

ISO/FDIS 37302:2025(en)

ISO/TC: 309/AWG 4

Date: 2024-12-15

Secretariat: BSI

Date: 2025-03-25

Compliance management systems — Guidance for the evaluation of effectiveness

Systèmes de management de la conformité — Lignes directrices pour l'évaluation de l'efficacité

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.itih.ai>)

Document Preview

FDIS stage

[ISO/FDIS 37302](https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/c6107d77-016f-4639-971c-f4f2e9b55f85/iso-fdis-37302)

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/c6107d77-016f-4639-971c-f4f2e9b55f85/iso-fdis-37302>

[ISO/FDIS 37302:2025\(en\)](#)

© ISO ~~2024~~2025

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/FDIS 37302](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/c6107d77-016f-4639-971c-f4f2e9b55f85/iso-fdis-37302>

© ISO 2025 – All rights reserved

Contents

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 General principles	2
5 Evaluation methodology	2
5.1 General	2
5.2 Evaluation scales	2
5.3 Evaluation indicator framework	3
6 Evaluation criteria	4
6.1 Planning and establishment of the compliance management system	4
6.2 Implementation of the planned compliance management system	18
6.3 Evaluating performance and improvement of the compliance management system	29
7 Evaluation process	36
7.1 Objectives	36
7.2 Structured approach	36
7.3 Evaluators	37
7.4 Evaluation method	37
Annex A (informative) Figure of the evaluation indicator framework	40
Foreword	iv
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 General principles	2
5 Evaluation methodology	2
5.1 General	2
5.2 Evaluation scales	2
5.3 Evaluation indicator framework	3
6 Evaluation criteria	4
6.1 Planning and establishing of the compliance management system	4
6.2 Implementation of the planned compliance management system	17
6.3 Evaluating performance and improvement of the compliance management system	29
7 Evaluation process	36
7.1 Objectives	36
7.2 Structured approach	36
7.3 Evaluators	36
7.4 Evaluation method	37
Annex A (informative) Figure of the evaluation indicator framework	39

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 309, *Governance of organizations*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

An effective compliance management system supports an organization. It enables the organization to demonstrate its commitment to complying with:

- relevant laws;
- regulatory requirements;
- industry codes;
- organizational standards;
- standards of good governance;
- generally accepted best practices;
- ethics;
- the expectations of the interested parties.

Compliance becomes sustainable when it is embedded in the culture of the organization and in the behaviour and attitude of personnel under the control of the organization. Embedded compliance positively influences the compliance performance of the organization.

ISO 37301 sets out the requirements and provides guidance for establishing, developing, implementing, evaluating and improving an effective and responsive compliance management system within an organization. This document provides guidance to support the implementation of the requirements in ISO 37301 related to evaluating the performance of a compliance management system (including monitoring, measurement, analysis, evaluation and management reviews) and thus ensuring continual improvement in any type of organization.

The framework can also be used to evaluate the effectiveness of other types of compliance management systems.

Compliance management systems — Guidance for the evaluation of effectiveness

1 Scope

This document establishes principles and an evaluation indicator framework for assessing the effectiveness of a compliance management system. This includes evaluation criteria for specified indicators. This document also provides guidance as well as suggestions on the evaluation model.

The guidance provided in this document aims to support the monitoring, measurement, analysis and evaluation of a compliance management system. It aims to support management review of the compliance management system to foster continual improvement. It does not add to, change or otherwise modify requirements for compliance management systems or any other standards.

This document is applicable to the activities for evaluating the effectiveness of the compliance management system in all organizations, regardless of the type, size and nature, including organizations from the public, private or non-profit sector.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 37301, *Compliance management systems — Requirements with guidance for use*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 37301 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1 ~~3.1~~ effectiveness

extent to which planned activities are realized and planned results are achieved

[SOURCE: ISO 37301:2021, 3.13]

3.2 ~~3.2~~ evaluation indicator

measurable reference point of the current status or condition of a compliance management system activity

Note 1 to entry: Evaluation indicators can be quantitative or qualitative.

~~3.4.3.3~~ 3.3

evaluation indicator framework

schema ~~comprized~~ **comprised** of *evaluation indicators* (3.2)(3.2) that reflects the effectiveness of a compliance management system

4 General principles

The evaluation of the effectiveness of a compliance management system should be based on the following principles:

- a) ~~a)~~ **Objectivity:** ~~the~~ **The** evaluation indicator framework can be used in different contexts and for different purposes and is established so that **the** results of ~~the~~ **an** evaluation reflect the actual status of the compliance management system.
- b) ~~b)~~ **Completeness and scalability:** ~~the~~ **The** evaluation criteria for each indicator considers **the** planning, development, implementation and continual improvement of processes, the achievement of planned results and the degree of achievement.
- c) ~~c)~~ **Traceability:** ~~the~~ **The** evaluation results are verified through objective methods and evidence of documented information as well as other supporting information.

5 Evaluation methodology

5.1 General

The effectiveness of the compliance management system refers to its ability to consistently achieve its objectives and intended results. Moreover, an effective compliance management system results in improved performance and enhanced value for the organization and its interested parties.

The evaluation methodology provides for three dimensions: policy and procedures; conduct and culture; and results **and impacts**. These are evaluated along a scale with five levels of effectiveness (see 5.2)-5.2). Every evaluation indicator can be evaluated along these dimensions. The evaluation indicator framework provides indicators aligned with the requirements of a compliance management system compliant with ISO 37301.

The evaluation criteria provide indicators for assessing the individual parts of a compliance management system at a detailed granularity (see ~~Clause 6~~)-**Clause 6**). Applying the evaluation criteria outlined in this document ensures that activities are consistently evaluated and that inadequacies and areas for continual improvement are identified, which in turn helps the organization adapt to changing conditions or requirements.

5.2 Evaluation scales

~~Table 1~~ **Table 1** outlines the three dimensions and scales for measuring the effectiveness of a compliance measurement system.

Table 1.— Scales for the evaluation of the effectiveness of a compliance management system

Scales	Description		
	Policy and procedures	Conduct and culture	Results and impacts
Level 1	Few processes exist and most of them are incomplete.	Behaviour within the organization does not reflect any alignment with the standard procedures.	No apparent impacts or recognizable results.
Level 2	Processes are implemented inconsistently, not formally	There is understanding of the standard procedures, but the	Results are inconsistent; alignment with objectives is

ISO/FDIS 37302:2024-2025(en)

Scales	Description		
	Policy and procedures	Conduct and culture	Results and impacts
	defined, and communicated separately.	procedures are not systematically enforced.	coincidental rather than intentional.
Level 3	Processes are implemented, and documented, but not assessed to determine whether they are fulfilling the related requirements.	Behaviour begins to reflect compliance measures yet there is significant room for improving alignment and effectiveness.	Results are only loosely aligned with objectives and not consistent throughout the scope of the compliance management system.
Level 4	Processes of the compliance management system are integrated into organizational processes; they are monitored, measured and evaluated.	Behaviour is actively managed to align with the standard procedures with continuous evaluation and proactive adjustments to enhance compliance, reduce risks and reinforce a culture of ethical conduct.	Results are aligned with the defined objectives and fully integrated in the organizational process.
Level 5	Processes from the compliance management system are integrated into the organization process and are continually improved; correction measures are implemented to ensure the effectiveness of the compliance management system.	Behaviour is actively managed to align with the standard procedures; compliance measures are fully embedded within the organizational behaviour through continuous monitoring, feedback and adaptation.	Results are integrated in a feedback loop that fosters continual improvement and adaptation to changing conditions.

5.3 Evaluation indicator framework

The framework provides indicators for each component of the compliance management system in line with ISO 37301. The indicators are based on a single requirement, or a group of requirements, related to a component of ISO 37301. The framework is outlined in Table 2.

Table 2.— Composition of the evaluation indicator framework

The dimensions of the evaluation indicator framework	Indicator description
Planning and establishing of the compliance management system	Analysis of the context of the organization, including requirements of interested parties
	Identification and update of compliance obligations
	Determination of the scope of the compliance management system and assessment of compliance risk
	Leadership and commitment of governing body and top management
	Implementation of compliance governance principles
	Maintenance and promotion of compliance culture
	Assignment of roles, responsibilities and authorities for personnel at different levels
	Compliance policy and setting of objectives
	Planning of actions to address risks and opportunities and the resources required
	Operational actions to address risk and opportunity

ISO/FDIS 37302:2025(en)

The dimensions Dimensions of the evaluation indicator framework	Indicator description
Implementation of the planned compliance management system	Allocation of resources
	Competences, capacity building and raising awareness
	Employment process, rewards and disciplinary actions
	Training
	Internal and external communication
	Establishment of a mechanism for raising concerns
	Implementation of processes for investigation
	Management of documented information
Evaluating performance and improvement of the compliance management system	Monitoring, measurement, analysis and evaluation of performance
	Internal audit
	Management review
	Actions to address nonconformity and/or noncompliance and correction
	Continual improvement in a planned manner

6 Evaluation criteria

6.1 Planning and ~~establishing~~ establishment of the compliance management system

6.1.1 Analysis of the context of the organization, including requirements of interested parties

6.1.1.1 Policy and procedures and conduct and culture evaluation

The dimensions on policy and procedures and conduct and culture, which are used to analyse the context of the organization, including the requirements of interested parties, should be evaluated according to [Table 3-Table 3](#).

Table 3.— Evaluation criteria for policy and procedures and conduct and culture related to analysis of the context of the organization, including requirements of interested parties

Scales	Description
Level 1	The procedures for the analysis of the context of the organization, including identification of interested parties relevant to the compliance management system, are not established.
Level 2	There are procedures for the analysis of the context of the organization, including identification of interested parties relevant to the compliance management system, but the procedures are incomplete. The procedures have not been implemented in business activities or are inconsistently implemented.
Level 3	Comprehensive procedures for analysing the context of the organization, including identification of interested parties relevant to the compliance management system, have been established and specify the following:
■	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> — responsibility for analysing the context of the organization; — scope of the context that needs to be analysed, including internal and external issues that affect the organization's ability to achieve the intended results of the compliance management system; — considerations of the requirements of the interested parties;

Merged Cells

Scales	Description
	— input input resources to be considered for analysing the context of the organization.
	Analysis of the context has been conducted in some businesses; or only part of the internal and external issues that affect the organization's ability to achieve the intended results of the compliance management system have been analysed, and appropriate documented information has been created and maintained.
Level 4	Comprehensive procedures as specified at Level 3 have been established and adjusted based on past practices. Analysis of the context of the organization, including identification of interested parties relevant to the compliance management system, have been fully implemented for all businesses in accordance with the procedures. Appropriate documented information has been created, maintained and updated to reflect changes in the analysis.
Level 5	Comprehensive procedures as specified at Level 3 have been established and fully embedded within organizational processes. The procedures are consistently monitored and evaluated; they are continually improved and adapted to changing parameters in the internal and external context of the organization. Analysis of the context of the organization is regularly reconducted and updated based on changes in the internal and external issues. Updated documented information is adjusted to serve the needs of functions throughout the organization.

6.1.1.2 Results and impacts evaluation

The results and impacts related to analysis of the context of the organization, including the requirements of interested parties, should be evaluated according to ~~Table 4~~ Table 4.

Table 4.— Evaluation criteria for results and impacts related to analysis of the context of the organization, including requirements of interested parties

Scales	Description
Level 1	Internal and external issues, including interested parties and their relevant requirements, that affect the organization's ability to achieve the intended results of the compliance management system have not been determined.
Level 2	Internal and external issues, including interested parties and their relevant requirements, that affect the organization's ability to achieve the intended results of the compliance management system are determined inconsistently.
Level 3	Internal and external issues that affect the organization's ability to achieve the intended results of the compliance management system have been partially determined or only for some business activities. Identification of interested parties and consideration of their requirements concerns are only for some business activities.
Level 4	Internal and external issues, including interested parties and their relevant requirements, that affect the organization's ability to achieve the intended results of the compliance management system have been determined for for all relevant business and are proactively managed.
Level 5	Internal and external issues, including interested parties and their relevant requirements, that affect the organization's ability to achieve the intended results of the compliance management system have been determined based on extensive analysis, including consideration of the legal, cultural, technical and business environment. Analysis of context is reviewed and updated based on changes in the internal or external environment. The affected personnel within the organization participated participate in the determination of the analysis of the internal and external issues and had have a good understanding of their impacts.

ISO/FDIS 37302:2025(en)

Scales	Description
	External interested parties are consulted to incorporate the relevant requirements to the compliance management system into the analyses of the context of the organization.

6.1.2 Identification and update of compliance obligations

6.1.2.1 Policy and procedures and conduct and culture evaluation

The dimensions on policy and procedures and conduct and culture related to identifying and updating compliance obligations should be evaluated according to [Table 5, Table 5](#).

Table 5.— Evaluation criteria for policy and procedures and conduct and culture related to identification and update of compliance obligations

Scales	Description
Level 1	The procedures for identifying and updating compliance obligations are not established.
Level 2	There are procedures for identifying and updating compliance obligations, but the procedures are incomplete. The procedures have not been implemented in business activities or are inconsistently implemented.
Level 3	Comprehensive procedures for identifying and updating compliance obligations have been established, which specify the following: — identification and analysis of the relevant requirements of interested parties; — the scope of compliance obligations; — documented information for identifying and updating compliance obligations.
Level 3	— identification and analysis of the relevant requirements of interested parties; — the scope of compliance obligations; — documented information for identifying and updating compliance obligations. Compliance obligations for certain activities, products and services have been identified and updated, or the procedures have been implemented when noncompliance occurs. Appropriate documented information has been created and maintained.
Level 4	Comprehensive procedures as specified at Level 3 have been established and adjusted based on past practices in identifying and analysing compliance obligations. The mandatory compliance obligations and some voluntary compliance obligations derived from activities, products and services have been identified, maintained and updated according to the established schedule. Appropriate documented information has been created, maintained and updated to reflect changes in compliance obligations and measures to address these changes.
Level 5	Comprehensive procedures as specified at Level 3 have been established and fully embedded within organizational processes. The procedures are consistently monitored and evaluated; they are continually improved and adapted to changing parameters in the internal and external context of the organization. The mandatory compliance obligations and any voluntary compliance obligations derived from activities, products and services have been identified, maintained and updated according to the established schedule in particular on decisions of changes or expansion of business activities. Updated documented information has been created and is maintained to demonstrate to external stakeholders that the organization has kept pace with the latest developments over time.

Merged Cells