



**SLOVENSKI STANDARD
SIST CWA 17918:2023**

01-marec-2023

Proizvodnja brez napak - Slovar

Zero Defects Manufacturing - Vocabulary

Null-Fehler-Fertigung - Begriffe

Fabrication zéro défaut - Vocabulaire

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: CWA 17918:2022

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/fb726e04-d11f-4b2c-839f-5b496bffe36f/sist-cwa-17918-2023>

ICS:

01.040.03	Storitve. Organizacija podjetja, vodenje in kakovost. Uprava. Transport. Sociologija. (Slovarji)	Services. Company organization, management and quality. Administration. Transport. Sociology. (Vocabularies)
03.100.50	Proizvodnja. Vodenje proizvodnje	Production. Production management

SIST CWA 17918:2023

en,fr,de

CEN**CWA 17918****WORKSHOP**

December 2022

AGREEMENT

ICS 01.040.03; 01.040.35; 03.100.50; 35.240.50

English version

Zero Defects Manufacturing - Vocabulary

This CEN Workshop Agreement has been drafted and approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties, the constitution of which is indicated in the foreword of this Workshop Agreement.

The formal process followed by the Workshop in the development of this Workshop Agreement has been endorsed by the National Members of CEN but neither the National Members of CEN nor the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre can be held accountable for the technical content of this CEN Workshop Agreement or possible conflicts with standards or legislation.

This CEN Workshop Agreement can in no way be held as being an official standard developed by CEN and its Members.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the CEN Members National Standard Bodies.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/fb726e04-d11f-4b2c-839f-5b496bffe36f/sist-cwa-17918-2023>



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

© 2022 All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members and for CEN/CENELE CENELEC Members.
C

Ref. No.:CWA 17918:2022 E

Contents	Page
European foreword	3
Introduction	5
1 Scope	6
2 Normative references	6
3 Terms and definitions	6
Annex A (informative) ZDM Overview	11
Bibliography	12

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST CWA 17918:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/fb726e04-d11f-4b2c-839f-5b496bffe36f/sist-cwa-17918-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/fb726e04-d11f-4b2c-839f-5b496bffe36f/sist-cwa-17918-2023>

European foreword

This CEN and CENELEC Workshop Agreement (CWA 17918:2022) has been developed in accordance with the CEN-CENELEC Guide 29 “CEN/CENELEC Workshop Agreements – A rapid prototyping to standardization” and with the relevant provisions of CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations - Part 2. It was approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties, the constitution of which was supported by CEN and CENELEC following the public call for participation made on 2020-09-23. However, this CEN and CENELEC Workshop Agreement does not necessarily include all relevant stakeholders.

The final text of this CEN and CENELEC Workshop Agreement was provided to CEN and CENELEC for publication on 2022-11-18.

Results incorporated in this CWA received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 825631 (ZDMP), 825030 (QU4LITY) and 873111 (DigiPrime).

The following organizations and individuals developed and approved this CEN and CENELEC Workshop Agreement:

- Austrian Standards International/Martin Lorenz
- CETECK TECNOLOGICA SL/Ernesto Bedrina Ramirez, Juan Pardo Albiach, Juan Vicente Sales
- DKE Deutsche Kommission Elektrotechnik Elektronik Informationstechnik in DIN und VDE, UK 931.2 „Begriffe der Automatisierung“/Patrick Zimmermann
- École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne - EPFL/Dimitris Kiritsis, Xiaochen Zheng
- Fraunhofer Institute for Manufacturing Engineering and Automation IPA/Olga Meyer
- Ikerlan S. Coop./Oscar Salgado
- Netcompany-Intrasoft S.A./Ioannis Soldatos
- UNINOVA/Artem Nazarenko, João Sarraipa
- Universidade do Minho/João Pedro Mendonça, João Sousa
- Universitat Politecnica de Valencia/Beatriz Andres, Faustino Alarcon, Francisco Fraile, Raul Poler, Raquel Sanchis
- University of Oslo/Foivos Psarommatis

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some elements of this document may be subject to patent rights. CEN-CENELEC policy on patent rights is described in CEN-CENELEC Guide 8 “Guidelines for Implementation of the Common IPR Policy on Patent”. CEN and CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Although the Workshop parties have made every effort to ensure the reliability and accuracy of technical and non-technical descriptions, the Workshop is not able to guarantee, explicitly or implicitly, the correctness of this document. Anyone who applies this CEN and CENELEC Workshop Agreement

CWA 17918:2022

shall be aware that neither the Workshop, nor CEN and CENELEC, can be held liable for damages or losses of any kind whatsoever. The use of this CEN and CENELEC Workshop Agreement does not relieve users of their responsibility for their own actions, and they apply this document at their own risk. The CEN and CENELEC Workshop Agreement should not be construed as legal advice authoritatively endorsed by CEN/CENELEC.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST CWA 17918:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/fb726e04-d11f-4b2c-839f-5b496bffe36f/sist-cwa-17918-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/fb726e04-d11f-4b2c-839f-5b496bffe36f/sist-cwa-17918-2023>

Introduction

Human communication requires the agreement on a common language, although a vocabulary is not the single requirement to guarantee an effective communication - since context has an impact on the meaning - each field requires its own vocabulary. Moreover, the establishment of common terminology is also becoming a foundation for developing ontologies and supporting human-machine interactions and collaboration in industrial settings.

The terminology of Zero-Defect Manufacturing (ZDM) is strongly connected to quality management, which has a significant number of standards and guidelines, where a broader approach addressing the quality improvement in manufacturing and its related processes is defined. The area of ZDM emerged as a natural aim of the manufacturers to reduce or eliminate all defects occurring during the manufacturing process due to the costs that defective products cause. ZDM is a holistic approach that includes several tools such as product life cycle assessment, diagnostic methods, preventive methods, predictive methods, process control methods, production control improvements, quality control and inspection methods that allow process adjustments through rapid feedforward and/or feedback control to achieve sustainable manufacturing. Sustainable manufacturing requires efficient use of resources, whether that might be natural resources, like materials, or labor time or any type of resources, which will result lower production costs and less time and higher sustainability levels. This is achieved by reducing defects and all types of waste or scrap that result from defective products or components that cannot be reworked or recycled. The main objective is not only to reduce defects through prevention and its propagation but to ensure that no defective products leave the production facility and reach the customer. Companies adopting the ZDM approach are expected to have an improvement in sustainable manufacturing metrics.

This document contains the main concepts associated to ZDM outside the already defined terminologies for interconnected fields such as quality management, metrology, maintenance, and condition monitoring. The proposed concepts can be used to enrich the already available standards in ISO and the IEC's Electropedia or to be used as a complement, together with standards such as ISO 9000, IATF 16949, IEC 60050-192 and ISO 13372 and support present and future researchers in the field to conduct their research using a common vocabulary.

CWA 17918:2022

1 Scope

The CWA defines terms for Zero-Defect Manufacturing (ZDM) in digital manufacturing with correlation to Industry 4.0 and quality management. The CWA does not define quality management requirements.

2 Normative references

There are no references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp/>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

zero-defect manufacturing

holistic approach for ensuring both *process* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.4.1) and *product* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.7.6) quality by reducing *defects* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.6.10)

Note 1 to entry: zero-defect manufacturing uses mainly data-driven technologies, e.g. originating from Big Data and Machine Learning domains/areas/fields for predictive or prescriptive analytics referred to as zero-defect manufacturing tools.

Note 2 to entry: zero-defect manufacturing requires that no defective products leave the production site and reach the *customer* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.2.4) by performing *100 % inspection* (ISO 3534-2:2006, 4.1.5).

Note 3 to entry: zero-defect manufacturing aims at higher manufacturing sustainability.

3.2

zero-defect manufacturing framework

structure of *processes* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.4.1) and *specifications* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.8.7) designed to support the implementation of zero-defect manufacturing

[SOURCE: ISO/IEEE 11073-10201:2020, 3.22, modified — The term was supplemented by “zero-defect manufacturing”, “a specific task” was replaced by “zero-defect manufacturing” and links to ISO 9000:2015, 3.4.1 and ISO 9000:2015, 3.8.7 were added.]

3.3

zero-defect manufacturing system

manufacturing system (ISO 20140-1:2019, 3.15), which implements the zero-defect manufacturing approach

Note 1 to entry: A zero-defect manufacturing system is commonly comprised of various software and hardware elements or tools to prevent the occurrence and propagation of defects.

Note 2 to entry: A zero-defect manufacturing system can combine various forms of inspection, such as final inspection, in-process inspection, incoming inspection, off-line inspection, at-line inspection, in-line inspection and on-machine inspection.

Note 3 to entry: A zero-defect manufacturing system is capable of issuing alarms and alerts.

3.4**zero-defect manufacturing tool**

asset (IEV 741-01-04) that assists in achieving results or complete tasks related to zero-defect manufacturing.

EXAMPLE 1 Common examples of zero-defect manufacturing tools are: a machine learning algorithm used in predictive analytics to forecast a defect, the means for inspection, measurement, testing or gauging such as a *soft sensor* (ISO 15746-1:2015, 2.14) (or virtual sensor) or a non-destructive inspection (NDI) technique that contributes to 100 % inspection.

Note 1 to entry: A tool can be a physical entity or a digital entity.

3.5**design for zero-defect manufacturing**

process design for quantifying the *specification* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.8.7) of manufacturing *equipment* (ISO 13372:2012, 1.6) to achieve zero-defect manufacturing

[SOURCE: Psarommatis, F., 2021. A generic methodology and a digital twin for zero defect manufacturing (ZDM) performance mapping towards design for ZDM. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 59, pp.507-521]

3.6**cost of zero-defect manufacturing**

costs for assuring quality comprising investment and operating costs for the implementation of *zero-defect manufacturing* (3.1)

3.7**zero waste**

variation of zero-defect manufacturing, in which the amount of resources required to produce *defective* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.6.10) products is reduced to zero

3.8**sustainable manufacturing**

creation of manufactured *products* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.7.6) through economically sound *processes* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.4.1) that minimize negative environmental impacts while conserving energy and natural resources and enhance working conditions, the impact to the community and product safety.

[SOURCE: United States Environmental Protection Agency <https://www.epa.gov/>]

3.9**defect propagation**

transmission of a *defect* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.6.10) to subsequent manufacturing steps in the *process* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.4.1) chain

[SOURCE: ForZDM Glossary <https://www.forzdmproject.eu/content/public-results>]

3.10**defect generation**

appearance of a defect (ISO 9000:2015, 3.6.10) in a manufactured part

[SOURCE: ForZDM Glossary <https://www.forzdmproject.eu/content/public-results>]

CWA 17918:2022

3.11**virtual detection**

action to evaluate product quality characteristics using only process data or some other form of data not linked to data from physical access to the part, with the goal of identifying the presence of product *defects* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.6.10).

EXAMPLE 1 Spindle rotation speed is an example of process data.

3.12**physical detection**

action that evaluates the product or process quality characteristics using data from the physical product or *asset* (ISO/IEC 20924:2021, 3.1.4) with the goal of identifying the presence of product or process *defects* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.6.10)

Note 1 to entry: Data from the physical product or asset can be gathered by digital, analogue and human means.

3.13**hybrid detection**

action resulting from the combination of physical and virtual detection

3.14**predictive action**

action to forecast the occurrence of a *defect* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.6.10) and/or a *failure* (IEV 192-03-01)

3.15**prediction timeframe**

time window that the prediction method can look ahead to predict a *defect* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.6.10) or *failure* (IEV 192-03-01)

3.16**process adjustment**

action to reduce the deviation from the target in the output *characteristic* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.10.1) by *feed-forward control* (ISO 3534-2:2006, 2.3.25) and/or *feedback control* (ISO 3534-2:2006, 2.3.26)

Note 1 to entry: Continuous monitoring determines whether or not the process and/or the process adjustment system itself are in a state of statistical control.

[SOURCE: ISO 3534-2:2006, 2.3.24, modified — Note 1 to entry was replaced]

3.17**incoming inspection**

inspection (ISO 9000:2015, 3.11.7) performed at the start of the manufacturing *process* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.4.1)

3.18**in-process inspection**

inspection (ISO 9000:2015, 3.11.7) performed during the manufacturing cycle and before the completion of all manufacturing *processes* (ISO 9000:2015, 3.4.1)

3.19**final inspection**

post-process inspection