INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 29903-1

First edition
2020-01

Comparison of toxic gas data from different tests —

Part 1: Guidance and requirements

Recommandations pour la comparaison de données de gaz toxiques provenant de différents essais —

Partie 1: Lignes directrices et exigences

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ISO 29903-1:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5d1de73e-068c-4a45-bfdc-6fcf869c0b84/iso-29903-1-2020

Reference number
ISO 29903-1:2020(E)

© ISO 2020

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 29903-1:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5d1de73e-068c-4a45-bfdc-6fcf869c0b84/iso-29903-1-2020

COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2020

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ISO 29903-1:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5d1de73e-068c-4a45-bfdc-
6fe1869c884/iso-29903-1-2020

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ISO 29903-1:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5d1de73e-068c-4a45-bfdc-6fcff69c0b84/iso-29903-1-2020

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 92, *Fire safety*, Subcommittee SC 3, *Fire threat to people and environment*.

This first edition of ISO 29903-1 cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 29903:2012), which has been technically revised.

The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

— ISO 29903 has been divided into two parts: ISO 29903-1 (this document) and ISO 29903-2.

— Subclause 4.4 has been revised to include requirements on the identity and properties of test specimens.

— Annex C from ISO 29903 (previous edition) (application examples) has been deleted. Application examples will instead be put in a separate document in the ISO 29903 series.

A list of all parts in the ISO 29903 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

 © ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

Introduction

The production of toxic gases in fires can be a significant factor in determining whether people escape from a fire or not. Estimation of the time available for escape and the time required for escape each require values of the concentrations of toxic gases along possible escape paths. Typically, the yields of the gases from burning finished products are estimated or measured prior to conducting such calculations. In some rare cases toxic species production can be calculated during modelling of the fire development. Typically, spread of the gases and their dilution with air is then simulated using equations or computational models.

The yields of these gases can be measured in a real-scale laboratory test of the entire finished product (e.g. a chair) or in a bench-scale test (using a physical fire model) of a specimen cut from the product or a component of the product. Since there are thousands of different combustibles, routine real-scale testing is both costly and impractical. Thus, there is a need to develop reliable methods to use physical fire models, conducted in less than real-scale, for the estimation of real-scale emissions.

The yields of the gases from the real-scale test are often considered to be the accurate values for the particular test conditions. In tests involving a portion of the finished product in a physical fire model, the specimen characteristics and the combustion conditions differ from those in the real-scale test. In most cases the physical fire model reproduces one part of the entire real-scale scenario, e.g. initial well-ventilated conditions or later vitiated conditions. The yields of combustion products in a fire test depend on apparatus conditions such as: the fuel/air equivalence ratio, whether the decomposition is flaming or non-flaming, the persistence of flaming of the sample, the temperature of the specimen and the effluents produced, the stability of the decomposition conditions, and the interaction of the apparatus with the decomposition process, with the effluents and with the flames.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

It is, therefore, important to have a standardised methodology for comparing the toxic gas yields generated in tests of different scales to determine the appropriateness of using the data from individual physical fire models in fire hazard and risk assessment. It is also valuable to be able to compare the yield data from different physical fire models to determine whether or when they generate comparable results.

ISO 29903-1:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5d1de73e-008e-4a45-bfde-6fcf869c0b84/iso-29903-1-2020

This document concerns the comparison of toxic gas data between small-scale (physical fire models) and large-scale tests and between different small-scale tests, i.e. it covers

a) the comparison of toxic gas data from fire tests of different physical scales and characteristics in terms of a methodology to identify whether the data are comparable and (provided it is comparable) how to make relevant comparisons, and

b) the prediction of large-scale results based on small-scale test data or vice versa.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ISO 29903-1:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5d1de73e-068c-4a45-bfdc-6fcf869c0b84/iso-29903-1-2020

Comparison of toxic gas data from different tests —

Part 1: Guidance and requirements

1 Scope

This document provides principles for characterizing the measured production of toxic gases from a laboratory fire test and provides bases for comparing the results between different types and scales of such tests. It also includes consideration of the uncertainties in the gas determinations. The combined uncertainty is a key factor in the ability to establish similarity or difference of test results.

The sufficiency of the agreement between a bench-scale test and a real-scale test depends on the precision needed in the fire hazard or risk assessment, which is not covered by this document.

This document defines the relevance and significance of toxic gas data from measurements in different fire tests. With such a definition it is possible to provide generic guidance on how such data can be compared between different sizes and types of fire tests.

The combustion conditions represented by the fire test, other specific characteristics of the test and the test specimen, the sampling strategy of the fire effluents, and the analysis technique for the toxic gas species are the most important factors when defining the significance of the toxic gas data.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

This document is intended to serve as a tool for the

ISO 29903-1:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5d1d573a-068c-4a45-bfde-6fcf869c0b84/iso-29903-1-2020

a) definition of the relevance and significance of toxic gas data from fire tests,

b) comparison of toxic gas data from fire tests of different scales and characteristics, and

c) prediction of toxic gas data from a large-scale test based on small-scale data or vice versa.

This document gives general guidance regarding comparison of toxic gas data between physical fire models of different scales, but is principally developed for the gases listed in ISO 13571, i.e. carbon dioxide (CO_2), carbon monoxide (CO), hydrogen halides (HCl, HBr, HF), sulfur dioxide (SO_2), hydrogen cyanide (HCN), nitrogen oxides (NO, NO_2), formaldehyde (CH_2O) and acrolein (C_3H_4O).

This document is not applicable to characterization and comparisons of the toxicity of the effluents from fire tests.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 5725-1, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results — Part 1: General principles and definitions*

ISO 13344, *Estimation of the lethal toxic potency of fire effluents*

ISO 13571, *Life-threatening components of fire — Guidelines for the estimation of time to compromised tenability in fires*

ISO 13943, *Fire safety — Vocabulary*

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

ISO 16312-1, *Guidance for assessing the validity of physical fire models for obtaining fire effluent toxicity data for fire hazard and risk assessment — Part 1: Criteria*

ISO 16730-1, *Fire safety engineering — Procedures and requirements for verification and validation of calculation methods — Part 1: General*

ISO 19703, *Generation and analysis of toxic gases in fire — Calculation of species yields, equivalence ratios and combustion efficiency in experimental fires*

ISO 19706, *Guidelines for assessing the fire threat to people*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 5725-1, ISO 13943, and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

— IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/

3.1
small-scale fire test
bench-scale test
fire test performed on a test specimen of small dimensions

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Note 1 to entry: In these tests, the typical maximum length of a linear test specimen is less than 1 m. The typical maximum dimensions of a rectangular specimen are approximately 0,1 m.

[SOURCE: ISO 13943:2017, definition 3.346, modified — Note 1 to entry has been redrafted and the term "bench-scale test" has been added as a preferred term because of its use in this document.]

ISO 29903-1:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5d1de73c-0f8c-4a45-bfbc-6fcf869c0b84/iso-29903-1-2020

3.2
medium-scale fire test
fire test performed on a test specimen of small-medium size dimensions

Note 1 to entry: A fire test performed on a test specimen of which the maximum dimension is between 0,5 m and 1,0 m is here called a medium-scale fire test.

3.3
intermediate-scale fire test
fire test performed on a test specimen of medium dimensions

Note 1 to entry: A fire test performed on a test specimen for which the maximum dimension is between 1 m and 3 m is usually called an intermediate-scale fire test.

[SOURCE: ISO 13943:2017, definition 3.233, modified — Notes 2 and 3 to entry have been removed.]

3.4
large-scale fire test
fire test, that cannot be carried out in a typical laboratory chamber, performed on a test specimen of large dimensions

Note 1 to entry: A fire test performed on a test specimen of which the maximum dimension is greater than 3 m is usually called a large-scale fire test.

[SOURCE: ISO 13943:2017, definition 3.239]

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

3.5
real-scale fire test
fire test that simulates a given application, taking into account the real scale, the real way the item is installed and used, and the environment

Note 1 to entry: Such a fire test normally assumes that the products are used in accordance with the conditions laid down by the specifier and/or in accordance with normal practice.

[SOURCE: ISO 13943:2017, definition 3.325]

3.6
matrix effect
combined effect of all components of the sample other than the analyte on the measurement of the quantity

Note 1 to entry: Matrix effect (in analytical chemistry) as defined in IUPAC Compendium of Chemical Terminology[1].

Note 2 to entry: The matrix effect in analysis of toxic gases in a fire effluent will be the combined effect from the components of the effluent on the analyte.

Note 3 to entry: If a specific component can be identified as causing an effect then this is referred to as interference.

4 Combustion conditions

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

4.1 General

The yields and nature of the fire effluent component from a fire test of any scale are determined by the involved fuels and the prevalent thermal and oxidative conditions in the current stage of the fire. These conditions also determine the burning rate of the products/materials and thus the rate of effluent generation. See ISO 16312-1.

ISO 29903-1:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d1de75e-060c-4f45-b1de-6fcf869c0b84/iso-29903-1-2020

During a fire test of a finished product, the combustion conditions are likely to change. These changes include the chemistry of the combustible item and the sufficiency of the ventilation.

Whether decomposition is flaming or non-flaming is a dominant factor in the production of toxic gases.

The combustion conditions under which toxic gas data are developed shall be as close to equivalent as possible between the physical fire models or test scales compared (see Clause 6).

NOTE 1 A large change in the rate of combustion can affect the degree of oxidation of the emitted effluent. Smaller changes in combustion rate can have no significant effect.

NOTE 2 Fire stages and the corresponding combustion conditions are described in ISO 19706.

4.2 Thermal environment

The thermal boundary conditions in a test include the external applied heat flux and the heat flux from any flaming combustion. Also of importance is the heat flux distribution among radiation, convection, and conduction.

The thermal environment sensed by the test specimen during combustion includes both gas temperature and the temperature of the sample material, as defined by the thermal boundary conditions.

4.3 Ventilation

The oxygen availability (ventilation) in the physical fire models compared determines the combustion conditions. Comparison among different methods requires characterization of the ventilation conditions in order to assess the degree of similarity.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

For a given experiment, it is necessary to identify how the ventilation is characterized and whether the characterization is local or global.

For a physical fire model in which the fuel gasification rate and the entering oxygen flow and concentration are each controlled independently, the relative oxygen availability can be characterized by a fuel/oxygen equivalence ratio. For other models and real-scale fire tests, one or both of the terms in the equivalence ratio may not be well-known. In those cases, a broader characterization is used. This could be a global equivalence ratio or a term such as “underventilated burning” or “well ventilated burning”.

NOTE 1 Methods for calculating equivalence ratios for physical fire models are given in ISO 19703.

NOTE 2 The local air speed rate can be a significant factor in some fire tests. This applies especially for a tube furnace, where the air speed can affect the results of the combustion.

4.4 Characteristics of test specimens

The test specimens used for comparison of gas yields among physical fire models or between a physical fire model and a larger scale test shall be prepared from a single batch of the finished product or a single batch of each of the component materials. Alternatively, it shall be demonstrated that any differences in composition among the test specimens, tested in the different apparatus, do not affect the test outcome significantly.

For finished products that consist of a single, homogeneous material, the test specimen used in a physical fire model shall be prepared to accommodate the constraints of the test apparatus.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

For specimens from non-homogeneous products, the test specimen shall also contain the same portions of the different materials present in the finished product in both tests compared.

(standards.iteh.ai)

For layered commercial products, an ideal physical fire model accommodates specimens that preserve the relationship of the layers. When this is not possible within the constraints of the model, the rationale for the configuration of the layers shall be documented.

ISO 29903-1:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/5d1de73e-068c-4a45-bfdc-6fcf869c0b84/iso-29903-1-2020

NOTE The yields of toxic gases can depend on the surface exposed, and the timing and extent of penetration of the layers.

5 Toxic gas data

5.1 Identification of toxic species

The minimum set of gases that shall be considered are listed in ISO 13571.

Additional gases shall be appraised as warranted by the chemical composition of the test specimen and the finished product from which it is sampled.

5.2 Different expressions for toxic gas data

5.2.1 General

Subclause 5.2 contains a summary of different expressions typically used for toxic gas data obtained from fire tests and whether the data are suitable for comparison with similar data from other tests or as a basis for the prediction of large-scale results based on small scale data or vice versa.

The experimental data on toxic gases from a fire test can be expressed in several ways. From unrefined measurement data, which is often expressed as gas concentrations from a specific physical fire model, to data in higher degrees of refinement, e.g. yields. What is determined depends in part on the physical fire model used. See Annex A for information concerning the characteristics of different fire models.

 © ISO 2020 – All rights reserved

The data can be in the form of scalar data or vector data. Some types of data are suitable for direct quantitative comparison, but others require a model for quantitative comparison. The most common quantities used in presentation of toxic gas data are given in Table 1 below.

Table 1 — Common types of data on toxic gases from fire tests and properties for comparison

Type of data	Typical units	SI-units	Scalar or vector data	Direct or indirect comparison	Qualitative or quantitative
Concentration of toxicants	ppm (v/v), i.e. µL/L	m^3/m^3	Scalar / Vector[a]	Indirect (Direct)[d]	Quantitative (Qualitative[e])
The contribution to FED (or FEC) from individual toxicants	—	—	Scalar / Vector [a]	Indirect (Direct)[d]	Quantitative (Qualitative[e])
Lethal toxic potency	g/m^3	kg/m^3	Scalar	Direct	Quantitative
Total amount of toxicants released	kg	kg	Scalar	Indirect (e.g. as yield)	Quantitative
Yields	g/g	kg/kg	Scalar (Vector)[b]	Direct	Quantitative
Production rates	g/s	kg/s	Vector (Scalar)[c]	Indirect (Direct)[d]	Quantitative (Qualitative[e])
Normalized production rates	$g{\cdot}s^{-1} \cdot m^{-2}$	$kg{\cdot}s^{-1} \cdot m^{-2}$	Vector (Scalar)[c]	Direct	Quantitative

[a] Scalar if the model is steady-state or vector if the model studies changes in concentration over time.

[b] Typically calculated as scalar data for the whole experimental period but can be calculated as vector data at each point in time.

[c] Typically calculated as vector data at each point in time but can be calculated as scalar data for the whole experimental period.

[d] Indirect comparison using a model (as shown in Figure 1) allows quantitative comparison. In some cases, direct comparison can be used for qualitative assessment.

[e] Direct comparisons without the use of a model can provide qualitative information.

NOTE The “Type of data” given in Table 1 are explained in 5.2.2 to 5.2.7.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ISO 29903-1:2020
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/3d1de73e-068c-4a45-bfdc-6fcf869c0b84/iso-29903-1-2020

5.2.2 Yields

Yield is typically the recommended comparison parameter. Yield is the measured mass of a toxicant generated during combustion, per unit mass of test specimen consumed in the fire test (mass loss) or alternatively, per unit mass of specimen exposed (mass-charge). The calculation of yields shall be made according to instructions in ISO 19703.

Yield is a quantitative comparison parameter and is independent of dilution or other apparatus specific parameters which do not impact on the combustion conditions.

NOTE 1 It can be difficult to calculate toxic gas yields in some large-scale physical fire models (e.g. ISO 9705-1[2]), as the mass loss is normally not measured in these tests.

NOTE 2 Yield can be expressed relative to mass loss rate and thereby provide kinetic information or be a unique value representing an average of the complete test.

5.2.2.1 Mass of a toxic gas generated

A measurement or approximation of the mass of the toxic gas generated is essential to the calculation of toxic gas yields. The typical gas measurement during a fire test is the volumetric concentration of the gas in a volumetric sample of the total effluent. If the effluent from the fire test is not fully mixed, multiple concentration measurements across the effluent flow are necessary.

© ISO 2020 – All rights reserved