Schematic representation of the fluid loop.....	52
Figure 6-1:	Nusselt numbers, Nu , for fully developed laminar flow through straight pipes of several cross-sectional shapes. Nu_q is the Nusselt number for constant heat transfer rate along the duct, and Nu_T that for constant wall temperature along the duct. From Kays & London (1964) [102].	69
Figure 6-2:	Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. ratio a/b of short side to long side for fully developed laminar flow through straight pipes of rectangular cross section. From Kays & London (1964) [102].	70
Figure 6-3:	Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. ratio of inner to outer diameter, r_1/r_2 , for fully developed laminar flow in concentric- circular-tube annuli. Constant heat transfer rate. From Kays & London (1964) [102].	70
Figure 6-4:	Influence of coefficients, Z , vs. ratio of inner to outer diameter, r_1/r_2 , for fully developed laminar flow in concentric-circular-tube annuli. Constant heat transfer rate. From Kays & London (1964) [102].	71
Figure 6-5:	Nusselt number, Nu , vs. Dean number, K , for fully developed laminar flow in curved pipe of circular cross section. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Mori & Nakayama (1965) [128].	71
Figure 6-6:	Thermal entry length Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x^+ , for laminar flow through straight pipes. Constant wall temperature. Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	72
Figure 6-7:	Thermal entry length Nusselt number, Nu_x , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x^+ , for laminar flow through straight pipes. Constant heat transfer rate. Also shown the influence coefficient, Z , for laminar flow between parallel plates with one side insulated. Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	72
Figure 6-8:	Thermal entry length Nusselt numbers, Nu_x , and influence coefficients, Z , vs. dimensionless axial distance, x^+ , for laminar flow in concentric-circular-	

FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 (E)

tube annuli. Constant heat transfer rate. Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	73
Figure 6-9: Thermal entry length Nusselt number, Nu_x , vs. non dimensional distance along the coil centerline, x^+ , for laminar flow through a coil. The results are given for two values of the ratio, r/R , between the cross-sectional radius and the coil radius. Constant wall temperature. Calculated by the compiler after Kubair & Kuloor (1966) [111].	73
Figure 6-10: Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x^+ , for the combined hydrodynamical and thermal entry length. Laminar flow through straight pipes of circular cross section. Constant wall temperature. $Pr = 0.7$. Replotted by the compiler after ESDU 68006 (1968) [48].	74
Figure 6-11: Local Nusselt number, Nu_x , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x^+ , for the combined hydrodynamical and thermal entry length. Laminar flow through straight pipes of circular cross section. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Heaton et al. (1964) [82].	74
Figure 6-12: Local Nusselt number, Nu_x , and influence coefficient, Z , vs. dimensionless axial distance, x^+ , for the combined hydrodynamical and thermal entry length. Laminar flow between parallel plates, one of them insulated. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Heaton et al. (1964) [82].	75
Figure 6-13: Local Nusselt number, Nu_x , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed transitional flow through cylindrical ducts of circular cross section. Constant wall temperature. Gas Flow ($Pr \approx 0.7$). From ESDU 68006 (1968) [48].	75
Figure 6-14: Nusselt number, Nu , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow through cylindrical ducts. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Petukhov & Roizen (1975) [143].	76
Figure 6-15: Ratio of Nusselt number at constant heat transfer rate, Nu_q , to Nusselt number at uniform wall temperature, Nu_T , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow through a straight pipe of circular cross section. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . From Sleicher & Tribus (1957) [167].	76
Figure 6-16: Nusselt number, Nu , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow between parallel plates, one of them insulated. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	77
Figure 6-17: Influence coefficient, Z , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow between parallel plates. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	77
Figure 6-18: Nusselt number, Nu_{11} , and influence coefficient, Z_1 , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow in concentric-circular-tube annuli. $r_1/r_2 = 0,2$. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	78
Figure 6-19: Nusselt number, Nu_{22} , and influence coefficient, Z_2 , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow in concentric-circular-tube annuli. $r_1/r_2 = 0,2$. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	78

Figure 6-20: Nusselt number, Nu_{11} , and influence coefficient, Z_1 , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow in concentric-circular-tube annuli. $r_1/r_2 = 0,5$. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	79
Figure 6-21: Nusselt number, Nu_{22} , and influence coefficient, Z_2 , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow in concentric-circular-tube annuli. $r_1/r_2 = 0,5$. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	79
Figure 6-22: Nusselt number times Prandtl number to the minus 0.4 power, $NuPr^{0.4}$, vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow in helically coiled tubes. The results are given for two values of the ratio, r/R , between the cross-sectional radius and the coil radius. Constant heat transfer rate. Calculated by the compiler after an experimental correlation obtained by Seban & McLaughlin (1963) [162] from data for water.	80
Figure 6-23: Thermal entry length Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x/D , for fully developed turbulent flow through a straight pipe of circular cross section. Constant wall temperature. $Pr = 0.01$. Results are shown for different Reynolds numbers, Re . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	80
Figure 6-24: Thermal entry length Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x/D , for fully developed turbulent flow through a straight pipe of circular cross section. Constant wall temperature. $Pr = 0.7$. Results are shown for different Reynolds numbers, Re . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	81
Figure 6-25: Ratio of thermal entry length Nusselt number, Nu_x , to Nusselt number for fully developed turbulent flow, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x/D . Straight pipe of circular cross section. Constant heat transfer rate. $Pr = 0.01$. Results are shown for different Reynolds numbers, Re . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	81
Figure 6-26: Ratio of thermal entry length Nusselt number, Nu_x , to Nusselt number for fully developed turbulent flow, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x/D . Straight pipe of circular cross section. Constant heat transfer rate. $Re = 10^5$. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	82
Figure 6-27: Ratio of thermal entry length Nusselt number, Nu_x , to Nusselt number for fully developed turbulent flow, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x/D_E . Parallel plates at distance $2D_E$, one of them insulated. Constant heat transfer rate. Also shown the influence coefficient, Z . Results are shown for three different Prandtl numbers, Pr , and two Reynolds numbers, Re . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101].	82
Figure 6-28: Nusselt number, Nu , vs. Reynolds number, Re . Flow of a fluid having constant physical properties over a constant temperature circular cylinder whose axis is normal to the incoming flow. From ESDU 69004 (1969) [50].	84
Figure 6-29: Effect of variable fluid properties, (a) and (b), and of inclination angle, (c), on the Nusselt number corresponding to the flow of a fluid over a constant temperature cylinder. Nu_b (Nu_{90°) can be deduced from Figure 6-28. From ESDU 69004 (1969) [50].	85
Figure 6-30: Guide for the selection of the curves given in Figure 6-31 and Figure 6-32 concerning in-line tube banks of different relative pitches. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57].	86

FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 (E)

Figure 6-31: Reference Nusselt number, Nu_r , for $Pr_b = 1$, as a function of Reynolds number, Re . In-line tube banks. See Figure 6-30 for the meaning of the numbers which appear on the curves. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57].	87
Figure 6-32: Reference Nusselt number, Nu_r , for $Pr_b = 1$, as a function of Reynolds number, Re . In-line tube banks. See Figure 6-30 for the meaning of the numbers which appear on the curves. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57].	88
Figure 6-33: Reference Nusselt number, Nu_r , for $Pr_b = 1$, as a function of Reynolds number, Re . In-line tube banks. Staggered tube banks. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57].	89
Figure 6-34: Effect of the Prandtl number, Pr_b , on the reference Nusselt number, Nu_r , for both in-line and staggered tube banks. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57].	89
Figure 6-35: The factor F_1 to account for variable fluid properties. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57].	90
Figure 6-36: The factor F_2 accounting for abnormal number of rows vs. that number, N . From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57].	90
Figure 6-37: The factor F_3 accounting for the effect of yaw vs. the inclination angle, θ . From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57].	90
Figure 6-38: The factor F_4 for estimating the Nusselt number of the n-th row. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57].	91
Figure 7-1: Friction characteristics associated with four types of roughness geometry. Notice that the equivalent roughness is different in every case. From Reynolds (1974).	96
Figure 7-2: Friction factor, λ_c , as a function of Reynolds number, Re , for different values of the relative roughness, e/D : Cylindrical tubes of circular cross section. From Idel'cik (1969) [97].	105
Figure 7-3: Correction factor, K , to be used when the cross section of the duct is not circular. Laminar flow. $K = 1$ for turbulent flow through hydraulically smooth ducts. From ESDU 66027 (1966) [46].	105
Figure 7-4: Boundary between short and long circular arc bends. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47].	106
Figure 7-5: Boundaries between laminar, transitional and turbulent flows in long circular arc bends. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47].	106
Figure 7-6: Pressure loss coefficient per unit bend angle, c_K/θ , as a function of the dimensionless radius of curvature of bend centerline, R/D , for different values of Reynolds number, Re . Either circular or square cross section. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47].	107
Figure 7-7: Pressure loss coefficient, c_K , as a function of the dimensionless radius of bend centerline, R/D , for different values of Reynolds number, Re . Laminar flow through short circular arc bends. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47].	108
Figure 7-8: Pressure loss coefficient, c_K , as a function of the dimensionless radius of bend centerline, R/D , for different values of bend angle, θ . Turbulent flow through short circular arc bends. Either circular or square cross section. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47].	109
Figure 7-9: Pressure loss coefficient, c_K , for short circular arc bends, having a short downstream tangent of length, L_d , as a function of L_d/D , for different values of the dimensionless radius of bend centerline, R/D . Turbulent flow. Either circular or square cross section. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47].	110

Figure 7-10: The factor α_1 to account for the aspect-ratio of the bend cross section. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47].	110
Figure 7-11: The factor α_2 to account for the bend angle. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47].	111
Figure 7-12: Pressure loss coefficient, c_K , for single mitre bends, as a function of bend angle, θ , for different values of the dimensionless length, L_d/D , of the downstream tube. Turbulent flow. Either circular or square cross section. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47].	111
Figure 7-13: Factor β , which account for the interaction between two 90° -circular arc bends-, as a function of the dimensionless distance between both bends, L_d/D . From ESDU 68035 (1968) [49].	112
Figure 7-14: Factor β , which account for the interaction between two mitre bends, as a function of the dimensionless distance between both bends, L_d/D . From ESDU 68035 (1968) [49].	113
Figure 7-15: Total-pressure loss coefficient, c_{Kt} , as a function of Reynolds number, Re_{D1} , for different values of the area ratio, ψ . Enlargement with a duct downstream $4D_2$ long. Uniform incoming flow at low Reynolds number. From ESDU 72011 (1972) [54].	113
Figure 7-16: Different velocity profiles upstream of a sudden enlargement. From ESDU 72011 (1972) [54].	114
Figure 7-17: Total-pressure loss coefficient, c_{Kt} , as a function of area ratio, ψ . Enlargement with a duct downstream $4D_2$ long. Numbers on curves indicate the velocity profile in Figure 7-22 for which the curve applies. From ESDU 72011 (1972) [54].	114
Figure 7-18: Static-pressure loss coefficient, $-c_{Ks}$, as a function of area ratio, ψ . Enlargement with a duct downstream $4D_2$ long. Numbers on curves indicate the velocity profile in Figure 7-22 for which the curve applies. From ESDU (1972) [54].	115
Figure 7-19: Total-pressure loss coefficient, c_{Kt} , as a function of Reynolds number, Re_{D2} , for different values of the area ratio, ψ . The pressure loss coefficient is expressed in terms of the dynamic pressure at clause 6. From Idel'cik (1969) [97].	115
Figure 7-20: Reference values of the pressure loss coefficient, c_K , as a function of the ratio, ϕ , of the area available for fluid flow to the total area of the duct cross section. Perforated plates and orifices. From ESDU 72010 (1972) [53].	116
Figure 7-21: The factor α_3 to account for the effect of plate thickness when $t/d < 0,8$. c_{K0} is given in Figure 7-19. From ESDU 72010 (1972) [53].	117
Figure 7-22: The factor α_4 to account for the effect of plate thickness when $t/d \geq 0,8$. $c_{K0,8}$ is given in Figure 7-19. From ESDU 72010 (1972) [53].	118
Figure 7-23: Comparison between the pressure loss coefficients, c_K , in the intermediate region calculated by assuming either of the two extreme cases, fully-separated or reattached orifice flow. From ESDU 72010 (1972) [53].	119
Figure 7-24: Reference pressure loss coefficient, c_{Kr} , as a function of porosity, ϕ . Round-wire gauzes. From ESDU 72009 (1972) [52].	119
Figure 7-25: Factor α_5 to account for low Reynolds number effects in round-wire gauzes. Reynolds number based on the wire diameter. From ESDU 72009 (1972) [52].	120

FprCEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 (E)

Figure 7-26: Reference pressure loss coefficient, c_{Kr} , as a function of Reynolds number, Re , for diaphragm and butterfly valves fully open. Prepared by the compiler after ESDU 69022 (1969) [51].....	120
Figure 7-27: Factor α_6 , which accounts for the partial opening of the valve, as a function of the degree of valve opening, δ . δ is defined as the ratio of valve control travel from closed position to total valve control travel. From ESDU 69022 (1969) [51].....	121
Figure 7-28: Graphics for estimating the pressure loss coefficient, c_K , for in-line tube banks of several relative pitches, s_l , s_t , and yaw angles, θ . The influence of the heat exchange on the pressure loss is taken into account through the tube bank inlet and exit temperatures, T_i and T_o , respectively. From Idel'cik [97].....	122
Figure 7-29: Graphics for estimating the pressure loss coefficient, c_K , for staggered tube banks of several relative pitches, s_l , s_t , and yaw angles, θ . The influence of the heat exchange on the pressure loss is taken into account through the tube bank inlet and exit temperatures, T_i and T_o , respectively. From Idel'cik (1969) [97].....	123
Figure 7-30: Pressure loss coefficient, c_K , as a function of the ratio of lateral to total mass flow rates in branching tubes. The mixed confluence-branching case is not considered. From Idel'cik (1969) [97].	124
Figure 8-1: The ratio $2St/f$, for turbulent flow in constant wall temperature cylindrical tubes, as calculated by use of several expressions, vs. the Reynolds number, Re . E: Correlation of experimental results. From Goldstein (1950) [73]. R: Reynolds Analogy. P: Prandtl Analogy. K: von Kármán Analogy. Calculated by the compiler.	127
Figure 9-1: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a-h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Bergles (1969) [8].....	136
Figure 9-2: Roughness function $u_e^+(e^+)$ for Nikuradse's sand roughness. (1) Hydraulically smooth. (2) $u_e^+ = 8,48$, completely rough. From Schlichting (1960) [157].....	139
Figure 9-3: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a-h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . Curves A to D are from Bergles (1969) [8], curves E and F have been calculated by the compiler after Webb, Eckert & Goldstein (1971) [186].	139
Figure 9-4: Roughness function $u_e^+(e^+, b/e)$ for repeated-rib roughness. From Webb et al. (1971) [186].....	142
Figure 9-5: Flow pattern near the wall for different values of b/e	142
Figure 9-6: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a-h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . Curves A, B, C are from Bergles (1969) [8], curves D to G have been calculated by the compiler after Sheriff & Gumley (1966) [166].....	143
Figure 9-7: Roughness function, $u_e^+(e^+, b/e)$, for wire coil roughness. Plotted by the compiler after Sheriff & Gumley (1966) [166].....	146
Figure 9-8: Velocity and Temperature distributions across the annulus.	147
Figure 9-9: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Carnavos (1974) [19].....	149

Figure 9-10: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Carnavos (1974) [19].....	151
Figure 9-11: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Carnavos (1974) [19].....	153
Figure 9-12: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Bergles (1969) [8].....	156
Figure 9-13: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . Calculated by the compiler after Hong & Bergles (1976) [91].....	157
Figure 9-14: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . Curves A to I are from Bergles (1969) [8], curves J to M have been calculated by the compiler after Thorsen & Landis (1968) [178].	160
Figure 9-15: Isothermal Nusselt number, Nu_{ab} , divided by the ratio of friction factors, Γ , vs. the Reynolds number, Re_T , for different values of the Prandtl number, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Thorsen & Landis (1968) [178].	163
Figure 9-16: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Bergles (1969) [8].....	164
Figure 9-17: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . Curves A, B, C from Bergles (1969) [8], curves D, E, F from Bergles, Lee & Mikic (1969) [9].....	167
Figure 10-1: Product of cooling effectiveness, F , of several fluids times the equivalent length of the loop, L_E , as functions of the difference between the heat source and the inlet temperature, $T_S - T_i$, for the following reference values: Inner diameter of the duct, $D = 10^{-2}$ m. Diabatic length of the duct, $L = 1$ m. Heat flux, $q = 250$ W.m ⁻² for Air, Carbon Dioxide, Carbon Tetrachloride, Hydrogen and Nitrogen; $q = 1000$ W.m ⁻² for Ethylene Glycol, Flutec PP50 and Water. Calculated by the compiler.	171
Figure 10-2: Schematic representation of the fluid loop considered for estimating the fluid cooling effectiveness.	172
Figure 10-3: Graphical method allowing for values of heat flux, q , and inner diameter of the duct, D , different from those used in Figure 10-1.....	174
Figure 10-4: Graphic for estimating the product of the fluid cooling effectiveness, F , times the equivalent length of the loop, L_E , as a function of the difference between the heat source and the inlet fluid temperature, $T_S - T_i$. Fluid: Air. Reference values: $D = 10^{-2}$ m, $L = 1$ m, $q = 250$ W.m ⁻² . Values FL_E for different D and q , yet $L = 1$, can be calculated graphically as is indicated in the text. Prepared by the compiler.....	175
Figure 10-5: Graphic for estimating the product of the fluid cooling effectiveness, F , times the equivalent length of the loop, L_E , as a function of the difference between the heat source and the inlet fluid temperature, $T_S - T_i$. Fluid: Ethylene Glycol. Reference values: $D = 10^{-2}$ m, $L = 1$ m, $q = 1000$ W.m ⁻² . Values FL_E for different D and q , yet $L = 1$, can be calculated graphically as is indicated in the text. Prepared by the compiler.	176
Figure 10-6: Graphic for estimating the product of the fluid cooling effectiveness, F , times the equivalent length of the loop, L_E , as a function of the difference between the heat source and the inlet fluid temperature, $T_S - T_i$. Fluid: Flutec PP50. Reference values: $D = 10^{-2}$ m, $L = 1$ m, $q = 1000$ W.m ⁻² .	