

---

---

## Hard coal — Sampling of slurries

*Houille — Échantillonnage des schlamms*

iTeh Standards  
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)  
Document Preview

[ISO 20904:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/e56a5b54-c86a-44e3-b8c8-015c59167038/iso-20904-2020)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/e56a5b54-c86a-44e3-b8c8-015c59167038/iso-20904-2020>



iTeh Standards  
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)  
Document Preview

ISO 20904:2020

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/e56a5b54-c86a-44e3-b8c8-015c59167038/iso-20904-2020>



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2020

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

	Page
<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Principles of sampling slurries</b> .....	<b>2</b>
4.1 General.....	2
4.2 Sampling errors.....	3
4.2.1 General.....	3
4.2.2 Preparation error.....	4
4.2.3 Delimitation and extraction errors.....	4
4.2.4 Weighting error, $E_W$ .....	5
4.2.5 Periodic quality fluctuation error, $E_{Q3}$ .....	6
4.3 Sampling and overall variance.....	6
4.3.1 Sampling variance.....	6
4.3.2 Overall variance.....	6
<b>5 Sampling schemes</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>6 Minimization of bias and unbiased increment mass</b> .....	<b>13</b>
6.1 Minimizing bias.....	13
6.2 Volume of increment for falling stream samplers to avoid bias.....	14
6.3 Volume of increment for manual sampling to avoid bias.....	14
<b>7 Precision of sampling and determination of increment variance</b> .....	<b>15</b>
7.1 Overall precision.....	15
7.2 Primary increment variance.....	15
7.3 Preparation and testing variance.....	16
<b>8 Number of sub-lots and number of increments per sub-lot</b> .....	<b>16</b>
<b>9 Minimum mass of solids in lot and sub-lot samples</b> .....	<b>17</b>
9.1 General.....	17
9.2 Minimum mass of solids in lot samples.....	17
9.3 Minimum mass of solids in sub-lot samples.....	17
9.4 Minimum mass of solids in lot and sub-lot samples after size reduction.....	17
<b>10 Time-basis sampling</b> .....	<b>18</b>
10.1 General.....	18
10.2 Sampling interval.....	18
10.3 Cutters.....	18
10.4 Taking of increments.....	18
10.5 Constitution of lot or sub-lot samples.....	19
10.6 Division of increments and sub-lot samples.....	19
10.7 Division of lot samples.....	19
10.8 Number of cuts for division.....	19
<b>11 Stratified random sampling within fixed time intervals</b> .....	<b>19</b>
<b>12 Mechanical sampling from moving streams</b> .....	<b>20</b>
12.1 General.....	20
12.2 Design of the sampling system.....	20
12.2.1 Safety of operators.....	20
12.2.2 Location of sample cutters.....	20
12.2.3 Provision for duplicate sampling.....	20
12.2.4 System for checking the precision and bias.....	20
12.2.5 Minimizing bias.....	21
12.3 Slurry sample cutters.....	22
12.3.1 General.....	22

12.3.2	Falling-stream cutters .....	22
12.3.3	Cutter velocities .....	22
12.4	Mass of solids in increments .....	22
12.5	Number of primary increments .....	23
12.6	Routine checking .....	23
<b>13</b>	<b>Manual sampling from moving streams .....</b>	<b>23</b>
13.1	General .....	23
13.2	Choosing the sampling location .....	23
13.3	Sampling implements .....	24
13.4	Mass of solids in increments .....	24
13.5	Number of primary increments .....	24
13.6	Sampling procedures .....	24
<b>14</b>	<b>Sampling of stationary slurries .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>Sample preparation procedures .....</b>	<b>25</b>
15.1	General .....	25
15.2	Reduction mills .....	25
15.3	Sample division .....	25
15.4	Chemical analysis samples .....	25
15.5	Physical test samples .....	25
<b>16</b>	<b>Packing and marking of samples .....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Annex A</b>	<b>(informative) Examples of correct slurry devices .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Annex B</b>	<b>(informative) Examples of incorrect slurry sampling devices .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Annex C</b>	<b>(normative) Manual sampling implements .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>35</b>

iTech Standards  
[\(https://standards.iteh.ai/\)](https://standards.iteh.ai/)  
 Document Preview

[ISO 20904:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/e56a5b54-c86a-44e3-b8c8-015c59167038/iso-20904-2020)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/e56a5b54-c86a-44e3-b8c8-015c59167038/iso-20904-2020>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 27, *Solid mineral fuels*, Subcommittee SC 4, *Sampling*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 20904:2006), of which it constitutes a minor revision. The changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

- an amendment to [Figure 6 b](#)) to read — incorrect;
- correction to [Figure 7 b](#)).

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).



# Hard coal — Sampling of slurries

## 1 Scope

This document sets out the basic methods for sampling fine coal, coal rejects or tailings of nominal top size <4 mm that is mixed with water to form a slurry. At very high ratios of fine solids to water when the material assumes a soft plastic form, the mixture is correctly termed a paste. Sampling of pastes is not covered in this document.

The procedures described in this document primarily apply to sampling of coal that is transported in moving streams as a slurry. These streams can fall freely or be confined in pipes, launders, chutes, spirals or similar channels. Sampling of slurries in stationary situations, such as a settled or even a well-stirred slurry in a tank, holding vessel or dam, is not recommended and is not covered in this Document.

This document describes procedures that are designed to provide samples representative of the slurry solids and particle size distribution of the slurry under examination. After draining the slurry sample of fluid and measuring the fluid volume, damp samples of the contained solids in the slurry are available for drying (if required) and measurement of one or more characteristics in an unbiased manner and with a known degree of precision. The characteristics are measured by chemical analysis or physical testing or both.

The sampling methods described are applicable to slurries that require inspection to verify compliance with product specifications, determination of the value of a characteristic as a basis for settlement between trading partners or estimation of a set of average characteristics and variances that describes a system or procedure.

Provided flow rates are not too high, the reference method against which other sampling procedures are compared is one where the entire stream is diverted into a vessel for a specified time or volume interval. This method corresponds to the stopped-belt method described in ISO 13909-2.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/c56a5b54-c86a-44e3-b8c8-015c59167038/iso-20904-2020>

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 1213-1, *Solid mineral fuels — Vocabulary — Part 1: Terms relating to coal preparation*

ISO 1213-2, *Solid mineral fuels — Vocabulary — Part 2: Terms relating to sampling, testing and analysis*

ISO 13909-1, *Hard coal and coke — Mechanical sampling — Part 1: General introduction*

ISO 13909-4, *Hard coal and coke — Mechanical sampling — Part 4: Coal — Preparation of test samples*

ISO 13909-8, *Hard coal and coke — Mechanical sampling — Part 8: Methods of testing for bias*

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purpose of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 1213-1, ISO 1213-2 and ISO 13909-1 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

## 4 Principles of sampling slurries

### 4.1 General

For the purposes of this document, a slurry is defined as fine coal, coal rejects or tailings of nominal top size <4 mm that is mixed with water, which is frequently used as a convenient form to transport coal, rejects or tailings through plant circuits by means of pumps and pipelines and under gravity in launders or chutes or through long distances in slurry pipelines. Tailings from wet plants are also discharged as a slurry through pipelines to the tailings dam. In many of these operations, collection of increments at selected sample points is required for evaluation of the coal or rejects in the slurry.

A lot or sub-lot sample is constituted from a set of unbiased primary increments from a lot or sub-lot. The sample container is weighed immediately after collection and combination of increments to avoid water loss by evaporation or spillage. Weighing is necessary to determine the mass percentage of solids in the lot or sub-lot sample. The lot or sub-lot sample can then be filtered, dried and weighed. Alternatively, the lot or sub-lot sample may be sealed in plastic bags after filtering for transport and drying at a later stage.

Except for samples for which their characteristics are determined directly on the slurry, test samples are prepared from lot or sub-lot samples after filtering and drying. Test portions may then be taken from the test sample and analysed using an appropriate and properly calibrated analytical method or test procedure under specified conditions.

The objective of the measurement chain is to determine the characteristic of interest in an unbiased manner with an acceptable and affordable degree of precision. The general sampling theory, which is based on the additive property of variances, can be used to determine how the variances of sampling, sample preparation and chemical analysis or physical testing propagate and hence determine the total variance for the measurement chain. This sampling theory can also be used to optimize mechanical sampling systems and manual sampling methods.

If a sampling scheme is to provide representative samples, it is necessary that all parts of the slurry in the lot have an equal opportunity of being selected and appearing in the lot sample for testing. Any deviation from this basic requirement can result in an unacceptable loss of accuracy. A sampling scheme having incorrect selection techniques, i.e. with non-uniform selection probabilities, cannot be relied upon to provide representative samples.

Sampling of slurries should preferably be carried out by systematic sampling on a time basis (see [Clause 10](#)). If the slurry flow rate and the coal-solids concentration vary with time, the slurry volume and the dry solids mass for each increment will vary accordingly. It is necessary to show that no systematic error (bias) is introduced by periodic variation in quality or quantity where the proposed sampling interval is approximately equal to a multiple of the period of variation in quantity or quality. Otherwise, stratified random sampling should be used (see [Clause 11](#)).

Best practice for sampling slurries is to mechanically cut freely falling streams (see [Clause 12](#)), with a complete cross-section of the stream being taken during the traverse of the cutter. Access to freely falling streams can sometimes be engineered at the end of pipes or by incorporating steps or weirs in launders and chutes. If samples are not collected in this manner, non-uniform concentration of coal solids in the slurry due to segregation and stratification of the solids can lead to bias in the sample that is collected. Slurry flow in pipes can be homogenous with very fine particles dispersed uniformly in turbulent suspension along the length and across the diameter of the pipe. However, more commonly, the slurry in a pipe has significant particle-concentration gradients across the pipe and there can be concentration fluctuations along the length of the pipe. These common conditions are called heterogeneous flow. Examples of such flow are full-pipe flow of a heterogeneous suspension or partial-pipe flow of a fine suspension above a slower moving or even stationary bed of coarser particles in the slurry.

For heterogeneous flow, bias is likely to occur where a tapping is made into the slurry pipe to locate either a flush-fitting sample take-off pipe or a sample tube projecting into the slurry stream for extraction of samples. The bias is caused by non-uniform concentration profiles in the pipe and the



different trajectories followed by particles of different masses due to their inertia, resulting in larger or denser particles being preferentially rejected from or included in the sample.

In slurry channels such as launders, heterogeneous flow is almost always present, and this non-uniformity in particle concentration is usually preserved in the discharge over a weir or step. However, sampling at a weir or step allows complete access to the full width and breadth of the stream, thereby enabling all parts of the slurry stream to be collected with equal probability.

Sampling of slurries in stationary situations, such as a settled or even a well-stirred slurry in a tank, holding vessel or dam is not recommended, because it is virtually impossible to ensure that all parts of the slurry in the lot have an equal opportunity of being selected and appearing in the lot sample for testing. Instead, sampling should be carried out from moving streams as the tank, vessel or dam is filled or emptied.

## 4.2 Sampling errors

### 4.2.1 General

The processes of sampling, sample preparation and measurement are experimental procedures, and each procedure has its own uncertainty appearing as variations in the final results. When the average of these variations is close to zero, they are called random errors. More serious variations contributing to the uncertainty of results are systematic errors, which have averages biased away from zero. There are also human errors that introduce variations due to departures from prescribed procedures for which statistical analysis procedures are not applicable.

The characteristics of the solids component of a slurry can be determined by extracting samples from the slurry stream, preparing test samples and measuring the required quality characteristics. The total sampling error,  $E_T$ , can be expressed as the sum of a number of independent components<sup>[5][6]</sup>. Such a simple additive combination is not possible if the components are correlated. The total sampling error,  $E_T$ , expressed as a sum of its components, is given by [Formula \(1\)](#):

$$E_T = E_{Q1} + E_{Q2} + E_{Q3} + E_W + E_D + E_E + E_P \quad (1)$$

where

$E_{Q1}$  is short-range quality fluctuation error associated with short-range variations in quality of the solids component of the slurry;

$E_{Q2}$  is long-range quality fluctuation error associated with long-range variations in quality of the solids component of the slurry;

$E_{Q3}$  is periodic quality fluctuation error associated with periodic variations in quality of the solids component of the slurry;

$E_W$  is weighting error associated with variations in slurry flow rate;

$E_D$  is increment delimitation error introduced by incorrect increment delimitation;

$E_E$  is increment extraction error introduced by incorrect increment extraction from the slurry;

$E_P$  is the preparation error introduced by departures (usually unintentional) from correct practices, e.g. during constitution of the lot sample, draining and filtering away the water, and transportation and drying of the sample.

The short-range quality fluctuation error consists of two components, as shown by [Formula \(2\)](#):

$$E_{Q1} = E_F + E_G \quad (2)$$

where

$E_F$  is the fundamental error due to variation in quality between particles;

$E_G$  is the segregation and grouping error.

The fundamental error results from the composition heterogeneity of the lot, i.e. the heterogeneity that is inherent to the composition of each particle making up the solids component of the lot. The greater the differences in the compositions of particles, the greater the composition heterogeneity and the higher the fundamental error variance. The fundamental error can never be completely eliminated. It is an inherent error resulting from the variation in composition of the particles in the slurry being sampled.

The segregation and grouping error results from the distribution heterogeneity of the sampled material<sup>[6]</sup>. The distribution heterogeneity of a lot is the heterogeneity arising from the manner in which particles are distributed in the slurry. It can be reduced by taking more increments, but it can never be completely eliminated.

A number of the components of the total sampling error, namely  $E_D$ ,  $E_E$  and  $E_p$ , can be minimized or reduced to an acceptable level by correct design of the sampling procedure.

#### 4.2.2 Preparation error


In this context, the preparation error,  $E_p$ , includes errors associated with non-selective sample preparation operations that should not change mass, such as sample transfer, flocculation, draining and filtering, drying, crushing, grinding or mixing. It does not include errors associated with sample division. Preparation errors include sample contamination, loss of sample material, alteration of the chemical or physical composition of the sample, operator mistakes, fraud or sabotage. These errors can be made negligible by correct design of the sample plant and by staff training. For example, cross-stream slurry cutters should have caps to prevent entry of splashes when the cutter is in the parked position and it is necessary to take care during filtering to avoid loss of fines that are still suspended in the water to be discarded.

#### 4.2.3 Delimitation and extraction errors

Delimitation and extraction errors arise from incorrect sample cutter design and operation. The increment delimitation error,  $E_D$ , results from an incorrect geometry of the volume delimiting the slurry increment (see [Figure 1](#)), and this can be due to both design and operation faults. Because of the incorrect shape of the slurry increment volume, sampling with non-uniform selection probabilities results. The average of  $E_D$  is often non-zero, which makes it a source of sampling bias. The delimitation error can be made negligible if all parts of the stream cross-section are diverted by the sample cutter for the same length of time.

Sampling from moving slurry streams usually involves methods that fall into three broad operational categories as follows:

- a) taking the whole stream part of the time with a cross-stream cutter as shown in [Figure 1 a\)](#)<sup>[6]</sup>, usually when the slurry falls from a pipe or over a weir or step. Cuts 1 and 2 show correct sampling with the cutter diverting all parts of the stream for the same length of time. Cuts 3, 4 and 5 show incorrect sampling where the cutter diverts different parts of the stream for different lengths of time;
- b) taking part of the stream all of the time as shown in [Figure 1 b\)](#)<sup>[6]</sup> with an in-stream point sampler or probe within a pipe or channel, which is always incorrect;
- c) taking part of the stream part of the time as shown in [Figure 1 c\)](#)<sup>[6]</sup>, also with an in-stream point sampler or probe within a pipe or channel, which is always incorrect.



<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/c56a5b54-c86a-44e3-b8c8-015c59167038/iso-20904-2020>

a Correct.

b Incorrect.

**Figure 1 — Plan view of slurry volumes diverted by sample cutters**

The increment extraction error,  $E_E$ , results from incorrect extraction of the slurry increment. The extraction is said to be correct if, and only if, all particles in the slurry that have their centre of gravity inside the boundaries of the correctly delimited increment are extracted. The average of  $E_E$  is often non-zero, which makes it a source of sampling bias. The extraction error can be made negligible by ensuring that the slurry increment is completely extracted from the stream without any particulate material being lost from the cutter due to splashes. It is necessary that the depth and capacity of the cutter be sufficient to avoid slurry reflux from the cutter aperture, resulting in loss of part of the extracted slurry increment.

#### 4.2.4 Weighting error, $E_W$

The weighting error is an error component arising from the selection model underlying [Formula \(1\)](#). In the model, the time-dependent flow rate of the solids in the slurry stream is a weighting function applied to the corresponding time-dependent quality characteristic over time, which gives the weighted-average quality characteristic of the solids component of the lot. The weighting error results from the application of incorrect weights to the quality characteristics. The best solution to reducing the weighting error is to stabilize the flow rate. As a general rule, the weighting error is negligible

for variations in flow rate of up to 10 % relative and acceptable for variations in flow rate up to 20 % relative.

**4.2.5 Periodic quality fluctuation error,  $E_{Q3}$**

Periodic quality fluctuation errors result from periodic variations in quality generated by some equipment used for slurry processing and transportation, e.g. grinding and screening circuits, splitters and pumps. The presence of periodic variations can be detected by determining the variogram (see ISO 13909-7). While in most cases variogram values can be fitted with a simple linear or quadratic function, if periodic behaviour (characterized by regularly spaced maxima and minima) is observed, the fitting function can include a sine-wave term with a period and amplitude to be determined as parameters of the fit<sup>[5]</sup>. In such cases, stratified random sampling should be carried out as discussed in [Clause 11](#). The alternative is to significantly reduce the source of periodic variations in quality, which can require plant redesign.

**4.3 Sampling and overall variance**

**4.3.1 Sampling variance**

Assume that the weighting,  $E_w$ , increment delimitation,  $E_D$ , increment extraction,  $E_E$ , and preparation errors,  $E_p$ , described in [4.2.2](#), [4.2.3](#) and [4.2.4](#) have been eliminated or reduced to insignificant values by careful design and sampling practice. In addition, assume that periodic variations in quality have been eliminated and that the flow rate has been regulated. The sampling error in [Formula \(1\)](#) then reduces to the form of [Formula \(3\)](#):

$$E_T = E_{Q1} + E_{Q2} \tag{3}$$

Hence, the sampling variance,  $V_S$ , is given by [Formula \(4\)](#):

$$V_S = V_{EQ1} + V_{EQ2} \tag{4}$$

The short-range quality fluctuation variance,  $V_{EQ1}$ , arises from the different internal composition of increments taken at the shortest possible interval apart. This is a local or random variance due to the particulate nature of the solids in the slurry.

The long-range quality fluctuation variance,  $V_{EQ2}$ , arises from the continuous trends in quality that occur while sampling a slurry and is usually space- and time-dependent. This component is often the combination of a number of trends generated by diverse causes.

**4.3.2 Overall variance**

The experimental estimate of overall variance is denoted by  $V_{SPT}$ . It is comprised of three components, namely the estimated variance of sampling, the estimated variance of sample preparation and the estimated variance of testing, as given in [Formula \(5\)](#):

$$V_{SPT} = V_S + V_P + V_T \tag{5}$$

where

$V_S$  is the estimated sampling variance;

$V_P$  is the estimated sample preparation variance;

$V_T$  is the estimated measurement variance.