This document is not an ASTM standard and is intended only to provide the user of an ASTM standard an indication of what changes have been made to the previous version. Because it may not be technically possible to adequately depict all changes accurately, ASTM recommends that users consult prior editions as appropriate. In all cases only the current version of the standard as published by ASTM is to be considered the official document.

Designation: F2097–08 Designation: F2097 – 10

## Standard Guide for Design and Evaluation of Primary Flexible Packaging for Medical Products<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F2097; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\varepsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

#### 1. Scope

1.1 This guide provides directions for the design and evaluation of primary flexible packages for medical products. The package materials must be selected appropriately for manufacturing process, end use, and the product being packaged.

1.2 This guide provides a compendium of test methods, <u>practices</u>, and <u>procedures</u>. Specific individual test methods must be selected based on the pertinent characteristics of the specific product to be packaged and the purpose for testing, research and development, or compliance. Not all test methods will be applicable.

1.3 This guide does not address acceptability criteria, which need to be determined jointly by the package producer and the medical products manufacturer.

1.4This guide does not assess the product to be packaged; the sterilization method to be used; or package performance through sterilization, distribution, and handling.

1.5The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. The values given in parentheses are mathematical conversions to inch-pound units that are provided for information only and are not considered standard.

1.4 This guide does not assess the product to be packaged or the sterilization method to be used.

1.5 The units cited in the referenced standard should be used.

### 2. Referenced Documents

#### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

D374 Test Methods for Thickness of Solid Electrical Insulation

D589 Test Method for Opacity of Paper (15 Diffuse Illuminant A, 89 % Reflectance Backing and Paper Backing)

D638 Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics

D645/D645M Test Method for Thickness of Paper and Paperboard

D726 Test Method for Resistance of Nonporous Paper to Passage of Air

#### D882 Test Method for Tensile Properties of Thin Plastic Sheeting-10

- D1003 Test Method for Haze and Luminous Transmittance of Transparent Plastics\_86abeb554d3fastm-2007-10
- D1434 Test Method for Determining Gas Permeability Characteristics of Plastic Film and Sheeting
- D1709 Test Methods for Impact Resistance of Plastic Film by the Free-Falling Dart Method
- D1777 Test Method for Thickness of Textile Materials
- D1894 Test Method for Static and Kinetic Coefficients of Friction of Plastic Film and Sheeting
- D1922 Test Method for Propagation Tear Resistance of Plastic Film and Thin Sheeting by Pendulum Method
- D1938 Test Method for Tear-Propagation Resistance (Trouser Tear) of Plastic Film and Thin Sheeting by a Single-Tear Method
- D2019 Test Method for Dirt in Paper and Paperboard
- D2457 Test Method for Specular Gloss of Plastic Films and Solid Plastics
- D3078 Test Method for Determination of Leaks in Flexible Packaging by Bubble Emission
- D3079 Test Method for Water Vapor Transmission of Flexible Heat-Sealed Packages for Dry Products
- D3335 Test Method for Low Concentrations of Lead, Cadmium, and Cobalt in Paint by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy
- D3420 Test Method for Pendulum Impact Resistance of Plastic Film
- D3718 Test Method for Low Concentrations of Chromium in Paint by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy
- D3776 Test Methods for Mass Per Unit Area (Weight) of Fabric

Copyright © ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F02 on Flexible Barrier Packaging and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F02.50 on Package Design and Development.

Current edition approved May 1, 2008. Published June 2008. Originally approved in 2001. Last previous edition approved in 2007as F2097-07. DOI: 10.1520/F2097-08.

Current edition approved April 1, 2010. Published May 2010. Originally approved in 2001. Last previous edition approved in 2008 as F2097 – 08. DOI: 10.1520/F2097-10. <sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

### ∰ F2097 – 10

D3985 Test Method for Oxygen Gas Transmission Rate Through Plastic Film and Sheeting Using a Coulometric Sensor

- D4169 Practice for Performance Testing of Shipping Containers and Systems
- D4279 Test Methods for Water Vapor Transmission of Shipping ContainersConstant and Cycle Methods
- D4321 Test Method for Package Yield of Plastic Film

- D4754 Test Method for Two-Sided Liquid Extraction of Plastic Materials Using FDA Migration Cell
- D5264 Practice for Abrasion Resistance of Printed Materials by the Sutherland Rub Tester
- D7386 Practice for Performance Testing of Packages for Single Parcel Delivery Systems
- E398 Test Method for Water Vapor Transmission Rate of Sheet Materials Using Dynamic Relative Humidity Measurement F17 Terminology Relating to Flexible Barrier Packaging
  - F88 Test Method for Seal Strength of Flexible Barrier Materials
  - F99 Guide for Writing a Specification for Flexible Barrier Rollstock Materials
  - F151 Test Method for Residual Solvents in Flexible Barrier Materials
  - F372 Test Method for Water Vapor Transmission Rate of Flexible Barrier Materials Using an Infrared Detection Technique
  - F392 Test Method for Flex Durability of Flexible Barrier Materials
  - F748 Practice for Selecting Generic Biological Test Methods for Materials and Devices
  - F813 Practice for Direct Contact Cell Culture Evaluation of Materials for Medical Devices
  - F895 Test Method for Agar Diffusion Cell Culture Screening for Cytotoxicity
  - F904 Test Method for Comparison of Bond Strength or Ply Adhesion of Similar Laminates Made from Flexible Materials
  - F1140 Test Methods for Internal Pressurization Failure Resistance of Unrestrained Packages
  - F1249 Test Method for Water Vapor Transmission Rate Through Plastic Film and Sheeting Using a Modulated Infrared Sensor
  - F1306 Test Method for Slow Rate Penetration Resistance of Flexible Barrier Films and Laminates
  - F1307 Test Method for Oxygen Transmission Rate Through Dry Packages Using a Coulometric Sensor
  - F1443 Practice for Using 0.008-in. (0.203-mm) Aperture Reflectometers as Test Instruments for Measuring Visual Image Quality of Business Copy Images
  - F1608 Test Method for Microbial Ranking of Porous Packaging Materials (Exposure Chamber Method)
  - F1884 Test Methods for Determining Residual Solvents in Packaging Materials
  - F1886 Test Method for Determining Integrity of Seals for Medical Packaging by Visual Inspection
  - F1921 Test Methods for Hot Seal Strength (Hot Tack) of Thermoplastic Polymers and Blends Comprising the Sealing Surfaces of Flexible Webs
  - F1927 Test Method for Determination of Oxygen Gas Transmission Rate, Permeability and Permeance at Controlled Relative Humidity Through Barrier Materials Using a Coulometric Detector
  - F1929 Test Method for Detecting Seal Leaks in Porous Medical Packaging by Dye Penetration
  - F1980 Guide for Accelerated Aging of Sterile Barrier Systems for Medical Devices
  - F2029 Practices for Making Heatseals for Determination of Heatsealability of Flexible Webs as Measured by Seal Strength
  - F2054 Test Method for Burst Testing of Flexible Package Seals Using Internal Air Pressurization Within Restraining Plates
  - F2095 Test Methods for Pressure Decay Leak Test for Flexible Packages With and Without Restraining Plates
  - F2096 Test Method for Detecting Gross Leaks in Medical Packaging by Internal Pressurization (Bubble Test)
  - F2203 Test Method for Linear Measurement Using Precision Steel Rule
  - F2217 Practice for Coating/Adhesive Weight Determination
  - F2250 Practice for Evaluation of Chemical Resistance of Printed Inks and Coatings on Flexible Packaging Materials
  - F2251 Test Method for Thickness Measurement of Flexible Packaging Material
  - F2252 Practice for Evaluating Ink or Coating Adhesion to Flexible Packaging Materials Using Tape
  - F2227 Test Method for Non-Destructive Detection of Leaks in Non-sealed and Empty Medical Packaging Trays by  $CO_2$  Tracer Gas Method
  - F2228 Test Method for Non-Destructive Detection of Leaks in Medical Packaging Which Incorporates Porous Barrier Material by  $CO_2$  Tracer Gas Method
  - F2338 Test Method for Nondestructive Detection of Leaks in Packages by Vacuum Decay Method
  - F2391 Test Method for Measuring Package and Seal Integrity Using Helium as the Tracer Gas
  - F2475 Guide for Biocompatibility Evaluation of Medical Device Packaging Materials
  - F2476 Test Method for the Determination of Carbon Dioxide Gas Transmission Rate (Co <sub>2</sub>TR) Through Barrier Materials Using An Infrared Detector
  - F2559 Guide for Writing a Specification for Sterilizable Peel Pouches

F2638 Test Method for Using Aerosol Filtration for Measuring the Performance of Porous Packaging Materials as a Surrogate Microbial Barrier

- 2.2 EN/ISO Standards:<sup>3</sup>
- EN 868/1 Annex C Gurley, Schopper, Dye Penetration

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Available from International Organization for Standardization (ISO), 1 rue de Varembé, Case postale 56, CH-1211, Geneva 20, Switzerland, http://www.iso.ch.

### 🕼 F2097 – 10

ISO 2556 Plastics—Determination of Gas Transmission Rate of Films and Thin Sheets Under Atmospheric Pressure— Manometric Method

ISO 5636-5 Paper and Board—Determination of Air Permeance (Medium Range)—Part 5: Gurley Method

ISO 11607–1 Packaging for Terminally Sterilized Medical Devices, Annex C

ISO 15105-1 Plastics—Film and Sheeting—Determination of Gas Transmission Rate—Part 1: Differential-Pressure Method

ISO 15105-2 Plastics—Film and Sheeting—Determination of Gas Transmission Rate—Part 2: Equal-Pressure Method

2.3 Military Specification:<sup>4</sup>

Mil Spec 36954C Bacterial Filtration Efficiency

2.4 TAPPI Standards:5

TAPPI T 404 Tensile Breaking Strength and Elongation of Paper and Paperboard

TAPPI T 437 Dirt in Paper and Paperboard

TAPPI T 460 Air Resistance of Paper (Gurley Method)

TAPPI T 494 Tensile Breaking Properties of Paper and Paperboard (Using Constant Rate of Elongation Apparatus)

TAPPI T 536 Resistance of Paper to Passage of Air (High Pressure Gurley Method)

TAPPI T 547 Air Permeance of Paper and Paperboard (Sheffield Method)

2.5 ISTA Procedures:<sup>6</sup>

ISTA 3A Packaged Products for Parcel Delivery System Shipments 70 kg (150 lb) or Less (standard, small, flat, or elongated) ISTA 3E Unitized Loads of Same Product

ISTA 4AB Packaged-Products for Shipment in Known Distribution Channels

ISTA 6-FEDEX-A FedEx Procedures for Testing Packaged Products Weighing Up to 150 lbs

### 3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.1.1 *barrier requirements*, *n*—the need to promote or inhibit moisture, gas, or light, or a combination thereof, while maintaining necessary levels of sterility.

3.1.2 durability requirements, n-material properties relevant to the ability of the package to protect the product.

3.1.3 *integrity and seal requirements*, *n*—the ability of the package to prevent inadvertent escape of contents or entrance of outside substances while preserving intended opening for use features.

3.1.4 *package performance*, *n*—the ability of the packaging system, including the sterile barrier system and protective packaging, to withstand the hazards of handling, distribution, and storage.

 $\frac{3.1.5}{3.1.5}$  printing requirements, n—the printed ink properties needed to ensure physical and chemical resistance to degradation.

<u>3.1.6</u> processing requirements, *n*—the material characteristics needed to ensure the consistent and reliable production of the package ps://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/12750ef6-4790-4fca-b02a-86abeb554d3f/astm-f2097-10 3.1.6

3.1.7 safety requirements, n-safeguard product against contamination and deleterious health effects.

<del>3.1.7</del>

<u>3.1.8</u> visibility and appearance requirements, n—the desired package aesthetics needed to permit or inhibit viewing of the product or to enhance product presentation.

3.2 For other terms used in this guide, see Terminology F17.

### 4. Significance and Use

4.1 This design and evaluation guide describes seven<u>multiple</u> categories for evaluating flexible medical packages and packaging materials. These include safety, barrier properties, durability, package and seal integrity, visibility and appearance, processing, and printing ink properties, and package performance.

4.2 The intent of this design and evaluation guide is to evaluate all <u>sevencited</u> categories and select those that are applicable. Once the product has been characterized and the sterilization methodology has been defined, there are numerous sets of requirements for any specific package. This design and evaluation guide provides an avenue for assessing these requirements and choosing test methods for both evaluating the package design and monitoring package compliance.

NOTE 1—Many of the standards included in this guide are consensus standards that are recognized by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Selection and use of a U.S. FDA recognized consensus standard is voluntary and the sole responsibility of the user in determining its applicability. For further information, consult the U.S. FDA Medical Device Standards Program at http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/Standards/default.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Available from Standardization Documents Order Desk, Bldg. 4 Section D, 700 Robbins Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19111-5094, Attn: NPOPS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Available from Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry (TAPPI), 15 Technology Parkway South, Norcross, GA 30092, http://www.tappi.org.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Available from International Safe Transit Association (ISTA), 1400 Abbot Rd., Suite 160, East Lansing, MI 48823-1900, http://www.ista.org.

🖽 F2097 – 10




FIG. 1 Summary of Test Methods for Medical Packaging Design and Evaluation

4.3 Product characterization shall include mass or weight, geometry (length and width, height, and shape) and product composition.

4.4 All seven-categories must be considered for applicability.

4.5 The Summary of Test Methods for Medical Packaging Design and Evaluation (Fig. 1) provides a compact graphical presentation of the test methods referenced in this guide.

4.6 Test Description and Applicability (see Table 1):

4.6.1 Table 1 lists the test methods commonly used to evaluate flexible medical packaging. The test methods are used in two phases.

4.6.1.1 Package Design: Characterization of the Materials and Evaluation of the Resultant Package—This is referred to as "R&D Evaluation" in Table 1. Testing during this phase is characterized by the generation of quantitative data on the performance

Visibility Haze Gloss Opacity æ ASTM D1003 ASTM D2457 ASTM D589 Appearance Dimensional **Coat Weight** Friction Sealability Measurements Processing ASTM F2029 ASTM F2217 ASTM D1894 ASTM F2203 Rub Testing Anchorage **Chemical Resistance** ASTM F2252 ASTM D5264 Printed Ink ASTM F2250 Package **General Simulation Single Parcel Simulation Enhanced Simulation** Performance ASTM D7386 ASTM D4169 ISTA 4AB ISTA 3A ISTA 3E ISTA 6 FEDEX-A FIG. 1 Summary of Test Methods for Medical Packaging Design and Evaluation (continued)

of the component materials and the package assembly. These test methods are lengthy, making them inappropriate for the manufacturing environment where rapid response is required for process control. Often, they are expensive and require specialized equipment not readily available at a medical packaging or device manufacturing facility.

4.6.1.2 *Package Compliance: Routine Monitoring of Adherence to Specifications*—This is referred to as "Compliance Testing" in Table 1. Testing during this phase must be rapid, inexpensive, and readily implemented in a manufacturing environment. The objective is not to develop design data, but to ensure that the design specifications are being met. These test methods do not necessarily make direct measurements of critical values, but detect variations in material, process, or product that are indicative of all critical characteristics.

4.6.2 It is important to note that no individual test method is entirely predictive of final package performance. Filled packages must be evaluated under conditions of use.

tps://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/12750ef6-4790-4fca-b02a-86abeb554d3f/astm-f2097-10

# **F2097 – 10**

4.7 Once the design of the package and/or packaging materials has been determined, it may be appropriate to create a package and/or material specification. Guides F99 or F2559 may provide useful guidance.

Test	Test Method	Description	Applicability
		Safety Requirements	
		Chemical Properties	
Extractibles Usage R&D evaluation	ASTM D4754	This test method covers the use of the FDA migration cell in the extraction of components and permits quantitation of individual migrants from plastic materials by suitable extracting liquids, including liquid foods and food-stimulating solvents. This test method provides a two-sided, liquid extraction test for plastic materials that can be formed into film, sheet, or disks.	This test method has been applied to a variety of migrant/polymer systems in contact with numerous foods and food simulants. Though most of the migrants examined were radiolabeled, the use of the FDA cell has been validated for migration studies of unlabeled styrene from polystyrene. This test method has been shown to yield reproducible results under the conditions for migration tests requested by the FDA. However, if the data is to be submitted to the FDA, it is suggested that their guidelines by consulted. Because it employs two-sided extraction, this test method for single-sided food contact use. The size of the FDA migration cell as described may preclude its use in determining total nonvolatile extractives in some cases.
Toxity Usage R&D evaluation	ASTM F748	This practice recommends generic biological test methods for materials and devices according to end-use applica- tions. Tests include those performed on materials, end products, and extracts. Rationale and comments on current state of the art are included for all test pro- cedures described. Biological evaluation of materials and devices, and related subjects such as pyrogen testing and batch testing of production lots are also discussed.	The biocompatibility of materials used in single- component or multicomponent medical devices for huma use depends to a large degree on the particular nature of the end-use application. It is not possible to specify a se of biocompatibility test methods which will be necessary and sufficient to establish biocompatibility for all material and applications. While chemical testing for extractable additives and residual monomers or residues from processing aids is necessary for most implant materials, such testing is no included as a part of this practice. The reader is cautioned that the area of materials biocompatibility testing is a rapidly evolving field, and improved methods are evolving rapidly, so this practice is by necessity only a guideline. These test protocols are intended to apply t materials and medical devices for human application.
Toxity Usage <u>https://</u> R&D evaluation	ASTM F813 standards.iteh.ai/c	This practice describes a reference method of direct contact cell culture testing that may be used in evaluating the cytotoxic potential of materials for use in the con- struction of medical materials and devices. This practice may be used either directly to evaluate materials or as a reference against which other cytotoxicity test methods may be compared.	This practice tends to be used less frequently due to the risk of inducing a response from mechanical damage du to direct placement of the sample onto the cell layer. This practice may be suitable for products which have leachates that are not able to diffuse through agar and are not too heavy.

may be compared.

### TABLE 1 Test Description and Applicability Table