
**Automation systems and
integration — Integration of advanced
process control and optimization
capabilities for manufacturing
systems —**

**Part 3:
Verification and validation**

*Systèmes d'automatisation et intégration — Intégration de contrôles
de processus avancés et capacités d'optimisation des systèmes de
fabrication —*

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eed224b5-e503-47b3-bb87-13e018c4d1f9/iso-15746-3-2020>

Partie 3: Vérification et validation



iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 15746-3:2020

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eed224b5-e503-47b3-bb87-13e018c4df9a/iso-15746-3-2020>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2020

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Abbreviated terms	2
5 Principle and purpose	2
5.1 Principle of verification and validation	2
5.2 Structures of indicators	3
5.2.1 General	3
5.2.2 Structure of quantitative indicators	3
5.2.3 Structure of judgement indicator	3
5.3 General process for verification and validation	4
5.4 Verification	5
5.5 Checkpoints in requirement analysis phase	5
5.6 Checkpoints of design phase	8
5.7 Checkpoints in development phase	9
5.8 Checkpoints in execution phase	11
5.9 Checkpoints in support phase	14
6 Validation	15
Bibliography	19

ISO 15746-3:2020
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eed224b5-e503-47b3-bb87-13e018c4df9a/iso-15746-3-2020>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 184, *Automation systems and integration*, Subcommittee SC 5, *Interoperability, integration and architectures for enterprise systems and automation applications*.

A list of all parts in the ISO 15746 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

As a crucial part of the increasingly complex manufacturing systems, automation and control applications which are enabled by advanced process control and optimization (APC-O) methodology and solutions are implemented under the direction of production planning and scheduling. This task involves initially the specific use of APC-O that will eventually enable the integration of manufacturing operations management (MOM) with the automation and control of manufacturing process and equipment.

Automation solutions equipped with both software and hardware components are provided by different suppliers to accomplish APC-O functions. Due to the diversity of development environments and the variety of demand focus, the automation solutions from various suppliers tend to be isolated and relatively independent, which make it harder for the automation solutions to be integrated. Consequently, various automation solution components that the customers can have access to are filled with redundant and duplicated functions, resulting in a waste of resources and limited interoperability. This document offers an interoperability framework for advanced process control and optimization with the intention of maximizing both the integration and the interoperability of automation solutions.

It is not the intent of this document to suggest that there is only one way of implementing APC-O or to force users to abandon their current way of implementing APC-O.

The target users of this document include users and providers of advanced process control and optimization solutions, such as, project solution suppliers, automation systems integrators, production departments of companies, process engineers, independent software testing organizations, implementation and consulting service organizations of advanced process control and optimization software, and relevant government and academic organizations.

ISO 15746-3:2020
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eed224b5-e503-47b3-bb87-13e018c4df9a/iso-15746-3-2020>

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW **(standards.iteh.ai)**

ISO 15746-3:2020

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eed224b5-e503-47b3-bb87-13e018c4df9a/iso-15746-3-2020>

Automation systems and integration — Integration of advanced process control and optimization capabilities for manufacturing systems —

Part 3: Verification and validation

1 Scope

This document defines the principle of verification and validation according to the activity models and workflow of an advanced process control and optimization (APC-O) system, analyses and defines the general process for verification and validation of APC-O systems, and specifies a set of indicators and checkpoints used for verification and validation.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions (standards.iteh.ai)

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1 checkpoint

point where *verification* (3.6) and *validation* (3.5) activities needed to be performed throughout the APC-O lifecycle

3.2 indicator

measurement of an aspect of the system or component

Note 1 to entry: There are two types of indicators: *quantitative indicators* (3.3) and *judgement indicators* (3.4).

3.3 quantitative indicator

indicator (3.2) that is calculated using formulae

3.4 judgement indicator

indicator (3.2) that is evaluated using the evaluation method

3.5 validation

process of evaluating an APC-O system to determine whether it satisfies the stakeholders' requirements for that system

3.6 verification

process of evaluating an APC-O system to determine whether the output of a phase satisfies the conditions imposed at the start of that phase

4 Abbreviated terms

APC-O Advanced Process Control and Optimization

MOM Manufacturing Operations Management

V&V Verification and Validation

5 Principle and purpose

5.1 Principle of verification and validation

This document provides a specification for both users and suppliers of the APC-O systems. The APC-O system that conforms to the specification will satisfy the customer requirements and facilitate the integration between different APC-O systems, as illustrated in [Figure 1](#).




Figure 1 — Verification and validation of APC-O systems

Workflow: The workflow of an APC-O system relates to the phases of the lifecycle.

Output of lifecycle phase: Each work phase has an object.

Work phase: The lifecycle of an APC-O system consists of the following phases:

- Requirements analysis;
- Design;

- c) Development;
- d) Execution;
- e) Support.

5.2 Structures of indicators

5.2.1 General

Both the quantitative indicators and the judgement indicators are expressed in the manner of the structure specified in ISO 22400-2. The structure identifies the descriptive element of indicator in the left column and gives a description of each element in the right column.

5.2.2 Structure of quantitative indicators

[Table 1](#) presents an overview of the main elements of quantitative indicator structure.

[Table 2](#) is an example of quantitative indicator.

Table 1 — Quantitative indicator structure

Name	Name of the indicator
ID	Unique identification
Description	A brief description of the indicator
Scope	The object that the indicator is used for, including the lifecycle phases or the elements/activities within a lifecycle phase
Formula	The mathematical formula of the indicator defined in terms of elements
Unit of measure	The basic unit or dimension of the indicator
Range	Defines the upper and lower logical limits of the indicator
Trend	The information that indicates the direction for improvement, e.g. if a higher or lower value is better
Audience	Users and providers of APC-O solutions, such as project solution suppliers, automation systems integrators, production departments of companies, process engineers, independent software testing organizations, implementation and consulting service organizations of APC-O software, and relevant government and academic organizations

Table 2 — Example of quantitative indicator

Name	Mean squared error (MSE)
ID	
Description	This checkpoint is used to describe the stable rate NOTE Definition of MSE
Formula	$\text{Mean}[(SV - PV)^2]$
Unit of measure	%
Range	Min: 0 % Max: 100 %
Trend	The lower, the better
Audience	Engineers and operators of process

5.2.3 Structure of judgement indicator

[Table 3](#) presents an overview of the main elements of judgement indicator structure.

Table 4 is an example of judgement indicator.

Table 3 — Judgement indicator structure

Name	Name of the indicator
ID	Unique identification
Description	A brief description of the indicator
Scope	Determine the object that the indicator is used for, which can be a lifecycle phase or the elements/activities within the lifecycle phase
Evaluation method	Check whether the object meets the desired requirements
Evaluation result	Pass or fail
Audience	Users and providers of APC-O solutions, such as project solution suppliers, automation systems integrators, production departments of companies, process engineers, independent software testing organizations, implementation and consulting service organizations of APC-O software, and relevant government and academic organizations

Table 4 — Example of judgement indicator

Name	Optimal scheme of realization of an APC-O project
ID	
Description	
Scope	Requirement analysis phase
Evaluation method	
Evaluation result	Pass or fail
Audience	Managers of the APC-O project

5.3 General process for verification and validation

The general process of verification and validation in the workflow of the APC-O system is illustrated in Figure 2.




Figure 2 — General process for verification and validation

The aim of verification and validation is to check whether the work meets the needs of users.

- a) Define the objective of V&V according to the users' requirements.
- b) Analyse the objective and make sure that the objective applies to the work in this phase.
- c) Define the indicators and the checkpoints, the applicable formula and the evaluation method in order to establish the checklist.
- d) Review the indicators and the checkpoints to ensure that the checklist meets the requirements of V&V. Update the indicators and the checkpoints if necessary.
- e) Calculate the indicators, evaluate the checkpoints according to the checklist, and generate the evaluation report.
- f) Assess the evaluation report. There are three situations that indicate whether the evaluation report fails or passes:
 - 1) Errors have occurred during the process of indicator calculations and checkpoint evaluations, and modification is needed.
 - 2) The indicators and the checkpoints are not appropriate and need to be adjusted.
 - 3) The work in this phase does not meet the requirements, and modification is needed.

5.4 Verification

The verification process for lifecycle phases complies with the general method of verification process in 5.3.

5.5 Checkpoints in requirement analysis phase

Table 5 presents an overview of the checklist in the requirement analysis phase.

Tables 6 to 13 describe the indicators in the requirement analysis phase.

Table 5 — Checklist in requirement analysis phase

Number	Checkpoint	Name	ID	Evaluation result
1	Requirement analysis	Scope of application for APC-O system		
		Selection of APC-O modules		
		User's goal		
		Process description		
		Workflow		
		Planned coverage of APC-O modules		
		Planned return on investment		
		Planned implementation efficiency		

Table 6 — Scope of application for APC-O system

Name	Scope of application for the APC-O system
ID	
Description	Determine the scope of the APC-O system application based on stakeholders' requirements