
**Information technology — A study of
the differential impact of demographic
factors in biometric recognition
system performance**

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/IEC TR 22116:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/efa3b09b-c7a8-4c1c-a32d-de0a8c60b0eb/iso-iec-tr-22116-2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/efa3b09b-c7a8-4c1c-a32d-de0a8c60b0eb/iso-iec-tr-22116-2021>



iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO/IEC TR 22116:2021

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/efa3b09b-c7a8-4c1c-a32d-de0a8c60b0eb/iso-iec-tr-22116-2021>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2021

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier; Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms, definitions, symbols and abbreviated terms	1
4 Understanding demographic factors in biometric systems	3
4.1 Introduction	3
4.2 Biometric system components	4
4.3 The influence of demographics on biometric recognition	4
4.3.1 The influence of sex and gender	4
4.3.2 The influence of age and ageing	4
4.3.3 The influence of race and ethnicity	5
4.4 Measurement and analysis	5
5 Impact of demographic factors on facial recognition systems	5
5.1 Existing literature on demographic factors impacting facial recognition systems	5
5.1.1 General notes	5
5.1.2 Factors that influence algorithm performance in the Face Recognition Grand Challenge	6
5.1.3 Face recognition performance: Role of demographic information	6
5.1.4 Issues related to face recognition accuracy varying based on race and skin tone	6
5.1.5 Report on the FG 2015 Video Person Recognition Evaluation	6
5.1.6 Demographic effects on estimates of automatic face recognition performance	7
5.1.7 US National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) FRVT test	7
5.1.8 Demographic effects in facial recognition and their dependence on image acquisition: An evaluation of eleven commercial systems	11
5.1.9 The effect of broad and specific demographic homogeneity on the imposter distributions and false match rates in face recognition algorithm performance	12
5.2 Summary of demographic impact on facial recognition systems	12
5.3 Recommendations for facial recognition systems	12
6 Impact of demographic factors on fingerprint systems	13
6.1 Existing literature on demographic factors impacting fingerprint systems	13
6.1.1 General notes	13
6.1.2 IDENT/IAFIS image quality study	13
6.1.3 Impact of gender on fingerprint recognition systems	14
6.1.4 Impact of gender on image quality, Henry classification and performance on a fingerprint recognition system	14
6.1.5 Impact of age and ageing on sample quality and performance in fingerprint recognition systems	14
6.2 Summary of demographic impact on fingerprint systems	15
6.3 Recommendations for fingerprint systems	15
7 Impact of demographic factors on iris recognition systems	15
7.1 Existing literature on demographic factors impacting iris recognition systems	15
7.1.1 General notes	15
7.1.2 The Canadian NEXUS system	16
7.1.3 Impact of demographics in NIST IREX IX	18
7.2 Summary of demographic impact on iris recognition systems	18
7.3 Recommendations for iris recognition systems	18
8 Summary of the differential impact of demographic factors in biometric recognition system performance	19
Bibliography	20

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives or www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see patents.iec.ch).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html. In the IEC, see www.iec.ch/understanding-standards.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 37, *Biometrics*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html and www.iec.ch/national-committees.

Introduction

Automated systems (including biometrics) are increasingly used in decision-making processes. In recent years, systemic performance differentials reflected in several automated decision systems have been reported and hotly debated. In the context of this report, an algorithm exhibiting performance differentials produces statistically different outcomes or decisions for different groups of individuals, for example, based on gender, age and race/ethnicity. In the context of biometric recognition, this means that probabilities of false positives and/or false negatives can differ among the demographic groups. The impacts of such performance differentials on the affected individuals can range from mere inconvenience in cooperative access control systems, to consequential harms such as varying arrest rates for certain demographic groups based on decisions produced by facial recognition systems.

Although such systems are almost certainly not designed to be explicitly differential against any group, implicit differences can occur independently of the intentions of the system designers. They can be exhibited and propagated at many stages of the decision-making pipeline, including but not limited to training data itself as well as the data processing. Due to the scalability of such systems, a higher quantity of erroneous or inaccurate decisions can be generated than in the typical, human-based processes. Consequently, in recent years, measuring and ensuring the fairness (i.e. lack of differential performance) of such systems has often been discussed in the media and political circles, with research and commercial interest increasing accordingly. With increasing deployments of the technology, it is important to consider whether it performs similarly for all users. This document helps to identify where recognition performance differences related to demographic factors can exist in biometric systems.

iTeh Standards (<https://standards.iteh.ai>) Document Preview

[ISO/IEC TR 22116:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/efa3b09b-c7a8-4c1c-a32d-de0a8c60b0eb/iso-iec-tr-22116-2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/efa3b09b-c7a8-4c1c-a32d-de0a8c60b0eb/iso-iec-tr-22116-2021>

Information technology — A study of the differential impact of demographic factors in biometric recognition system performance

1 Scope

This document introduces the effects of population demographics on biometric functions. It:

- establishes terms and definitions relevant to the study of demographic factors in biometric recognition system performance;
- identifies areas where biometric systems can exhibit different performances based on different demographic factors of the individuals submitting the biometric samples;
- explains how different demographic factors can influence the biometric characteristics captured by different biometric modalities and how these influences can affect biometric performance measures;
- presents a case study on existing scientific material that explores the impact of demographic factors on biometric system performance. Only biometric modalities where quantitative information is available on the impact of demographic factors are considered.

Outside of the scope of this document are:

- effects of disease and injury on biometric performance; and
- how religious and cultural norms can affect biometric operations.

2 Normative references

<https://standards.iteh.ai/ISO/IEC TR 22116:2021>

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 2382-37, *Information technology — Vocabulary — Part 37: Biometrics*

3 Terms, definitions, symbols and abbreviated terms

For the purposes of this document, the terms, definitions, symbols and abbreviated terms given in ISO/IEC 2382-37 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

age

length of time an individual has lived

3.2

ageing

natural progression of an individual's characteristics over time

Note 1 to entry: The impact of ageing will vary at different ages.

3.3

categorical demographic variable

demographic characteristic that is nominally or ordinally described

EXAMPLE Gender categories consist of "Male", "Female", or "Other".

3.4

detection error trade-off

DET

relationship between false positive and false negative errors of a binary classification system as the discrimination threshold varies

3.5

differential performance

differences in system variables or system processing between different demographic groups

EXAMPLE Differences in comparison scores, feature-level fusion, and/or image-level fusion.

3.6

differential outcomes

difference in system results between different demographic groups

EXAMPLE Differences in match rate.

3.7

ethnicity

state of belonging to a group with a common origin, set of customs or traditions

Note 1 to entry: Scientifically, race can be defined as a group of humans that share biological features related to genetic ancestry. Practically, race is primarily a social construct, i.e. not related to biology but instead related to self-identity. Ethnicity is frequently used as a proxy for self-reported "race". In the context of this report, "ethnicity" and "race" are considered interchangeable and can both be taken to mean a social identity that was reported or assigned to a particular subject. These reported values can, but do not always, correlate with underlying genetic features.

3.8

failure-to-acquire

FTA

failure-to-accept for subsequent comparison the output of a biometric capture process, a biometric sample of the biometric characteristic of interest

3.9

failure-to-enrol

FTE

failure-to-create and store a biometric enrolment data record for an eligible biometric capture subject in accordance with a biometric enrolment policy

3.10

false accept rate

FAR

proportion of transactions with false biometric claims erroneously accepted

3.11

false match rate

FMR

proportion of the completed biometric non-mated comparison trials that result in a false match

3.12**false negative differential**

tendency for mated biometric samples from subjects in one demographic group not to match relative to another demographic group

3.13**false non-match rate****FNMR**

proportion of the completed biometric mated comparison trials that result in a false non-match

3.14**false positive differential**

tendency for non-mated biometric samples from one demographic group to falsely match relative to another demographic group or a tendency for this effect to occur across demographic groups

3.15**false reject rate****FRR**

proportion of biometric transactions with true biometric claims erroneously rejected

3.16**gender**

classification as male, female or another category based on social, cultural, or behavioural factors

Note 1 to entry: Gender is generally determined through self-declaration or self-presentation and can change over time.

Note 2 to entry: Depending on jurisdiction recognition, it is possible that gender will or will not require assessment by a third party.

3.17**phenotypic demographic variable**

observable demographic characteristic of an individual resulting from the interaction of that individual's genotype and the environment

EXAMPLE An individual's skin reflectance.

3.18**sex**

state of being male or female as it relates to biological factors such as DNA, anatomy and physiology

4 Understanding demographic factors in biometric systems

4.1 Introduction

Demographic factors include any characteristics or attributes that apply to a specific group within a population^[1]. There are potentially an infinite number of different demographic factors that can be considered in terms of how they impact biometric systems. In order to maintain a manageable scope for this document, the demographic factors considered are limited to those where at least some research is available to evaluate the impact on biometric comparison performance, quality score, failure-to-enrol rate or other performance metrics^[1]. The state-of-the-art of biometric system performance can change rapidly, and performance can improve by an order of magnitude over the course of just a few years. Therefore, performance results observed in this report can be overcome or obviated by more recent studies. It is valuable to continuously monitor for publications that provide new insights into aspects of differential performance. Specifically, this document considers the following demographic factors that have been shown to impact the performance of biometric systems (see [Clause 3](#) for definitions):

- Age and ageing
- Ethnicity

- Gender and sex

The concept of differential performance is closely related with the long-studied biometric concept of the biometric menagerie^[2] which divides individuals into individual “animal” categories based on the profile of their mated and non-mated score distributions.

4.2 Biometric system components

The functions of the generalized components of a biometric system, applied in ISO/IEC 19795-1 and ISO/IEC 2382-37, can be affected by the demographics of the target population. Those functions are:

- **Acquisition:** Some applications detect, localize, and acquire biometric characteristics, for example counting faces in a crowded area. Acquisition accuracy can depend on demographics. Some systems can include biometric sample quality estimation in the acquisition process, which can also be affected by the demographics of the target population. A failure-to-acquire (FTA) can also impede downstream biometric processes.
- **Enrolment:** For certain individuals it can be difficult enrolling in a biometric system. This can be caused by sensor or system properties, or by innate characteristics of these individuals, including characteristics associated with demographics. In such cases, the failure-to-enrol (FTE) rate can vary by demographic group.
- **Verification:** In verification processes such as access control, accuracy (false rejection rates or false acceptance rates) can vary. One potential reason for such a variation are demographic effects. In addition, biometric performance can be affected by failure-to-acquire rates which can deviate for different demographic groups.
- **Identification:** In identification systems demographics can affect both false negative and false positive identification rates. Unlike verification applications, demographic effects in identification can consider the demographics of the probe and the gallery biometric samples. Again, biometric performance can be affected by failure-to-acquire rates influenced by demographic properties.

4.3 The influence of demographics on biometric recognition

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/efa3b09b-c7a8-4c1c-a32d-de0a8c60b0eb/iso-iec-tr-22116-2021>

4.3.1 The influence of sex and gender

Biometric comparison performance, enrolment success and other aspects of performance can be affected by sex and by gender. As explained in [Clause 3](#), these two terms are distinct. For the purposes of statistical analysis when correlating demographic factors with biometric performance, gender is usually recorded based on the gender (recorded as “sex” on most government issued documents; male or female or undefined) listed on the identity documents belonging to an individual.

The broadly generalizable influence of gender on biometric performance can be difficult to characterize as practices associated with gender vary. For example, manual labour can result in friction ridge degradation over time that can impact all phases of biometric operations. However, these activities are associated with different gender identities in different geographic locations. Since manual labour can result in degradation of the quality of fingerprints and lower fingerprint comparison performance, this is an example of a demographic factor that is related to gender but can be more significant for males in some cultures and for females in others.

4.3.2 The influence of age and ageing

Biometric performance can vary substantially with a person’s age. Physiological properties such as skin elasticity and bone structure change with age, meaning the process of ageing can change a subject’s biometric characteristics, causing a general decline in comparison performance^{[4][5][6]}. Behavioural aspects of very young or very old subjects can impact the comprehension of instructions, thereby affecting the usability of a biometric system^{[7][8]}.