



SLOVENSKI STANDARD
SIST-TP CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021

01-oktober-2021

Vesoljska tehnika - Priročnik o toplotni zasnovi - 13. del: Fluidne zanke

Space Engineering - Thermal design handbook - Part 13: Fluid Loops

Raumfahrttechnik - Handbuch für thermisches Design - Teil 13: Fluidschleifen

Ingénierie spatiale - Manuel de conception thermique - Partie 13: Boucles fluides

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021

[SIST-TP CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7f05162d-9644-4c4b-b070-3c069e2624f8/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-13-2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7f05162d-9644-4c4b-b070-3c069e2624f8/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-13-2021>

ICS:

49.140 Vesoljski sistemi in operacije Space systems and operations

SIST-TP CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 en,fr,de

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST-TP CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7f05162d-9644-4c4b-b070-3c069e2624f8/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-13-2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7f05162d-9644-4c4b-b070-3c069e2624f8/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-13-2021>

TECHNICAL REPORT
RAPPORT TECHNIQUE
TECHNISCHER BERICHT

**CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-
13**

August 2021

ICS 49.140

English version

**Space Engineering - Thermal design handbook - Part 13:
Fluid Loops**

Ingénierie spatiale - Manuel de conception thermique -
Partie 13 : Boucles fluides

Raumfahrttechnik - Handbuch für thermisches Design -
Teil 13: Flüssigkeitskreisläufe

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 28 June 2021. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

ITih STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST-TP CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7f05162d-9644-4c4b-b070-3c069e2624f8/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-13-2021)
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7f05162d-9644-4c4b-b070-3c069e2624f8/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-13-2021>



**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

Table of contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| European Foreword | 29 |
| 1 Scope | 30 |
| 2 References | 31 |
| 3 Terms, definitions and symbols | 32 |
| 3.1 Terms and definitions | 32 |
| 3.2 Abbreviated terms..... | 32 |
| 3.3 Symbols..... | 34 |
| 4 General introduction | 46 |
| 4.1 Fluid loops | 47 |
| 4.2 Comparison between fluid loops and alternative systems | 48 |
| 4.2.1 Passive thermal insulations..... | 48 |
| 4.2.2 Thermoelectric devices..... | 48 |
| 4.2.3 Phase change materials (pcm)..... | 49 |
| 4.2.4 Heat pipes..... | 50 |
| 4.2.5 Short-term discharge systems..... | 50 |
| 5 Analysis of a fluid loop | 52 |
| 5.1 General..... | 52 |
| 5.2 Thermal performance | 53 |
| 5.3 Power requirements..... | 56 |
| 6 Thermal analysis | 58 |
| 6.1 General..... | 58 |
| 6.2 Analytical background..... | 58 |
| 6.2.1 Heat transfer coefficient | 58 |
| 6.2.2 Dimensionless groups..... | 60 |
| 6.2.3 Simplifying assumptions..... | 61 |
| 6.2.4 Temperature-dependence of fluid properties..... | 61 |
| 6.2.5 Laminar versus turbulent fluid flow | 63 |
| 6.2.6 Heat transfer to internal flows..... | 63 |
| 6.2.7 Heat transfer to external flows..... | 65 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 6.3 | Thermal performance data..... | 67 |
| 6.3.1 | Heat transfer to internal flow | 67 |
| 6.3.2 | Heat transfer to external flows..... | 83 |
| 7 | Frictional analysis | 92 |
| 7.1 | General..... | 92 |
| 7.2 | Analytical background..... | 92 |
| 7.2.1 | Introduction | 92 |
| 7.2.2 | Fully developed flow in straight pipes..... | 93 |
| 7.2.3 | Temperature-dependence of fluid properties..... | 97 |
| 7.2.4 | Several definitions of pressure loss coefficient..... | 98 |
| 7.2.5 | Entrance effects | 100 |
| 7.2.6 | Interferences and networks | 101 |
| 7.2.7 | Flow chart | 102 |
| 7.3 | Pressure loss data | 105 |
| 7.3.1 | Straight pipes | 105 |
| 7.3.2 | Bends..... | 106 |
| 7.3.3 | Sudden changes of area..... | 113 |
| 7.3.4 | Orifices and diaphragms..... | 116 |
| 7.3.5 | Screens..... | 119 |
| 7.3.6 | Valves..... | 120 |
| 7.3.7 | Tube banks..... | 121 |
| 7.3.8 | Branching of tubes | 124 |
| 8 | Combined thermal and frictional analysis..... | 125 |
| 8.1 | General..... | 125 |
| 8.2 | Analogies between momentum and heat transfer | 125 |
| 8.2.1 | The Reynolds analogy | 125 |
| 8.2.2 | The Prandtl analogy | 128 |
| 8.2.3 | The Von Karman analogy..... | 129 |
| 8.2.4 | Other analogies..... | 129 |
| 9 | Heat transfer enhancement | 130 |
| 9.1 | General..... | 130 |
| 9.1.1 | Basic augmentation mechanisms..... | 131 |
| 9.1.2 | Criterion for the evaluation of the several techniques | 132 |
| 9.1.3 | Index of the compiled data | 133 |
| 9.1.4 | Validity of the empirical correlations | 133 |
| 9.2 | Single-phase forced convection data | 136 |

CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 (E)

| | |
|--|------------|
| 10 Working fluids | 170 |
| 10.1 General..... | 170 |
| 10.2 Cooling effectiveness of a fluid | 170 |
| 10.2.1 Simplified fluid loop configuration | 172 |
| 10.2.2 Thermal performance of the simplified loop..... | 172 |
| 10.2.3 Power requirements of the simplified loop..... | 173 |
| 10.2.4 Several examples | 173 |
| 10.3 Properties of liquid coolants..... | 178 |
| 10.4 Properties of dry air | 212 |
| 11 Heat exchangers | 214 |
| 11.1 General..... | 214 |
| 11.2 Basic analysis..... | 217 |
| 11.2.1 Introduction | 217 |
| 11.2.2 Analytical background | 218 |
| 11.2.3 Exchanger performance..... | 221 |
| 11.3 Exchanging surface geometries..... | 236 |
| 11.3.1 Tubular surfaces..... | 237 |
| 11.3.2 Plate-fin surfaces..... | 240 |
| 11.3.3 Finned tubes..... | 246 |
| 11.3.4 Matrix surfaces..... | 248 |
| 11.4 Deviations from basic analysis..... | 249 |
| 11.4.1 Introduction | 249 |
| 11.4.2 Longitudinal heat conduction..... | 250 |
| 11.4.3 Flow maldistribution | 253 |
| 11.5 Manufacturing defects | 263 |
| 11.5.1 Introduction | 263 |
| 11.5.2 Variations of the flow passages..... | 263 |
| 11.5.3 Fin leading edge imperfections..... | 267 |
| 11.5.4 Brazing..... | 267 |
| 11.6 In service degradation | 271 |
| 11.6.1 Introduction | 271 |
| 11.6.2 Fouling | 271 |
| 11.7 Existing systems..... | 274 |
| 12 Pumps | 283 |
| 12.1 General..... | 283 |
| 12.2 Specified speed | 287 |
| 12.3 Net suction energy..... | 289 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 12.4 | Requirements for spaceborne pumps | 290 |
| 12.5 | Commercially available pumps | 291 |
| 12.6 | European pump manufacturers..... | 297 |
| 13 | System optimization..... | 298 |
| 13.1 | General..... | 298 |
| 13.2 | Basic analysis..... | 298 |
| 13.2.1 | Interface heat exchanger..... | 299 |
| 13.2.2 | Supply and return plumbing | 300 |
| 13.2.3 | Radiator | 301 |
| 13.3 | Special examples..... | 301 |
| 13.3.1 | Constraints based on source temperature..... | 302 |
| 13.3.2 | Constraints imposed by the integration | 305 |
| 14 | Two-phase flow..... | 309 |
| 14.1 | General..... | 309 |
| 14.2 | Pressure loss..... | 311 |
| 14.2.1 | Lockhart-martinelli correlation..... | 311 |
| 14.2.2 | Improvements upon martinelli correlation..... | 316 |
| 14.3 | Annular flow..... | 317 |
| 14.3.1 | Ideal annular flow model..... | 318 |
| 14.3.2 | Annular flow with entrainment model..... | 327 |
| 14.4 | Condensation in ducts | 341 |
| 14.4.1 | Condensing flow model..... | 341 |
| 14.4.2 | Variation of the vapor quality along the duct in the stratified model | 347 |
| 14.4.3 | Limits of validity of the stratified model..... | 349 |
| 14.4.4 | Annular flow model..... | 350 |
| 14.4.5 | Variation of the vapor quality along the duct in the annular model..... | 354 |
| 15 | Two-phase thermal transport systems | 357 |
| 15.1 | General..... | 357 |
| 15.1.1 | Evolution of thermal transport systems..... | 357 |
| 15.1.2 | Two-phase loop general layout | 358 |
| 15.1.3 | About the nomenclature of this clause..... | 361 |
| 15.2 | Tms trade-off study..... | 361 |
| 15.2.1 | TMS study baseline..... | 364 |
| 15.2.2 | TMS design concepts..... | 364 |
| 15.2.3 | Evaluation of tms concepts | 367 |
| 15.3 | Design for orbital average load | 370 |

CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 (E)

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 15.3.1 | Phase change capacitor performance | 370 |
| 15.4 | Off-design operation | 376 |
| 15.4.1 | Temperature control | 378 |
| 15.4.2 | Instrumentation requirements | 381 |
| 15.5 | Radiator-loop interaction | 382 |
| 15.5.1 | Boosting radiator temperature with a heat pump | 383 |
| 15.5.2 | Thermal-storage assisted radiator | 388 |
| 15.5.3 | Steerable radiators | 391 |
| 15.5.4 | Radiators coupling | 402 |
| 15.6 | Capillary pumped loop (cpl) technology | 404 |
| 15.6.1 | Advantages of cpl systems | 408 |
| 15.6.2 | CPL performance constraints | 408 |
| 15.6.3 | CPL basic system concept | 408 |
| 15.7 | Components | 411 |
| 15.7.1 | Pumping systems | 411 |
| 15.7.2 | Mounting plates | 414 |
| 15.7.3 | Vapour quality sensors | 416 |
| 15.7.4 | Fluid disconnects | 420 |
| 16 | Control technology | 422 |
| 16.1 | Basic definitions | 422 |
| 16.2 | General description of control systems | 423 |
| 16.2.1 | Introduction | 423 |
| 16.2.2 | Closed-loop control systems | 424 |
| 16.2.3 | Open-loop control system | 424 |
| 16.2.4 | Adaptative control systems | 425 |
| 16.2.5 | Learning control system | 426 |
| 16.2.6 | Trade-off of open- and closed-loop control systems | 426 |
| 16.3 | Basic control actions | 431 |
| 16.3.1 | Introduction | 431 |
| 16.3.2 | Two-position or on-off control action | 432 |
| 16.3.3 | Proportional control action (p controller) | 433 |
| 16.3.4 | Integral control action (i controller) | 434 |
| 16.3.5 | Proportional-integral control action (pi controller) | 435 |
| 16.3.6 | Proportional-derivative control action (pd controller) | 436 |
| 16.3.7 | Proportional-integral-derivative control action (pid controller) | 437 |
| 16.3.8 | Summary | 438 |
| 16.4 | Implementation techniques of control laws | 439 |

| | | |
|--------------------------|---|------------|
| 16.4.1 | Introduction | 439 |
| 16.4.2 | Devices characterization | 441 |
| 16.4.3 | Analog-controller implementation techniques | 445 |
| 16.4.4 | Summary | 456 |
| 16.5 | Hardware description | 458 |
| 16.5.1 | Introduction | 458 |
| 16.5.2 | Controllers | 460 |
| 16.5.3 | Sensors | 465 |
| 16.5.4 | Actuators. Control valves | 468 |
| 16.6 | Control software | 469 |
| 16.7 | Existing systems | 472 |
| 16.7.1 | Space radiator system | 472 |
| Bibliography..... | | 476 |

Figures

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 5-1: | Schematic representation of the fluid loop..... | 52 |
| Figure 6-1: | Nusselt numbers, Nu , for fully developed laminar flow through straight pipes of several cross-sectional shapes. Nu_q is the Nusselt number for constant heat transfer rate along the duct, and Nu_T that for constant wall temperature along the duct. From Kays & London (1964) [102]. | 69 |
| Figure 6-2: | Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. ratio a/b , of short side to long side for fully developed laminar flow through straight pipes of rectangular cross section. From Kays & London (1964) [102]. | 70 |
| Figure 6-3: | Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. ratio of inner to outer diameter, r_1/r_2 , for fully developed laminar flow in concentric- circular-tube annuli. Constant heat transfer rate. From Kays & London (1964) [102]. | 70 |
| Figure 6-4: | Influence of coefficients, Z , vs. ratio of inner to outer diameter, r_1/r_2 , for fully developed laminar flow in concentric-circular-tube annuli. Constant heat transfer rate. From Kays & London (1964) [102]. | 71 |
| Figure 6-5: | Nusselt number, Nu , vs. Dean number, K , for fully developed laminar flow in curved pipe of circular cross section. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Mori & Nakayama (1965) [128]. | 71 |
| Figure 6-6: | Thermal entry length Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x^+ , for laminar flow through straight pipes. Constant wall temperature. Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 72 |
| Figure 6-7: | Thermal entry length Nusselt number, Nu_x , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x^+ , for laminar flow through straight pipes. Constant heat transfer rate. Also shown the influence coefficient, Z , for laminar flow between parallel plates with one side insulated. Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 72 |
| Figure 6-8: | Thermal entry length Nusselt numbers, Nu_x , and influence coefficients, Z , vs. dimensionless axial distance, x^+ , for laminar flow in concentric-circular- | |

CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 (E)

| | |
|--|----|
| tube annuli. Constant heat transfer rate. Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 73 |
| Figure 6-9: Thermal entry length Nusselt number, Nu_x , vs. non dimensional distance along the coil centerline, x^+ , for laminar flow through a coil. The results are given for two values of the ratio, r/R , between the cross-sectional radius and the coil radius. Constant wall temperature. Calculated by the compiler after Kubair & Kuloor (1966) [111]. | 73 |
| Figure 6-10: Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x^+ , for the combined hydrodynamical and thermal entry length. Laminar flow through straight pipes of circular cross section. Constant wall temperature. $Pr = 0.7$. Replotted by the compiler after ESDU 68006 (1968) [48]. | 74 |
| Figure 6-11: Local Nusselt number, Nu_x , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x^+ , for the combined hydrodynamical and thermal entry length. Laminar flow through straight pipes of circular cross section. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Heaton et al. (1964) [82]. | 74 |
| Figure 6-12: Local Nusselt number, Nu_x , and influence coefficient, Z , vs. dimensionless axial distance, x^+ , for the combined hydrodynamical and thermal entry length. Laminar flow between parallel plates, one of them insulated. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Heaton et al. (1964) [82]. | 75 |
| Figure 6-13: Local Nusselt number, Nu_x , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed transitional flow through cylindrical ducts of circular cross section. Constant wall temperature. Gas Flow ($Pr \approx 0.7$). From ESDU 68006 (1968) [48]. | 75 |
| Figure 6-14: Nusselt number, Nu , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow through cylindrical ducts. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Petukhov & Roizen (1975) [143]. | 76 |
| Figure 6-15: Ratio of Nusselt number at constant heat transfer rate, Nu_q , to Nusselt number at uniform wall temperature, Nu_T , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow through a straight pipe of circular cross section. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . From Sleicher & Tribus (1957) [167]. | 76 |
| Figure 6-16: Nusselt number, Nu , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow between parallel plates, one of them insulated. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 77 |
| Figure 6-17: Influence coefficient, Z , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow between parallel plates. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 77 |
| Figure 6-18: Nusselt number, Nu_{11} , and influence coefficient, Z_1 , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow in concentric-circular-tube annuli. $r_1/r_2 = 0,2$. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 78 |
| Figure 6-19: Nusselt number, Nu_{22} , and influence coefficient, Z_2 , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow in concentric-circular-tube annuli. $r_1/r_2 = 0,2$. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 78 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 6-20: Nusselt number, Nu_{11} , and influence coefficient, Z_1 , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow in concentric-circular-tube annuli. $r_1/r_2 = 0,5$. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 79 |
| Figure 6-21: Nusselt number, Nu_{22} , and influence coefficient, Z_2 , vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow in concentric-circular-tube annuli. $r_1/r_2 = 0,5$. Constant heat transfer rate. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 79 |
| Figure 6-22: Nusselt number times Prandtl number to the minus 0.4 power, $NuPr^{0.4}$, vs. Reynolds number, Re , for fully developed turbulent flow in helically coiled tubes. The results are given for two values of the ratio, r/R , between the cross-sectional radius and the coil radius. Constant heat transfer rate. Calculated by the compiler after an experimental correlation obtained by Seban & McLaughlin (1963) [162] from data for water. | 80 |
| Figure 6-23: Thermal entry length Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x/D , for fully developed turbulent flow through a straight pipe of circular cross section. Constant wall temperature. $Pr = 0.01$. Results are shown for different Reynolds numbers, Re . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 80 |
| Figure 6-24: Thermal entry length Nusselt numbers, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x/D , for fully developed turbulent flow through a straight pipe of circular cross section. Constant wall temperature. $Pr = 0.7$. Results are shown for different Reynolds numbers, Re . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 81 |
| Figure 6-25: Ratio of thermal entry length Nusselt number, Nu_x , to Nusselt number for fully developed turbulent flow, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x/D . Straight pipe of circular cross section. Constant heat transfer rate. $Pr = 0.01$. Results are shown for different Reynolds numbers, Re . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 81 |
| Figure 6-26: Ratio of thermal entry length Nusselt number, Nu_x , to Nusselt number for fully developed turbulent flow, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x/D . Straight pipe of circular cross section. Constant heat transfer rate. $Re = 10^5$. Results are shown for different Prandtl numbers, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 82 |
| Figure 6-27: Ratio of thermal entry length Nusselt number, Nu_x , to Nusselt number for fully developed turbulent flow, Nu , vs. non-dimensional axial distance, x/D_E . Parallel plates at distance $2D_E$, one of them insulated. Constant heat transfer rate. Also shown the influence coefficient, Z . Results are shown for three different Prandtl numbers, Pr , and two Reynolds numbers, Re . Calculated by the compiler after Kays (1966) [101]. | 82 |
| Figure 6-28: Nusselt number, Nu , vs. Reynolds number, Re . Flow of a fluid having constant physical properties over a constant temperature circular cylinder whose axis is normal to the incoming flow. From ESDU 69004 (1969) [50]. | 84 |
| Figure 6-29: Effect of variable fluid properties, (a) and (b), and of inclination angle, (c), on the Nusselt number corresponding to the flow of a fluid over a constant temperature cylinder. Nu_b (Nu_{90°) can be deduced from Figure 6-28. From ESDU 69004 (1969) [50]. | 85 |
| Figure 6-30: Guide for the selection of the curves given in Figure 6-31 and Figure 6-32 concerning in-line tube banks of different relative pitches. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57]. | 86 |

CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 (E)

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 6-31: Reference Nusselt number, Nu_r , for $Pr_b = 1$, as a function of Reynolds number, Re . In-line tube banks. See Figure 6-30 for the meaning of the numbers which appear on the curves. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57]. | 87 |
| Figure 6-32: Reference Nusselt number, Nu_r , for $Pr_b = 1$, as a function of Reynolds number, Re . In-line tube banks. See Figure 6-30 for the meaning of the numbers which appear on the curves. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57]. | 88 |
| Figure 6-33: Reference Nusselt number, Nu_r , for $Pr_b = 1$, as a function of Reynolds number, Re . In-line tube banks. Staggered tube banks. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57]. | 89 |
| Figure 6-34: Effect of the Prandtl number, Pr_b , on the reference Nusselt number, Nu_r , for both in-line and staggered tube banks. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57]. | 89 |
| Figure 6-35: The factor F_1 to account for variable fluid properties. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57]. | 90 |
| Figure 6-36: The factor F_2 accounting for abnormal number of rows vs. that number, N . From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57]. | 90 |
| Figure 6-37: The factor F_3 accounting for the effect of yaw vs. the inclination angle, θ . From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57]. | 90 |
| Figure 6-38: The factor F_4 for estimating the Nusselt number of the n-th row. From ESDU 73031 (1973) [57]. | 91 |
| Figure 7-1: Friction characteristics associated with four types of roughness geometry. Notice that the equivalent roughness is different in every case. From Reynolds (1974). | 96 |
| Figure 7-2: Friction factor, λ_c , as a function of Reynolds number, Re , for different values of the relative roughness, e/D : Cylindrical tubes of circular cross section. From Idel'cik (1969) [97]. | 105 |
| Figure 7-3: Correction factor K , to be used when the cross section of the duct is not circular. Laminar flow. $K = 1$ for turbulent flow through hydraulically smooth ducts. From ESDU 66027 (1966) [46]. | 105 |
| Figure 7-4: Boundary between short and long circular arc bends. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47]. | 106 |
| Figure 7-5: Boundaries between laminar, transitional and turbulent flows in long circular arc bends. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47]. | 106 |
| Figure 7-6: Pressure loss coefficient per unit bend angle, c_K/θ , as a function of the dimensionless radius of curvature of bend centerline, R/D , for different values of Reynolds number, Re . Either circular or square cross section. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47]. | 107 |
| Figure 7-7: Pressure loss coefficient, c_K , as a function of the dimensionless radius of bend centerline, R/D , for different values of Reynolds number, Re . Laminar flow through short circular arc bends. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47]. | 108 |
| Figure 7-8: Pressure loss coefficient, c_K , as a function of the dimensionless radius of bend centerline, R/D , for different values of bend angle, θ . Turbulent flow through short circular arc bends. Either circular or square cross section. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47]. | 109 |
| Figure 7-9: Pressure loss coefficient, c_K , for short circular arc bends, having a short downstream tangent of length, L_d , as a function of L_d/D , for different values of the dimensionless radius of bend centerline, R/D . Turbulent flow. Either circular or square cross section. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47]. | 110 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 7-10: The factor α_1 to account for the aspect-ratio of the bend cross section. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47]. | 110 |
| Figure 7-11: The factor α_2 to account for the bend angle. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47]. | 111 |
| Figure 7-12: Pressure loss coefficient, c_K , for single mitre bends, as a function of bend angle, θ , for different values of the dimensionless length, L_d/D , of the downstream tube. Turbulent flow. Either circular or square cross section. From ESDU 67040 (1967) [47]. | 111 |
| Figure 7-13: Factor β , which account for the interaction between two 90° -circular arc bends-, as a function of the dimensionless distance between both bends, L_d/D . From ESDU 68035 (1968) [49]. | 112 |
| Figure 7-14: Factor β , which account for the interaction between two mitre bends, as a function of the dimensionless distance between both bends, L_d/D . From ESDU 68035 (1968) [49]. | 113 |
| Figure 7-15: Total-pressure loss coefficient, c_{Kt} , as a function of Reynolds number, Re_{D1} , for different values of the area ratio, ψ . Enlargement with a duct downstream $4D_2$ long. Uniform incoming flow at low Reynolds number. From ESDU 72011 (1972) [54]. | 113 |
| Figure 7-16: Different velocity profiles upstream of a sudden enlargement. From ESDU 72011 (1972) [54]. | 114 |
| Figure 7-17: Total-pressure loss coefficient, c_{Kt} , as a function of area ratio, ψ . Enlargement with a duct downstream $4D_2$ long. Numbers on curves indicate the velocity profile in Figure 7-22 for which the curve applies. From ESDU 72011 (1972) [54]. | 114 |
| Figure 7-18: Static-pressure loss coefficient, c_{Ks} , as a function of area ratio, ψ . Enlargement with a duct downstream $4D_2$ long. Numbers on curves indicate the velocity profile in Figure 7-22 for which the curve applies. From ESDU (1972) [54]. | 115 |
| Figure 7-19: Total-pressure loss coefficient, c_{Kt} , as a function of Reynolds number, Re_{D2} , for different values of the area ratio, ψ . The pressure loss coefficient is expressed in terms of the dynamic pressure at clause 6. From Idel'cik (1969) [97]. | 115 |
| Figure 7-20: Reference values of the pressure loss coefficient, c_K , as a function of the ratio, ϕ , of the area available for fluid flow to the total area of the duct cross section. Perforated plates and orifices. From ESDU 72010 (1972) [53]. | 116 |
| Figure 7-21: The factor α_3 to account for the effect of plate thickness when $t/d < 0,8$. c_{K0} is given in Figure 7-19. From ESDU 72010 (1972) [53]. | 117 |
| Figure 7-22: The factor α_4 to account for the effect of plate thickness when $t/d \geq 0,8$. $c_{K0,8}$ is given in Figure 7-19. From ESDU 72010 (1972) [53]. | 118 |
| Figure 7-23: Comparison between the pressure loss coefficients, c_K , in the intermediate region calculated by assuming either of the two extreme cases, fully-separated or reattached orifice flow. From ESDU 72010 (1972) [53]. | 119 |
| Figure 7-24: Reference pressure loss coefficient, c_{Kr} , as a function of porosity, ϕ . Round-wire gauzes. From ESDU 72009 (1972) [52]. | 119 |
| Figure 7-25: Factor α_5 to account for low Reynolds number effects in round-wire gauzes. Reynolds number based on the wire diameter. From ESDU 72009 (1972) [52]. | 120 |

CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-13:2021 (E)

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 7-26: Reference pressure loss coefficient, c_{Kr} , as a function of Reynolds number, Re , for diaphragm and butterfly valves fully open. Prepared by the compiler after ESDU 69022 (1969) [51]..... | 120 |
| Figure 7-27: Factor α_6 , which accounts for the partial opening of the valve, as a function of the degree of valve opening, δ . δ is defined as the ratio of valve control travel from closed position to total valve control travel. From ESDU 69022 (1969) [51]..... | 121 |
| Figure 7-28: Graphics for estimating the pressure loss coefficient, c_K , for in-line tube banks of several relative pitches, s_l , s_t , and yaw angles, θ . The influence of the heat exchange on the pressure loss is taken into account through the tube bank inlet and exit temperatures, T_i and T_o , respectively. From Idel'cik [97]..... | 122 |
| Figure 7-29: Graphics for estimating the pressure loss coefficient, c_K , for staggered tube banks of several relative pitches, s_l , s_t , and yaw angles, θ . The influence of the heat exchange on the pressure loss is taken into account through the tube bank inlet and exit temperatures, T_i and T_o , respectively. From Idel'cik (1969) [97]..... | 123 |
| Figure 7-30: Pressure loss coefficient, c_K , as a function of the ratio of lateral to total mass flow rates in branching tubes. The mixed confluence-branching case is not considered. From Idel'cik (1969) [97]..... | 124 |
| Figure 8-1: The ratio $2St/f$, for turbulent flow in constant wall temperature cylindrical tubes, as calculated by use of several expressions, vs. the Reynolds number, Re . E: Correlation of experimental results. From Goldstein (1950) [73]. R: Reynolds Analogy. P: Prandtl Analogy. K: von Kármán Analogy. Calculated by the compiler. | 127 |
| Figure 9-1: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a-h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Bergles (1969) [8]..... | 136 |
| Figure 9-2: Roughness function $u_e^+(e^+)$ for Nikuradse's sand roughness. (1) Hydraulically smooth. (2) $u_e^+ = 8,48$, completely rough. From Schlichting (1960) [157]..... | 139 |
| Figure 9-3: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a-h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . Curves A to D are from Bergles (1969) [8], curves E and F have been calculated by the compiler after Webb, Eckert & Goldstein (1971) [186]. | 139 |
| Figure 9-4: Roughness function $u_e^+(e^+,b/e)$ for repeated-rib roughness. From Webb et al. (1971) [186]..... | 142 |
| Figure 9-5: Flow pattern near the wall for different values of b/e | 142 |
| Figure 9-6: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a-h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . Curves A, B, C are from Bergles (1969) [8], curves D to G have been calculated by the compiler after Sheriff & Gumley (1966) [166]..... | 143 |
| Figure 9-7: Roughness function, $u_e^+(e^+,b/e)$, for wire coil roughness. Plotted by the compiler after Sheriff & Gumley (1966) [166]..... | 146 |
| Figure 9-8: Velocity and Temperature distributions across the annulus..... | 147 |
| Figure 9-9: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Carnavos (1974) [19]..... | 149 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 9-10: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Carnavos (1974) [19]..... | 151 |
| Figure 9-11: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Carnavos (1974) [19]..... | 153 |
| Figure 9-12: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Bergles (1969) [8]..... | 156 |
| Figure 9-13: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . Calculated by the compiler after Hong & Bergles (1976) [91]..... | 157 |
| Figure 9-14: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . Curves A to I are from Bergles (1969) [8], curves J to M have been calculated by the compiler after Thorsen & Landis (1968) [178]...... | 160 |
| Figure 9-15: Isothermal Nusselt number, Nu_{ab} , divided by the ratio of friction factors, Γ , vs. the Reynolds number, Re_T , for different values of the Prandtl number, Pr . Calculated by the compiler after Thorsen & Landis (1968) [178]...... | 163 |
| Figure 9-16: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . From Bergles (1969) [8]..... | 164 |
| Figure 9-17: Constant power heat transfer ratio, $(h_a/h_o)_P$, vs. Reynolds number based on non-augmentative conditions, Re_o . Curves A, B, C from Bergles (1969) [8], curves D, E, F from Bergles, Lee & Mikic (1969) [9]..... | 167 |
| Figure 10-1: Product of cooling effectiveness, F , of several fluids times the equivalent length of the loop, L_E , as functions of the difference between the heat source and the inlet temperature, $T_S - T_i$, for the following reference values: Inner diameter of the duct, $D = 10^{-2}$ m. Diabatic length of the duct, $L = 1$ m. Heat flux, $q = 250$ W.m ⁻² for Air, Carbon Dioxide, Carbon Tetrachloride, Hydrogen and Nitrogen, $q = 1000$ W.m ⁻² for Ethylene Glycol, Flutec PP50 and Water. Calculated by the compiler..... | 171 |
| Figure 10-2: Schematic representation of the fluid loop considered for estimating the fluid cooling effectiveness. | 172 |
| Figure 10-3: Graphical method allowing for values of heat flux, q , and inner diameter of the duct, D , different from those used in Figure 10-1..... | 174 |
| Figure 10-4: Graphic for estimating the product of the fluid cooling effectiveness, F , times the equivalent length of the loop, L_E , as a function of the difference between the heat source and the inlet fluid temperature, $T_S - T_i$. Fluid: Air. Reference values: $D = 10^{-2}$ m, $L = 1$ m, $q = 250$ W.m ⁻² . Values FL_E for different D and q , yet $L = 1$, can be calculated graphically as is indicated in the text. Prepared by the compiler..... | 175 |
| Figure 10-5: Graphic for estimating the product of the fluid cooling effectiveness, F , times the equivalent length of the loop, L_E , as a function of the difference between the heat source and the inlet fluid temperature, $T_S - T_i$. Fluid: Ethylene Glycol. Reference values: $D = 10^{-2}$ m, $L = 1$ m, $q = 1000$ W.m ⁻² . Values FL_E for different D and q , yet $L = 1$, can be calculated graphically as is indicated in the text. Prepared by the compiler. | 176 |
| Figure 10-6: Graphic for estimating the product of the fluid cooling effectiveness, F , times the equivalent length of the loop, L_E , as a function of the difference between the heat source and the inlet fluid temperature, $T_S - T_i$. Fluid: Flutec PP50. Reference values: $D = 10^{-2}$ m, $L = 1$ m, $q = 1000$ W.m ⁻² . | |