



**SLOVENSKI STANDARD**  
**SIST-TP CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021**

**01-oktober-2021**

---

**Vesoljska tehnika - Priročnik o toplotni zasnovi - 14. del: Kriogeno hlajenje**

Space Engineering - Thermal design handbook - Part 14: Cryogenic Cooling

Raumfahrttechnik - Handbuch für thermisches Design - Teil 14: Kryogene Kühlung

Ingénierie spatiale - Manuel de conception thermique - Partie 14: Refroidissement cryogénique

ITeH STANDARD PREVIEW  
(standards.iteh.ai)

**Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021**

[SIST-TP CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f33c483b-f65e-4f65-a6bf-6b59789ff4eb/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-14-2021)

[https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f33c483b-f65e-4f65-a6bf-](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f33c483b-f65e-4f65-a6bf-6b59789ff4eb/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-14-2021)

[6b59789ff4eb/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-14-2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f33c483b-f65e-4f65-a6bf-6b59789ff4eb/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-14-2021)

---

**ICS:**

49.140 Vesoljski sistemi in operacije Space systems and operations

**SIST-TP CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 en,fr,de**

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[SIST-TP CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f33c483b-f65e-4f65-a6bf-6b59789ff4eb/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-14-2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f33c483b-f65e-4f65-a6bf-6b59789ff4eb/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-14-2021>

TECHNICAL REPORT  
RAPPORT TECHNIQUE  
TECHNISCHER BERICHT

**CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-  
14**

August 2021

---

ICS 49.140

English version

**Space Engineering - Thermal design handbook - Part 14:  
Cryogenic Cooling**

Ingénierie spatiale - Manuel de conception thermique -  
Partie 14 : Refroidissement cryogénique

Raumfahrttechnik - Handbuch für thermisches Design -  
Teil 14: Kryogene

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 28 June 2021. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

**ITih STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[SIST-TP CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f33c483b-f65e-4f65-a6bf-6b59789ff4eb/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-14-2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f33c483b-f65e-4f65-a6bf-6b59789ff4eb/sist-tp-cen-clc-tr-17603-31-14-2021>



**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:  
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

## Table of contents

<b>European Foreword</b> .....	<b>25</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>26</b>
<b>2 References</b> .....	<b>27</b>
<b>3 Terms, definitions and symbols</b> .....	<b>28</b>
3.1 Terms and definitions .....	28
3.2 Abbreviated terms.....	28
3.3 Symbols.....	30
<b>4 General introduction</b> .....	<b>42</b>
4.1 Radiant coolers.....	43
4.2 Stored solid-cryogen coolers .....	44
4.3 Stored liquid Helium (He4) coolers .....	44
4.4 Trends toward lower temperatures .....	45
4.5 Mechanical refrigerators .....	46
4.6 Low temperature requirements to IR sensors .....	47
4.6.2 Radiation from the optical system .....	48
4.6.3 Noise from the detector .....	49
<b>5 Refrigerating systems</b> .....	<b>51</b>
5.1 General.....	51
5.2 Closed cycle .....	51
5.2.1 Reverse-Brayton cycle .....	52
5.2.2 Reverse-Brayton and Claude cycle refrigerators .....	54
5.2.3 Gifford-McMahon/Solvay cycle refrigerators.....	55
5.2.4 Joule-Thomson Closed Cycle Refrigerator .....	57
5.2.5 Stirling cycle refrigerators.....	58
5.2.6 Vuilleumier cycle refrigerator .....	66
5.2.7 Existing systems .....	69
5.3 Open cycle .....	105
5.3.1 Joule-Thomson open cycle refrigerators .....	105
5.3.2 Existing systems .....	108

**CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 (E)**

5.3.3	Stored liquid or solid cryogen open refrigerators .....	114
<b>6</b>	<b>VCS Dewars .....</b>	<b>115</b>
6.1	General.....	115
6.2	Theoretical analysis .....	117
6.2.1	Introduction .....	117
6.2.2	The idealized model .....	118
6.2.3	Evaluation of the restrictions involved in the idealized model .....	123
6.3	Supports .....	162
6.3.1	Introduction .....	162
6.3.2	Support materials .....	163
6.3.3	Low thermal conductance tubing .....	165
6.3.4	Tensile and flexural supports .....	170
6.3.5	Compressive supports .....	175
6.4	Phase separators .....	176
6.4.1	Introduction .....	176
6.4.2	Thermodynamic vent system .....	182
6.4.3	Capillary barriers .....	183
6.4.4	Porous media .....	190
6.4.5	Baffled tanks .....	193
6.4.6	Empirical data for design .....	205
6.4.7	Testing .....	216
6.5	Existing systems .....	218
6.5.1	Introduction .....	218
6.5.2	Data on existing systems .....	220
<b>7</b>	<b>Superfluid Helium .....</b>	<b>234</b>
7.1	Dynamics of superfluids .....	234
7.1.1	Relevant equations of superfluid dynamics .....	235
7.1.2	Frictional effects .....	240
7.1.3	Counterflow heat transfer .....	246
7.1.4	Heat transfer at arbitrary combinations of $v_n$ and $v_s$ .....	257
7.1.5	Vapor formation .....	258
7.1.6	Superfluid Helium film .....	259
7.2	Kapitza conductance .....	267
7.2.1	Measuring methods .....	269
7.2.2	Experimental data .....	272
7.3	Thermo-acoustic oscillations .....	303
7.4	The superfluid plug .....	305

**CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 (E)**

7.4.1	Phase separation in superfluid helium.....	305
7.4.2	Simplified theory of the superfluid plug.....	306
7.4.3	Characteristics of porous media .....	330
7.5	Filling a superfluid helium container.....	338
7.5.1	Liquid loss because of pump down .....	338
7.5.2	Pumping down requirements.....	340
7.5.3	A typical filling sequence.....	340
<b>8</b>	<b>Materials at cryogenic temperatures .....</b>	<b>343</b>
8.1	Normal cryogens .....	343
8.1.1	General properties .....	343
8.1.2	Entropy diagrams.....	391
8.2	Superfluid Helium-4 .....	443
8.3	Normal Helium-3.....	449
8.4	Metallic materials.....	452
8.5	Composite materials.....	466
8.5.1	Structural tubes.....	493
8.6	Miscellaneous materials.....	495
<b>9</b>	<b>Safety with cryogenic systems .....</b>	<b>496</b>
9.1	General.....	496
9.1.1	Physiological hazards.....	496
9.1.2	Fire and explosion hazards .....	496
9.1.3	Pressure hazards.....	497
9.1.4	Materials hazards.....	497
9.1.5	Safety provisions.....	498
9.2	Hazards related to properties of cryogens .....	499
9.2.1	Combustion in an oxygen environment .....	501
9.2.2	Combustible cryogens.....	502
9.2.3	Fluorine.....	510
9.2.4	O <sub>2</sub> deficiency.....	511
9.3	Change of properties of structural materials.....	511
9.3.1	Temperature embrittlement .....	511
9.3.2	Hydrogen embrittlement.....	521
9.3.3	Design codes and acceptance tests .....	528
	<b>Bibliography.....</b>	<b>529</b>

**Figures**

## CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 (E)

Figure 4-1: He <sup>3</sup> cooler being developed by NASA. From Sherman (1978) [216].	46
Figure 4-2: Procedure to reduce the background flux from the optics. From Caren & Sklensky (1970) [37].	48
Figure 4-3: Detectivity, $D^*$ , of a photon noise-limited detector as a function of cutoff wavelength, $\lambda_c$ , for several values of the optics temperature, $T$ . From Caren & Sklensky (1970) [37].	49
Figure 4-4: Typical detector operating temperature, $T$ , vs. detectivity, $D^*$ . The detector is germanium doped either with mercury, with cadmium or with copper. From Caren & Sklensky (1970) [37].	50
Figure 5-1: Reverse-Brayton Cycle Refrigerator. From Sherman (1978) [216].	52
Figure 5-2: Compressor cross section of ADL rotary-reciprocating refrigerator. From Donabedian (1972) [59].	53
Figure 5-3: Claude Cycle Refrigerator. From Donabedian (1972) [59].	54
Figure 5-4: Solvay Cycle Refrigerator. From Donabedian (1972) [59].	56
Figure 5-5: Joule-Thomson Closed Cycle Refrigerator. From Donabedian (1972) [59].	57
Figure 5-6: Stirling Cycle Refrigerator Operation. From Sherman (1978) [216].	58
Figure 5-7: Stirling Cycle Refrigerator Ideal Pressure-Volume and Temperature-Entropy Diagrams. From Sherman (1978) [216].	59
Figure 5-8: Schematic representation of North American Philips refrigerator, showing rhombic drive mechanism. The drive has two counter-rotating crankshafts, each powered by a drive motor. By adjusting the mass of the reciprocating members of the drive and by adding appropriate counterweights to the crankshafts, the center of the gravity of all the moving parts can be kept stationary. From Balas, Leffel & Wingate (1978) [16].	60
Figure 5-9: Schematic representation of North American Philips Magnetic Bearing refrigerator, showing the linear motors for piston and displacer and the magnetic bearing. The displacer rod passes through the piston. From Sherman, Gasser, Benson & McCormick (1980) [221].	61
Figure 5-10: Coupling of two refrigerator units to provide cooling of a single detector. The complete refrigerator can be seen in Figure 5-8. Here, on the contrary, only the first and second stages of both refrigerators are shown. From Naes & Nast (1980) [160].	62
Figure 5-11: Ground Test temperatures, of the first and second stage vs. Second stage heat transfer rate, $Q_2$ , for different values of the first stage heat transfer rate, $Q_1$ , and motor rpm. The data correspond to refrigerator 2 but are typical of the four units. From Naes & Nast (1980) [160]. ○ first stage, $Q_1 = 1,5$ W, 1000 rpm; □ first stage, $Q_1 = 1,5$ W, 1150 rpm; △ second stage $Q_1 = 1,5$ W, 1000 rpm; ▽ second stage $Q_1 = 1,5$ W, 1150 rpm; ▷ first stage, $Q_1 = 2$ W, 1000 rpm; ◁ $Q_1 = 2$ W, 1000 rpm.	63
Figure 5-12: In orbit temperature, $T$ , of several components of Gamma 004 systems vs. Orbital time, $t$ . From Naes & Nast (1980) [160]. ○ cold tip of refrigerator 3; □ cold tip of refrigerator 4; △ shroud; ● ground test value of cold tip of refrigerator 3; ▲ ground test value of shroud.	63
Figure 5-13: In orbit temperature, $T$ , of several components of Gamma 003 systems vs. Orbital time, $t$ . From Naes & Nast (1980) [160]. ○ cold tip of refrigerator 2; □ cold tip of refrigerator 1; △ shroud; ● ground test value of cold tip of refrigerator 2; ▲ ground test value of shroud.	64

## CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 (E)

Figure 5-14: In orbit heat transfer rates, $Q$ , from Gamma 003 detector to refrigerators 1 and 2, vs. orbital time, $t$ . From Naes & Nast (1980) [160]. ○ detector heat load. Refrigerator 2 on; □ heat load through meter 1, $Q_1$ . Refrigerator 1 off; △ heat load through meter 2, $Q_2$ . Refrigerator 2 on; ● refrigerators 1 and 2 on; ■ refrigerators 1 and 2 on; ▲ refrigerators 1 and 2 on. ....	64
Figure 5-15: Schematic of the Vuilleumier-Cycle Refrigerator. From Sherman (1978) [216]. ....	67
Figure 5-16: Vuilleumier-Cycle Refrigerator. From Sherman (1971) [218]. ....	67
Figure 5-17: Pressure-Volume Diagrams, for the Cold Cylinder, Hot Cylinder and Total Gas, of the Vuilleumier-Cycle Refrigerator. From Sherman (1971) [218]. ....	67
Figure 5-18: Inverse efficiency (required power per unit of refrigeration power) $\eta^{-1}$ , vs. operating temperature, $T$ , for several closed cycle refrigerators. a - Brayton refrigerators (Turbo machinery Systems). b - Stirling refrigerators. c - Vuilleumier refrigerators. d - Gifford-McMahon/Solvay refrigerators. From Donabedian (1972) [59]. Also shown are curves for closed cycle refrigerators operating with the quoted efficiencies (in percentages of Carnot) through the whole temperature range. From Haskin & Dexter (1979) [83]. The Carnot efficiency for a machine working between $T_C$ and $T_H$ temperatures is given by $\eta_c = 1 - T_C/T_H$ . Very low operating temperatures result in a reduced efficiency for a given cooling load and a given cycle. ....	70
Figure 5-19: System mass per unit of refrigeration power, $M_p$ , vs. operating temperature, $T$ for several closed cycle refrigerators. a - Gifford-McMahon/Solvay refrigerators. b - Stirling refrigerators. From Donabedian (1972) [59]. ....	71
Figure 5-20: System mass per unit of refrigeration power (or cooling load), $M_p$ , for representative closed cycle refrigerating systems and for passive radiant coolers. ○ Closed cycle refrigerators, $Q = 0,1$ W. ● Closed cycle refrigerators, $Q = 1$ W. ◐ Closed cycle refrigerators, $Q = 10$ W. □ Passive radiant coolers; $Q = 0,1$ W. ◑ Passive radiant coolers; $Q = 1$ W. ◒ Passive radiant coolers; $Q = 10$ W. From Haskin & Dexter (1979) [83]. ▧ Smallest temperature attained by closed cycle refrigerators in orbit. ▨ Smallest temperature attained by passive radiant coolers in orbit. From Sherman (1982) [217]. ....	72
Figure 5-21: System area per unit of refrigeration power (or cooling load), $A_p/M_p$ , for closed cycle refrigerating systems and for passive radiant coolers. ○ Closed cycle refrigerators, $Q = 1$ W. □ Passive radiant coolers; $Q = 1$ W. From Haskin & Dexter (1979) [83]. Although the areas, $A_p$ , have been calculated for 1 W cooling, they could be scaled in approximately direct proportion to the cooling load. $A_p/Q = 7,13 \times 10^7 T^{-4}$ is the best fitting, by the least squares method, to the data for passive radiant coolers. ▧ Smallest temperature attained by closed cycle refrigerators in orbit. ▨ Smallest temperature attained by passive radiant coolers in orbit. From Sherman (1982) [217]. ....	75
Figure 5-22: 80 K cooler schematic. From Jewell (1991) [103]. ....	101
Figure 5-23: Cooler heat lift performance vs. gross compressor input power. From Scull & Jewell (1991) [211]. ....	102
Figure 5-24: 20 K cooler schematic. From Jones et al. (1991) [110]. ....	103

**CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 (E)**

Figure 5-25: Heat lift performance of: a) development model; b) engineering model. From Jones et al. (1991) [110].	104
Figure 5-26: 4 K cooler layout. From Bradshaw & Orłowska (1988) [27].	104
Figure 5-27: Cooling power/mass flow vs. precooler temperature. From Bradshaw & Orłowska (1991) [28].	105
Figure 5-28: Isenthalps and inversion curve for different gasses. a Hydrogen. b Helium. c Nitrogen. From Zemansky (1968) [272]. Data in b, after Hill & Loumasmaa (1960) [89], are no longer valid for above 20 K. Upper isenthalps are instead from Angus & de Reuck (1977) [6], pp. 64-127. The locus of the maxima has been drawn by the compiler as a dotted line.	106
Figure 5-29: Schematic of a typical JT cryostat-dewar system. From Hellwig (1980) [86].	107
Figure 5-30: Schematic of a self-demand flow JT cryostat-dewar system. From Oren & Gutfinger (1979) [175]. The sketch of the variable-orifice controlling device is from Buller (1970) [35].	108
Figure 6-1: Schematic representation of a solid groygen cooler. From Breckenridge (1972) [29].	116
Figure 6-2: Sketch of a typical VCS Dewar. From Niendorf & Choksi (1967) [169].	117
Figure 6-3: Heat transfer mechanism through a normal attachment VCS Dewar. From Niendorf & Choksi (1967) [169].	117
Figure 6-4: Insulation model geometry.	119
Figure 6-5: Ratio $m/m_0$ against the cryogen sensibility, $S$ , for different values of the heat additions to the cryogen other than those across the insulation. No cooled supports ( $m_{sf} = 0$ ). Calculated by the compiler.	121
Figure 6-6: Corrective factor, $\omega_k$ , for the dependence of insulation thermal conductivity, $k$ , on temperature, $T$ , against the sensibility, $S$ , of the cryogen, for several values of the temperature ratio, $T_c/T_H$ . A linear thermal conductivity vs. temperature dependence has been assumed. Calculated by the compiler.	128
Figure 6-7: Insulation model with finite number of shields.	129
Figure 6-8: Corrective factor, $\omega_n$ , accounting for the influence of the finite number, $n$ , of shields, vs. the sensibility $S$ of the cryogen, for several values of $n$ . Calculated by the compiler.	134
Figure 6-9: Contours of constant values of the ratio of the heat flux through the VCS system to the uncooled shield heat flux, mapped as functions of the dimensionless distances, $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ , of the two vapor cooled shields to the cold face of the insulation, for several values of the sensibility, $S$ , of the cryogen. Uniform insulation thermal conductivity. The numerical values labelling the contours corresponds to $\omega_n/\omega_{nopt} - 1$ . Calculated by the compiler.	140
Figure 6-10: Contours of dimensionless displacements of a single shield from its optimum position ( $\xi_1 = 0,25$ ) which produce a 10% increase in the heat flux through a three shield system. The contours are mapped as functions of the remaining two shields dimensionless positions. Numerical values are for helium between 4 K and 300 K. From Atherton & Prentiss (1973) [12].	141
Figure 6-11: Contours of constant values of the ratio of the heat flux through the VCS system to the uncooled shield heat flux, mapped as functions of the dimensionless distances, $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ , of the two vapor cooled shields to the	

## CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 (E)

cold face of the insulation, for several cryogenes in typical cases. Temperature dependent insulation thermal conductivity ( $k = k_1 T$ ). The numerical values labelling the contours corresponds to $\omega_H/\omega_{nopt} - 1$ . Calculated by the compiler. ....	142
Figure 6-12: Factor $\omega_{Nu}$ , accounting for finite convective heat transfer in the venting duct, vs. coefficient $r$ , for several cryogenes. $T_H = 300$ K. Calculated by the compiler. ....	145
Figure 6-13: Factor $\omega_{Nu}$ , accounting for finite convective heat transfer in the venting duct, vs. coefficient $r$ , for several cryogenes. $T_H = 200$ K. Calculated by the compiler. ....	146
Figure 6-14: Factor $\omega_{Nu}$ , accounting for finite convective heat transfer in the venting duct, vs. coefficient $r$ , for several cryogenes. $T_H = 150$ K. Calculated by the compiler. ....	147
Figure 6-15: Helium vapor bulk temperature, $T_b$ , vs. insulation temperature, $T$ , for different values of the dimensionless heat transfer coefficient, $r$ . $T_H = 300$ K. Calculated by the compiler. ....	148
Figure 6-16: Temperature, $T$ , across the insulation for different values of the dimensionless heat transfer coefficient $r$ . Helium vapor cooling. $T_H = 300$ K. Calculated by the compiler. ....	149
Figure 6-17: Sketch of a VCS insulation in the nearness of the venting duct. Normal attachment. After Paivanas et al. (1965) [177]. ....	149
Figure 6-18: Sketch of the insulation and of the simplified configurations used to analyze the influence of the finite thermal conductivity of the shields. (a) Insulation. (b) Simplified configuration in the physical coordinates $x, y$ . (c) Simplified configuration in the stretched coordinates, $\xi, \eta$ . ....	151
Figure 6-19: Sketch of a typical spaceborne Dewar. All the dimensions are in mm. ....	152
Figure 6-20: Coefficient, $(\omega_{\gamma} - 1)/\varepsilon$ , of the first order correction accounting for the influence of the finite thermal conductivity of the VCSs on the cryogen boil- off rate, as a function of the cryogen sensibility, $S$ , for two values of the dimensionless outer radius of the venting duct, $\alpha$ . The results have been obtained by means of a perturbation scheme in the small parameter, $\varepsilon$ , which measured the ratio of normal to lateral heat flux, and are valid provided that terms of order $\varepsilon^{3/2}$ can be neglected. Calculated by the compiler. ....	160
Figure 6-21: Cryogenic supports tubes. a) Composite. b) All-metal. All dimensions are in mm. From Hall & Spond (1977) [81]. ....	166
Figure 6-22: Heat transfer rate, $Q_s$ , through fiber-glass overwrapped and through all- stainless-steel supports vs. support length, $L$ , for several values of liner wall thickness, $t_l$ , and overwrap thickness, $t_o$ . (a) Inner diameter of the tube, $d =$ $12,7 \times 10^{-3}$ m. (b) $d = 50,8 \times 10^{-3}$ m. From Hall et al. (1971) [80]. ....	167
Figure 6-23: Heat transfer rate, $Q_s$ , through fiber-glass overwrapped supports vs. liner wall thickness, $t_l$ , for several support lengths, $L$ and overwrap thickness, $t_o =$ $0,762 \times 10^{-3}$ m. Hoop wrapping. (a) Inner diameter of the tube, $d = 12,7 \times 10^{-3}$ m. (b) $d = 50,8 \times 10^{-3}$ m. From Hall et al. (1971) [80]. ....	168
Figure 6-24: Heat transfer rate, $Q_s$ , through fiber-glass overwrapped stainless-steel supports vs. overwrap thickness, $t_o$ , for several supports lengths, $L$ , and liner wall thickness $t_l = 0,51 \times 10^{-3}$ m. Hoop wrapping. (a) Inner diameter of the tube, $d = 12,7 \times 10^{-3}$ m. (b) $d = 50,8 \times 10^{-3}$ m. From Hall et al. (1971) [80]. ....	169

**CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 (E)**

Figure 6-25: Heat transfer rate, $Q_s$ , through fiber-glass overwrapped stainless-steel supports vs. warm boundary temperature, $T_H$ , for several values of the cold boundary temperature, $T_C$ . Tube length, $L$ , liner wall thickness, $t_l$ , and overwrap thickness, $t_o$ , as indicated in the insert. Hoop wrapping. (a) Inner diameter of the tube, $d = 12,7 \times 10^{-3}$ m. (b) $d = 50,8 \times 10^{-3}$ m. From Hall et al. (1971) [80].	170
Figure 6-26: Typical supporting methods. Notice how the rods shown in (a) are crossed to minimize the effect of thermal contraction and to increase the length of the heat flow path. In (b), long suspension rods are accommodated in standoffs. From Barron (1966) [18].	171
Figure 6-27: Tensile support of a liquid helium tank. From Lemke, Klipping & Römisch (1978) [131].	171
Figure 6-28: Spacing discs. From Bennett et al. (1974) [23].	172
Figure 6-29: Support tube for a liquid helium Dewar. From Bennett et al. (1974) [23].	173
Figure 6-30: Two ways of supporting cryogenic containers by means of tensile ties. After Glaser et al. (1967) [75].	174
Figure 6-31: Sketch of the Superfluid Helium Cryostat for Space Use (CRHESUS) showing the tensile ties used for supporting the helium tank. From Lizon-Tati & Girard (1978) [134].	174
Figure 6-32: CRHESUS heat flow diagram. From Lizon-Tati & Girard (1978) [134].	175
Figure 6-33: Composite column compressive support. From Heim & Fast (1973) [85].	176
Figure 6-34: Schematic of thermodynamic vent system. a) Forced convection. From Mitchell et al. (1967). b) Pulsed constant pressure. From Müller et al. (1983) [157].	182
Figure 6-35: Thermodynamic phase separator. From Fradkov & Troitskii (1975) [71].	183
Figure 6-36: A capillary barrier in static equilibrium. From McCarthy (1968) [144].	184
Figure 6-37: Container with a capillary-barrier partition. From McCarthy (1968) [144]. (a) An angular acceleration appears when the interface is formed at the barrier. (b) The configuration reaches a steady angular velocity before interaction of the interface with the barrier. See Table 6-12 for the definition of the experimental conditions.	185
Figure 6-38: Results of barrier dynamic stability tests. Bond number-controlled mode. Tests were insufficient for determining the effect on barrier stability of the various dimensionless parameters. From McCarthy (1968) [144].	186
Figure 6-39: Results of dynamic stability tests with different barriers. Bond number-controlled mode. The acceleration, $g$ , is parallel to the barrier. From Fester (1973) [67]. A Reynolds number through the hole has been plotted vs. the critical Bond number.	187
Figure 6-40: Results of barrier dynamic stability tests. Weber number-controlled mode. From McCarthy (1968) [144]. The Weber number in abscissae is normalized with an analytical critical Weber number $We_c$ , which is given in Figure 6-41 below.	188
Figure 6-41: Critical Weber number, $We_c$ , as a function of geometry, $l/D$ , and position of the axis of rotation, $L/D$ . These results have been obtained by use of a potential (incompressible, inviscid, irrotational flow) theory with $O_p \rightarrow 1$ , although assuming that the barrier induces a capillary pressure difference. From Gluck (1970) [76].	188

## CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 (E)

Figure 6-42: Damping performance of selected barriers. From Fester (1973) [67]. The damping categories A to G are associated to the flow patterns resulting after impingement of the liquid with the barrier, from orderly (A) to irregular (G).....	189
Figure 6-43: Compartmented tank device. From Fester, Eberhardt & Tegart (1975) [68]....	190
Figure 6-44: Sustained liquid height in a capillary tube. ....	190
Figure 6-45: Sustained ethanol height, $l$ , vs. diameter of fiber, $d_o$ . Gravity level $40g_o$ . From Enya, Kisaragi, Ochiai, Sasao & Kuriki (1981) [64].....	191
Figure 6-46: Sustained liquid height, $l$ , vs. gravity level, $g/g_o$ . Liquids are: Ammonia (circle), underfilled Ammonia (square), and ethanol (triangle). Matrix is glass wool, $d_o = 10^{-6}$ m. Solid lines have been deduced from Eq. [6-91] with $\theta = 0$ and the quoted values of $d$ . From Enya, Kisaragi, Ochiai, Sasao and Kuriki (1981) [64].....	192
Figure 6-47: Criterion for the onset of nucleation in subcooled boiling. After Collier (1981) [46].....	193
Figure 6-48: Post height, $l$ , required to position a given ullage, $U$ , under reduced gravity. See Clause 6.4.5.2 for explanation of curves $d/R \neq 0$ , B and C.....	194
Figure 6-49: Experimental glass tank with a standpipe. From Petrash, Nussle & Otto (1963) [184]. All the dimensions are in mm. ....	195
Figure 6-50: Minimum ullage centering capability of the standpipe.....	196
Figure 6-51: Liquid acquisition by the standpipe for large ullages. From Petrash, Nussle & Otto (1983) [184].....	196
Figure 6-52: Central post with thin, off axis, posts (fingers). From Tegart et al. (1972) [233].....	197
Figure 6-53: Criteria to deduce vane profile limits. From Tegart et al. (1972) [233].....	198
Figure 6-54: Limiting vane profiles, $R_{min}/R$ and $R_{max}/R$ for $n = 6, 8$ and $12$ vanes. $R_{min}/R$ has been calculated for an ullage $U = 0,05$ . $R_{max}/R$ is ullage-independent. After Tegart et al. (1972) [233]. ....	199
Figure 6-55: Simplified bubble geometry. The bubble is held by two contiguous vanes and shapes up as if it were held by the "effective" vane. From Tegart et al. (1972) [233].....	200
Figure 6-56: The ideal distorted axisymmetrical bubble. ....	201
Figure 6-57: Angle $\theta_a$ which measures the distortion of the bubble vs. ratio, $R_o/R$ , of inner body radius to tank radius. Calculated by the compiler. ....	202
Figure 6-58: Typical effective vane profiles, $R_o/R$ , and dimensionless restoring force, $R\Delta K$ , vs. displacement angle, $\theta$ . The Figure has been replotted by the compiler after a representation in polar coordinates by Tegart et al. (1972) [233].....	203
Figure 6-59: Typical effective vane profiles, $R_o/R$ , and dimensionless restoring force, $R\Delta K$ , vs. displacement angle, $\theta$ . The vane profiles have been calculated by Eq. [6-99] with the shown values of $k$ and $m$ . Forces have been deduced from Eqs. [6-96] to [6-98].....	204
Figure 6-60: Bond length, $L_b$ , as a function of $T$ , for saturated Argon, Methane, Nitrogen and Oxygen.....	206
Figure 6-61: Bond length, $L_b$ , as a function of $T$ , for saturated Ethane, Carbon Dioxide and Ammonia. ....	207

## CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 (E)

Figure 6-62: Bond length, $L_b$ , as a function of $T$ , for saturated Hydrogen, Helium and Neon. ....	208
Figure 6-63: Relation between contact angle, $\theta$ , and surface tension, $\sigma$ , for several liquids on the quoted surfaces. ....	210
Figure 6-64: Sketch of a dual stage solid cooler. From Nast et al. (1976) [161]. ....	218
Figure 6-65: Liquid helium ( $\text{He}^4$ ) coolers. a) Single stage. b) Dual stage. From Sherman (1978) [216]. ....	219
Figure 6-66: Normal attachment of the VCSs to the cooling duct through heat stationis. From Glaser et al. (1967) [75]. ....	219
Figure 6-67: Tangential attachment of the cooling duct to the shields. Sketched by the compiler after Hopkins & Chronic (1973) [94]. ....	220
Figure 6-68: Detector, $T_1$ , and optics, $T_2$ , temperature vs. orbital time. ....	225
Figure 6-69: JPL-Caltech IR detector cooler arrangement. ....	227
Figure 6-70: Heat Flow diagram of the Ball Brothers Liquid helium Dewar. ....	232
Figure 7-1: Phase diagram for $\text{He}^4$ (not to scale). From Arp (1970) [10]. ....	234
Figure 7-2: Schematic of the apparatus used by the Leiden group to produce helium flow through capillaries with independent variation of superfluid and normal velocities. a) From Van der Heijden, Van der Boog & Kramers (1974) [247]. b) From De Haas & Van Beelen (1976) [55]. ....	242
Figure 7-3: The superfluid friction, $LF_s$ , vs. relative velocity, $v_n-v_s$ , for various runs with $\rho_s v_s + \rho_n v_n = \text{Const}$ . From van der Heijden, van der Boog & Kramers (1974) [247]. ....	244
Figure 7-4: The mutual friction, $LF_{sn}$ , vs. relative velocity, $v_n-v_s$ , from various constant mass flux runs. From van der Heijden, van der Boog & Kramers (1974) [247]. ....	245
Figure 7-5: Mutual friction to superfluid friction ratio, $F_{sn}/F_s$ , vs. relative velocity, $v_n-v_s$ , from various runs with $v_s \geq 0$ and $v_n \geq 0$ . From van der Heijden, van der Boog & Kramers (1974) [247]. ....	245
Figure 7-6: Isothermal and iso chemical-potential flows in the $v_n, v_s$ plane. The shaded region corresponds to subcritical flow ( $\Delta\mu=0$ ). From van der Heijden, van der Boog & Kramers (1974) [247]. ....	246
Figure 7-7: Correlations between the critical superfluid velocity, $v_{sc1}$ , and the tube diameter, $D_E$ . The experimental data have been re-plotted by the compiler after van Alphen et al. (1969) [246]. They correspond to widely different flow conditions. * Clow and Reppy, $T_\lambda - T = 50 \times 10^{-3}$ K. $\odot$ Fokkens, film flow. $\blacktriangle$ Pellman, "superfluid wind tunnel". $\square$ Chase, heat conduction $T \rightarrow T_\lambda$ ; $v_n \rightarrow 0$ . $\triangle$ Van Alphen, adiabatic flow rate. $\circ$ Van Alphen, energy dissipation technique. $\blacktriangledown$ Kramers, second sound attenuation in pure superfluid flow. $\bullet$ Van Alphen, critical flow through jeweller's rouge. $\nabla$ Keller and Hammel, isothermal flow. $\bullet$ Data from reviews of Atkins, and Hammel and Keller. ....	248
Figure 7-8: Schematic of pressure and temperature drop data as a function of heat flux. ....	249
Figure 7-9: Schematic of $L_V^{1/2} D_E$ vs. $v_s D_E$ under steady-state conditions. From Childers & Tough (1976) [44]. ....	251
Figure 7-10: Critical Reynolds number for counterflow heat exchange, $Re_c$ , as a function of temperature, $T$ . From Arp (1970) [10]. ....	253

## CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 (E)

Figure 7-11: Diagrams which relate the thermal gradient, $dT/dx$ , to the heat flux, $q$ , in counterflow heat exchange. $T=1,5$ K to 2 K. Calculated by the compiler after Arp (1970) [10].	254
Figure 7-12: Temperature profile along a channel filled with He II at atmospheric pressure in conterflow heat exchange. From Bon Mardion, Claudet & Seyfert (1979) [26].	256
Figure 7-13: Tube and He II bath arrangement.	258
Figure 7-14: Film and bulk liquid configuration	259
Figure 7-15: Bernoulli thinning. The full line corresponds to Eq. [7-46]. The dotted line is the Kontorovich (1956) [125] solution. Neither solution gives the correct transition of the film interface to the horizontal free surface in the reservoir, because capillary pressure has been neglected. Curves labelled with the values of $Bo$ correspond to Eq. [7-49].	262
Figure 7-16: Cell used to perform reduced-gravity test. The film thickness experiments were performed in the left hand side compartment. From Yang & Mason (1980) [268].	267
Figure 7-17: Kapitza conductance, $h_k$ , of low Debye temperature metals, Mercury, Lead, Gold and Silver in contact with Liquid Helium, vs. temperature, $T$ . See Table 7-2 below.	273
Figure 7-18: Kapitza conductance, $h_k$ , of Copper in contact with various low acoustic impedance materials vs. temperature, $T$ . See Table 7-2 and Table 7-41 below. Theoretical results are also shown in this figure.	274
Figure 7-19: Kapitza conductance, $h_k$ , of Tungsten, Aluminium, Molybdenum and Beryllium, in contact with Liquid Helium, vs. temperature, $T$ . See Table 7-2 below.	275
Figure 7-20: Kapitza conductance, $h_k$ , of Nonmetals in contact with Liquid helium vs. temperature $T$ . See Table 7-32 below.	275
Figure 7-21: The neutral stability curve for Taconis oscillations when $\xi = 1$ . $\circ D_E = 2,4 \times 10^{-3}$ m, $T_H = 288$ K; $\bullet D_E = 2,4 \times 10^{-3}$ m, $T_H = 77,3$ K; $\square D_E = 4,4 \times 10^{-3}$ m, $T_H = 288$ K; $\blacksquare D_E = 4,4 \times 10^{-3}$ m, $T_H = 77,3$ K From Yazaki, Tominaga & Narahara (1979) [269].	304
Figure 7-22: Device for preventing Taconis oscillations. All the dimensions are in mm. From Hilal & McIntosh (1976) [88].	305
Figure 7-23: Superfluid plug arrangement. The intake face of the plug is located at $x = 0$ .	306
Figure 7-24: Backward pressure, $p_2$ , as a function of mass flow rate, $m$ , through the plug. Experimental points are from smooth curves by Karr & Urban (1978, 1980) [113] & [114]. The curve shown in the figure and the Reynolds number in the abscissae axis correspond to turbulent flow (neglecting entrance effects, see ECSS-E-HB-31-01 Part 13 clause 7.2.5) in a straight tube of circular cross-section, under the validity of Blasius formula, for the data shown in the insert. Calculated by the compiler.	312
Figure 7-25: Quadrangle of data required in porous plug performance evaluation.	313
Figure 7-26: Mass flow rate, $m$ , vs. pressure drop, $p_1-p_2$ , for slits of various lengths, $t$ , and two different bath temperatures, $T_1$ . From Denner et al. (1980) [56].	324
Figure 7-27: Active Phase Separator (APS). From Denner et al. (1982) [57].	325

**CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-14:2021 (E)**

Figure 7-28: Three typical positions of the liquid-vapor interface. a) Ideal flow separation. b) Choking. c) Gorter-Mellink flow. From Schotto (1984) [209].	327
Figure 7-29: Temperature distribution within a 4 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> m thick. Ceramic plug for several pressure differences. $p_2 = 2,55 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa in any case. From Elsner (1973) [63].	329
Figure 7-30: Time constant, $b$ , as a function of heating power, $Q$ , for the plug described by Karr & Urban (1978,1980) [113] & [114] in clause 7.4.2.6. Position of the heaters, $H$ , is also shown in the figure. White circle: upstream heater power-on; blackcircle: upstream heater power-off; white square: heater at the plug exit power-on; black square: heater at the plug exit, power-off. From Karr & Urban (1978,1980) [113] & [114]. There is no consistent difference between power-on and power-off.	329
Figure 7-31: Fraction, $f$ , of liquid mass lost because of pump down vs. final temperature, $T_f$ . Curves labelled REVERSIBLE correspond to Eqs. [7-80] and [7-81] respectively. Experimental results are also shown. From Nicol & Bohm (1960) [168].	339
Figure 7-32: Mass flow rate, $m/\rho$ , required for a refrigerating load of 10 <sup>-2</sup> W as a function of final temperature, $T_f$ , under three different situations. (a) Liquid He <sup>4</sup> is continuously supplied at 4,2 K for evaporation. (b) No supply of He <sup>4</sup> . (c) Liquid He <sup>3</sup> is continuously supplied at 3,2 K for evaporation. From Nicol & Bohm (1960) [168].	340
Figure 7-33: Superfluid helium filling assembly. Explanation: NV1 to NV4, ruby needle valve; NV5, standard needle valve; V1, remote controlled QSB for flap valve; V2 to V8, standard valves; F1 and F2, external fittings to maintain cleanliness; T1, 120° flexible transfer tube continuous with filling cryostat and having a 4,2 K radiation shield; T2, long flexible transfer tube for filling 4,2 K tank; R1, 4,2 K reservoir and header tank; R2, 1,5 K reservoir. (NV2 is the porous plug seal, NV3 is the gas vent hole seal). From OXFORD INSTRUMENTS (1976) [176].	341
Figure 8-1: Density, $\rho$ , of Saturated Liquid Argon vs. temperature, $T$ . From Johnson (1961) [109].	348
Figure 8-2: Density, $\rho$ , of Saturated Solid Argon vs. temperature, $T$ . From Johnson (1961) [109].	349
Figure 8-3: Density, $\rho$ , of Saturated Liquid Methane vs. temperature, $T$ . From Johnson (1961) [109].	349
Figure 8-4: Density, $\rho$ , of Saturated Solid Methane vs. temperature, $T$ . From Johnson (1961) [109].	350
Figure 8-5: Density, $\rho$ , of Saturated Liquid Ethane vs. temperature, $T$ . From Johnson (1975) [107].	350
Figure 8-6: Density, $\rho$ , of Saturated Liquid Carbon Dioxide vs. temperature, $T$ . From LEFAX [130].	351
Figure 8-7: Density, $\rho$ , of Saturated Solid Carbon Dioxide vs. temperature, $T$ . From LEFAX [130].	351
Figure 8-8: Density, $\rho$ , of Saturated Liquid Hydrogen vs. temperature, $T$ . From Vargaftik (1975) [253].	352
Figure 8-9: Density, $\rho$ , of Saturated Liquid Helium-4 vs. temperature, $T$ . From Johnson (1961) [109].	352