



**SLOVENSKI STANDARD**  
**SIST-TS CEN/TS 17700-3:2023**

**01-januar-2023**

---

**Rastlinski biostimulanti - Navedbe - 3. del: Toleranca na abiotični stres pri rastlinah zaradi uporabe biostimulanta**

Plant biostimulants - Claims - Part 3: Tolerance to abiotic stress resulting from the use of a plant biostimulant

Pflanzen-Biostimulanzien - Auslobungen - Teil 3: Toleranz gegenüber abiotischem Stress infolge der Verwendung eines Pflanzen-Biostimulans

Biostimulants des végétaux - Allégations - Partie 3 : Tolérance au stress abiotique résultant de l'utilisation d'un biostimulant des végétaux

**Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: CEN/TS 17700-3:2022**

---

**ICS:**

65.080                      Gnojila                                      Fertilizers

**SIST-TS CEN/TS 17700-3:2023                      en,fr,de**



TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION  
SPÉCIFICATION TECHNIQUE  
TECHNISCHE SPEZIFIKATION

**CEN/TS 17700-3**

March 2022

ICS 65.080

English Version

**Plant biostimulants - Claims - Part 3: Tolerance to abiotic stress resulting from the use of a plant biostimulant**

Biostimulants des végétaux - Allégations - Partie 3 :  
Tolérance au stress abiotique résultant de l'utilisation  
d'un biostimulant des végétaux

Biostimulanzien für die pflanzliche Anwendung -  
Angaben - Toleranz gegenüber abiotischem Stress  
infolge der Verwendung eines Biostimulans für die  
pflanzliche Anwendung

This Technical Specification (CEN/TS) was approved by CEN on 3 January 2022 for provisional application.

The period of validity of this CEN/TS is limited initially to three years. After two years the members of CEN will be requested to submit their comments, particularly on the question whether the CEN/TS can be converted into a European Standard.

CEN members are required to announce the existence of this CEN/TS in the same way as for an EN and to make the CEN/TS available promptly at national level in an appropriate form. It is permissible to keep conflicting national standards in force (in parallel to the CEN/TS) until the final decision about the possible conversion of the CEN/TS into an EN is reached.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

[SIST-TS CEN/TS 17700-3:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0b4282df-1f22-4c6e-a5c0-563a83f23d61/sist-ts-cen-ts-17700-3-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0b4282df-1f22-4c6e-a5c0-563a83f23d61/sist-ts-cen-ts-17700-3-2023>



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION  
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION  
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

<b>Contents</b>		Page
<b>European foreword</b> .....		3
<b>Introduction</b> .....		4
<b>1</b>	<b>Scope</b> .....	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Normative references</b> .....	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Terms and definitions</b> .....	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Terminology of the claim</b> .....	6
<b>5</b>	<b>Justification of plant biostimulant claims regarding abiotic stress tolerance</b> .....	7
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> .....	7
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Trial design with negative control</b> .....	7
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Trial design without negative control</b> .....	8
<b>6</b>	<b>Agronomic markers to validate the claim</b> .....	9
<b>7</b>	<b>Specifications for the performance of the trials</b> .....	11

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
(standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST-TS CEN/TS 17700-3:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0b4282df-1f22-4c6e-a5c0-563a83f23d61/sist-ts-cen-ts-17700-3-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0b4282df-1f22-4c6e-a5c0-563a83f23d61/sist-ts-cen-ts-17700-3-2023>

## European foreword

This document (CEN/TS 17700-3:2022) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 455 “Plant Biostimulants”, the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a Standardization Request given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

The CEN/TS 17700 series, *Plant biostimulants - Claims*, consists of the following parts:

- *Part 1: General principles;*
- *Part 2: Nutrient use efficiency resulting from the use of a plant biostimulant;*
- *Part 3: Tolerance to abiotic stress resulting from the use of a plant biostimulant;*
- *Part 4: Determination of quality traits resulting from the use of a plant biostimulant;*
- *Part 5: Determination of availability of confined nutrient in the soil or rhizosphere.*

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CEN website.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organisations of the following countries are bound to announce this Technical Specification: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

**CEN/TS 17700-3:2022 (E)****Introduction**

This document has been developed to provide guidance for a consistent approach to justify the claims associated with the use of plant biostimulants in agriculture.

The definition of plant biostimulants to be used in the regulation on fertilizing materials is claims-based. For this reason, demonstrating that a product is indeed a *bona fide* plant biostimulant depends on a demonstration of its effect.

The placing of a plant biostimulant on the market should not be considered to guarantee effectiveness under all conditions, as many factors may influence the performance of a plant biostimulant in the field.

Plant biostimulants used in agriculture can be applied in multiple ways: on soil, on plant, as seed treatment, etc. This document is applicable to all application types of plant biostimulants in agriculture.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW  
(standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST-TS CEN/TS 17700-3:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0b4282df-1f22-4c6e-a5c0-563a83f23d61/sist-ts-cen-ts-17700-3-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0b4282df-1f22-4c6e-a5c0-563a83f23d61/sist-ts-cen-ts-17700-3-2023>

## 1 Scope

This document provides guidance for justifying abiotic stress tolerance claim of plant biostimulants used in agriculture.

This document is aimed primarily at manufacturers, laboratories, companies which will put the products on the market, notifying authorities, notified bodies, and market surveillance authorities.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

CEN/TS 17700-1:2022, *Plant biostimulants - Claims - Part 1: General principles*

CEN/TS 17724, *Plant biostimulants - Terminology*

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in CEN/TS 17700-1, CEN/TS 17724 and the following apply.

### 3.1

#### **tolerance to abiotic stress**

ability to endure abiotic stress

### 3.2

#### **abiotic stress**

negative impact of non-living factors on the plant in a specific crop environment

Note 1 to entry: Crop tolerance to abiotic stress is addressed to one or more (multiple or combined) of the following stress categories:

- 1) thermal stress,
- 2) light stress,
- 3) mechanical stress,
- 4) water stress,
- 5) chemical stress.

### 3.3

#### **thermal stress**

negative impact of temperature (supra-optimal and sub-optimal temperature) on the plant in a specific crop environment

EXAMPLE heat stress or cold stress such as chilling and freezing stress

### 3.4

#### **light stress**

negative impact of light intensity and/or spectrum on the plant in a specific crop environment

EXAMPLE high irradiance or low irradiance, UV radiation

**CEN/TS 17700-3:2022 (E)****3.5****mechanical stress**

negative impact of a mechanical force on the plant or the root zone in a specific crop environment

EXAMPLE wind, hail, agricultural operations

**3.6****water stress**

negative impact of water or high solutes concentrations (supra-optimal and sub-optimal water level) or excessive transpiration on the plant in a specific crop environment

EXAMPLE drought, high air vapour pressure deficit, flooding

**3.7****chemical stress**

negative impact of chemicals (supra-optimal or sub-optimal chemical compounds or presence) on the plant in a specific crop environment

EXAMPLE salt stress, mineral toxicity induced by heavy metals or excessive application of mineral nutrients, adverse pH conditions, ozone stress, phytotoxic effects of xenobiotics

**3.8****xenobiotic**

chemical substance found within an organism that is not naturally produced or expected to be present within the organism

EXAMPLE heavy metals, pesticides, ozone

**4 Terminology of the claim**

The type of claim(s) included in the Technical Specification can be addressed to one or more of the above defined abiotic stress(es) – see 3.3 to 3.7.

For instance, a plant biostimulant can improve plant tolerance to a single abiotic stress factor such as heat stress or to multiple abiotic stress factors such as heat stress and salt stress in one or more target crop(s).

Label shall clearly indicate that the plant biostimulant is addressed to improve tolerance to abiotic stress with a clear indication of the type of abiotic stress(es) and the crop(s) on which the effect is demonstrated according to CEN/TS 17700-1.

EXAMPLE “improves tolerance to drought stress on woody perennials”, “improves tolerance to cold stress on tomato”



## 5 Justification of plant biostimulant claims regarding abiotic stress tolerance

### 5.1 Introduction

In open field conditions it can be difficult to fully control specific abiotic stress factors due to their inherent variability and due to the fact, that, often several abiotic stresses may be present at the same time (combinational stresses). Therefore, to fully validate an abiotic stress tolerance claim with both a negative (untreated and unstressed) control and a positive (untreated and stressed) control it should take place under controlled conditions (e.g. growth chamber, growth room, greenhouse).

In controlled conditions or where a negative and positive control can be easily implemented, contrasting, and comparing both these groups, allows a researcher to assess the impact and validity of the stress model. Or in other words, how much damage/growth depression was caused by the stress prior to any intervention (biostimulant application).

Depending on how tangible (easy to control or easy to isolate) the abiotic stress being examined is, sometimes a negative control and a positive control may be implemented in open field conditions. However, as stated previously, in some situations a negative control might not be easily implemented. In this case, the emphasis is placed more on detecting the specific stress by assessing stress specific markers within the plant response to both the environment (growing conditions) and the plant biostimulant application.

In order to justify the claim, these stress markers shall be of agronomic significance (specific to the stress being examined e.g. heat shock proteins created by heat stress). Their relevance may be proved through referencing previous peer reviewed literature that correlated these markers to the stress being examined. Often this stress marker (be it physiological, biochemical or genetic) will cause a plant response (change in phenotype) which will either be promoted or reduced through the use of biostimulants.

Therefore, assessing the stress marker in both the positive control (untreated and stressed) versus the treated (product to be tested) group, will allow a researcher to assess the presence of the stress and how much of a change was caused in the plant response by applying the product, allowing trials without a negative control to validate abiotic stress tolerance.

### 5.2 Trial design with negative control

For the above reasons, the trial should include at a minimum the following three treatments:

Treatment	Stress conditions	Non-stress conditions
Positive Control, untreated plant (X)	•	
Tested Product, treated plant (Y)	•	
Negative Control, untreated plant (Z)		•

In context with the above table, comparing the positive and negative controls (X vs Z) provided all other variables are held equal will demonstrate that the “abiotic stress” in question is real.

Positive Control (X) and Tested Product (Y) treatments can be compared only if the duration and intensity of abiotic stress is the same. All other factors such as genotype, cultural practices and environmental conditions beyond the selected stress factor shall be the same for all treatments.

In the case of a plant trait (i.e. plant biomass - A) that is reduced with the increase of abiotic stress level, the claim is validated for the tested product when  $Y_A$  and  $Z_A$  are significantly higher (refer to CEN/TS 17700-1:2022, Clause 5) than  $X_A$ .

**CEN/TS 17700-3:2022 (E)**

For a plant trait (i.e. leaf damage - B) that is increased with the increase of abiotic stress level, the claim is validated for the tested product when  $Y_B$  and  $Z_B$  are significantly lower (refer to CEN/TS 17700-1:2022, Clause 5) than  $X_B$ .

**5.3 Trial design without negative control**

In the cases listed below where the implementation of a negative control (Z) is not possible in the same growing environment, the trial can be performed using only two treatments (modified design): an untreated stressed positive control (X) and a stressed treatment with application of tested product (Y). In these cases, the emphasis is placed more on detecting the stress in question by assessing a stress marker within the plant response to both the environment (growing conditions) and the plant biostimulant application. Often this stress marker (be it physiological, biochemical or genetic) will cause a plant response (change in phenotype) which will be promoted or reduced through the use of a plant biostimulant.

The cases where the modified design can be used are:

- a) thermal stress caused by cold or heat,
- b) light stress caused by high/low irradiance, or UV radiation,
- c) water stress caused by high air vapour pressure deficit,
- d) mechanical stress caused by wind, or hail,
- e) salt stress caused by the negative impact of the increase of salt level into the soil and/or irrigation waters.

In these cases, it is mandatory to monitor a stress marker at least in untreated plants (X) before ( $t_1$ ) and after ( $t_2$ ) the stress event to demonstrate that the stress event was sufficient to exert a negative effect on crop. It is also highly recommendable to monitor the stress marker even in treated plants (Y) before ( $t_1$ ) and after ( $t_2$ ) the stress event to demonstrate that tested product was able to mitigate the stress on plant in comparison with untreated plants (X).

For a stress marker (e.g. relative water content) that is reduced with the increase of abiotic stress level (i.e. air vapour pressure deficit), the stress is considered sufficient when the stress marker measured at  $t_2$  is significantly lower (refer to CEN/TS 17700-1:2022, Clause 5) to the value measured at  $t_1$ .

For a stress marker (e.g. heat shock protein) that is increased with the increase of abiotic stress level (i.e. heat), the stress is considered sufficient when the stress marker measured at  $t_2$  is significantly higher (refer to CEN/TS 17700-1:2022, Clause 5) to the value measured at  $t_1$ .

Besides the stress marker, an agronomic marker needs also to be assessed after the stress event ( $t_2$ ) in both treatments (X, Y).

In the case of an agronomic marker (e.g. plant biomass - A) that is reduced with the increase of abiotic stress level, the claim is validated for the tested product when  $Y_A$  is significantly higher (refer to CEN/TS 17700-1:2022, Clause 5) than  $X_A$ .

For a plant trait (e.g. leaf damage - B) that is increased with the increase of abiotic stress level, the claim is validated for the tested product when  $Y_B$  is significantly lower (refer to CEN/TS 17700-1:2022, Clause 5) than  $X_B$ .

The selection of an appropriate stress marker (be it physiological, biochemical, or genetic) for monitoring the stress event in the modified design under open field conditions shall be based on scientific evidence (peer-reviewed scientific articles) about fitness for purpose (i.e. stress marker selected correlates to the specific abiotic stress claim being investigated), and the method on how to sample plant tissue and measure the marker shall also be available in the scientific literature.