

---

---

**Information technology — Open  
Systems Interconnection — The  
Directory —**

**Part 4:  
Procedures for distributed operation**

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
*Technologies de l'information — Interconnexion de systèmes ouverts  
(OSI) — L'annuaire —  
(standards.iteh.ai)  
Partie 4: Procédures pour le fonctionnement réparti*

[ISO/IEC 9594-4:2017](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-525ba8532c5b/iso-iec-9594-4-2017)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-525ba8532c5b/iso-iec-9594-4-2017>



**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[ISO/IEC 9594-4:2017](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-525ba8532c5b/iso-iec-9594-4-2017)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-525ba8532c5b/iso-iec-9594-4-2017>



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO/IEC 2017, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland  
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax +41 22 749 09 47  
copyright@iso.org  
www.iso.org

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

## iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-721c851a6e88-iso/iec-9594-4:2017>

This eighth edition cancels and replaces the seventh edition (ISO/IEC 9594-4:2014), which has been technically revised.

This document was prepared by ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, SC 6, *Telecommunications and information exchange between systems*, in collaboration with ITU-T. The identical text is published as ITU-T X.518 (10/2016).

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 9594 series, published under the general title *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — The Directory*, can be found on the ISO website.

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[ISO/IEC 9594-4:2017](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-525ba8532c5b/iso-iec-9594-4-2017)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-525ba8532c5b/iso-iec-9594-4-2017>

## CONTENTS

|  | <i>Page</i> |
|--|-------------|
| SECTION 1 – GENERAL .....                          | 1           |
| 1 Scope .....                                      | 1           |
| 2 References .....                                 | 1           |
| 2.1 Normative references .....                     | 1           |
| 2.2 Non-normative reference.....                   | 2           |
| 3 Definitions .....                                | 2           |
| 3.1 Basic Directory definitions.....               | 2           |
| 3.2 Directory model definitions .....              | 2           |
| 3.3 DSA information model definitions .....        | 2           |
| 3.4 Abstract service definitions .....             | 3           |
| 3.5 Protocol definitions .....                     | 3           |
| 3.6 Directory replication definitions .....        | 3           |
| 3.7 Distributed operation definitions.....         | 3           |
| 4 Abbreviations .....                              | 5           |
| 5 Conventions .....                                | 5           |
| SECTION 2 – OVERVIEW .....                         | 7           |
| 6 Overview .....                                   | 7           |
| SECTION 3 – DISTRIBUTED DIRECTORY MODELS .....     | 8           |
| 7 Distributed Directory system model.....          | 8           |
| 8 DSA interactions model.....                      | 9           |
| 8.1 Decomposition of a request.....                | 9           |
| 8.2 Uni-chaining.....                              | 9           |
| 8.3 Multi-chaining.....                            | 10          |
| 8.4 Referral.....                                  | 11          |
| 8.5 Mode determination .....                       | 12          |
| SECTION 4 – DSA ABSTRACT SERVICE .....             | 13          |
| 9 Overview of DSA abstract service .....           | 13          |
| 10 Information types .....                         | 13          |
| 10.1 Introduction .....                            | 13          |
| 10.2 Information types defined elsewhere .....     | 13          |
| 10.3 Chaining arguments .....                      | 14          |
| 10.4 Chaining results.....                         | 17          |
| 10.5 Operation progress .....                      | 17          |
| 10.6 Trace information.....                        | 18          |
| 10.7 Reference type.....                           | 18          |
| 10.8 Access point information .....                | 18          |
| 10.9 DIT bridge knowledge .....                    | 19          |
| 10.10 Exclusions .....                             | 20          |
| 10.11 Continuation reference .....                 | 20          |
| 11 Bind and Unbind .....                           | 21          |
| 11.1 DSA Bind.....                                 | 21          |
| 11.2 DSA Unbind.....                               | 22          |
| 12 Chained operations .....                        | 22          |
| 12.1 Chained operations.....                       | 23          |
| 12.2 Chained Abandon operation.....                | 23          |
| 12.3 Chained operations and protocol version ..... | 24          |
| 13 Chained errors .....                            | 24          |
| 13.1 Introduction .....                            | 24          |
| 13.2 DSA referral .....                            | 24          |
| SECTION 5 – DISTRIBUTED PROCEDURES.....            | 25          |

|   |  |     |
|---|--|-----|
| 14  | Introduction .....   | 25  |
|   | 14.1 Scope and limits .....  | 25  |
|   | 14.2 Conformance .....   | 25  |
|   | 14.3 Conceptual model.....   | 25  |
|   | 14.4 Individual and cooperative operation of DSAs .....                  | 25  |
|   | 14.5 Cooperative agreements between DSAs .....                           | 26  |
| 15  | Distributed Directory behaviour .....                                    | 26  |
|   | 15.1 Cooperative fulfilment of operations .....                          | 26  |
|   | 15.2 Phases of operation processing.....                                 | 26  |
|   | 15.3 Managing Distributed Operations .....                               | 27  |
|   | 15.4 Loop handling .....   | 28  |
|   | 15.5 Other considerations for distributed operation .....                | 29  |
|   | 15.6 Authentication of Distributed operations .....                      | 30  |
| 16  | The Operation Dispatcher.....  | 31  |
|   | 16.1 General concepts .....  | 31  |
|   | 16.2 Procedures of the Operation Dispatcher.....                         | 36  |
|   | 16.3 Overview of procedures .....  | 37  |
| 17  | Request Validation procedure .....                                       | 38  |
|   | 17.1 Introduction .....  | 38  |
|   | 17.2 Procedure parameters .....  | 39  |
|   | 17.3 Procedure definition .....  | 40  |
| 18  | Name Resolution procedure .....  | 42  |
|   | 18.1 Introduction.....   | 42  |
|   | 18.2 Find DSE procedure parameters.....                                  | 43  |
|   | 18.3 Procedures.....   | 44  |
| 19  | Operation evaluation .....   | 53  |
|   | 19.1 Modification procedures .....                                       | 54  |
|   | 19.2 Single entry interrogation procedure.....                           | 61  |
|   | 19.3 Multiple entry interrogation procedure.....                         | 61  |
| 20  | Continuation Reference procedures.....                                   | 75  |
|   | 20.1 Chaining strategy in the presence of shadowing .....                | 75  |
|   | 20.2 Issuing chained subrequests to a remote DSA or LDAP server .....    | 77  |
|   | 20.3 Procedures' parameters.....   | 77  |
|   | 20.4 Definition of the procedures.....                                   | 78  |
|   | 20.5 Abandon procedures.....   | 87  |
|   | 20.6 DAP request to LDAP request procedure .....                         | 89  |
|   | 20.7 LDAP result to DAP reply procedure .....                            | 93  |
| 21  | Results Merging procedure.....   | 95  |
| 22  | Procedures for distributed authentication .....                          | 97  |
|   | 22.1 Requester authentication .....                                      | 98  |
|   | 22.2 Results authentication .....  | 98  |
| SECTION 6 – KNOWLEDGE ADMINISTRATION..... |  | 99  |
| 23  | Knowledge administration overview .....                                  | 99  |
|   | 23.1 Maintenance of knowledge references .....                           | 99  |
|   | 23.2 Requesting cross reference.....                                     | 100 |
|   | 23.3 Knowledge inconsistencies .....                                     | 101 |
| 24  | Hierarchical operational bindings.....                                   | 102 |
|   | 24.1 Operational binding type characteristics .....                      | 102 |
|   | 24.2 Operational binding information object Class definition .....       | 104 |
|   | 24.3 DSA procedures for hierarchical operational binding management..... | 105 |
|   | 24.4 Procedures for operations.....                                      | 108 |
|   | 24.5 Use of application contexts .....                                   | 109 |

|  | <i>Page</i> |
|--|-------------|
| 25 Non-specific hierarchical operational binding .....   | 109         |
| 25.1 Operational binding type characteristics .....  | 109         |
| 25.2 Operational binding information object class definition .....                                   | 110         |
| 25.3 DSA procedures for non-specific hierarchical operational binding management .....               | 110         |
| 25.4 Procedures for operations .....   | 112         |
| 25.5 Use of application contexts .....   | 112         |
| Annex A – ASN.1 for Distributed Operations .....   | 113         |
| Annex B – Specification of hierarchical and non-specific hierarchical operational binding types..... | 117         |
| Annex C – Example of distributed name resolution .....   | 119         |
| Annex D – Distributed use of authentication.....   | 121         |
| D.1 Summary .....  | 121         |
| D.2 Distributed protection model.....  | 121         |
| D.3 Signed chained operations.....   | 121         |
| Annex E – Knowledge maintenance example .....  | 123         |
| Annex F – Amendments and corrigenda .....  | 126         |

## iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/IEC 9594-4:2017](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-525ba8532c5b/iso-iec-9594-4-2017)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-525ba8532c5b/iso-iec-9594-4-2017>

## Introduction

This Recommendation | International Standard, together with other Recommendations | International Standards, have been produced to facilitate the interconnection of information processing systems to provide directory services. A set of such systems, together with the directory information that they hold, can be viewed as an integrated whole, called the *Directory*. The information held by the Directory, collectively known as the Directory information base (DIB), is typically used to facilitate communication between, with or about objects such as application entities, people, terminals and distribution lists.

The Directory plays a significant role in Open Systems Interconnection, whose aim is to allow, with a minimum of technical agreement outside of the interconnection standards themselves, the interconnection of information processing systems:

- from different manufacturers;
- under different managements;
- of different levels of complexity; and
- of different ages.

This Recommendation | International Standard specifies the procedures by which the distributed components of the Directory interwork in order to provide a consistent service to its users.

This Recommendation | International Standard provides the foundation frameworks upon which industry profiles can be defined by other standards groups and industry forums. Many of the features defined as optional in these frameworks may be mandated for use in certain environments through profiles. This eighth edition technically revises and enhances the seventh edition of this Recommendation | International Standard.

This eighth edition specifies versions 1 and 2 of the Directory protocols.

The first and second editions specified only version 1. Most of the services and protocols specified in this edition are designed to function under version 1. However, some enhanced services and protocols, e.g., signed errors, will not function unless all Directory entities involved in the operation have negotiated version 2. Whichever version has been negotiated, differences between the services and between the protocols defined in the eight editions, except for those specifically assigned to version 2, are accommodated using the rules of extensibility defined in Rec. ITU-T X.519 | ISO/IEC 9594-5.

Annex A, which is an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard, provides the ASN.1 module for directory distributed operations.

Annex B, which is an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard, provides the ASN.1 module providing definitions for hierarchical operational bindings.

Annex C, which is not an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard, describes an example of distributed name resolution.

Annex D, which is not an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard, describes authentication in the distributed operations environment.

Annex E, which is not an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard, illustrates knowledge maintenance.

Annex F, which is not an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard, lists the amendments and defect reports that have been incorporated to form this edition of this Recommendation | International Standard.



**INTERNATIONAL STANDARD  
RECOMMENDATION ITU-T**

**Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – The Directory: Procedures for distributed operation**

**SECTION 1 – GENERAL**

**1 Scope**

This Recommendation | International Standard specifies the behaviour of DSAs taking part in a distributed directory consisting of multiple Directory systems agents (DSAs) and/or LDAP servers with at least one DSA. The allowed behaviour has been designed to ensure a consistent service given a wide distribution of the DIB across a distributed directory. Only the behaviour of DSAs taking part in a distributed directory is specified. The behaviour of LDAP servers are specified in relevant LDAP specifications. There are no special requirements on an LDAP server beyond those given by the LDAP specifications.

The Directory is not intended to be a general purpose database system, although it may be built on such systems. It is assumed that there is a considerably higher frequency of queries than of updates.

**2 References**

**2.1 Normative references**

The following Recommendations | International Standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation | International Standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and Standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this Recommendation | International Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and Standards listed below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards. The Telecommunication Standardization Bureau of the ITU maintains a list of currently valid ITU-T Recommendations.

**2.1.1 Identical Recommendations | International Standards**

- Recommendation ITU-T X.500 (2016 | ISO/IEC 9594-1:2017, *Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – The Directory: Overview of concepts, models and services.*
- Recommendation ITU-T X.501 (2016 | ISO/IEC 9594-2:2017, *Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – The Directory: Models.*
- Recommendation ITU-T X.509 (2016 | ISO/IEC 9594-8:2017, *Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – The Directory: Public-key and attribute certificate frameworks.*
- Recommendation ITU-T X.511 (2016 | ISO/IEC 9594-3:2017, *Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – The Directory: Abstract service definition.*
- Recommendation ITU-T X.519 (2016 | ISO/IEC 9594-5:2017, *Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – The Directory: Protocol specifications.*
- Recommendation ITU-T X.520 (2016 | ISO/IEC 9594-6:2017, *Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – The Directory: Selected attribute types.*
- Recommendation ITU-T X.521 (2016 | ISO/IEC 9594-7:2017, *Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – The Directory: Selected object classes.*
- Recommendation ITU-T X.525 (2016 | ISO/IEC 9594-9:2017, *Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – The Directory: Replication.*
- Recommendation ITU-T X.680 (2015 | ISO/IEC 8824-1:2015, *Information technology – Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Specification of basic notation.*

**2.1.2 Other references**

- Recommendation ITU-T X.681 (2015 | ISO/IEC 8824-2:2015, *Information technology – Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Information object specification.*

## ISO/IEC 9594-4:2017 (E)

- Recommendation ITU-T X.682 (2015) | ISO/IEC 8824-3:2015, *Information technology – Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Constraint specification.*
- Recommendation ITU-T X.683 (2015) | ISO/IEC 8824-4:2015, *Information technology – Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Parameterization of ASN.1 specifications.*
- IETF RFC 4511 (2006), *Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): The Protocol.*
- IETF RFC 4514 (2006), *Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): String Representation of Distinguished Names.*

### 2.2 Non-normative reference

- IETF RFC 4510 (2006), *Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): Technical Specification Road Map.*

## 3 Definitions

For the purposes of this Recommendation | International Standard, the following definitions apply:

### 3.1 Basic Directory definitions

The following terms are defined in Rec. ITU-T X.500 | ISO/IEC 9594-1:

- a) *(the) Directory;*
- b) *Directory Information Base.*

### 3.2 Directory model definitions

The following terms are defined in Rec. ITU-T X.501 | ISO/IEC 9594-2:

- a) *access point;*
- b) *alias;*
- c) *Directory Information Tree ;* [ISO/IEC 9594-4:2017](https://standards.iteh.ai/standards/iso-iec-9594-4-2017)
- d) *Directory System Agent (DSA);* <https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-525ba8532c5b/iso-iec-9594-4-2017>
- e) *Directory User Agent (DUA);*
- f) *distinguished name;*
- g) *relative distinguished name.*

### 3.3 DSA information model definitions

The following terms are defined in Rec. ITU-T X.501 | ISO/IEC 9594-2:

- a) *category;*
- b) *commonly usable;*
- c) *context prefix;*
- d) *cross reference;*
- e) *DIB fragment;*
- f) *DSA information tree;*
- g) *DSA-Specific Entry (DSE);*
- h) *DSE type;*
- i) *immediate superior reference;*
- j) *knowledge information;*
- k) *knowledge reference category;*
- l) *knowledge reference type;*
- m) *naming context;*
- n) *non-specific knowledge;*
- o) *non-specific subordinate reference;*

- p) *operational attribute*;
- q) *reference path*;
- r) *specific knowledge*;
- s) *subordinate reference*;
- t) *superior reference*.

### 3.4 Abstract service definitions

The following terms are defined in Rec. ITU-T X.511 | ISO/IEC 9594-3:

- a) *reply*;
- b) *request*;
- c) *requester*.

### 3.5 Protocol definitions

The following terms are defined in Rec. ITU-T X.519 | ISO/IEC 9594-5:

- a) *application-association*;
- b) *application-entity-title*.

### 3.6 Directory replication definitions

The following terms are defined in Rec. ITU-T X.525 | ISO/IEC 9594-9:

- a) *attribute completeness*;
- b) *shadowing operational binding*;
- c) *subordinate completeness*;
- d) *unit of replication*.

ITeH STANDARD PREVIEW  
(standards.iteh.ai)

### 3.7 Distributed operation definitions ISO/IEC 9594-4:2017

The following terms are defined in this Recommendation | International Standard:  
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964->

- 3.7.1 base object:** The object or alias entry that is the target for an operation as issued by the requester.
- 3.7.2 bound DSA:** The DSA to which the requesting DUA or LDAP client has bound, by having performed a Bind operation with that DSA.
- 3.7.3 bound-DSA paged results:** The paging is performed entirely by the DSA to which the DUA is bound.  
NOTE – This is the only mode of paging supported by systems conforming to editions prior to the fifth edition.
- 3.7.4 chaining:** The generic term for uni-chaining or multi-chaining.
- 3.7.5 context prefix information:** Operational and user information supplied by the superior DSA to the subordinate DSA in an RHOB regarding DIT vertices superior to the subordinate context prefix.
- 3.7.6 directory server:** A DSA or an LDAP server.
- 3.7.7 distributed directory:** An interconnected set of directory servers where at least one directory server shall be a DSA.
- 3.7.8 distributed name resolution:** The process by which name resolution starts in a DSA and continues in one or more Directory servers.
- 3.7.9 DSP paged results:** The DSP protocol provisions when a performing DSA is different from a bound DSA, whereby paged results by the initial performer are accomplished.
- 3.7.10 error:** Information sent from the performer to the requester conveying a negative outcome of a previously received request.
- 3.7.11 hard error:** A definite error which indicates that the operation cannot currently be performed without external intervention.

- 3.7.12 hierarchical operational binding (HOB):** Relationship between two master DSAs holding naming contexts, one of which is immediately subordinate to the other, in which the superior DSA holds a subordinate reference to the subordinate DSA.
- 3.7.13 initial performer:** The first DSA or LDAP server to start performing on an operation, i.e., the first DSA or LDAP server to enter the evaluation phase of the operation.
- 3.7.14 LDAP requester:** A DSA that has the ability to access an LDAP server by using the LDAP protocol.
- 3.7.15 modification operations:** These are the Directory Modify operations, i.e., Modify Entry, Add Entry, Remove Entry, Modify DN, Change Password and Administer Password operations.
- 3.7.16 multi-chaining:** A mode of interaction in which a DSA processing a request itself sends multiple requests either in parallel or sequentially to a set of other DSAs.
- 3.7.17 multiple entry interrogation operations:** These are the Directory Search operations, i.e., List and Search operations.
- 3.7.18 name resolution:** The process of locating an entry by sequentially matching each RDN in a purported name to a vertex of the DIT.
- 3.7.19 non-specific hierarchical operational binding (NHOB):** Relationship between two master DSAs holding naming contexts, one of which is immediately subordinate to the other, in which the superior DSA holds a non-specific subordinate reference to the subordinate DSA.
- 3.7.20 NSSR decomposition:** Decomposition of non-specific knowledge references into subrequests for other DSAs to pursue; these subrequests may be either chained to these DSAs by the DSA performing the decomposition, or a continuation reference identifying the DSAs may be returned to the requester for it to pursue, or the decomposing DSA may pursue some of the subrequests, leaving others unexplored for the requester to pursue.
- 3.7.21 operation progress:** A set of values which denotes the extent to which name resolution has taken place.
- 3.7.22 paging:** A search or List result is returned piecewise in the form of one or more pages that are comprised by a limited number of entries.
- 3.7.23 performer:** DSA receiving a request (i.e., to perform an operation).  
NOTE – The performer is also the initial performer except possibly for operations that involve more than one DSA for their evaluation.  
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-72510f73c6ca/iso-9594-4-2017>
- 3.7.24 procedure:** An (informal) specification of how a DSA maps a given set of input arguments and its DSA information tree into a result.  
NOTE – Input arguments and results may correspond to information received in a requested operation and information sent in a reply, or they may represent intermediate stages in the computation of a reply from a requested operation. In clause 14.2, the former variety of input arguments and results are termed external.
- 3.7.25 relevant hierarchical operational binding (RHOB):** Either an HOB or an NHOB, depending on the context.
- 3.7.26 referral:** An outcome which can be returned by a DSA or LDAP server which cannot perform an operation itself, and which identifies one or more other DSAs or LDAP servers more able to perform the operation.
- 3.7.27 request decomposition:** Decomposition by a DSA of a request into subrequests for other Directory servers to pursue; these subrequests may be either chained to these Directory servers by the DSA performing the decomposition, or continuation references identifying the Directory servers may be returned to the requester for it to pursue, or the decomposing DSA may pursue some of the subrequests, leaving others unexplored for the requester to pursue.
- 3.7.28 single entry interrogation operations:** These are the Directory Read operations, i.e., Read and Compare operations.
- 3.7.29 soft error:** An error which may be transient, or which may indicate a localized problem, in which case the use of a different knowledge reference or access point may enable a result or hard error to be obtained.
- 3.7.30 subordinate DSA:** Of the two DSAs sharing an HOB or an NHOB, the DSA holding the subordinate naming context.
- 3.7.31 subrequest:** A request generated by request decomposition.
- 3.7.32 superior DSA:** Of the two DSAs sharing an HOB or an NHOB, the DSA holding the superior naming context.

**3.7.33 superior, subordinate DSA:** Two master DSAs holding naming contexts, one of which is immediately subordinate to the other; the relationship between the two DSAs is managed explicitly via an HOB (or NHOB), or exists implicitly by virtue of the superior DSA holding a subordinate (or non-specific subordinate) reference to the subordinate DSA.

**3.7.34 target object name:** The name of an entry either to which the operation is to be directed at a particular stage of name resolution, or which is involved in the evaluation of the operation.

**3.7.35 uni-chaining:** A mode of interaction optionally used by a DSA which cannot perform an operation itself. The DSA *chains* by invoking an operation of another DSA or LDAP server and then relaying the outcome to the original requester.

## 4 Abbreviations

For the purposes of this Recommendation | International Standard, the following abbreviations apply:

|       |   |
|-------|---|
| ASN.1 | Abstract Syntax Notation One                      |
| DAP   | Directory Access Protocol                         |
| DIB   | Directory Information Base                        |
| DISP  | Directory Information Shadowing Protocol          |
| DMD   | Directory Management Domain                       |
| DOP   | Directory Operational Binding Management Protocol |
| DSA   | Directory System Agent                            |
| DSE   | DSA-Specific Entry                                |
| DSP   | Directory System Protocol                         |
| DUA   | Directory User Agent                              |
| HOB   | Hierarchical Operational Binding                  |
| LDAP  | Lightweight Directory Access Protocol             |
| NHOB  | Non-specific Hierarchical Operational Binding     |
| NSSR  | Non-Specific Subordinate Reference                |
| RHOB  | Relevant Hierarchical Operational Binding         |

## 5 Conventions

The term "Directory Specification" (as in "this Directory Specification") shall be taken to mean Rec. ITU-T X.518 | ISO/IEC 9594-4. The term "Directory Specifications" shall be taken to mean the X.500-series Recommendations and all parts of ISO/IEC 9594.

This Directory Specification uses the term *first edition systems* to refer to systems conforming to the first edition of the Directory Specifications, i.e., the 1988 edition of the CCITT X.500-series Recommendations and the ISO/IEC 9594:1990 edition.

This Directory Specification uses the term *second edition systems* to refer to systems conforming to the second edition of the Directory Specifications, i.e., the 1993 edition of the ITU-T X.500-series Recommendations and the ISO/IEC 9594:1995 edition.

This Directory Specification uses the term *third edition systems* to refer to systems conforming to the third edition of the Directory Specifications, i.e., the 1997 edition of the ITU-T X.500-series Recommendations and the ISO/IEC 9594:1998 edition.

This Directory Specification uses the term *fourth edition systems* to refer to systems conforming to the fourth edition of the Directory Specifications, i.e., the 2001 editions of Rec. ITU-T X.500, X.501, X.511, X.518, X.519, X.520, X.521, X.525, and X.530, the 2000 edition of Rec. ITU-T X.509, and parts 1-10 of the ISO/IEC 9594:2001 edition.

This Directory Specification uses the term *fifth edition systems* to refer to systems conforming to the fifth edition of the Directory Specifications, i.e., the 2005 edition of the ITU-T X.500-series Recommendations and the ISO/IEC 9594:2005 edition.

## ISO/IEC 9594-4:2017 (E)

This Directory Specification uses the term *sixth edition systems* to refer to systems conforming to the sixth edition of the Directory Specifications, i.e., the 2008 edition of the ITU-T X.500-series Recommendations and the ISO/IEC 9594:2008 edition.

This Directory Specification uses the term *seventh edition systems* to refer to systems conforming to the seventh edition of the Directory Specifications, i.e., the 2012 edition of the ITU-T X.500-series Recommendations and the ISO/IEC 9594:2014 edition.

This Directory Specification uses the term *eighth edition systems* to refer to systems conforming to the eighth edition of the Directory Specifications, i.e., the 2016 edition of the ITU-T X.500-series Recommendations and the ISO/IEC 9594:2017 edition.

This Directory Specification presents ASN.1 notation in the bold Courier New typeface. When ASN.1 types and values are referenced in normal text, they are differentiated from normal text by presenting them in the bold Courier New typeface. The names of procedures, typically referenced when specifying the semantics of processing, are differentiated from normal text by displaying them in bold Times New Roman. Access control permissions are presented in italicized Times New Roman.

If the items in a list are numbered (as opposed to using "-" or letters), then the items shall be considered steps in a procedure.

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/IEC 9594-4:2017](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-525ba8532c5b/iso-iec-9594-4-2017)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/10d175c6-5a99-46bb-8964-525ba8532c5b/iso-iec-9594-4-2017>

## SECTION 2 – OVERVIEW

**6 Overview**

The Directory abstract service allows the interrogation, retrieval and modification of Directory information in the DIB. This service is specified in Rec. ITU-T X.511 | ISO/IEC 9594-3. Similarly, the lightweight directory access protocol (LDAP) allows the interrogation, retrieval and modification of Directory information in the DIB. This protocol and the services it enables are specified in IETF RFC 4511.

The abstract service as specified in Rec. ITU-T X.511 | ISO/IEC 9594-3 does not address the specification of Directory system agents (DSA) within which the DIB is stored and managed, and through which the service is provided. Furthermore, it does not consider whether the DIB is centralized, i.e., contained within a single DSA, or distributed over a DSA and a number of additional DSAs and/or LDAP servers. Directory server is the common name for a DSA or an LDAP server. Consequently, the requirements for DSAs to have knowledge of, navigate to and cooperate with other DSAs and or LDAP servers, in order to support the abstract service in a distributed environment is also not covered by the abstract service specification.

This Directory Specification specifies how a set of one or more DSAs and zero or more LDAP servers collectively constitute the distributed directory service.

In addition, this Directory Specification specifies the permissible ways in which the DIB may be distributed over one or more DSAs and zero or more LDAP servers. For the limiting case where the DIB is contained within a single DSA, the Directory is in fact centralized; for the case where the DIB is distributed over two or more DSAs, knowledge and navigation mechanisms are specified which ensure that the whole of the DIB is potentially accessible from all DSAs that hold constituent entries.

Portions of the DIB may also be replicated in multiple DSAs. The protocols described in this Directory Specification allow the use of replicated information to improve the availability, performance and efficiency of the distributed directory service. The use of replicated information is, to some extent, under the user's control, through the use of service control options. The procedures described in this Directory Specification also indicate some of the opportunities for design optimizations when using the replicated information.

Additionally, request handling interactions are specified that enable particular operational characteristics of the Directory to be controlled by its users. In particular, the user has control over whether a DSA, responding to a directory inquiry pertaining to information held in other directory server(s), has the option of interrogating the other DSA(s) directly (chaining) or, whether it should respond with information about other directory server(s) which could further progress the inquiry (referral).

Generally, the decision by a DSA to chain or refer is determined by the service controls set by the user, and by the DSA's own administrative, operational or technical circumstances.

Recognizing that, in general, the Directory will be distributed, and that directory inquiries will be satisfied by an arbitrary number of cooperating DSAs which may arbitrarily chain or refer according to the above criteria, this Directory Specification specifies the appropriate procedures to be effected by DSAs in responding to distributed directory inquiries. These procedures will ensure that users of the distributed Directory service perceive it to be both user-friendly and consistent.

NOTE – Although an LDAP server may participate in a distributed operation, it is not aware of this cooperation.