# **TECHNICAL REPORT**

# ISO/IEC TR 24028

First edition

# cechnology — Intelligence — Overview Intelligence Technologies de l'information — Intelligence artificielle — Examen d'ensemble de la fiabilité en matière d'intelligence artificielle Technologies de l'information — Intelligence artificielle — Examen d'ensemble de la fiabilité en matière d'intelligence artificielle

# PROOF/ÉPREUVE



Reference number ISO/IEC TR 24028:2020(E) I Charlandards telland standards and a lisone charlands a service of the land and a list of a li



### **COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

### © ISO/IEC 2020

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Fax: +41 22 749 09 47 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Co	Foreword Introduction  1 Scope 2 Normative references 3 Terms and definitions 4 Overview	Page	
Fore	eword		v
Intr	oductio	n	vi
1	Scope	<u>a</u>	1
2	-		
4			
5			
	_		
	_		
6		• •	
0		General concents	11
		Tynes	12
	6.3	Assets	12
	6.4	Values	13
7	Poco	gnition of high-level concerns	12
/	7 1	Responsibility accountability and governance	13 12
	7.2	Safety	13
0	 l	- Hilitia Aleman - A A Market Military Strategy Strategy	1.4
8	Vuine Ω 1	Conoral	14 1 <i>1</i>
	8.2	AI specific security threats	15
	0.2	8.2.1 General	15
		8.2.2 Data poisoning	15
		8.2.4 Model stealing	
		8.2.5 Hardware-focused threats to confidentiality and integrity	
	8.3	AI specific privacy threats	
		8.3.1 General	
		8.3.2 Data acquisition 8.3.3 Data pre-processing and modelling	
		8.3.3 Data pre-processing and modelling	
	8.4	Bias	
	8.5	Unpredictability	
	8.6	Opaqueness	
	8.7	Challenges related to the specification of AI systems	
	8.8	Challenges related to the implementation of AI systems	
		8.8.1 Data acquisition and preparation	
		8.8.2 Modelling	
		8.8.3 Model updates	
	0.0	8.8.4 Software defects	
	8.9	Challenges related to the use of AI systems	
		8.9.2 Misapplication of AI systems that demonstrate realistic human behaviour	
	8.10	System hardware faults	
0		ation measures	
9	9.1	General General	
	9.1	Transparency	
	9.3	Explainability	
		931 General	24

		9.3.2 Aims of explanation	24		
		9.3.3 Ex-ante vs ex-post explanation			
		9.3.4 Approaches to explainability	25		
		9.3.5 Modes of ex-post explanation			
		9.3.6 Levels of explainability			
		9.3.7 Evaluation of the explanations			
	9.4	Controllability			
		9.4.1 General			
		9.4.2 Human-in-the-loop control points	28		
	9.5	Strategies for reducing bias			
	9.6	Privacy			
	9.7	Reliability, resilience and robustness			
	9.8	Mitigating system hardware faults	29		
	9.9	Functional safety			
	9.10	Testing and evaluation			
		9.10.1 General			
		9.10.2 Software validation and verification methods			
		9.10.3 Robustness considerations			
		9.10.4 Privacy-related considerations	33		
		9.10.5 System predictability considerations. Use and applicability.	33		
	9.11	Use and applicability	34		
		9.11.1 Compliance	34		
		9.11.2 Managing expectations	34		
		9.11.3 Product labelling	34		
		9.11.4 Cognitive science research	34		
10	Concl	usions Dryds, and and security	34		
Anne	<b>x A</b> (inf	formative) Related work on societal issues and sold in the societal issues and sold in the	36		
9.11 Use and applicability 9.11.1 Compliance 9.11.2 Managing expectations 9.11.3 Product labelling 9.11.4 Cognitive science research  Conclusions  Annex A (informative) Related work on societal issues  Bibliography					
SIDII	ograph	y had a second a seco	37		
		The state of the s			

### Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see <a href="www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.org/directives</a>).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see <a href="https://patentsiec.ch">www.iso.org/patents</a>) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see <a href="https://patentsiec.ch">http://patentsiec.ch</a>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html">www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</a>.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information Technology*, Subcommittee SC 42, *Artificial Intelligence*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <a href="https://www.iso.org/members.html">www.iso.org/members.html</a>.

PROOF/ÉPREUVE

# Introduction

The goal of this document is to analyse the factors that can impact the trustworthiness of systems providing or using AI, called hereafter artificial intelligence (AI) systems. The document briefly surveys the existing approaches that can support or improve trustworthiness in technical systems and discusses their potential application to AI systems. The document discusses possible approaches to mitigating AI system vulnerabilities that relate to trustworthiness. The document also discusses approaches to improving the trustworthiness of AI systems.

I Charles it and a to the standard of the stan

# Information technology — Artificial intelligence — Overview of trustworthiness in artificial intelligence

# 1 Scope

This document surveys topics related to trustworthiness in AI systems, including the following:

- approaches to establish trust in AI systems through transparency, explainability, controllability, etc.;
- engineering pitfalls and typical associated threats and risks to AI systems, along with possible mitigation techniques and methods; and
- approaches to assess and achieve availability, resiliency, reliability, accuracy, safety, security and privacy of AI systems.

The specification of levels of trustworthiness for AI systems is out of the scope of this document.

# 2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <a href="https://www.iso.org/obp">https://www.iso.org/obp</a>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <a href="http://www.electropedia.org/">http://www.electropedia.org/</a>

### 3.1

### accountability

property that ensures that the actions of an entity (3.16) may be traced uniquely to that entity

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2126250, modified — The Notes to entry have been removed.]

### 3.2

### actor

entity (3.16) that communicates and interacts

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC TR 22417:2017, 3.1]

### 3.3

### algorithm

set of rules for transforming the logical representation of *data* (3.11)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11557:1992, 4.3]

### 3.4

### artificial intelligence

### ΑI

capability of an engineered system (3.38) to acquire, process and apply knowledge and skills

Note 1 to entry: Knowledge are facts, information (3.20) and skills acquired through experience or education.

### 3.5

### asset

anything that has value (3.46) to a stakeholder (3.37)

Note 1 to entry: There are many types of assets, including:

- information (3.20);
- software, such as a computer program; b)
- physical, such as computer; c)
- d) services;
- people and their qualifications, skills and experience; and e)
- intangibles, such as reputation and image.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 27000:2016, 2.4, modified - from "the organization" to "a stakeholder"]

### 3.6

### attribute

property or characteristic of an object that can be distinguished quantitatively or qualitatively by human or automated means

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15939:2016, 3.2]

### 3.7

autonomy
autonomous
characteristic of a system (3.38) governed by its own rules as the result of self-learning

Note 1 to entry: Such systems are not subject to external control (3.10) or oversight.

### 3.8

favouritism towards some things, people or groups over others

### 3.9

### consistency

degree of uniformity, standardization and freedom from contradiction among the documents or parts of a system (3.38) or component

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 21827:2008, 3.14]

### 3.10

### control

purposeful action on or in a *process* (3.29) to meet specified objectives

[SOURCE: IEC 61800-7-1:2015, 3.2.6]

### 3.11

### data

re-interpretable representation of information (3.20) in a formalized manner suitable for communication, interpretation or processing

Note 1 to entry: Data (3.11) can be processed by human or automatic means.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121272, modified — Notes 2 and 3 to entry have been removed.]

### 3.12

### data subject

individual about whom personal data (3.27) are recorded

[SOURCE: ISO 5127:2017, 3.13.4.01, modified — Note 1 to entry has been removed.]

### 3.13

### decision tree

supervized-learning model for which inference can be represented by traversing one or more tree-like structures

### 3.14

### effectiveness

extent to which planned activities are realized and planned results achieved

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2005, 3.2.14]

### 3.15

### efficiency

relationship between the results achieved and the resources used

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2005]

# 3.16

### entity

any concrete or abstract thing of interest

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 10746-2]

### 3.17

### harm

injury or damage to the health of people or damage to property or the environment

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 51:2014, 3.1]

### 3.18

### hazard

potential source of harm (3.17)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 51:2014, 3.2]

### 3.19

### human factors

environmental, organizational and job factors, in conjunction with cognitive human characteristics, which influence the behaviour of persons or organizations

### 3.20

### information

meaningful data (3.11)

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2015, 3.8.2]

## 3.21

### integrity

property of protecting the accuracy and completeness of assets (3.5)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 27000:2016, 2.36]

### 3.22

### intended use

use in accordance with *information* (3.20) provided with a product or system (3.38) or, in the absence of such information, by generally understood *patterns* (3.26) of usage.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 51:2014, 3.6]

### 3.23

### machine learning

### ML

process (3.29) by which a functional unit improves its performance by acquiring new knowledge or skills or by reorganizing existing knowledge or skills

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2123789]

### 3.24

### machine learning model

mathematical construct that generates an inference or prediction, based on input data (3.11)

### 3.25

### neural network

computational model utilizing distributed, parallel local processing and consisting of a network of simple processing elements called artificial neurons, which can exhibit complex global behaviour

[SOURCE: ISO 18115-1:2013, 8.1]

# 3.26

pattern
set of features and their relationships used to recognize an *entity* (3.16) within a given context

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2123798]

### 3.27

### personal data

data (3.11) relating to an identified or identifiable individual

[SOURCE: ISO 5127:2017, 3.1.10.14, modified Price admitted terms and Notes 1 and 2 to entry have been removed.]

### 3.28

### privacy

freedom from intrusion into the private life or affairs of an individual when that intrusion results from undue or illegal gathering and use of *data* (3.11) about that individual

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382-8:1998, 08.01.23]

### 3.29

### process

set of interrelated or interacting activities that use inputs to deliver an intended result

[SOURCE: ISO 9001:2015]

### 3.30

### reliability

property of consistent intended behaviour and results

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 27000:2016, 2.56]

### 3.31

### risk

effect of uncertainty on objectives

Note 1 to entry: An effect is a deviation from the expected. It can be positive, negative or both and can address, create or result in opportunities and threats (3.39).

Note 2 to entry: Objectives can have different aspects and categories and can be applied at different levels.

Note 3 to entry: Risk is usually expressed in terms of risk sources, potential events, their consequences and their likelihood.

[SOURCE: ISO 31000:2018, 3.1]

### 3.32

### robot

programmed actuated mechanism with a degree of *autonomy* (3.7), moving within its environment, to perform intended tasks

Note 1 to entry: A robot includes the *control* (3.10) system and interface of the control system (3.38).

Note 2 to entry: The classification of robot into industrial robot or service robot is done according to its intended application.

[SOURCE: ISO 18646-2:2019, 3.1]

science and practice of designing, manufacturing and applying robots (3.32)

[SOURCE: ISO 8373:2012, 2.16]

### safety

freedom from risk (3.31) which is not tolerable

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 51:2014]

# 3.35

### security

degree to which a product or system (3.38) protects information (3.20) and data (3.11) so that persons or other products or systems have the degree of data access appropriate to their types and levels of authorization

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 25010:2011]

### 3.36

### sensitive data

data (3.11) with potentially harmful effects in the event of disclosure or misuse

[SOURCE: ISO 5127:2017, 3.1.10.16]

### 3.37

### stakeholder

any individual, group or organization that can affect, be affected by or perceive itself to be affected by a decision or activity

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 38500:2015, 2.24]

### 3.38

### system

combination of interacting elements organized to achieve one or more stated purposes

Note 1 to entry: A system is sometimes considered as a product or as the services it provides.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288:2015, 3.38]

### 3.39

### threat

potential cause of an unwanted incident, which may result in harm (3.17) to systems (3.38) organizations or individuals

### 3.40

### training

process (3.29) to establish or to improve the parameters of a machine learning model (3.24) based on a machine learning algorithm (3.3) by using training data (3.11)

### 3.41

### trust

degree to which a user (3.43) or other stakeholder (3.37) has confidence that a product or system (3.38)will behave as intended

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 25010:2011, 4.1.3.2]

ability to meet *stakeholders'* (3.37) expectations in a verifiable way see the technology. Note 1 to entry: Depending on the context or sector and also on the specific product or service, data (3.11) and technology used, different characteristics apply and need verification (3.47) to ensure stakeholders expectations

Note 2 to entry: Characteristics of trustworthiness include, for instance, reliability (3.30), availability, resilience, security (3.35), privacy (3.28), safety (3.34), accountability (3.1), transparency, integrity (3.21), authenticity, quality, usability.

Note 3 to entry: Trustworthiness is an *attribute* (3.6) that can be applied to services, products, technology, data and *information* (3.20) as well as, in the context of governance, to organizations.

### 3.43

### user

individual or group that interacts with a system (3.38) or benefits from a system during its utilization

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288:2015]

### 3.44

### validation

confirmation, through the provision of objective evidence, that the requirements for a specific *intended* use (3.22) or application have been fulfilled

Note 1 to entry: The right system (3.38) was built.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC TR 29110-1:2016, 3.73, modified — Only the last sentence of Note 1 to entry has been retained and Note 2 to entry has been removed.]

### 3.45

### value

<data> unit of data (3.11)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15939:2016, 3.41]

### 3.46

### value

<social> belief(s) an organization adheres to and the standards that it seeks to observe

[SOURCE: ISO 10303-11:2004, 3.3.22]

### 3.47

### verification

confirmation, through the provision of objective evidence, that specified requirements have been

Note 1 to entry: The *system* (3.38) was built right.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC TR 29110-1:2016, 3.74, modified — Only the last sentence of Note 1 to entry has been retained.l

### 3.48

### vulnerability

weakness of an asset (3.5) or control (3.10) that can be exploited by one or more threats (3.38)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 27000:2016, 2.81]

### 3.49

### workload

mix of tasks typically run on a given computer system (3.38)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765:2017, 3.4618, modified — Note 1 to entry has been removed.]

4 Overview

4 Overview

This document provides an overview of topics relevant to building trustworthiness of AI systems. One of the goals of this document is to assist the standards community with identifying specific standardization gaps in the area of AI.

In <u>Clause 5</u>, the document briefly surveys existing approaches being used for building trustworthiness in technical systems and discusses their potential applicability to AI systems. In Clause 6, the document identifies the stakeholders. In Clause 7, it discusses their considerations related to the responsibility, accountability, governance and safety of AI systems. In Clause 8, the document surveys the vulnerabilities of AI systems that can reduce their trustworthiness. In <u>Clause 9</u>, the document identifies possible measures that improve trustworthiness of an AI system by mitigating vulnerabilities across its lifecycle. Measures include those related to improving AI system transparency, controllability, data handling, robustness, testing and evaluation and use. Conclusions are presented in Clause 10.

# 5 Existing frameworks applicable to trustworthiness

### 5.1 Background

For the purposes of this document, it is important to provide working definitions of artificial intelligence (AI) systems and trustworthiness.

Here, we consider an AI system to be any system (whether a product or a service) that uses AI. There are many different kinds of AI systems. Some are implemented completely in software, while others are mostly implemented in hardware (e.g. robots).

A working definition of trustworthiness is the ability to meet stakeholders' expectations in a verifiable way. This definition can be applied across the broad range of AI systems, technologies and application domains.