

FINAL  
DRAFT

INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD

ISO/FDIS  
23420

ISO/TC 202/SC 3

Secretariat: JISC

Voting begins on:  
2021-01-29

Voting terminates on:  
2021-03-26

---

---

## Microbeam analysis — Analytical electron microscopy — Method for the determination of energy resolution for electron energy loss spectrum analysis

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW  
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/FDIS 23420](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/6168bb7a-9a55-449b-993b-dc149ce39320/iso-fdis-23420>

RECIPIENTS OF THIS DRAFT ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT, WITH THEIR COMMENTS, NOTIFICATION OF ANY RELEVANT PATENT RIGHTS OF WHICH THEY ARE AWARE AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

IN ADDITION TO THEIR EVALUATION AS BEING ACCEPTABLE FOR INDUSTRIAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, COMMERCIAL AND USER PURPOSES, DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS MAY ON OCCASION HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF THEIR POTENTIAL TO BECOME STANDARDS TO WHICH REFERENCE MAY BE MADE IN NATIONAL REGULATIONS.



Reference number  
ISO/FDIS 23420:2021(E)

© ISO 2021

## iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/FDIS 23420

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/6168bb7a-9a55-449b-993b-dc149ce39320/iso-fdis-23420>



### **COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2021

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

Page

Foreword.....	iv
Introduction.....	v
<b>1 Scope.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Symbols and abbreviated terms.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5 Definition of the energy resolution.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>6 Reference materials and energy determination.....</b>	<b>5</b>
6.1 General.....	5
6.2 Materials selection for energy scale calibration.....	5
6.3 Binding energy measurement of graphite in the XPS.....	6
<b>7 Measurement procedure and energy resolution determination.....</b>	<b>6</b>
7.1 General.....	6
7.2 Predetermination of binding energy.....	8
7.2.1 Obtain graphite and the other reference sample.....	8
7.2.2 Measure C1s of graphite by using the XPS.....	8
7.3 Setup of the S/TEM and the EELS, and sample setting.....	8
7.4 First energy step, $\delta E_1$ , calibration.....	8
7.4.1 EELS acquisition set-up.....	8
7.4.2 Determining the EELS first energy step, $\delta E_1$ .....	8
7.4.3 Acquisition of carbon K-edge EEL spectrum.....	9
7.4.4 Calculate calibrated energy step $\delta E_{1C}$ .....	9
7.5 Measurement of peak close to the zero-loss peak, $E_{CZLP}$ , for the other reference sample using energy step $\delta E_1$ .....	12
7.5.1 EEL spectrum acquisition of the second reference sample using energy step $\delta E_1$ .....	12
7.5.2 Obtain the value for $CH_2$ between the zero-loss peak and the peak $E_{CZLP}$ .....	13
7.5.3 Calculate the peak $E_{CZLP}$ energy.....	13
7.6 Second energy step, $\delta E_2$ , calibration.....	14
7.6.1 Determining the EELS second energy step, $\delta E_2$ .....	14
7.6.2 Acquire $E_{CZLP}$ EEL spectrum.....	15
7.6.3 Obtain the value for $CH_3$ between the zero-loss peak and peak $E_{CZLP}$ .....	15
7.6.4 Calculate calibrated energy step $\delta E_{2C}$ .....	15
7.7 Determining the calibrated EEL spectrometer energy resolution, $\Delta E$ .....	15
7.7.1 Acquisition of a ZLP EEL spectrum.....	15
7.7.2 Obtain the value for $CH_4$ for the zero-loss peak.....	15
7.7.3 Calculate EEL spectrometer energy resolution, $\Delta E$ .....	15
7.8 Record items.....	16
<b>8 Uncertainty for the measurement result of energy resolution.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Annex A (informative) Example of measurement procedure for energy resolution determination.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Annex B (informative) Correspondence between energy values of XPS C1s and EELS carbon K edge.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Bibliography.....</b>	<b>28</b>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 202, *Microbeam analysis*, Subcommittee SC 3, *Analytical electron microscopy*.

A list of all parts in the ISO 23420 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

## Introduction

In order to understand the chemical composition, the atomic bonding and the electronic structure, electron energy loss analysis is often performed with the scanning transmission electron microscope or the transmission electron microscope (S/TEM) equipped with the electron energy loss (EEL) spectrometer.

In the analysis using EEL spectrometer system, the energy loss of incident electrons by the inelastic interaction via phonon and plasmon excitations, intra- and inter-band transitions and the inner shell ionization can be measured. The inner shell ionization is particularly useful and important as it gives the information on chemical composition of materials. For the precise analysis based on the energy loss peak decomposition and its energy shifts, it is vitally important to understand the energy resolution of the EEL spectrometer system. However, the determination method of the energy resolution is not standardized yet.

This document provides the procedures for energy step calibration and energy resolution determination useful for the electron energy loss spectrum analysis in the S/TEM equipped with the EEL spectrometer.

## iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/FDIS 23420](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/6168bb7a-9a55-449b-993b-dc149ce39320/iso-fdis-23420)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/6168bb7a-9a55-449b-993b-dc149ce39320/iso-fdis-23420>

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

ISO/FDIS 23420

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/6168bb7a-9a55-449b-993b-dc149ce39320/iso-fdis-23420>

# Microbeam analysis — Analytical electron microscopy — Method for the determination of energy resolution for electron energy loss spectrum analysis

## 1 Scope

This document specifies a determination procedure of energy resolution in the scanning transmission electron microscope or the transmission electron microscope equipped with the electron energy loss (EEL) spectrometer.

This document is applicable to both in-column type EEL spectrometer and post-column type EEL spectrometer. These EEL signal detecting systems are applicable to a parallel detecting system and a serial detecting system.

## 2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

### 3.1

#### beam diameter

full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the electron beam intensity profile for the STEM observation

### 3.2

#### Boersch effect

energy spread of electron beam due to *Coulomb interaction* (3.5) between electrons in the beam

### 3.3

#### channel

range of one pixel of the detector in the *parallel detection* (3.17) EELS

### 3.4

#### collection angle

EELS entrance aperture diameter divided by a camera length and a *geometric factor* (3.13) for the STEM or the TEM diffraction mode, or EELS entrance aperture diameter divided by the distance from crossover of the lens in front of the EEL spectrometer to the EELS entrance aperture for imaging mode of the energy-filtering TEM

### 3.5

#### Coulomb interaction

repulsion of electrons by electric charge

### 3.6

#### detection plane

plane where energy dispersed electron focus

3.7

**electron energy loss**

energy shift of the electron kinetic energy due to the inelastic scattering in solids

3.8

**energy dispersion**

degree of change in position of the dispersed electrons at the *detection plane* (3.6) per unit energy change

3.9

**energy resolution**

FWHM of the *zero-loss* (3.21) peak

3.10

**energy step**

*energy selecting window* (3.11) per *channel* (3.3) in the *parallel detection* (3.17) EELS, or energy range limited by the width of energy selecting slit in the *serial detection* (3.20) EELS

3.11

**energy selecting window**

energy range for selection of a specific energy loss value

3.12

**entrance aperture**

aperture for limiting the *collection angle* (3.4) of the EEL spectrometer

3.13

**geometric factor**

ratio of distance from a projector lens to an EEL entrance aperture to distance from the projector lens to an image detection device

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW  
(standards.iteh.ai)

3.14

**in-column type EELS**

EELS system with the EEL spectrometer located in the imaging system of the TEM

ISO/FDIS 23420

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/6168bb7a-9a55-449b-993b-b148c39b201a/iso-fdis-23420>

3.15

**irradiation diameter**

diameter of the electron beam irradiation region for the TEM observation

3.16

**K edge**

energy loss related to K shell electron transition to the lowest empty state

3.17

**parallel detection**

simultaneous EELS signal detection for all energy-dispersed electrons focused on the *detection plane* (3.6)

3.18

**plasmon-loss**

energy loss of electron due to excitation of the quantized plasma oscillations of electrons

3.19

**post-column type EELS**

EELS system with the EEL spectrometer located behind the imaging/detecting system of the TEM

3.20

**serial detection**

EEL spectrum detection by scanning the dispersed electrons across the energy selecting slit in front of the detector



## 3.21

**zero-loss**

unscattered and elastically scattered electrons (with only minimal loss of energy due to phonon excitation), giving rise to an intensity peak or the position of which defines zero in the electron energy loss spectrum

[SOURCE: ISO15932: 2013, 2.2.1.1]

## 4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

$B$	spatial width of energy selecting window in the serial detection of the EELS
CCD	charge coupled device
CFE	cold field emission
$CH_1$	sum of $Ch_1(G, P)$ and the $Ch_1(G, C-K)$ . In the parallel detection system, $CH_1$ is the number of channels between the zero-loss peak and carbon K edge of graphite. In the serial detection system, $CH_1$ is distance between the zero-loss peak and carbon K edge of graphite.
$CH_2$	number of channels between the zero-loss peak [Figure 5, key 1] and the peak $E_{CZLP}$ [Figure 5, key 2] on the calibrated energy step $\delta E_{1C}$ in the parallel detection EELS. In the serial detection EELS, $CH_2$ is distance between the zero-loss peak [Figure 5, key 1] and the peak $E_{CZLP}$ [Figure 5, key 2] on the calibrated energy step $\delta E_{1C}$ .
$CH_3$	number of channels between the zero-loss peak and the peak $E_{CZLP}$ on the energy step $\delta E_2$ in the parallel detection EELS. In the serial detection EELS, $CH_3$ is distance between the zero-loss peak and the peak $E_{CZLP}$ on the energy step $\delta E_2$ .
$CH_4$	number of channels corresponding to FWHM of the zero-loss peak on the calibrated energy step $\delta E_{2C}$ in the parallel detection EELS. In the serial detection EELS, $CH_4$ is distance between the zero-loss peak and the peak $E_{CZLP}$ on the calibrated energy step $\delta E_{2C}$ .
$Ch_1(G, C-K)$	number of channels of the range from the graphite plasmon-loss ( $\pi + \sigma$ ) peak [Figure 3, key 1] to carbon K edge $E_{C-K}$ [Figure 3, key 2] on the energy step $\delta E_1$ in the parallel detection system. In the serial detection system, $Ch_1(G, C-K)$ is distance between the graphite plasmon-loss ( $\pi + \sigma$ ) peak [Figure 3, key 1] and carbon K edge $E_{C-K}$ [Figure 3, key 2] on the energy step $\delta E_1$ .
$Ch_1(G, P)$	number of channels of the range from the zero-loss peak [Figure 2, key 1] to the graphite plasmon-loss ( $\pi + \sigma$ ) peak $E_{CZLP}$ [Figure 2, key 2] on the energy step $\delta E_1$ in the parallel detection system. In the serial detection system, $Ch_1(G, P)$ is distance between the zero-loss peak [Figure 2, key 1] and the graphite plasmon-loss ( $\pi + \sigma$ ) peak $E_{CZLP}$ [Figure 2, key 2] on the energy step $\delta E_1$ .
CMOS	complementary metal oxide semiconductor
CRM	certified reference material
C1s	carbon K shell binding energy of graphite measured by the XPS
$D$	energy dispersion on the recording device of the EEL spectrometer
$d$	sample thickness of the electron beam irradiated area
$E$	value of electron energy loss such as plasmon-loss and ionization-loss
$E_{BN-P}$	measured plasmon-loss ( $\pi - \pi^*$ ) peak energy of boron-nitride under the condition of calibrated energy step $\delta E_{1C}$

$E_{CZLP}$	position of noticed low-loss peak close to the zero-loss peak
$E_{C-K}$	carbon K edge energy in the EELS
EEL	electron energy loss
EELS	electron energy loss spectroscope/spectroscopy
FWHM	full width at half maximum
GUM	guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement
$m$	total number of available channels in the parallel detection of the EELS
$n$	iteration number in acquisition of electron energy loss spectrum
RM	reference material
STEM	scanning transmission electron microscope/microscopy
S/TEM	scanning transmission electron microscope/microscopy or transmission electron microscope/microscopy
$s$	detector spatial resolution for the parallel detection. For the serial detection, $s$ is slit width of energy selecting window
TEM	transmission electron microscope/microscopy
$t$	acquisition time in acquisition of electron energy loss spectrum
XPS	X-ray photoelectron spectroscope/spectroscopy
ZLP	zero-loss peak
$\Delta E$	energy resolution
$\Delta E_r$	theoretical energy resolution
$\Delta E_{S0}$	energy broadening
$\delta E_1$	selected energy step in the first energy calibration. In the parallel detection system, $\delta E_1$ is selected from the preset value. In the serial detection system, $\delta E_1$ is derived from the energy width and its spatial width in the energy selection window
$\delta E_{1C}$	calibrated value of energy step $\delta E_1$
$\delta E_2$	selected energy step in the second energy calibration. In the parallel detection system, $\delta E_2$ is selected from the preset value. In the serial detection system, $\delta E_2$ is derived from the energy width and its spatial width in the energy selection window.
$\delta E_{2C}$	calibrated energy step of energy step $\delta E_2$ by the second energy calibration step
$\delta E_S$	energy width of the energy-selecting window in the serial detection system of the EELS
$\lambda$	mean free path of electron inelastic scattering
$\pi$	$\pi$ -bonding state
$\pi^*$	$\pi$ -antibonding state

$\sigma$	$\sigma$ -bonding state
$(\pi - \pi^*)$	resonant oscillation of the $\pi$ -bonding state and the $\pi$ -antibonding state
$(\pi + \sigma)$	resonant oscillation of the $\pi$ -bonding state and the $\sigma$ -bonding state

## 5 Definition of the energy resolution

The theoretical energy resolution  $\Delta E_r$  is given from a convolution of an electron beam energy spread and a spectrometer resolution. The theoretical energy resolution is shown as [Formula \(1\)](#)<sup>[2]</sup>.

$$(\Delta E_r)^2 \approx (\Delta E_0)^2 + (\Delta E_{S0})^2 + (s / D)^2 \quad (1)$$

where

$\Delta E_r$  is theoretical energy resolution

$\Delta E_0$  is energy spread of the primary electron beam

NOTE  $\Delta E_0$  is affected by energy width of electron source and the Boersch effect.

$\Delta E_{S0}$  is broadening of energy

NOTE  $\Delta E_{S0}$  is affected both the spectrometer focusing and the angular width of inelastic scattering.

$s$  is a detector spatial resolution for the parallel detection. For the serial detection,  $s$  is a slit width of energy selecting window.

$D$  is an energy dispersion of the spectrometer

In addition, acquisition time  $t$  and acquisition iteration number  $n$  influence the energy resolution  $\Delta E_r$ .

Measurement of energy resolution  $\Delta E_r$  is not easy because of the complicated formation of the EELS system. It is well known that the full width at half maximum of the zero-loss peak is proportional to the energy resolution  $\Delta E_r$ . Actually, FWHM of the zero-loss peak is very often used as the energy resolution<sup>[3]</sup>. The energy resolution  $\Delta E$  is also defined as FWHM of the zero-loss peak in this document.

## 6 Reference materials and energy determination

### 6.1 General

In order to determine the energy resolution of the EELS equipped in the S/TEM, it is indispensable to calibrate the energy scale in advance. In this section, material selection for the energy scale calibration and the procedure for determining the energy scale are described.

### 6.2 Materials selection for energy scale calibration

For the energy resolution determination, calibration of the energy scale is necessary. As an EEL spectrometer cannot calibrate energy scale by itself, the reference material is necessary for the calibration. Since the energy calibrated certified reference materials (CRMs) and/or reference materials (RMs) are not available, it is necessary to select appropriate materials aiming to energy scale calibration, as (internal) reference materials. The following characteristics are required for the material.

- Easy to obtain
- Easy to handle,

- Homogeneous,
- Stable,
- Having loss peaks at a low-loss energy region,

NOTE 1 For measuring the energy resolution, energy scale calibration is needed to perform within loss energy region such as zero to several hundred electronvolt.

- Non-chargeable.

NOTE 2 In the first step of energy scale calibration on the EELS, loss energy known sample is needed. The loss energy value is obtained by the XPS analysis of the sample. Non-chargeable material is needed for XPS measurements.

In this document, graphite is recommended and used as a reference sample for the coarse energy scale calibration. The other reference sample for the following fine energy scale calibration should be selected from the materials, which has low-loss EELS peak, such as boron-nitride.

### 6.3 Binding energy measurement of graphite in the XPS

XPS C1s (carbon K shell binding energy) peak and EELS carbon K edge  $E_{C-K}$  are equivalent. The correspondence of the energy values between XPS C1s and EELS carbon K-edge is described in [Annex B](#). XPS measurement of C1s peak shall be done about graphite standard sample with calibrated XPS spectrometer.

The XPS shall be calibrated by ISO 15472:2010<sup>4</sup>.

ITeH STANDARD PREVIEW  
(standards.iteh.ai)

## 7 Measurement procedure and energy resolution determination

### 7.1 General

ISO/FDIS 23420  
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/6168bb7a-9a55-449b-993b-dc149ce39320/iso-fdis-23420>

In this subclause, the energy scale calibration of EELS and the procedure for determining energy resolution-are described. [Annex A](#) shows an example of actual measurement using this procedure.

A flowchart of measurement procedure is shown in [Figure 1](#).