



**SLOVENSKI STANDARD**  
**oSIST prEN ISO 15708-3:2024**  
**01-julij-2024**

---

**Neporušitvene preiskave - Sevalne metode za računalniško tomografijo - 3. del:  
Delovanje in razlaga (ISO/DIS 15708-3:2024)**

Non-destructive testing - Radiation methods for computed tomography - Part 3:  
Operation and interpretation (ISO/DIS 15708-3:2024)

Zerstörungsfreie Prüfung - Durchstrahlungsverfahren für Computertomographie - Teil 3:  
Durchführung und Auswertung (ISO/DIS 15708-3:2024)

Essais non destructifs - Méthodes par rayonnements pour la tomographie informatisée -  
Partie 3: Fonctionnement et interprétation (ISO/DIS 15708-3:2024)

**Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN ISO 15708-3**

[oSIST prEN ISO 15708-3:2024](https://standards.slovenski-institut.si/standards/sist/15708-3:2024)

<https://standards.slovenski-institut.si/standards/sist/15708-3:2024>

**ICS:**

19.100          Neporušitveno preskušanje          Non-destructive testing

**oSIST prEN ISO 15708-3:2024**

**en,fr,de**





# DRAFT International Standard

## ISO/DIS 15708-3

### Non-destructive testing — Radiation methods for computed tomography —

#### Part 3: Operation and interpretation

*Essais non destructifs — Méthodes par rayonnements pour la  
tomographie informatisée —*

*Partie 3: Fonctionnement et interprétation*

ICS: 19.100

ISO/TC 135/SC 5

Secretariat: **DIN**

Voting begins on:  
**2024-04-26**

Voting terminates on:  
**2024-07-19**

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c1a63784-9f98-4f9c-9201-01c9bdfafef7/osist-pren-iso-15708-3-2024>

This document is circulated as received from the committee secretariat.

**ISO/CEN PARALLEL PROCESSING**

Reference number  
ISO/DIS 15708-3:2024(en)

THIS DOCUMENT IS A DRAFT CIRCULATED FOR COMMENTS AND APPROVAL. IT IS THEREFORE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AND MAY NOT BE REFERRED TO AS AN INTERNATIONAL STANDARD UNTIL PUBLISHED AS SUCH.

IN ADDITION TO THEIR EVALUATION AS BEING ACCEPTABLE FOR INDUSTRIAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, COMMERCIAL AND USER PURPOSES, DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS MAY ON OCCASION HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF THEIR POTENTIAL TO BECOME STANDARDS TO WHICH REFERENCE MAY BE MADE IN NATIONAL REGULATIONS.

RECIPIENTS OF THIS DRAFT ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT, WITH THEIR COMMENTS, NOTIFICATION OF ANY RELEVANT PATENT RIGHTS OF WHICH THEY ARE AWARE AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

© ISO 2024

## ISO/DIS 15708-3:2024(en)

# iTeh Standards (<https://standards.iteh.ai>) Document Preview

[oSIST prEN ISO 15708-3:2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c1a63784-9f98-4f9c-9201-01c9bdfafef7/osist-pren-iso-15708-3-2024)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c1a63784-9f98-4f9c-9201-01c9bdfafef7/osist-pren-iso-15708-3-2024>



### **COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

© ISO 2024 – All rights reserved

## ISO/DIS 15708-3:2024(en)

## Contents

	Page
<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Operational procedure</b> .....	<b>1</b>
4.1 General.....	1
4.2 CT system set-up.....	2
4.2.1 General.....	2
4.2.2 Geometry.....	2
4.2.3 X-ray source.....	3
4.2.4 Detector.....	3
4.3 Reconstruction parameters.....	3
4.4 Visualization.....	3
4.5 Analysis and interpretation of CT data.....	4
4.5.1 General.....	4
4.5.2 Feature testing/defect testing.....	4
4.5.3 Dimensional testing.....	4
<b>5 Requirements for acceptable results</b> .....	<b>6</b>
5.1 Image quality parameters.....	6
5.1.1 Contrast.....	6
5.1.2 Noise.....	8
5.1.3 Signal to noise ratio.....	9
5.1.4 Contrast to noise ratio.....	10
5.1.5 Spatial resolution.....	10
5.2 Suitability of testing.....	13
5.3 CT examination interpretation and acceptance criteria.....	13
5.4 Records and reports.....	13
5.5 Artefacts.....	13
5.5.1 General.....	13
5.5.2 Beam hardening artefacts.....	14
5.5.3 Edge artefacts.....	15
5.5.4 Scattered radiation.....	15
5.5.5 Instabilities.....	16
5.5.6 Ring artefacts.....	16
5.5.7 Centre of rotation error artefacts.....	16
5.5.8 Motion artefacts.....	17
5.5.9 Artefacts due to an insufficient number of projections.....	18
5.5.10 Cone beam artefacts.....	19
<b>Annex A (informative) Spatial resolution measurement using line pair gauges</b> .....	<b>20</b>
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	<b>23</b>

## ISO/DIS 15708-3:2024(en)

### Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents). ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by European Technical Committee CEN/TC 138 *Non-destructive testing* and was adopted under a special "fast-track procedure", by Technical Committee ISO/TC 135, *Non-destructive testing*, Subcommittee SC 5, *Radiographic testing*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 15708-3:2017), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- addition of ISO 15708 4:2024 to clause 2
- Inclusion of the Note in [5.1.4](#) in the normative text
- correction of the title of [Fig. 5](#)
- correction and displacement of discussion on electronic and mechanical nonlinearities and instabilities from [5.5.4](#) to [5.5.5](#)
- correction of definitions  $N_C$  and  $N_A$  in [Formula A.1](#)
- correction of definitions  $\sigma$  in [Formula A.2](#)
- editorial changes.

A list of all parts in the ISO 15708 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

# Non-destructive testing — Radiation methods for computed tomography —

## Part 3: Operation and interpretation

### 1 Scope

This document provides an overview of the operation of a computed tomography (CT) system and the interpretation of the results with the aim of providing the operator with technical information to enable the selection of suitable parameters.

This document applies to *industrial* imaging (i.e. non-medical applications) and provides a consistent set of definitions of CT performance parameters, including how these performance parameters relate to CT system specifications.

This document deals with computed axial tomography and excludes other types of tomography such as translational tomography and tomosynthesis.

### 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 15708-1:2024, *Non-destructive testing — Radiation methods for computed tomography — Part 1: Terminology*

ISO 15708-2:2024, *Non-destructive testing — Radiation methods for computed tomography — Part 2: Principle, equipment and samples*

ISO 15708-4:2024, *Non destructive testing — Radiation methods for computed tomography — Part 4: Qualification*

### 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 15708-1 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

### 4 Operational procedure

#### 4.1 General

For target-oriented computer tomography (CT) non-destructive testing inspection procedures, the test and measurement tasks are defined in advance with regard to the size and type of features/defects to be verified,

## ISO/DIS 15708-3:2024(en)

e.g. by specifying appropriate acceptance levels and geometry deviations. In the following, the process steps of a CT application are described and information on its implementation is provided.

### 4.2 CT system set-up

#### 4.2.1 General

The set-up of the CT system is based on the requirements for the respective task. The required spatial resolution (taking into account the tube focal spot size), the contrast resolution, the voxel size and the CT image quality can be derived from these requirements. The quality of the CT image is determined by different parameters, which, under certain circumstances, counteract each other.

In the following, system parameters are described and information on setting up a CT system for non-destructive testing inspection is provided. Due to the interactions between various system parameters, it may be necessary to run through the set-up steps several times to acquire optimal data.

The optimum energy is the one that gives the best signal-to-noise ratio and not necessarily the one that gives the clearest radiograph (the dependence of the detector efficiency on the energy shall be taken into account). However, in order to differentiate between materials of different chemical composition, it may be necessary to adjust the accelerating voltage to maximise the difference in their linear attenuation coefficients.

#### 4.2.2 Geometry

The source-detector and source-object distances and thus also the beam angle used should be specified. To achieve high spatial resolutions, the projection of the object onto the detector is magnified. The magnification is equal to the ratio of the source-detector distance to the source-object distance. An increasing source-detector distance leads to a reduced radiation intensity at the detector and therefore to a reduced signal to noise ratio. Accordingly, this also applies when using detectors with improved detector resolution, which results in a reduction of the signal-to-noise ratio due to the reduced radiation dose per pixel. For this reason, it is generally preferable to minimise the source-object distance.

To obtain high radiation intensity at the detector, the source-detector distance should be selected as small as possible taking into account the required spatial resolution, so that the beam cone still fully illuminates the detector. In the case of 3D-CT, the (in general vertical) total cone beam angle measured parallel to the rotation axis should typically be less than 15° in order to minimise such reconstruction-determined distortions of the 3D model ("Feldkamp" algorithm<sup>[2]</sup>). In addition, these restrictions do not apply for the perpendicular (in general horizontal) beam angle. At a higher geometric magnification, the object shall be positioned as near as possible to the source, taking into consideration the limit of sharpness due to the size of the focal spot of the X-ray source. The object shall be rotated by at least 180° plus the beam angle of the X-ray beam, whereby the data quality is improved by an increased rotational angle. For this reason, the object is typically rotated by 360°. Ideally, the number of angular increments should be at least  $\pi/2 \times$  matrix size (odd number of projections per 360°), where the matrix size is the number of voxels across the sample diameter or the largest dimension. For more information, see 5.5.

The number of projections should be  $> (\pi \times$  matrix size) for best reconstruction quality (number of projections per 360°).

In order to obtain information about the specimen that is as complete as possible, the object (or the interesting section of the object) shall be completely mapped on the detector in each projection. For large components that exceed the beam cone, a so-called measurement range extension is used. This extension of the measuring range is achieved by laterally displacing either the object or the detector, recording the projection data in sequential measurements, and finally, concatenating (joining) them. Under certain circumstances, it is also possible to scan only a part of the object (region-of-interest CT), which leads to limited data quality in the form of so-called truncations.

A possible deviation of the recording geometry (offset between the projected axis of rotation and the centre line of the projection image) shall be corrected to obtain the most accurate reconstruction as possible. This shall be achieved by carefully aligning the system or by software correction.



## ISO/DIS 15708-3:2024(en)

### 4.2.3 X-ray source

At the X-ray source, the maximum beam energy and the tube current shall be set such that sufficient penetration of the test object and the maximum tube power with a sufficiently small focal spot are ensured. The required voltage shall be determined by the maximum path length in the material to be X-rayed in accordance with ISO 15708-2:2024, 8.2. For optimum *SNR* of the measurement results, an attenuation ratio of approx. 1:10 should be used. This means, that the grey value after the sample should be about 10 % of the free beam value (both measured with respect to the dark value). The optimal range can be achieved by using pre-filters at the tube port. It should be noted that each pre-filter reduces the intensity. Pre-filters have the additional advantage of beam hardening, which reduced the beam hardening artifacts after reconstruction, although further improvements can be made through software correction.

### 4.2.4 Detector

The following detector settings need to be set appropriately for the sample to be scanned:

- exposure time (frame rate);
- number of integrations per projection;
- digitisation gain and offset;
- binning.

If necessary, corrections for offset, gain and bad pixels (which may depend on X-ray settings) should be applied.

The individual CT projection is determined by the geometric resolution, the sensitivity, the dynamics and the noise of the detector. The gain and exposure time can be adjusted together depending on the radiation intensity of the source so that the maximum digitised intensity in the free beam does not exceed 90 % of the saturation level.

To reduce scattered radiation, a thin filter, grid, or lamellae can be used directly in front of the detector (intermediate filtering).

The ideal acquisition time is dependent on the required quality of the CT data and is often limited by the time available for testing.

## 4.3 Reconstruction parameters

The volumetric region to be reconstructed, the size of the CT volume (in terms of voxels) and its dynamic range (which should take into account the dynamic range of the detector) shall be specified. To achieve sufficient CT image quality, the settings for the reconstruction algorithm or corrections should be optimised.

The volumetric region is defined by the number of voxels along the X, Y and Z axes.

## 4.4 Visualization

Using volume visualisation, the reconstructed CT data image can be presented as a 3D object. Individual grey values can be assigned any colour and opacity values to highlight or hide materials with different X-ray densities. Zooming, scrolling, setting contrast, brightness, colour and lighting facilitate an optimal presentation of the CT volume. In addition, it is possible to place user-defined sectional planes through the object to examine the internal structure, or to visualise the CT volume interactively, for example by rotating and moving it as a 3D object. Image processing can be applied to CT data to improve feature detection.

It may not be possible to load the whole CT volume at full resolution into memory at once.

## ISO/DIS 15708-3:2024(en)

### 4.5 Analysis and interpretation of CT data

#### 4.5.1 General

Typical internal features for inspection are pores, cavities, cracks, inclusions, impurities or inhomogeneous material distributions.

Typical measurement tasks are obtaining dimensional properties (such as length or wall thickness) or calculating object morphology.

#### 4.5.2 Feature testing/defect testing

Features in the sample generally lead to changes in the CT grey value in the CT data. The analysis of CT data is performed by qualified personnel using software. A suitable contrast range or an automatic or manual calibration is used. The location, the CT grey value and the dimensions of features can be determined. Several tools are available for this purpose, including manual or automatic tools such as strobe lines or gauges that engage at grey value thresholds or edges. To examine the structure and location of assembled components, a qualitative comparison of CT volumes without determination of the dimensions can be sufficient.

For automatic determination using visualisation software tools (e.g. for defect analysis), a calibration via specification of a grey value range is, in general, required for the sample material to be measured. The grey values can be specified manually using histograms or in an interactive manner.

The detectability of features depends on the size of the feature relative to the geometric resolution and the contrast resolution compared with the contrast difference of the feature to the base material, as well as the quality of the image (signal to noise ratio, etc.) and any possible interference effects between adjacent voxels (partial volume effect). For the detectability of singular pores, cavities or cracks, their minimum extent should typically be 2 to 3 times the demagnified pixel size of the detector (at the position of the sample).

#### 4.5.3 Dimensional testing

##### 4.5.3.1 General

Depending on the task at hand, various methods are currently used to determine geometric features. Point-to-point distances can be determined manually in the CT slices, or more complex features can be extracted with the help of analysis software.

The measurement of the geometric properties of an object using CT is an indirect method, in which the dimensional measurement takes place in or is derived from CT data. For this reason, in order to facilitate precise measurements, an accurate knowledge of two important variables is necessary:

- the precise image scale or voxel size and
- the boundary surface of two materials, for example the component surface (material-to-air transition), which can be determined via a CT grey value threshold in the CT volume.

##### 4.5.3.2 Determination of precise image scale

The precise image scale or voxel size shall be determined by measuring a suitable calibration standard (together with the measurement object and directly before/after testing of the object inspection) or by using a reference geometry on the object. For this purpose, the voxel size or magnification  $M$ , specified by the CT system is compared with the actual available and precisely determined (using the reference body/geometry) voxel size or magnification  $M^*$ . Thus, for example, the exact voxel size can be determined with high precision via measurements without the disturbing influence of other variables, e.g. the precise position of the component surface (grey value threshold) in the CT volume, for the centre distances of a test piece (e.g. dumbbell, see [Figure 1](#)). In this procedure, it shall be taken into account that the CT grey values of the test item can be influenced by the accompanying reference bodies (e.g. due to changes in the contrast ratios, interferences and artefacts). Based on the actual voxel sizes determined in this way, the visualisation software can be scaled/corrected accordingly to the voxel size specified by the system.