
**IT Security techniques — Digital
signatures with appendix —**

**Part 3:
Discrete logarithm based mechanisms**

*Techniques de sécurité IT — Signatures numériques avec
appendice —*

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
Partie 3: Mécanismes basés sur un logarithme discret
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/IEC 14888-3:2018](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92750af1-6ede-4796-a553-675bdf4d96a/iso-iec-14888-3-2018)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92750af1-6ede-4796-a553-675bdf4d96a/iso-iec-14888-3-2018>



iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC 14888-3:2018

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92750af1-6ede-4796-a553-675bdff4d96a/iso-iec-14888-3-2018>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	vi
Introduction	vii
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms	3
5 General model	5
5.1 Parameter generation process	5
5.1.1 Certificate-based mechanisms	5
5.1.2 Identity-based mechanisms	5
5.1.3 Parameter selection	6
5.1.4 Validity of domain parameters and verification key	7
5.2 Signature process	7
5.2.1 General	7
5.2.2 Producing the randomizer	8
5.2.3 Producing the pre-signature	8
5.2.4 Preparing the message for signing	9
5.2.5 Computing the witness (the first part of the signature)	9
5.2.6 Computing the assignment	9
5.2.7 Computing the second part of the signature	9
5.2.8 Constructing the appendix	9
5.2.9 Constructing the signed message	9
5.3 Verification process	10
5.3.1 General	10
5.3.2 Retrieving the witness	11
5.3.3 Preparing message for verification	11
5.3.4 Retrieving the assignment	11
5.3.5 Recomputing the pre-signature	11
5.3.6 Recomputing the witness	11
5.3.7 Verifying the witness	11
6 Certificate-based mechanisms	12
6.1 General	12
6.2 DSA	13
6.2.1 General	13
6.2.2 Parameters	13
6.2.3 Generation of signature key and verification key	14
6.2.4 Signature process	14
6.2.5 Verification process	15
6.3 KCDSA	16
6.3.1 General	16
6.3.2 Parameters	16
6.3.3 Generation of signature key and verification key	17
6.3.4 Signature process	17
6.3.5 Verification process	18
6.4 Pointcheval/Vaudenay algorithm	19
6.4.1 General	19
6.4.2 Parameters	19
6.4.3 Generation of signature key and verification key	19
6.4.4 Signature process	20
6.4.5 Verification process	20
6.5 SDSA	21
6.5.1 General	21

6.5.2	Parameters	22
6.5.3	Generation of signature key and verification key	22
6.5.4	Signature process	22
6.5.5	Verification process	23
6.6	EC-DSA	24
6.6.1	General	24
6.6.2	Parameters	24
6.6.3	Generation of signature key and verification key	25
6.6.4	Signature process	25
6.6.5	Verification process	26
6.7	EC-KCDSA	26
6.7.1	General	26
6.7.2	Parameters	27
6.7.3	Generation of signature key and verification key	28
6.7.4	Signature process	28
6.7.5	Verification process	29
6.8	EC-GDSA	30
6.8.1	General	30
6.8.2	Parameters	30
6.8.3	Generation of signature key and verification key	30
6.8.4	Signature process	31
6.8.5	Verification process	31
6.9	EC-RDSA	32
6.9.1	General	32
6.9.2	Parameters	33
6.9.3	Generation of signature key and verification key	33
6.9.4	Signature process	33
6.9.5	Verification process	34
6.10	EC-SDSA	35
6.10.1	General	35
6.10.2	Parameters	35
6.10.3	Generation of signature key and verification key	35
6.10.4	Signature process	36
6.10.5	Verification process	36
6.11	EC-FSDSA	37
6.11.1	General	37
6.11.2	Parameters	38
6.11.3	Generation of signature key and verification key	38
6.11.4	Signature process	38
6.11.5	Verification process	39
6.12	SM2	39
6.12.1	General	39
6.12.2	Parameters	40
6.12.3	Generation of signature key and verification key	40
6.12.4	Signature process	40
6.12.5	Verification process	41
7	Identity-based mechanisms	42
7.1	General	42
7.2	IBS-1	43
7.2.1	General	43
7.2.2	Parameters	43
7.2.3	Generation of master key and signature/verification key	43
7.2.4	Signature process	44
7.2.5	Verification process	45
7.3	IBS-2	46
7.3.1	General	46
7.3.2	Parameters	46
7.3.3	Generation of master key and signature/verification key	46

7.3.4	Signature process.....	46
7.3.5	Verification process.....	47
7.4	Chinese IBS.....	48
7.4.1	General.....	48
7.4.2	Parameters.....	48
7.4.3	Generation of master key and signature/verification key.....	49
7.4.4	Signature process.....	49
7.4.5	Verification process.....	50
Annex A (normative) Object identifiers.....		52
Annex B (normative) Conversion functions (I).....		55
Annex C (informative) Conversion functions (II).....		60
Annex D (normative) Generation of DSA domain parameters.....		62
Annex E (informative) The Weil and Tate pairings.....		64
Annex F (informative) Numerical examples.....		67
Annex G (informative) Comparison of the signature schemes.....		150
Annex H (informative) Claimed features for choosing a mechanism.....		152
Bibliography.....		153

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/IEC 14888-3:2018](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92750af1-6ede-4796-a553-675bdf4d96a/iso-iec-14888-3-2018)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92750af1-6ede-4796-a553-675bdf4d96a/iso-iec-14888-3-2018>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see <http://patents.iec.ch>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 27, *IT Security techniques*.

This fourth edition cancels and replaces the third edition (ISO 14888-3:2016), of which it constitutes a minor revision. The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

- SM2 algorithm has been added to [6.12](#) and [F.14](#);
- Chinese IBS algorithm has been added to [7.4](#) and [F.15](#);
- numerical examples of KCDSA, ECDSA and EC-KCDSA have been added to [F.3.4](#), [F.6.6](#), [F.6.7](#), [F.6.8](#), [F.7.7](#), [F.7.8](#) and [F.7.9](#);
- several formulae and symbols have been corrected.

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 14888 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

Digital signature mechanisms can be used to provide services such as entity authentication, data origin authentication, non-repudiation and data integrity. A digital signature mechanism satisfies the following requirements.

- Given either or both of the following two things:
 - the verification key, but not the signature key;
 - a set of signatures on a sequence of messages that an attacker has adaptively chosen,
- it should be computationally infeasible for the attacker to:
 - produce a valid signature on a new message;
 - in some circumstances, produce a new signature on a previously signed message; or
 - recover the signature key;
- it should be computationally infeasible, even for the signer, to find two different messages with the same signature.

NOTE 1 Computational feasibility depends on the specific security requirements and environment.

NOTE 2 In some applications, producing a new signature on a previously signed message without knowing the signature key is allowed. One example of such applications is a membership credential in an anonymous digital signature mechanism as specified in ISO/IEC 20008.

Digital signature mechanisms are based on asymmetric cryptographic techniques and involve the following three basic operations:

- a process for generating pairs of keys, where each pair consists of a private signature key and the corresponding public verification key;
- a process that uses the signature key, called the signature process;
- a process that uses the verification key, called the verification process.

The following are the two types of digital signature mechanisms:

- when, for a given signature key, any two signatures produced for the same message are always identical, the mechanism is said to be deterministic (or non-randomized) (see ISO/IEC 14888-1 for further details);
- when, for a given message and signature key, any two applications of the signature process produce (with high probability) two distinct signatures, the mechanism is said to be randomized (or non-deterministic).

The mechanisms specified in this document are all randomized.

Digital signature mechanisms can also be divided into the following two categories:

- when the whole message has to be stored and/or transmitted along with the signature, the mechanism is termed a "signature mechanism with appendix" (such mechanisms are the subject of the ISO/IEC 14888 series);
- when the whole message, or part of it, can be recovered from the signature, the mechanism is termed a "signature mechanism giving message recovery" (the ISO/IEC 9796 series specifies mechanisms in this category).

The verification of a digital signature requires access to the signing entity's verification key. It is, thus, essential for a verifier to be able to associate the correct verification key with the signing entity, or more

precisely, with (parts of) the signing entity's identification data. This association between the signer's identification data and the signer's public verification key can either be guaranteed by an outside entity or mechanism, or the association can be somehow inherent in the verification key itself. In the former case, the scheme is said to be "certificate-based." In the latter case, the scheme is said to be "identity based." Typically, in an identity-based scheme, the verifier can calculate the signer's public verification key from the signer's identification data. The digital signature mechanisms specified in this document are classified into certificate-based and identity-based mechanisms.

NOTE 3 For certificate-based mechanisms, various PKI standards can be used as the basis of key management. For further information, see ISO/IEC 9594-8 (also known as X.509), ISO/IEC 11770-3 and ISO/IEC 15945.

The security of a signature mechanism is based on an intractable computational problem, i.e. a problem for which, given current knowledge, finding a solution is computationally infeasible, such as the factorization problem and the discrete logarithm problem. This document specifies digital signature mechanisms with appendix based on the discrete logarithm problem, and ISO/IEC 14888-2 specifies digital signature mechanisms with appendix based on the factorization problem.

NOTE 4 The first edition of the ISO/IEC 14888 series grouped identity-based mechanisms into ISO/IEC 14888-2 and certificate-based mechanisms into ISO/IEC 14888-3, with both parts covering mechanisms based on both the discrete logarithm and the factorization problems. Since the second edition was published, the mechanisms have been reorganized. ISO/IEC 14888-2 now contains integer factoring-based mechanisms, and this document now contains discrete logarithm based mechanisms.

This document includes 14 mechanisms: two of which (DSA and Pointcheval/Vaudenay algorithm) were in ISO/IEC 14888-3:1998, three of which (EC-DISA, EC-KCDSA, and EC-GDSA) were from ISO/IEC 15946-2:2002 and three of which (KCDSA, IBS-1 and IBS-2) were added in ISO/IEC 14888-3:2006, four of which (SRA, EC-RDSA, EC-SDSA and EC-FSDSA) were added in ISO/IEC 14888-3:2006/Amd 1:2010, and two of which (SM2 and Chinese IBS) are added in this document.

The mechanisms specified in this document use a collision resistant hash-function to hash the message being signed (possibly in more than one part). ISO/IEC 10118 specifies hash-functions.

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) draw attention to the fact that it is claimed that compliance with this document may involve the use of patents.

The ISO and IEC take no position concerning the evidence, validity and scope of these patent rights.

The holder of these patent rights has assured ISO and IEC that he/she is willing to negotiate licences under reasonable and non-discriminatory terms and conditions with applicants throughout the world. In this respect, the statement of the holder of this patent right is registered with the ISO and IEC. Information may be obtained from:

Certicom Corp.
4701 Tahoe Blvd, Building A
MISSISSAUGA ON L4W 0B5
CANADA

Beijing HuadaInfosec Technology Co., Ltd
4F, Tower B, Yandong Bldg
No. 2 Wanhong West St.
Chaoyang District
100015 BEIJING
P.R. CHINA

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights other than those identified above. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO (www.iso.org/patents) and IEC (<http://patents.iec.ch>) maintain on-line databases of patents relevant to their standards. Users are encouraged to consult the databases for the most up to date information concerning patents.

NOTE 5 The mechanisms of EC-DSA, EC-GDSA, EC-RDSA and EC-FSDSA may be vulnerable to a key substitution attack^[10]. The attack is realized if an adversary can find two distinct public keys and one signature such that the signature is valid for both public keys. There are several approaches of avoiding this attack and its possible impact on the security of a cryptographic system. For example, the public key corresponding to the private signing key can be added into the message to be signed.

[Annexes A, B](#) and [D](#) are normative elements; [Annexes C, E, F, G](#) and [H](#) are for information.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/IEC 14888-3:2018](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92750af1-6ede-4796-a553-675bdf4d96a/iso-iec-14888-3-2018>

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC 14888-3:2018

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/92750af1-6ede-4796-a553-675bdf4d96a/iso-iec-14888-3-2018>

IT Security techniques — Digital signatures with appendix —

Part 3: Discrete logarithm based mechanisms

1 Scope

This document specifies digital signature mechanisms with appendix whose security is based on the discrete logarithm problem.

This document provides

- a general description of a digital signature with appendix mechanism, and
- a variety of mechanisms that provide digital signatures with appendix.

For each mechanism, this document specifies

- the process of generating a pair of keys,
- the process of producing signatures, and
- the process of verifying signatures.

[Annex A](#) defines object identifiers assigned to the digital signature mechanisms specified in this document, and defines algorithm parameter structures.

[Annex B](#) defines conversion functions of FE2I, I2FE, FE2BS, BS2I, I2BS, I2OS and OS2I used in this document.

[Annex D](#) defines how to generate DSA domain parameters.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 10118-3, *IT Security techniques — Hash-functions — Part 3: Dedicated hash-functions*

ISO/IEC 14888-1, *Information technology — Security techniques — Digital signatures with appendix — Part 1: General*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/IEC 14888-1 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

**3.1
finite commutative group**

finite set E with the binary operation “ $*$ ” such that

- for all group elements $a, b \in E, a * b \in E$;
- for all group elements $a, b, c \in E, (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)$;
- there exists a group element $e \in E$ with $e * a = a$ for all $a \in E$, where e is called the identity element of the group;
- for all group elements $a \in E$, there exists a group element $b \in E$ with $b * a = e$;
- for all group elements $a, b \in E, a * b = b * a$

Note 1 to entry: In some cases, such as when E is the set of points on an elliptic curve, arithmetic in the finite set E is described with additive notation.

**3.2
cyclic group**

finite commutative group (3.1), E , of n elements that contains a group element $a \in E$, called the generator, of order n

**3.3
elliptic curve group**

cyclic group (3.2) defined on the points of an elliptic curve over a finite field

Note 1 to entry: Let $F = GF(r)$ denote the Galois field with cardinality, r , where either r is an odd prime, p , or r is equal to 2^m , for some positive integer, m . (standards.iteh.ai)

An elliptic curve defined over F can be determined by an affine curve formula, either of the form $y^2 = x^3 + a_1x + a_2$ (when $r = p$ for some odd prime p) or of the form $y^2 + xy = x^3 + a_1x^2 + a_2$ (when $r = 2^m$ for some positive integer m), where the coefficients a_1 and a_2 are (appropriately chosen) elements of F . The corresponding elliptic curve E consists of a collection of certain affine points from $F \times F$ together with a special (non-affine) point “at infinity”.

An affine point P of E is one that can be represented as an ordered pair $(P_x, P_y) \in F \times F$, such that the selection of $x = P_x$ and $y = P_y$ satisfies the given affine curve formula when the indicated arithmetic is performed in the field, F .

Let “+” denote the binary operation known as “elliptic-curve addition”, defined for (most) affine points of E by the well-known secant-and-tangent rules. Once the collection of affine points of E is augmented by 0_E , a special point of E “at infinity” that serves as the identity element for “+” (but is not represented as an ordered pair), the set E together with the binary operation “+” forms a finite, commutative, elliptic-curve group, E .

Note 2 to entry: The cardinality of the elliptic-curve group, E , is one more than the number of ordered pairs in $F \times F$ that satisfy the affine curve formula for E .

**3.4
order (of a group element a)**

least positive integer n such that $a^n=e$, where e is the identity element of the group, a^n is defined recursively such that $a^0 = e$ and $a^m = a*a^{m-1}$ ($m > 0$), and $*$ is the group operation

**3.5
pairing**

function which takes two elements, P and Q , from an elliptic curve group (3.3) over a finite field, G_1 , as input, and produces an element from another cyclic group (3.2) over a finite field, G_2 , as output, and which has the following two properties (where it is assumed that the cyclic groups, G_1 and G_2 have order q , for some prime q , and for any two elements P, Q , the output of the pairing function is written as $\langle P, Q \rangle$)

- Bilinearity: If P, P_1, P_2, Q, Q_1, Q_2 are elements of G_1 , and a is an integer satisfying $1 \leq a \leq q - 1$, then
 - $\langle P_1 + P_2, Q \rangle = \langle P_1, Q \rangle * \langle P_2, Q \rangle$,
 - $\langle P, Q_1 + Q_2 \rangle = \langle P, Q_1 \rangle * \langle P, Q_2 \rangle$, and

$$\langle [a]P, Q \rangle = \langle P, [a]Q \rangle = \langle P, Q \rangle^a$$

— Non-degeneracy: If P is a non-identity element of G_1 , $\langle P, P \rangle \neq 1$

3.6

trusted key generation centre

KGC

trusted third party, which, in an identity-based signature mechanism, generates a private signature key for each signing entity

4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

$a \oplus b$	bitwise exclusive OR of a and b , where a and b are either bits or strings of bits of the same length, and in the latter case, the XOR operation is performed bit-wise
a_1, a_2	elliptic curve coefficients
$a \bmod n$	for an arbitrary integer a and a positive integer n , the unique integer remainder r , $0 \leq r \leq (n - 1)$, satisfying $r = a - bn$, for some integer b .
(A, B, C)	the coefficients of the signature formula, which, for the mechanisms specified in Clause 6 , defines how the signature is computed
	NOTE 1 The signature formula is specified in 5.2.1 .
D	a parameter which specifies the relationship between the signature key and the verification key
E	an elliptic curve defined by two elliptic curve coefficients, a_1 and a_2
E	a finite commutative group; for the mechanisms based on a multiplicative group, the elements of E are in Z_p^* ; for the mechanisms based on an additive group of elliptic curve points, the elements of E are the points on an elliptic curve E over $GF(r)$
$\#E$	the cardinality of E ; for the mechanisms based on a multiplicative group Z_p^* , $\#E$ is $p - 1$; for the mechanisms based on an additive group of elliptic curve points, $\#E$ is one more than the number of points on the elliptic curve E over $GF(r)$ [including 0_E (the point at infinity)]
F	a finite field
F_p	a finite field of order p
$\gcd(N_1, N_2)$	the greatest common divisor of integers N_1 and N_2
G	an element of order q in E
$GF(r)$	the finite field of cardinality r , where r is a prime power
G_1	a cyclic group of prime order q ; elements of G_1 are points on an elliptic curve over $GF(r)$
G_2	a cyclic group of prime order q ; elements of G_2 are elements of a finite field $GF(r)$
H_1	a hash-function that converts a data string into an element in G_1
	NOTE 2 The input data string is converted to an integer first, then the integer is converted to a point on E over $GF(r)$ by using the I2P function, specified in Annex C .
h, H_2	hash-functions, i.e. one of the mechanisms specified in ISO/IEC 10118

ID	a data string containing an identifier of the signer, used in Mechanisms SM2, IBS-1, IBS-2 and Chinese IBS
m	an embedding degree (or extension degree)
$[n]P$	multiplication operation that takes a positive integer n and a point P on the curve E as input and produces as output another point Q on the curve E , where $Q = [n]P = P + P + \dots + P$ added $n - 1$ times. The operation satisfies $[0]P = 0_E$ (the point at infinity), and $[-n]P = [n](-P)$
P	a generator of G_1 which is used in Mechanisms IBS-1, IBS-2 and Chinese IBS
p	a prime number or a power of a prime number
q	a prime number that is a divisor of $\#E$ and the order of G_1 and G_2
r	the size of $GF(r)$; in the mechanisms based on an additive group of elliptic curve points, r is a prime power, p^m , for some prime $p \geq 2$ and integer $m \geq 1$.
T	the assignment
T_1	the first part of the assignment T
T_2	the second part of the assignment T
U	the KGC's master private key, generated as a randomly chosen integer, which is used in mechanisms IBS-1, IBS-2 and Chinese IBS
V	the KGC's master public key, an element of G_1 , which is used in mechanisms IBS-1, IBS-2 and Chinese IBS
Z_N^*	the set of integers i with $0 < i < N$ and $\gcd(i, N) = 1$, with arithmetic defined modulo N
Z_p^*	the set of integers i with $0 < i < p$ and p a prime number, which is a multiplicative group
α	the bit-length of the prime number (or prime power) p
β	the bit-length of the prime number q
γ	the output bit-length of hash-functions h and H_2
Π	pre-signature
Π_X	x-coordinate of Π in which $\Pi = (\Pi_X, \Pi_Y)$ is an elliptic curve point
Π_Y	y-coordinate of Π in which $\Pi = (\Pi_X, \Pi_Y)$ is an elliptic curve point
Π_a	first element of Π in which $\Pi = (\Pi_a, \Pi_b)$ is an element of an extension field of degree 2
Π_b	second element of Π in which $\Pi = (\Pi_a, \Pi_b)$ is an element of an extension field of degree 2
0_E	the point at infinity on the elliptic curve E
$\langle \rangle$	a bilinear and non-degenerate pairing
$\ $	$X \ Y$ is used to mean the result of the concatenation of data items X and Y in the order specified.

5 General model

5.1 Parameter generation process

5.1.1 Certificate-based mechanisms

5.1.1.1 Generation of domain parameters

For digital signature mechanisms based on discrete logarithms, the set of domain parameters includes the following parameters:

- E , a finite commutative group;
- q , a prime divisor of $\#E$;
- G , an element of order q in E .

In the group E , multiplicative notation is used. It is worthwhile to note that the particular signature mechanism chosen may place additional constraints on the choice of E , q , and G .

5.1.1.2 Generation of signature key and verification key

A signature key of a signing entity is a secretly generated random or pseudo-random integer X such that $0 < X < q$. The corresponding public verification key Y is an element of E and is computed as Formula (1):

$$Y = G^{X^D} \quad (1)$$

where D is a parameter defined by the mechanism to be used. The value of D is one of two values, -1 and 1 .

NOTE An implementation is still considered compliant if it excludes a few integers from consideration as possible X values. For example, the value 1 can be excluded because this value results in the user's verification key being the generator, G , which is easily detectable.

5.1.2 Identity-based mechanisms

5.1.2.1 Notation

Both identity-based mechanisms specified in [Clause 7](#) are based on the use of pairings over elliptic curve groups. To specify identity-based mechanisms, the additive group notation is used.

5.1.2.2 Generation of domain parameters

The set of domain parameters includes the following parameters:

- E , a finite commutative group;
- $GF(r)$, the Galois field of cardinality r ;
- G_1 , a cyclic group of prime order q ;
- G_2 , a cyclic group of prime order q ;
- P , a generator of G_1 ;
- q , a prime number — the cardinality of G_1 and G_2 ;
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, a bilinear and non-degenerate pairing.