INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 22671

Fourth edition

Space data and information transfer systems — Space link extension (SLE) — Forward communications link transmission unit (CLTU) service specification

Systèmes de transfert des informations et données spatiales — Extension de liaisons spatiales (SLE) — Service de l'unité de transmission pour la liaison d'envoi de télécommande (CLTU) (STANGARUS.ILEN.AL)

ISO/PRF 22671 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d7835fc-dec8-4b00-9fec-141c9f380922/iso-prf-22671

PROOF/ÉPREUVE



Reference number ISO 22671:2021(E)

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/PRF 22671 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d7835fc-dec8-4b00-9fec-141c9f380922/iso-prf-22671



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2021

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS) (as CCSDS 912.1-B-4, August 2016) and was adopted (without modifications) by Technical Committee ISO/TC 20, Space vehicles, Subcommittee SC 13, Space data and information transfer systems.

This fourth edition cancels and replaces the third edition (ISO 22671:2011), which has been technically revised.

The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

adds clarifications and corrections.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

CONTENTS

Section			
1	INT	RODUCTION	1-1
	1.1	PURPOSE OF THIS RECOMMENDED STANDARD	1-1
	1.2	SCOPE	
	1.3	APPLICABILITY	
	1.4	RATIONALE	1-2
	1.5	DOCUMENT STRUCTURE	1-2
	1.6	DEFINITIONS, NOMENCLATURE, AND CONVENTIONS	1-5
	1.7	REFERENCES	1-12
2	DES	CRIPTION OF THE FORWARD CLTU SERVICE	2-1
	2.1	OVERVIEW	2-1
	2.2	SPACE LINK EXTENSION REFERENCE MODEL	2-2
	2.3	SERVICE MANAGEMENT	2-3
	2.4	ARCHITECTURE MODEL - FUNCTIONAL VIEWARCHITECTURE MODEL - CROSS-SUPPORT VIEW	2-3
	2.5	ARCHITECTURE MODEL - CROSS-SUPPORT VIEW	2-6
	2.6	FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION ards: iteh:ai) OPERATIONAL SCENARIO	2-7
	2.7	OPERATIONAL SCENARIO	2-14
	2.8	SECURITY ASPECTS OF THE SLE FORWARD CLTU TRANSFER SERVICE 1SO/PRF 22671 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d7835fc-dec8-4600-9fec-	
		TRANSFER SERVICE	2-16
3	FOR	AWARD CLTU SERVICE OPERATIONS	3-1
	3.1	GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS	3_1
	3.2	CLTU-BIND	
	3.3	CLTU-UNBIND	
	3.4	CLTU-START	
	3.5	CLTU-STOP	
	3.6	CLTU-TRANSFER-DATA	
	3.7	CLTU-ASYNC-NOTIFY	
	3.8	CLTU-SCHEDULE-STATUS-REPORT	3-39
	3.9	CLTU-STATUS-REPORT	3-43
	3.10	CLTU-GET-PARAMETER	3-48
	3.11	CLTU-THROW-EVENT	3-53
	3.12	CLTU-PEER-ABORT	3-58
4	CLT	U PROTOCOL	4-1
	4.1	GENERIC PROTOCOL CHARACTERISTICS	4-1
	4.2	CLTU SERVICE PROVIDER BEHAVIOR	4-4

CONTENTS (continued)

Secti	<u>on</u>	<u>Page</u>			
ANN	EX A DATA TYPE DEFINITIONS (NORMATIVE)	A-1			
	ANNEX B PRODUCTION STATUS (NORMATIVE)				
	EX C CONFORMANCE OPTIONS MATRIX (NORMATIVE)				
	EX D INDEX TO DEFINITIONS (INFORMATIVE)				
	IEX E ACRONYMS (INFORMATIVE)				
	EX F THROW EVENT DEFINITIONS (INFORMATIVE)				
ANN	EX G INFORMATIVE REFERENCES (INFORMATIVE)	G-1			
Figu	re				
1 1541					
1-1	SLE Services Documentation	1-4			
2-1	Forward TC Space Link Processing SLE-FG				
2-2	Forward CLTU Service Production and Provision				
2-3	Example of Management and Provision of Forward CLTU Service				
2-4	Simplified Forward CLTU Service Provider State Transition Diagram	2-9			
2-5	Communications Realization of Forward CLTU Service. CLTU Production Status Transitions————————————————————————————————————	2-12			
B-1	CLTU Production Status Transitions A.K.U.P.K.E.V.IE.VV	B-1			
	(standards.iteh.ai)				
Table	2				
	<u>ISO/PRF 22671</u>				
2-1	Forward OLTU Service Operations tandards/sist/3d7835fc-dec8-4b00-9fec-				
3-1	Setting of Forward CLTU Service Configuration Parameters	3-6			
3-2	CLTU-BIND Parameters	3-9			
3-3	CLTU-UNBIND Parameters				
3-4	CLTU-START Parameters	3-18			
3-5	CLTU-STOP Parameters	3-22			
3-6	CLTU-TRANSFER-DATA Parameters	3-25			
3-7	CLTU-ASYNC-NOTIFY Parameters	3-33			
3-8	CLTU-SCHEDULE-STATUS-REPORT Parameters	3-39			
3-9	CLTU-STATUS-REPORT Parameters	3-43			
3-10	CLTU-GET-PARAMETER Parameters	3-48			
3-11	Forward CLTU Parameters	3-50			
3-12	CLTU-THROW-EVENT Parameters	3-54			
3-13	CLTU-PEER-ABORT Parameters	3-58			
4-1	Provider Behavior	4-7			
4-2	Event Description References.	4-10			
4-3	Predicate Definitions	4-10			
4-4	Boolean Flags	4-11			
4-5	Compound Action Definitions	4-11			

CONTENTS (continued)

<u>Table</u>		
B-1	Production Status Changes and Notifications	B-2
B-2	Effect of Production Status on Operations	B-5
C-1	Conformance Matrix for CLTU Service (Operations)	
C-2	Conformance Matrix for CLTU Service (Other Requirements)	
	Throw Event Examples	

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/PRF 22671 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d7835fc-dec8-4b00-9fec-141c9f380922/iso-prf-22671

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS RECOMMENDED STANDARD

This Recommended Standard defines the Communications Link Transmission Unit (CLTU) service in conformance with the transfer services specified in reference [1], *Cross Support Reference Model—Part 1: SLE Services*. The Forward CLTU service is a Space Link Extension (SLE) transfer service that enables a mission to send Communications Link Transmission Units (CLTUs) to a spacecraft.

1.2 SCOPE

This Recommended Standard defines, in an abstract manner, the Forward CLTU service in terms of:

- a) the operations necessary to provide the transfer service;
- b) the parameter data associated with each operation;
- c) the behaviors that result from the invocation of each operation; and
- d) the relationship between, and the valid sequence of, the operations and resulting behaviors. (standards.iteh.ai)

It does not specify:

ISO/PRF 22671

- a) individual implementation's or products sist/3d7835fc-dec8-4b00-9fec-141c9f380922/iso-prf-22671
- b) the implementation of entities or interfaces within real systems;
- the methods or technologies required to radiate data to a spacecraft and to acquire telemetry frames from the signals received from that spacecraft for extraction of the Operational Control Field;
- d) the methods or technologies required for communications; or
- e) the management activities necessary to schedule, configure, and control the Forward CLTU service.

1.3 APPLICABILITY

1.3.1 APPLICABILITY OF THIS RECOMMENDED STANDARD

This Recommended Standard provides a basis for the development of real systems that implement the Forward CLTU service. Implementation of the Forward CLTU service in a real system additionally requires the availability of a communications service to convey invocations and returns of Forward CLTU service operations between service users and

providers. This Recommended Standard requires that such a communications service ensure that invocations and returns of operations are transferred:

- a) in sequence;
- b) completely and with integrity;
- c) without duplication;
- d) with flow control that notifies backpressure to the application layer in the event of congestion; and
- e) with notification to the application layer in the event that communications between the Forward CLTU service user and the Forward CLTU service provider are disrupted, possibly resulting in a loss of data.

It is the specific intent of this Recommended Standard to define the Forward CLTU service in a manner that is independent of any particular communications services, protocols, or technologies.

1.3.2 LIMITS OF APPLICABILITY

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

This Recommended Standard specifies the Forward CLTU service that may be provided by an SLE System for inter-Agency cross support. It is neither a specification of, nor a design for, real systems that may be implemented for the control and monitoring of existing or future missions.

ISO/PRF 22671

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d7835fc-dec8-4b00-9fec-141c9f380922/iso-prf-22671

1.4 RATIONALE

The goal of this Recommended Standard is to create a standard for interoperability between the tracking stations or ground data handling systems of various agencies and the users of forward services.

1.5 DOCUMENT STRUCTURE

1.5.1 DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION

This Recommended Standard is organized as follows:

- a) section 0 provides purpose, scope, applicability, and rationale of this Recommended Standard and lists definitions, nomenclature, conventions, and references used throughout the Recommended Standard;
- b) section 2 presents an overview of the Forward CLTU service including a functional description, the service management context, and protocol considerations;
- c) section 3 specifies the operations of the Forward CLTU service;

- d) section 4 specifies the dynamic behavior of the Forward CLTU service in terms of the state transitions of the Forward CLTU service provider;
- e) annex A is a formal specification of Forward CLTU service data types, using the Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1);
- f) annex B explains the relationship of the Forward CLTU service provisioning on the production status and its dependency on the status of the forward space link channel.
- g) annex C provides a conformance matrix that defines what capabilities must be provided for an implementation to be considered compliant with this Recommended Standard:
- h) annex D lists all terms used in this document and identifies where they are defined;
- i) annex E lists all acronyms used within this document;
- j) annex F contains examples of usage of the CLTU-THROW-EVENT operation;
- k) annex G contains a list of informative references.

1.5.2 SLE SERVICES DOCUMENTATION TREE

This Recommended Standard is based on the architectural model for cross support defined in reference [1]. It expands upon the concept of an SLE transfer service as interactions between SLE Mission User Entities (MUEs) and an SLE transfer service provider for the purpose of providing the Forward CLTU transfer service rds/sist/3d7835fc-dec8-4b00-9fec-

This Recommended Standard is part of a suite of documents specifying the SLE Services. The SLE Services constitute one of the three types of Cross Support Services:

- a) Part 1: SLE Services:
- b) Part 2: Ground Communications Services:
- c) Part 3: Ground Domain Services.

The basic organization of the SLE services documentation is shown in figure 1-1. The documents are described in the following paragraphs.




Figure 1-1: SLE Services Documentation

ISO/PRF 22671

- a) Cross Support Concept Part Ital Space Link Extension Services (reference [G3]): a Report introducing the concepts of cross support and SLE services;
- b) Cross Support Reference Model—Part 1: Space Link Extension Services (reference [1]): a Recommended Standard that defines the framework and terminology for the specification of SLE services;
- c) Return SLE Transfer Service Specifications: a set of Recommended Standards that will provide specification of all return link SLE transfer services.
- d) Forward SLE Transfer Service Specifications: a set of Recommended Standards that will provide specification of all forward link SLE transfer services (this Recommended Standard is one of the specifications in that set);
- e) SLE API for Transfer Services Specifications: a set of Recommended Practices that provide specifications of an Application Program Interface; a set of Recommended Standards that provide specifications of an Application Program Interface and a mapping to TCP/IP as underlying communications service for SLE services;
- f) *Internet Protocol for Transfer Services*: defines a protocol for transfer of SLE Protocol Data Units using TCP/IP as underlying communications service for SLE services;
- g) SLE Service Management Specification Suite: a set of Recommended Standards that establish the basis for SLE service management.

1.6 DEFINITIONS, NOMENCLATURE, AND CONVENTIONS

1.6.1 **DEFINITIONS**

1.6.1.1 Definitions from Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) Basic Reference Model

This Recommended Standard makes use of a number of terms defined in reference [7]. The use of those terms in this Recommended Standard shall be understood in a generic sense, i.e., in the sense that those terms are generally applicable to technologies that provide for the exchange of information between real systems. Those terms are:

- a) abstract syntax;
- b) application entity;
- c) application layer;
- d) flow control;
- e) Open System Interconnection (OSI);
- f) real system;
- g) service access point (SAP). (standards.iteh.ai)

1.6.1.2 Definitions from Abstract Syntax Notation One

This Recommended Standard makes use of the following terms defined in reference [6]:

- a) Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1);
- b) object identifier;
- c) (data) type;
- d) (data) value.
- NOTE In annex A of this Recommended Standard, ASN.1 is used for specifying the abstract syntax of the invocations and returns of the operations of the Forward CLTU service. The use of ASN.1 as a descriptive language is intended to support the specification of the abstract Forward CLTU service; it is not intended to constrain implementations. In particular, there is no requirement for implementations to employ ASN.1 encoding rules. ASN.1 is simply a convenient tool for formally describing the abstract syntax of the invocations and returns of the Forward CLTU service.

1.6.1.3 Definitions from TC Synchronization and Channel Coding

This Recommended Standard makes use of the following terms defined in reference [2]:

- a) acquisition sequence;
- b) Communications Link Transmission Unit (CLTU);
- c) Carrier Modulation Mode (CMM);
- d) idle sequence;
- e) Physical Layer operations procedure (PLOP).

1.6.1.4 Definitions from TC Space Data Link Protocol

This Recommended Standard makes use of the following term defined in reference [3]:

Communications Link Control Word (CLCW).

1.6.1.5 Definitions from SLE Reference Model

This Recommended Standard makes use of the following terms defined in reference [1]:

- a) abstract binding; reh STANDARD PREVIEW
- b) abstract object;

(standards.iteh.ai)

- c) abstract port;
 - ISO/PRF 22671
- d) abstract service: https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d7835fc-dec8-4b00-9fec-

141c9f380922/iso-prf-22671

- e) CLTU channel;
- f) Forward CLTU service;
- g) invoker;
- h) Mission Data Operation System (MDOS);
- i) Mission User Entity (MUE);
- j) offline delivery mode;
- k) online delivery mode;
- 1) operation;
- m) performer;
- n) physical channel;
- o) service agreement;
- p) service provider (provider);
- q) service user (user);

- r) SLE Complex;
- s) SLE Complex Management;
- t) SLE data channel;
- u) SLE functional group (SLE-FG);
- v) SLE protocol data unit (SLE-PDU);
- w) SLE service data unit (SLE-SDU);
- x) SLE service package;
- y) SLE transfer service instance;
- z) SLE transfer service production;
- aa) SLE transfer service provision;
- bb) SLE Utilization Management;
- cc) space link;
- dd) space link data channel, ANDARD PREVIEW
- ee) space link data unit (\$5-DU); dards.iteh.ai)
- ff) space link session.

ISO/PRF 22671

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d7835fc-dec8-4b00-9fec-

1.6.1.6 Additional Definitions 141c9f380922/iso-prf-22671

For the purposes of this Recommended Standard, the following definitions also apply.

1.6.1.6.1 Association

An association is a cooperative relationship between an SLE service-providing application entity and an SLE service-using application entity. An association is formed by the exchange of SLE protocol data units through use of an underlying communications service.

1.6.1.6.2 Communications Service

A communications service is a capability that enables an SLE service-providing application entity and an SLE service-using application entity to exchange information.

NOTE – If an SLE service user and an SLE service provider are implemented using different communications services, then interoperability between them is possible only by means of a suitable gateway. Adherence to this Recommended Standard ensures, at least in principle, that it is possible to construct such a gateway.

1.6.1.6.3 Confirmed Operation

A confirmed operation is an operation that requires the performer to return a report of its outcome to the invoker.

1.6.1.6.4 Initiator

The initiator is the object that issues the request to bind to another object (the responder).

NOTE – In other words, the initiator is always the invoker of the request to bind to another object. Therefore, in the context of the request to bind, the terms 'initiator' and 'invoker' refer to the same object and are synonyms.

1.6.1.6.5 **Invocation**

The invocation of an operation is the making of a request by an object (the invoker) to another object (the performer) to carry out the operation.

1.6.1.6.6 Parameter Teh STANDARD PREVIEW

A parameter of an operation is data that may accompany the operation's invocation or return.

NOTE – The term parameter is also <u>used to refer</u> to mission-dependent configuration information/used in production or provision of the service b00-9 fec-

141c9f380922/iso-prf-22671

1.6.1.6.7 Performance

The performance of an operation is the carrying out of the operation by an object (the performer).

1.6.1.6.8 Port Identifier

A port identifier identifies a source or a destination in a communications system.

NOTE - See 2.6.4.6 for more information.

1.6.1.6.9 Responder

The responder is the object that receives a request to bind and completes the binding (if possible) with the initiator in order for a service association to exist between the two objects.

NOTE – In other words, the responder is always the performer of the binding. Therefore, in the context of binding, the terms 'responder' and 'performer' refer to the same object and are synonyms.

1.6.1.6.10 Return

The return of an operation is a report, from the performer to the invoker, of the outcome of the performance of the operation.

1.6.1.6.11 Service Instance Provision Period

A service instance provision period is the time during which a service instance (i.e., the capability to transfer one or more SLE data channels of a given type) is scheduled to be provided.

1.6.1.6.12 Unconfirmed Operation

An unconfirmed operation is an operation that does not require a report of its outcome to be returned to the invoker by the performer. ARD PREVIEW

(standards.iteh.ai)

1.6.2 NOMENCLATURE

ISO/PRF 22671

The following conventions apply throughout this Recommended Standard:

a) the words 'shall' and 'must' imply a binding and verifiable specification;

- b) the word 'should' implies an optional, but desirable, specification;
- c) the word 'may' implies an optional specification;
- d) the words 'is', 'are', and 'will' imply statements of fact.

1.6.3 CONVENTIONS

1.6.3.1 Specification of Operations

1.6.3.1.1 General

Section 3 of this Recommended Standard specifies the operations that constitute the Forward CLTU service. The specification of each operation is divided into subsections as follows: