

ISO/TR 24374
ISO TC 68/SC 2/WG 8
Date: 2022-09-12-02
Secretariat: BSI

Financial services ~~—~~ Security information for PKI in Blockchain and DLT implementations

~~TR stage~~

Warning for WDs and CDs

~~This document is not an ISO International Standard. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an International Standard.~~

~~Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.~~

ISO/PRF TR 24374

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7070d618-6133-4f89-8ca7-d6b5d7c3a8b3/iso-prf-tr-24374>

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/PRF TR 24374

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7070d618-6133-4f89-8ca7-d6b5d7c3a8b3/iso-prf-tr-24374>

© ISO 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office

CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8

CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva

Phone: +41 22 749 01 11

Fax: +41 22 749 09 47

Email: copyright@iso.org

Website: www.iso.org~~www.iso.org~~

Published in Switzerland

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/PRF TR 24374

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7070d618-6133-4f89-8ca7-d6b5d7c3a8b3/iso-prf-tr-24374>

Contents

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms	2
5 Relevant issues - Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) / Blockchain and PKI	3
5.1 DLT / Blockchain data security and privacy concerns	3
5.1.1 From centralised to decentralized	3
5.1.2 Instant exploitation	3
5.1.3 Protecting the key is critical	3
5.2 Problems and attacks associated with PKI systems	4
5.2.1 Current Challenges of Public Key Infrastructure	4
5.2.2 Attacks to PKI	4
5.3 Security objectives	5
5.4 Summary of the use of asymmetric key cryptography in blockchain networks	5
5.5 Private key storage	6
6 Security and privacy activities	6
6.1 Governance activities	7
6.2 Operation activities	7
7 Blockchain and DLT controls	7
7.1 Technical Controls	7
8 Security and privacy processes	7
8.1 General	7
8.2 Standard advice on organisation security and privacy processes	8
8.2.1 General	8
8.2.2 Standard advice on risk analysis	8
8.3 Technical Design Elements	9
8.4 Legal Risk	10
9 Blockchain based PKI implementations	10
Annex A	12
A1. informative Use cases	12
A2. BCP with PKI	13
A3. The implementation of Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) and Blockchain	13
A4. How Blockchain Addresses Public Key Infrastructure Shortcomings	14
A5. Example solutions	14
Annex B	16
B.1 Blockchain and DLT controls	16
Bibliography	17

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. NoteIn particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO isshall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 68, ~~SC2, WG8~~Financial Services, Subcommittee SC 2, Financial Services, security.

~~Direct any~~Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

Even though DLT/Blockchain-based solutions are at a relatively early stage of adoption and significant challenges remain, they hold the potential for major opportunities across several sectors. While the financial sector has shown widespread early interest in DLT/Blockchain, other public and private sector organisations that rely on the keeping of records and management of secure transactions also benefit. DLT/Blockchain also provide opportunities in the healthcare, pharmaceutical, creative, and food sectors.

In ~~the~~ light of the growing interest in DLT/Blockchain, standardisation efforts have gathered momentum, particularly with the setting up of the ISO technical committee <https://www.iso.org/committee/6266604.html> on Blockchain and electronic distributed ledger technologies (ISO/TC 307 Blockchain and distributed ledger technologies).

ISO/DTR 23245 states that: '(i) The essential part of key lifecycle management for blockchain is similar to an ordinary PKI type system (ii) Some blockchain applications do not have the revocation process for the key pair. In such cases different type of key management process is needed.'

Consideration of the major implications and the impact that DLT/ Blockchain will have on current PKI implementations for financial services is essential to minimise any potential disruption.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/PRF TR 24374

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7070d618-6133-4f89-8ca7-d6b5d7c3a8b3/iso-prf-tr-24374>

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/PRF TR 24374

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7070d618-6133-4f89-8ca7-d6b5d7c3a8b3/iso-prf-tr-24374>

Financial services — Security information for PKI in Blockchain and DLT implementations

1 Scope

This document describes the management of cryptographic keys in a blockchain, or distributed system used in the financial sector

The objective of this document is to consider the impact of different types of key management processes that are required for PKI implementations in Blockchain and DLT projects

2 ~~2~~ Normative references

~~The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.~~

There are no normative references in this document.

3 ~~3~~ Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

— IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

blockchain

distributed ledger (3.4) with confirmed blocks organized in an append-only, sequential chain using cryptographic links

Note 1 to entry: blockchains are designed to be tamper-proof and to create final, definitive, and immutable *ledger records* (3.10).

3.2

consensus

agreement among DLT nodes that a) a transaction is validated and b) that the *distributed ledger* (3.4) contains a consistent set and ordering of validated transactions

3.3

consensus mechanism

rules and procedures by which *consensus* (3.2) is reached

3.4

distributed ledger

ledger (3.9) that is shared across a set of DLT nodes and synchronized between the DLT nodes using a *consensus mechanism* (3.3)

[SOURCE: ~~ISO~~ [ISO 22739:2020, 3.44](#)]

3.5**distributed ledger technology system**

~~(DLT system)~~

system that implements a *distributed ledger* (3.4)

3.6**distributed ledger technology**

~~(DLT)~~

technology that enables the operation and use of *distributed ledgers* (3.4)

3.7**distributed ledger technology node**

~~(DLT node)~~

distributed ledger technology > device or process that participates in a network and stores a complete or partial replica of the *ledger records* (3.10)

3.8**HSM hardware security module**

HSM

hardware implementation of a secure crypto-processor using an ITU-T X.509 certificate and a private key to provide secure authentication (ISO/IEC 19790:2012 security level 3 or higher)

3.9**ledger**

information store that keeps records of transactions that are intended to be final, definitive, and immutable

3.10**ledger record**

distributed ledger technology record comprising hashes of transaction records or references to transaction records recorded on a *blockchain* (3.1) or distributed ledger system

[SOURCE: ISO ~~22739:2020, 3.30~~]

54 4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

~~API Application Program Interface~~

~~BCP Business Continuity Plan~~

~~BTC Bitcoin~~

~~CA Certificate authority~~

~~DHT Distributed hash table~~

~~CRL Certificate Revocation List~~

~~DTR Draft technical report~~

~~ETL Extract, transfer, and load~~

~~HSM Hardware security module~~

~~KYC Know your customer~~

~~LDAP Lightweight Directory Access Protocol~~

~~MITM Man-In-The-Middle~~

~~OCSP Online certificate status protocol~~

~~PAX Paxos Standard~~

~~PKI Public key infrastructure~~

~~VPN Virtual Private Network~~

~~WoT Web of Trust~~

5

API Application Program Interface

BCP Business Continuity Plan

BTC Bitcoin

CA Certificate authority

DHT Distributed hash table

CRL Certificate Revocation List

DTR Draft technical report

ETL Extract, transfer, and load

HSM Hardware security module

KYC Know your customer

LDAP Lightweight Directory Access Protocol

MITM Man-In-The-Middle

OCSP Online certificate status protocol

PAX Paxos Standard

PKI Public key infrastructure

VPN Virtual Private Network

WoT Web of Trust

6.5 Relevant issues - Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) / Blockchain and PKI

6.15.1.5.1 DLT / Blockchain data security and privacy concerns

5.1.1 General

Blockchain and DLT systems involve nodes on a peer-to-peer network that store data, where the data finality is agreed upon via a consensus mechanism. Each node has a cryptographic module to conduct cryptographic operations as specified in the underlying protocol. Though blockchain networks and services do not have a centralized server, it does not mean protection of a node is not needed. Best efforts to protect each node is an essential part in securing the entire blockchain based system.

At its most basic, blockchain technology projects are a peer to peer based distributed ledger or databased organized by a set of protocols combined with a blockchain, i.e., a series of encrypted sets of data that record immutable changes over time.

One of blockchains greatest assets is its write-once, append many distributed nature; it can be easily deployed across disparate nodes on the web, yet each record contains its own hash making it immutable. To this end, cryptography is the primary ~~mean~~means to protect the applications, networks, infrastructure, and services from cyber-threats. However, the existing ~~Public Key Infrastructure~~public key infrastructure (PKI) is based on a central ~~Certificate Authority~~certificate authority (CA) that can become a bottleneck which will affect the efficiency of the cryptographic protocols because of the overhead incurred by the verification of cryptographic signatures and certificates. Recently, blockchain has also been leveraged to aid PKI without the need for a central authority. But it also creates unique security ⁷ challenges which are described in clause 5.

6.1.15.1.2 ~~5.1.1~~ From centralised to decentralized

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7070d618-6133-4f89-8ca7->

Blockchain shifts data storage and protection from a centralized to a decentralized model. In traditional centralized models, security methods can be consolidated with the technology products they serve. Blockchain, however, requires innovative security measures to protect the dynamic and highly distributed financial products the technology aims to support.

As with any crypto-based infrastructure, protecting keys is paramount to ensuring a blockchain system's security.

A successful blockchain system needs highly reliable methods of interfacing with the strong key protection practices afforded by HSMs, secure elements, and other computing environments designed for secure execution of code, and all of these deliver the scaling and flexibility a decentralized blockchain model needs.

6.1.25.1.3 ~~5.1.2~~ Instant exploitation

Anyone who obtains the key can monetize and exploit the asset instantly. As seen in security breaches in public blockchain settings, such as Bitfinex, Mt. Gox and others, the malicious transfer of 'value' can be instantaneous, irreversible, and significant. Participants in these systems lost millions of dollars because of compromised security systems. However, note that these attacks exploited vulnerabilities at the application layer—the wallets holding the keys to the assets—rather than the underlying blockchain