

### SLOVENSKI STANDARD SIST-TP CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022

01-september-2022

### Vesoljska tehnika - Priročnik o spreminjajočem se razvoju programske opreme

Space engineering - Agile software development handbook

Raumfahrttechnik - Handbuch zur agilen Softwareentwicklung

### iTeh STANDARD PREVIEV

Ingénierie spatiale - Guide de développement logiciel en mode agile

### Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: \_\_\_ CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/sist-

tp-cen-tr-17603-40-01-202

### ICS:

35.080 Programska oprema Software
49.140 Vesoljski sistemi in operacije Space systems and operations

SIST-TP CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022 en,fr,de

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>SIST-TP CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/sist tp-cen-tr-17603-40-01-2022

# **TECHNICAL REPORT RAPPORT TECHNIQUE**

## **CEN/TR 17603-40-01**

### **TECHNISCHER BERICHT**

June 2022

ICS 49.140; 35.080

**English version** 

### Space engineering - Agile software development handbook

Ingénierie spatiale - Guide de développement logiciel en mode agile

Raumfahrttechnik - Handbuch zur agilen Softwareentwicklung

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 20 April 2022. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.





**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:** Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

© 2022 CEN/CENELEC All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members and for **CENELEC** Members.

## **Table of contents**

Europ	ean Fo	reword	7
Introd	uction.		8
1 Scop	oe		9
2 Refe	rences		10
3 Tern	ns, defi	nitions and abbreviated terms	11
3.1	Terms	from other documents	11
3.2	Terms	specific to the present document	11
3.3	Abbrev	<i>v</i> iated terms	15
4 Intro	ductio	n to the Agile software development approach	17
4.1	Introdu	uction to Agile	17
	4.1.1	General	17
	4.1.2	Agile characteristics (as derived from the manifesto)	18
		<sup>d</sup> sLean management	
4.2	Genera	al issues implementing Agile	21
5 Guid	lelines	for Agile life cycle selection	24
5.1	Select	ing Agile	24
5.2	Analys	is of key factors for Agile selection	24
	5.2.1	General	24
	5.2.2	Customer context	26
	5.2.3	Supplier context	27
	5.2.4	Project context	27
	5.2.5	Team context	29
	5.2.6	Key Factors Summary	30
5.3	Agile a	ssessment process	31
5.4	Select	ing agile or waterfall	32
6 Refe	rence	nodels for Scrum-like Agile software life cycle	34
6.1	Introdu	uction	34
6.2	Roles	and competences	34

### CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022 (E)

	6.2.1	Overiew	34
	6.2.2	Scrum master	34
	6.2.3	Product owner	35
	6.2.4	Development team	35
	6.2.5	SCRUM team	36
	6.2.6	Agile coach	36
	6.2.7	Training and competencies	36
6.3	Exempl	ary Agile activities	37
	6.3.1	Distinction between meeting or activity	37
	6.3.2	Planning I – What will be delivered	38
	6.3.3	Planning II – How will it be delivered	38
	6.3.4	Sprint backlog management	39
	6.3.5	Product backlog refinement	39
	6.3.6	Progress tracking	40
	6.3.7	Product backlog update	40
	6.3.8	Coding, testing and documenting	40
	6.3.9	User feedback	41
	6.3.10	Review preparation	
	6.3.11	Sprint review	41
6.4	Meeting	JS	42
	6.4.1	Daily meeting	42
	6.4.2	Management meeting	
	6.4.3	Retrospective	42
6.5		sing the Agile activities and meetings in a project to create a life-cycle Int to ECSS-E-ST-E-40	43
	6.5.1	Preliminaries	43
	6.5.2	Product releases	44
	6.5.3	Start of the project: Sprint#0	44
	6.5.4	Development phase: Sprints #1 - #N	45
	6.5.5	Acceptance phase	45
6.6	Softwar	e lifecycle definition	46
	6.6.1	ECSS-E-ST-40 reviews	46
	6.6.2	Organising the ECSS-E-ST-40 reviews in an Agile software approach	47
	6.6.3	Selecting the right model	56
7 Guid	lelines f	or software project management	57
7.1		ction	
7.2	Softwar	e Project Management approach	57

	7.2.1	Overview	57	
	7.2.2 Management objectives and priorities			
	7.2.3	Schedule management	61	
	7.2.4	Assumptions, dependencies and constraints	63	
	7.2.5	Work breakdown structure	64	
	7.2.6	Roles	64	
	7.2.7	Risk management	65	
	7.2.8	Monitoring and controlling mechanisms	66	
	7.2.9	Staffing Plan	70	
	7.2.10	Software procurement process	72	
	7.2.11	Supplier management	72	
7.3	Softwar	e development approach	73	
	7.3.1	Strategy to the software development	73	
	7.3.2	Software project development lifecycle	73	
	7.3.3	Relationship with the system development lifecycle	73	
	7.3.4	Reviews and milestones identification and associated documentation	73	
7.4	Softwar	e engineering standards and techniques	73	
7.5	Softwar	e development and software testing environment	73	
7.6	Softwar	e documentation plan	74	
		• · ·		
8 Guid	elines f	or software engineering processes	75	
8 Guid h 8.1://		or software engineering processes		
	Overvie	SISTER OBIGERITOUS TO OTHOUS		
h 8.1	Overvie Softwar	witeh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/	75 75	
<sup>h</sup> 8.1 8.2	Overvie Softwar requirer	w teh ai/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2h8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/ to-cen-tr-17603-40-01-2022 e related system requirements process	75 75 75	
<sup>h</sup> 8.1 8.2	Overvie Softwar requirer	w tehai/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/ e related system requirements process ments and architectural engineering	75 75 75 75	
<sup>h</sup> 8.1 8.2	Overvie Softwar requirer 8.3.1 8.3.2	w teh ai/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/ e related system requirements process ments and architectural engineering Software requirements analysis	75 75 75 75 81	
h 8.1:// 8.2 8.3	Overvie Softwar requirer 8.3.1 8.3.2 Softwar	w teh ai/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/ e related system requirements process ments and architectural engineering Software requirements analysis Software architectural design	75 75 75 75 81 83	
8.1:// 8.2 8.3 8.4	Overvie Softwar requirer 8.3.1 8.3.2 Softwar Softwar	w <u>tehal/catalog/standards/sist/f4t0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865tb672c57d/</u> e related system requirements process nents and architectural engineering Software requirements analysis Software architectural design e design and implementation engineering	75 75 75 81 83 85	
8.1:// 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Overvie Softwar requirer 8.3.1 8.3.2 Softwar Softwar Softwar	w tehal/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/ e related system requirements process ments and architectural engineering Software requirements analysis Software architectural design e design and implementation engineering e validation	75 75 75 81 83 85 86	
8.1:// 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.6	Overvie Softwar requirer 8.3.1 8.3.2 Softwar Softwar Softwar	w teh ai/entalog/standards/sist/f4/0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/ e related system requirements process ments and architectural engineering Software requirements analysis Software architectural design e design and implementation engineering e validation e delivery and acceptance	75 75 75 81 83 85 86 88	
8.1:// 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.6 8.7	Overvie Softwar requirer 8.3.1 8.3.2 Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar	w teh ai/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/ e related system requirements process	75 75 75 81 83 85 86 88 91	
8.1:// 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.6 8.7 8.8	Overvie Softwar requirer 8.3.1 8.3.2 Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar	w teh al/catalog/standards/sist/f410c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/ e related system requirements process	75 75 75 81 83 85 86 88 91 91	
8.1:// 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.6 8.7 8.8	Overvie Softwar Requirer 8.3.1 8.3.2 Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar	w teh al/catalog/standards/sist/f410c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/ e related system requirements process	75 75 75 81 83 85 86 88 91 91 91	
8.1:// 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.6 8.7 8.8	Overvie Softwar Requirer 8.3.1 8.3.2 Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar	w teh ai/entalog/standards/isi/f4002b8.0485.4662.0400.865fb672c57d/ e related system requirements process	75 75 75 81 83 85 86 86 91 91 91 91	
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.6 8.7 8.8 8.9	Overvie Softwar requirer 8.3.1 8.3.2 Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar 8.9.1 8.9.2 8.9.3	w the initial on standards as the initial of the 268 and 85 46 3.94 and 86 the 72 6 57 4 7 6 0 3 - 40 - 01 - 2022 e related system requirements process	75 75 75 81 83 85 86 91 91 91 91 91	
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.6 8.7 8.8 8.9 8.10	Overvie Softwar requirer 8.3.1 8.3.2 Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar Softwar 8.9.1 8.9.2 8.9.3 Indeper	w the anomaly standard visit (410-2022) e related system requirements process ments and architectural engineering Software requirements analysis Software architectural design e design and implementation engineering e validation e delivery and acceptance e verification e operations	75 75 75 81 83 85 86 91 91 91 91 91	

### CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022 (E)

9.1	Software	e product assurance	96
	9.1.1	Introduction	96
	9.1.2	Planning of software product assurance activities	97
	9.1.3	Software product assurance reporting	97
	9.1.4	Technical Debt and noncompliance of Quality Requirements	98
	9.1.5	Software criticality	99
	9.1.6	Software problem management	99
	9.1.7	Control of non-conformances	100
	9.1.8	Software development environment aspects	100
	9.1.9	Summary of software product assurance activities in Agile	100
9.2	Software	e configuration management	102
	9.2.1	Introduction	102
	9.2.2	Agile software configuration management challenges	102
	9.2.3	Agile methods for configuration management	104
	9.2.4	Summary of software configuration activities in Agile	105

# Figures Teh STANDARD PREVIEW

Figure 4-1: From Plan-driven approach to Value-driven approach	19
Figure 4-2: The Lean Thinking House (for details see LEAN-PRIMER)	21
Figure 5-1: Factors for adopting Agile process	25
Figure 5-2: Agile selection factors scale	26
Figure 6-1: Organisation of activities during a sprint	37
Figure 6-2: Exemplar Agile lifecycle	43
Figure 6-3: Model 1: Review driven lifecycle	51
Figure 6-4 Model 2: More flexible review driven lifecycle	53
Figure 6-5: Review driven lifecycle with full flexibility	54
Figure 6-6: Sprint driven lifecycle with formalisation	55
Figure 7-1: Project Management Triangle	58
Figure 7-2: Cost Management: change for free	59
Figure 7-3: Sample Burndown Chart for a Sprint	62
Figure 7-4: Example for an Agile work breakdown	64
Figure 7-5: Agile model supports risk management	65
Figure 7-6: Success of continuous integration tests	68
Figure 7-7: A team metric dashboard	68
Figure 7-8: Summary of performed work	69
Figure 7-9: Delivered business value in a project	70
Figure 8-1: Example of User Story and Tasks	77

Figure 8-2: Kano	model showing mea	ns to ensure custor	mer satisfaction	
i iguio o L. i tuito	model eneming med			

### Tables

Table 5-1: Supplier context	.27
Table 5-2: Project context	.28
Table 5-3: Team context	.29
Table 5-4: Key factors for selection of classical or agile lifecycle	.30
Table 5-5: Aspects for the selection of agile or waterfall approach	.32
Table 6-1 – Overview of the different models	.49
Table 6-2 – Examples of selection of models based on project characteristics	.56
Table 8-1: Mapping ECSS-E-ST-40 to Agile activities. Software Requirements Analysis	.80
Table 8-2: Mapping ECSS-E-ST-40 to Agile activities. Software architectural design	.82
Table 8-3: Mapping ECSS-E-ST-40 to Agile activities. Software Detailed Design,         Coding and testing, and Integration	.83
Table 8-4: Mapping ECSS-E-ST-40 to Agile activities. Software validation	.85
Table 8-5: Mapping ECSS-E-ST-40 to Agile activities. Software Delivery and           Acceptance	.87
Table 8-6 Mapping ECSS-E-ST-40 to Agile activities. Software Verification	.89
Table 8-7: Mapping ECSS-E-ST-40 to Agile activities. Software Maintenance	.94
Table 9-1: Mapping ECSS-Q-ST-80 to Agile activities. Software product assurance1	100
Table 9-2: Mapping ECSS-M-ST-40 to Agile activities. Software Configuration         Management         1	05

### **European Foreword**

This document (CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5 "Space", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

It is highlighted that this technical report does not contain any requirement but only collection of data or descriptions and guidelines about how to organize and perform the work in support of EN 16603-40.

This Technical report (CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022) originates from ECSS-E-HB-40-01A.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

This document has been developed to cover specifically space systems and has therefore precedence over any TR covering the same scope but with a wider domain of applicability (e.g.: aerospace).

SIST-TP CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/sisttp-cen-tr-17603-40-01-2022

CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022 (E)

### Introduction

EN 16603-40 (ECSS-E-ST-40) Space Engineering Software Standard defines the principles and requirements applicable to space software engineering. ECSS-E-ST-40 is always complemented by the EN 16602-80 (ECSS-Q-ST-80) Space Product Assurance Standard, which specifies the product assurance aspects. This ECSS-E-HB-40-01 handbook provides more detailed guidelines and advice for adopting an Agile software development approach in space projects where ECSS-E-ST-40 and ECSS-Q-ST-80 are applicable.

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>SIST-TP CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/sisttp-cen-tr-17603-40-01-2022

# 1 Scope

This Handbook provides recommendations for the implementation of an Agile approach in space software projects complying with EN 16603-40 (ECSS-E-ST-40) and EN 16602-80 (ECSS-Q-ST-80).

This handbook is not an Agile development book, though it provides an Agile reference model based on Scrum and also covers other major Agile methods and techniques. Scrum has been selected as reference because of its widespread application in industry and its flexibility as a development framework to introduce or merge with other Agile methods and techniques. In relation to the ECSS-E-ST-40 and ECSS-Q-ST-80, this handbook does not provide any tailoring of their requirements due to the use of the Agile approach, but demonstrates how compliance towards ECSS can be achieved. This handbook does not cover contractual aspects for this particular engineering approach, although it recognises that considering the approach of fixing cost and schedule and making the scope of functionalities variable, the customer and supplier need to establish specific contractual arrangements. Furthermore, it does not impose a particular finality for the use of Agile, either as a set of team values, project management process, specific techniques or supporting exploration by prototypes.

This handbook, covers, in particular, the following:

- In clause 4, the fundamentals and principles of Agile. It also describes major Agile methods and general issues of implementing an Agile approach.
- In clause 5, the criteria for selecting an Agile lifecycle.
- In clause 6, a reference process model based on Scrum to be used to map its elements to relevant clauses of ECSS-E-ST-40.
- In clause 7, guidelines for software project management, providing advice for ECSS-E-ST-40 clause 5.3 considering the reference process model based on Scrum.
- In clause 8, guidelines for software engineering processes, providing advice for ECSS-E-ST-40 clauses 5.2, and 5.4 to 5.10, considering the reference process model based on Scrum.
- In clause 9, guidelines for software product assurance and software configuration management, providing general advice for the implementation of ECSS-Q-ST-80 and ECSS-M-ST-40 with an Agile approach.

Individual agile practices, introduced in this HB, can also be taken on-board in other software development life-cycles.

# 2 References

EN Reference	Reference in text	Title
EN 16601-00-01	ECSS-S-ST-00-01	ECSS system - Glossary of terms
EN 16603-40	ECSS-E-ST-40	Space engineering - Software
EN 17603-40	ECSS-E-HB-40	Space engineering - Software engineering handbook
EN 16601-10	ECSS-M-ST-10	Space project management - Project planning and implementation
EN 16601-40	ECSS-M-ST-40	Space project management - Configuration and information management
EN 16601-80	ECSS-M-ST-80	Space project management - Risk management
EN 16602-80	ECSS-Q-ST-80	Space product assurance - Software product assurance
EN 16601-80-04	ECSS-Q-HB-80-04	Space product assurance - Software metrication programme definition and implementation handbook
https://standards.	Agile Manifesto	Beck, K., et al.: Agile Manifesto and Twelve Principles of Agile Software (2001). http://agilemanifesto.org
	ISO/IEC 26515:2011	Systems and software engineering - Developing user documentation in an Agile environment
	LEAN-PRIMER	Craig Larman and Bas Vodde. 2009. Lean Primer. Available at: http://www.leanprimer.com/downloads/lean_primer.pdf
	Agilealliance	https://www.agilealliance.org
	SCRUM	https://www.scrum.org

# Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms

#### Terms from other documents 3.1

- For the purpose of this document, the terms and definitions from ECSS-S-ST-00-01 apply, in a. particular the following terms:
  - 1. process
  - 2. product
- For the purpose of this document, the terms and definitions from ECSS-E-ST-40 apply, in b. particular the following term:
  - 1. critical software Ien STANDARD PREVIEW

#### 3.2 Terms specific to the present document

#### 3.2.1 Burndown chart

A chart that records project status, usually showing tasks completed versus time and against total number of tasks

[ISO/IEC 26515:2011]

#### 3.2.2 Capacity

Total number of available hours for a sprint. The capacity is the available hours calculated based on resources planned holiday and company holiday if any.

#### 3.2.3 **Continuous integration**

development practice according to which the source code in development is uploaded into a shared repository regularly to allow automated build, tests and quality control tools to detect and raise issues early to the development team

#### 3.2.4 Cycle time

time elapsed between the start of the work on a particular task and its completion.

#### 3.2.5 **Daily stand-up**

short daily team meeting where the team members share their project activities, synchronize themselves and identify and solve impediments that hamper them from being productive

> It is also known as daily meeting, daily Scrum or roll-call. NOTE 1

Duration of a "Daily stand-up" is about 15 minutes. NOTE 2

### 3.2.6 Definition of done (DoD)

list of activities and criteria to be achieved to declare an element of the backlog as complete

- NOTE 1 This element can be defined at user story, epic, feature, sprint and product release levels
- NOTE 2 Elements of the DoD can be, for example: writing source code, update specification, design and user documentation, execution of unit testing, achieving a certain level of test coverage, establish compliance to a certain level of coding rules.

[adapted from the Agile Alliance]

### 3.2.7 Definition of ready

list of criteria that a user story has to meet to be accepted into the upcoming sprint or iteration

[adapted from the Agile Alliance]

### 3.2.8 Epic

big user story that is too big to be estimated. It is usually too big for an iteration and will be broken down into smaller user stories.

NOTE It is usually too big for an iteration and will be broken down into smaller user stories.

### 3.2.9 Feature

functional or non-functional distinguishing characteristic of a system, usually an enhancement to an existing system

 [ISO/IEC 26515:2011]
 SIST-TP CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022

 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f4f0c2b8-a485-46f3-94aa-865fb672c57d/sist 

 **3.2.10** Increment

 tp-cen-tr-17603-40-01-2022

sum of all the Product Backlog items completed during a sprint and the value of the increments of all previous sprints

### 3.2.11 Iteration

See "sprint" [3.2.22].

### 3.2.12 Lead time

time elapsed between the customer request for an increment and its delivery

#### 3.2.13 Load factor

KPI measuring how many person days it takes to complete a story point

NOTE A story point is a relative measurement unit used to compare relatively user stories, epics (e.g. one ideal working day).

#### 3.2.14 Pair programming

practice where two developers share the same development environment, where the first developer writes the code and the second reviews the code simultaneously and thinks ahead

NOTE Both change roles often so that the strong points of both developers can be utilised and knowledge is shared in the team.

### 3.2.15 Peer review

practice where code written by a developer is reviewed by another developer of the same team

### 3.2.16 Product backlog

ordered list of everything that is known to be needed in the product

- NOTE 1 It is the single source of requirements for any changes to be made to the product.
- NOTE 2 A Product Backlog is never complete. The earliest development of it lays out the initially known and best-understood requirements. The Product Backlog evolves as the product and the environment in which it will be used evolves. The Product Backlog is dynamic; it constantly changes to identify what the product needs to be appropriate, competitive, and useful. If a product exists, its Product Backlog also exists.
- NOTE 3 The items can be, for examples, features, requirements, software problem reports or technical tasks.
- NOTE 4 The backlog is the primary point of entry for knowledge about requirements, and the single authoritative source defining the work to be done.

### [adapted from the Agile Alliance] ANDARD PREVIEW

### 3.2.17 Product Owner

entity as close as possible to the end customer and users and as knowledgeable as possible to the business and solution context

SIST-TP CEN/TR 17603-40-01:2022

NOTE See also clause 6.2.3. https://standard013-94aa-865fb672c57d/sist-

### 3.2.18 Product Backlog Refinement

formal or informal meeting or activity to refine the backlog

- NOTE 1 Examples of refinement are:
  - removing user stories that no longer appear relevant,
  - creating new user stories in response to newly discovered needs,
  - re-assessing the relative priority of user stories, assigning estimates to user stories which have yet to receive one,
  - correcting estimates in light of newly discovered information, splitting user stories which are high priority but too coarse grained to fit in an upcoming iteration.
- NOTE 2 For detailed information about "Product backlog refinement" see clause 6.3.5.

[adapted from the Agile Alliance]

#### 3.2.19 Sprint backlog

set of product backlog items selected for the sprint, plus a plan for delivering the product increment and realizing the sprint goal