



SLOVENSKI STANDARD
SIST EN IEC 62232:2023/oprA1:2024
01-marec-2024

Določitev RF poljske jakosti, gostote moči in SAR v okolici baznih postaj za namene ocenjevanja izpostavljenosti ljudi - Dopolnilo A1

Amendment 1 - Determination of RF field strength, power density and SAR in the vicinity of base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure

Bestimmung der HF-Feldstärke, der Leistungsdichte und der spezifischen Absorptionsrate (SAR) in der Nachbarschaft von Funkkommunikations-Basisstationen zur Ermittlung der menschlichen Exposition

Détermination de l'intensité du champ de radiofréquences, de la densité de puissance et du das à proximité des stations de base dans le but d'évaluer l'exposition humaine

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: EN IEC 62232:2022/prA1:2023

ICS:

13.280	Varstvo pred sevanjem	Radiation protection
17.240	Merjenje sevanja	Radiation measurements

SIST EN IEC 62232:2023/oprA1:2024 en



106/626/CDV

COMMITTEE DRAFT FOR VOTE (CDV)

PROJECT NUMBER: IEC 62232/AMD1 ED3	
DATE OF CIRCULATION: 2023-12-22	CLOSING DATE FOR VOTING: 2024-03-15
SUPERSEDES DOCUMENTS: 106/621/RR	

IEC TC 106 : METHODS FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF ELECTRIC, MAGNETIC AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS ASSOCIATED WITH HUMAN EXPOSURE	
SECRETARIAT: Germany	SECRETARY: Mr Alexander Prokop
OF INTEREST TO THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEES: TC 9,TC 27,TC 29,TC 34,SC 62A,SC 62B,TC 69,TC 77,TC 78,TC 96,TC 100,TC 124,CISPR	PROPOSED HORIZONTAL STANDARD: <input type="checkbox"/> Other TC/SCs are requested to indicate their interest, if any, in this CDV to the secretary.
FUNCTIONS CONCERNED: <input type="checkbox"/> EMC <input type="checkbox"/> ENVIRONMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> QUALITY ASSURANCE <input type="checkbox"/> SAFETY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUBMITTED FOR CENELEC PARALLEL VOTING	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT SUBMITTED FOR CENELEC PARALLEL VOTING
<p>Attention IEC-CENELEC parallel voting</p> <p>The attention of IEC National Committees, members of CENELEC, is drawn to the fact that this Committee Draft for Vote (CDV) is submitted for parallel voting.</p> <p>The CENELEC members are invited to vote through the CENELEC online voting system.</p>	

This document is still under study and subject to change. It should not be used for reference purposes.

Recipients of this document are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Recipients of this document are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant "In Some Countries" clauses to be included should this proposal proceed. Recipients are reminded that the CDV stage is the final stage for submitting ISC clauses. (SEE [AC/22/2007](#) OR [NEW GUIDANCE DOC](#)).

TITLE:

Amendment 1 - Determination of RF field strength, power density and SAR in the vicinity of base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure

PROPOSED STABILITY DATE: 2029

Copyright © 2023 International Electrotechnical Commission, IEC. All rights reserved. It is permitted to download this electronic file, to make a copy and to print out the content for the sole purpose of preparing National Committee positions. You may not copy or "mirror" the file or printed version of the document, or any part of it, for any other purpose without permission in writing from IEC.

NOTE FROM TC/SC OFFICERS:

For convenience of the readers the CDV is submitted as redline version without technical changes. MT3 expects no technical comments as mentioned in 106/608/Q such that this draft can be directly go to publication. Publication is intended not as redline but as clean version.

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[SIST EN IEC 62232:2023/oprA1:2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/233b8329-37c4-4391-b9a2-170cbf5fc327/sist-en-iec-62232-2023-opra1-2024)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/233b8329-37c4-4391-b9a2-170cbf5fc327/sist-en-iec-62232-2023-opra1-2024>

CONTENTS

1		
2		
3	FOREWORD.....	13
4	INTRODUCTION.....	15
5	1 Scope.....	16
6	2 Normative references	17
7	3 Terms and definitions	17
8	4 Symbols and abbreviated terms	32
9	4.1 Physical quantities	32
10	4.2 Constants	33
11	4.3 Abbreviated terms	33
12	5 How to use this document.....	37
13	5.1 Quick start guide.....	37
14	5.2 RF evaluation purpose categories.....	39
15	5.3 Implementation case studies	39
16	6 Evaluation processes for product compliance, product installation compliance and	
17	in-situ RF exposure assessments.....	39
18	6.1 Evaluation process for product compliance	39
19	6.2 Evaluation process used for product installation compliance	47
20	6.3 In-situ RF exposure evaluation or assessment process	60
21	6.4 Averaging procedures	64
22	7 Determining the evaluation method.....	64
23	7.1 Overview	64
24	7.2 Process to determine the evaluation method.....	64
25	8 Evaluation methods	68
26	8.1 General.....	68
27	8.2 Measurement methods	68
28	8.3 Computation methods	70
29	8.4 Methods for assessment based on actual maximum approach.....	72
30	8.5 Methods for the assessment of RF exposure to multiple sources.....	73
31	8.6 Methods for establishing the BS transmitted power or EIRP	75
32	9 Uncertainty.....	75
33	10 Reporting	76
34	10.1 General requirements.....	76
35	10.2 Report format.....	76
36	10.3 Opinions and interpretations.....	77
37	Annex A (informative) Source-environment plane and guidance on the evaluation	
38	method selection	79
39	A.1 Guidance on the source-environment plane	79
40	A.2 Select between computation or measurement approaches	86
41	A.3 Select measurement method	87
42	A.4 Select computation method	90
43	A.5 Additional considerations	91
44	Annex B (normative) Evaluation methods	92
45	B.1 Overview	92
46	B.2 General.....	92
47	B.3 RF exposure evaluation principles	94
48	B.4 RF field strength and power density measurements	104

49	B.5	SAR measurements	129
50	B.6	Basic computation methods	140
51	B.7	Advanced computation methods	158
52	B.8	Extrapolation from the evaluated values to the maximum or actual values	178
53	B.9	Guidance for implementing the actual maximum approach	184
54	B.10	Transmitted power or EIRP evaluation	191
55	Annex C (informative)	Guidelines for the validation of power or EIRP control features	
56		and monitoring counter(s) related to the actual maximum approach	194
57	C.1	Overview	194
58	C.2	Guidelines for validating control feature(s) and monitoring counters	194
59	C.3	Validation of power or EIRP monitoring counter in laboratory conditions	195
60	C.4	Validation test report	205
61	C.5	Case studies	206
62	Annex D (informative)	Rationale supporting simplified product installation criteria	218
63	D.1	General.....	218
64	D.2	Class E2	218
65	D.3	Class E10	219
66	D.4	Class E100	219
67	D.5	Class E+	222
68	D.6	Simplified formulas for millimetre-wave antennas using massive MIMO or	
69		beam steering	223
70	Annex E (informative)	Technology-specific exposure evaluation guidance	225
71	E.1	Overview to guidance on specific technologies	225
72	E.2	Summary of technology-specific information	225
73	E.3	Guidance on spectrum analyser settings	226
74	E.4	Stable transmitted power signals	230
75	E.5	WCDMA measurement and calibration using a code domain analyser	232
76	E.6	Wi-Fi measurements	235
77	E.7	LTE measurements	238
78	E.8	NR BS measurements	248
79	E.9	Establishing compliance boundaries using numerical simulations of MIMO	
80		array antennas emitting correlated waveforms	258
81	E.10	Massive MIMO antennas	260
82	Annex F (informative)	Guidelines for the assessment of BS compliance with ICNIRP-	
83		2020 brief exposure limits	278
84	F.1	General.....	278
85	F.2	Brief exposure limits.....	278
86	F.3	Implications of brief exposure limits on signal modulation and TDD duty	
87		cycle.....	280
88	F.4	Implications of brief exposure limits on the actual maximum approach.....	280
89	Annex G (informative)	Uncertainty.....	285
90	G.1	Background.....	285
91	G.2	Requirement to estimate uncertainty.....	285
92	G.3	How to estimate uncertainty	285
93	G.4	Guidance on uncertainty and assessment schemes.....	286
94	G.5	Guidance on uncertainty.....	292
95	G.6	Applying uncertainty for compliance assessments.....	294
96	G.7	Example influence quantities for field measurements	295
97	G.8	Example influence quantities for RF field strength computations by ray	
98		tracing or full wave methods	305
99	G.9	Influence quantities for SAR measurements.....	307

100	G.10	Influence quantities for SAR calculations	310
101	G.11	Spatial averaging	310
102	G.12	Influence of human body on measurements of the electric RF field strength	317
103	Annex H (informative)	Guidance on comparing evaluated parameters with a limit	
104		value	321
105	H.1	Overview	321
106	H.2	Information recommended to compare evaluated value against limit value	321
107	H.3	Performing a limit comparison at a given confidence level	321
108	H.4	Performing a limit comparison using a process-based assessment scheme	322
109	Bibliography	322
110			
111	Figure 1	– Quick start guide to the evaluation process	37
112	Figure 2	– Example of iso-surface compliance boundary	40
113	Figure 3	– Example of cylindrical and half-pipe compliance boundaries	41
114	Figure 4	– Example of box shaped compliance boundary	42
115	Figure 5	– Example of truncated box shaped compliance boundary	42
116	Figure 6	– Example illustrating the linear scaling procedure	43
117	Figure 7	– Example of massive MIMO antenna and corresponding beams and envelope	
118		patterns	45
119	Figure 8	– Example of compliance boundary shape for BS antennas with beam	
120		steering	45
121	Figure 9	– Example of dish antenna compliance boundary	46
122	Figure 10	– Flowchart describing the product installation evaluation process	48
123	Figure 11	– Example of a CDF curve representing the normalized actual transmitted	
124		power or EIRP	50
125	Figure 12	– Flow chart for product installation compliance based on the actual	
126		maximum transmitted power or EIRP threshold(s)	51
127	Figure 13	– Simplified compliance assessment process using installation classes	53
128	Figure 14	– Example of DI within a square-shaped assessment domain boundary	
129		(ADB) with dimension L_{ADB}	57
130	Figure 15	– In-situ RF exposure evaluation or assessment process flow chart	61
131	Figure 16	– Source- environment plane concept	66
132	Figure 17	– Flow chart of the measurement methods	69
133	Figure 18	– Flow chart of the relevant computation methods	71
134	Figure 19	– Example of segments used for monitoring and control of BS using mMIMO	
135		or beam steering	73
136	Figure A.1	– Example source-environment plane regions near a base station antenna	
137		on a tower	79
138	Figure A.2	– Example source-environment plane regions near a roof-top antenna that	
139		has a narrow vertical (elevation plane) beamwidth (not to scale)	80
140	Figure A.3	– Geometry of an antenna with largest linear dimension L_{eff} and largest	
141		end dimension L_{end}	81
142	Figure A.4	– Maximum path difference for an antenna with largest linear dimension L	85
143	Figure B.1	– Cartesian, cylindrical and spherical coordinate systems relative to the BS	
144		antenna (view from the rear panel)	93
145	Figure B.2	– Typical RF exposure assessment case	95
146	Figure B.3	– Reflection due to the presence of a ground plane	96
147	Figure B.4	– Reflections due to the presence of internal walls of the housing and	
148		surrounding asphalt and soil configuring a base station installed underground	97

149	Figure B.5 – General representation of RF field strength or power density measurements	98
150		
151	Figure B.6 – Practical examples of measurement equipment installation	98
152	Figure B.7 – Spatial averaging schemes relative to walking or standing surface and in the vertical plane oriented to offer maximum area in the direction of the source being evaluated	100
153		
154		
155	Figure B.8 – Spatial averaging relative to spatial-peak field strength point height	102
156	Figure B.9 – Evaluation points	114
157	Figure B.10 – Relationship of separation of remote radio source and evaluation area to separation of evaluation points	115
158		
159	Figure B.11 – Outline of the surface scanning methodology	118
160	Figure B.12 – Block diagram of the antenna measurement system	119
161	Figure B.13 – Minimum radius constraint, where a denotes the minimum radius of a sphere, centred at the reference point, that encompasses the EUT	120
162		
163	Figure B.14 – Maximum angular sampling spacing constraint	120
164	Figure B.15 – Outline of the volume/surface scanning methodology	122
165	Figure B.16 – Block diagram of typical near-field EUT measurement system	123
166	Figure B.17 – Examples of positioning of the EUT relative to the relevant phantom	130
167	Figure B.18 – Phantom liquid volume and measurement volume used for wbSAR measurements with the box-shaped phantoms	137
168		
169	Figure B.19 – Reference frame employed for cylindrical formulas for RF field strength computation at a point P (left), and on a line perpendicular to boresight (right)	141
170		
171	Figure B.20 – Views illustrating the three valid zones for field strength computation around an antenna	142
172		
173	Figure B.21 – Enclosed cylinder around collinear array antennas, with and without electrical downtilt	144
174		
175	Figure B.22 – Spherical formulas reference results	146
176	Figure B.23 – Cylindrical formulas reference results	147
177	Figure B.24 – Directions for which SAR estimation expressions are provided	147
178	Figure B.25 – Description of SAR estimation formulas physical parameters	149
179	Figure B.26 – Flow chart for the simplified assessment of RF compliance boundary in the line of sight of a parabolic dish antenna	157
180		
181	Figure B.27 – Radiating cable geometry	157
182	Figure B.28 – Synthetic model and ray tracing algorithms geometry and parameters	161
183	Figure B.29 – Line 4 far-field positions for synthetic model and ray tracing validation example	163
184		
185	Figure B.30 – Antenna parameters for synthetic model and ray tracing algorithms validation example	164
186		
187	Figure B.31 – Generic 900 MHz BS antenna with nine dipole radiators	170
188	Figure B.32 – Line 1, 2 and 3 near-field positions for full wave and ray tracing validation	171
189		
190	Figure B.33 – Generic 1 800 MHz BS antenna with five slot radiators	172
191	Figure B.34 – BS antenna placed in front of a multi-layered lossy cylinder	177
192	Figure B.35 – Time variation over 24 h of the exposure induced by NR, GSM and FM, each normalized to the mean value	181
193		
194	Figure B.36 – Generic structure of a base station transmitted RF signal frame	185
195	Figure B.37 – Example of setup for the direct power level measurement for BS equipped with direct access conducted output ports	192
196		
197	Figure C.1 – Example of a laboratory test setup for validation of an actual power control feature intended for use with a 5G BS	201
198		

199	Figure C.2 – Example of a test setup for validation of an actual power control feature implemented in a 5G BS	204
200		
201	Figure C.3 – Ground based in-situ validation setup	207
202	Figure C.4 – In-situ validation measurement setup near the general public compliance boundary in front of the 5G massive MIMO antenna (bore sight position)	208
203		
204	Figure C.5 – Comparison between measured time-averaged EMF and power control feature (5G counter data) for the ground-based measurements	209
205		
206	Figure C.6 – Measured exposure adaptation in time expressed as a percentage of ICNIRP exposure limits [1], [2] for the measurements near the general public compliance boundary	209
207		
208		
209	Figure C.7 – Overview of the measurement site	211
210	Figure C.8 – Ground view of the validation site and measurement setup, located 60 m from the 5G BS, in the line of sight	211
211		
212	Figure C.9 – Power transmitted by the massive MIMO antenna (top trace), channel power (ChP) measurements (middle trace) and transmitted resource blocks (RBs) (bottom trace)	212
213		
214		
215	Figure C.10 – Overview of the test platform	213
216	Figure C.11 – Example of synthetic model simulation of the test area	213
217	Figure C.12 – Examples of traffic load profiles	214
218	Figure C.13 – Example of testing in different segments in the test area	215
219	Figure C.14 – Results of the monitoring validation and baseline test in phase 1	216
220	Figure C.15 – Example of power density measurements and power density derived from counters	216
221		
222	Figure C.16 – Measured power density and power density derived from counters	217
223	Figure C.17 – Comparisons of both counters and measurements	217
224	Figure D.1 – Measured ER as a function of distance for a BS ($G = 5$ dBi, $f = 2\,100$ MHz) transmitting with an EIRP of 2 W (installation class E2) and 10 W (installation class E10)	218
225		
226		
227	Figure D.2 – Minimum installation height as a function of transmitting power corresponding to installation class E10	219
228		
229	Figure D.3 – Compliance distance in the main lobe as a function of EIRP established in accordance with the far-field formula corresponding to installation class E100	220
230		
231	Figure D.4 – Minimum installation height as a function of transmitting power corresponding to installation class E100	221
232		
233	Figure D.5 – Averaged power density at ground level for various installation configurations of equipment with 100 W EIRP (installation class E100)	222
234		
235	Figure D.6 – Compliance distance in the main lobe CD_m as a function of EIRP established in accordance with the far-field formula corresponding to installation class E+	222
236		
237		
238	Figure D.7 – Minimum installation height h_m as a function of EIRP corresponding to installation class E+	223
239		
240	Figure D.8 – Power density distribution in watts per square metre in a vertical cut plane for an 8×8 antenna array at 28 GHz (grid step of 10 cm)	224
241		
242	Figure D.9 – Power density distribution in watts per square metre in a vertical cut plane for an 8×8 antenna array at 39 GHz (grid step of 10 cm)	224
243		
244	Figure E.1 – Spectral occupancy for GSMK	228
245	Figure E.2 – Spectral occupancy for CDMA	229
246	Figure E.3 – Channel allocation for a WCDMA signal	232
247	Figure E.4 – Example of Wi-Fi frames	235
248	Figure E.5 – Channel occupation versus the integration time for IEEE 802.11b standard	236
249		

250	Figure E.6 – Channel occupation versus nominal throughput rate for IEEE 802.11b/g standards	236
251		
252	Figure E.7 – Wi-Fi spectrum trace snapshot	237
253	Figure E.8 – Frame structure of transmission signal for LTE-FDD downlink	240
254	Figure E.9 – Frame structure LTE-TDD type 2 (for 5 ms switch-point periodicity).....	241
255	Figure E.10 – Frame structure of transmission signal for LTE-TDD	241
256	Figure E.11 – LTE-TDD PBCH measurement example	244
257	Figure E.12 – Example of VBW setting for LTE-FDD and LTE-TDD to avoid underestimation	245
258		
259	Figure E.13 – Examples of received waves from LTE-FDD downlink signals using a spectrum analyser using zero span mode	246
260		
261	Figure E.14 – LTE-TDD PBCH measurement example spectrum analyser using zero span mode.....	247
262		
263	Figure E.15 – Example of VBW setting for NR to avoid underestimation	251
264	Figure E.16 – Examples of measurement accuracy results according to the ratio of VBW and RBW for NR SCS 30 kHz and 1 MHz RBW using various SA types (A to D)	251
265		
266	Figure E.17 – Waterfall reconstruction plot of a 1 s long measurement trace of an NR signal with subcarrier spacing (SCS) 30 kHz (along one component of the electric field).....	252
267		
268		
269	Figure E.18 – Example of NR signal frame measured on SA with SSB signal above PDSCH (data).....	252
270		
271	Figure E.19 – Example of NR signal frame measured on SA with SSB signal below or equal to PDSCH (data)	253
272		
273	Figure E.20 – Time gating of SS burst signal	254
274	Figure E.21 – Representation of the channel bandwidth (CBW).....	255
275	Figure E.22 – An example for one port CSI-RS beam design	257
276	Figure E.23 – Plan view representation of statistical conservative model.....	263
277	Figure E.24 – Binomial cumulative probability function for $N = 24$, $PR = 0,125$	271
278	Figure E.25 – Binomial cumulative probability function for $N = 18$, $PR = 2/7$	271
279	Figure E.26 – Binomial cumulative probability function for $N = 100$, $PR = 0,125$	275
280	Figure E.27 – Binomial cumulative probability function for $N = 82$, $PR = 2/7$	275
281	Figure F.1 – Limits for brief exposure ($t < 360$ s), see Table F.1, divided by the corresponding time interval t and normalized with the value obtained for t up to 360 s	279
282		
283	Figure F.2 – F_{PR_min} as a function of the pulse duration assuming a whole-body averaging time of 30 min	283
284		
285	Figure F.3 – F_{PR_min} as a function of the pulse duration assuming an averaging time of 6 min	283
286		
287	Figure G.1 – Examples of general assessment schemes	288
288	Figure G.2 – Target uncertainty scheme overview	289
289	Figure G.3 – Probability of the true value being above (respectively below) the evaluated value depending on the confidence level assuming a normal distribution	293
290		
291	Figure G.4 – Plot of the calibration factors for E (not E^2) provided from an example calibration report for an electric field probe.....	296
292		
293	Figure G.5 – Computational model used for the variational analysis of reflected RF fields from the front of a surveyor.....	302
294		
295	Figure G.6 – EUT positioning equipment and different positioning errors.....	308
296	Figure G.7 – Physical model of small-scale fading variations	310
297	Figure G.8 – Example of electric field strength variations in line of sight of an antenna operating at 2,2 GHz.....	311
298		

299	Figure G.9 – Error at 95 % on average power estimation	312
300	Figure G.10 – 343 measurement points building a cube (centre) and different	
301	templates consisting of a different number of positions	313
302	Figure G.11 – Moving a template (Line 3) through the cube	314
303	Figure G.12 – Standard deviations for GSM 900, DCS 1800 and UMTS	316
304	Figure G.13 – Simulation arrangement	318
305	Figure G.14 – Body influence	318
306	Figure G.15 – Simulation arrangement	319
307		
308	Table 1 – Quick start guide evaluation steps.....	38
309	Table 2 – Example of product installation classes where a simplified evaluation	
310	process is applicable (based on ICNIRP general public limits [1] and [2])	54
311	Table 3 – Exposure metrics validity for evaluation points in each source region.....	67
312	Table 4 – Requirements for RF field strength and power density measurements.....	69
313	Table 5 – wbSAR exclusions based on RF power levels.....	70
314	Table 6 – Requirements for SAR measurements.....	70
315	Table 7 – Applicability of computation methods for source-environment regions of	
316	Figure 16.....	71
317	Table 8 – Requirements for computation methods	72
318	Table A.1 – Definition of source regions	82
319	Table A.2 – Default source region boundaries	82
320	Table A.3 – Source region boundaries for antennas with maximum dimension less than	
321	$2,5 \lambda$	83
322	Table A.4 – Source region boundaries for linear/planar antenna arrays with a maximum	
323	dimension greater than or equal to $2,5 \lambda$	83
324	Table A.5 – Source region boundaries for equiphase radiation aperture (e.g. dish)	
325	antennas with maximum reflector dimension much greater than a wavelength	84
326	Table A.6 – Source region boundaries for radiating cables.....	84
327	Table A.7 – Far-field distance r measured in metres as a function of angle β	85
328	Table A.8 – Guidance on selecting between computation and measurement	
329	approaches.....	86
330	Table A.9 – Guidance on selecting between broadband and frequency selective	
331	measurement.....	88
332	Table A.10 – Guidance on selecting RF field strength measurement procedures	89
333	Table A.11 – Guidance on selecting computation methods.....	90
334	Table A.12 – Guidance on specific evaluation method ranking	91
335	Table B.1 – Dimension variables	93
336	Table B.2 – RF power variables	93
337	Table B.3 – Antenna variables	93
338	Table B.4 – Exposure metric variables	94
339	Table B.5 – Broadband measurement system minimum requirements	106
340	Table B.6 – Frequency selective measurement system minimum requirements.....	107
341	Table B.7 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of an in-situ RF	
342	field strength measurement that used a frequency selective equipment.....	126
343	Table B.8 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of an in-situ RF	
344	field strength measurement that used a broadband equipment.....	127

345	Table B.9 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a laboratory-	
346	based RF field strength or power density measurement using the surface scanning	
347	method	128
348	Table B.10 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a	
349	laboratory-based RF field strength or power density measurement using the volume	
350	scanning method	129
351	Table B.11 – Numerical reference SAR values for reference dipoles and flat phantom –	
352	All values are normalized to a forward power of 1 W	134
353	Table B.12 – Phantom liquid volume and measurement volume used for wbSAR	
354	measurements [61], [77]	137
355	Table B.13 – Correction factor to compensate for a possible bias in the obtained	
356	general public wbSAR when assessed using the large box-shaped phantom for child	
357	exposure configurations [72]	137
358	Table B.14 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation template for EUT wbSAR test	138
359	Table B.15 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation template for wbSAR system	
360	validation	139
361	Table B.16 – Definition of boundaries for selecting the zone of computation	143
362	Table B.17 – Input parameters for cylindrical and spherical formulas validation	146
363	Table B.18 – Applicability of SAR estimation formulas	148
364	Table B.19 – Calculation of $A(f, d)$	151
365	Table B.20 – Antenna parameters for SAR estimation formulas verification	153
366	Table B.21 – Verification data for SAR estimation formulas - front	153
367	Table B.22 – Verification data for SAR estimation formulas – axial and back	153
368	Table B.23 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a synthetic	
369	model and ray tracing RF field strength computation	162
370	Table B.24 – Synthetic model and ray tracing power density reference results	165
371	Table B.25 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a full wave	
372	RF field strength / power density computation	169
373	Table B.26 – Validation 1 full wave field reference results	171
374	Table B.27 – Validation 2 full wave field reference results	172
375	Table B.28 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a full wave	
376	SAR computation	175
377	Table B.29 – Validation reference SAR results for computation method	177
378	Table B.30 – Relevant parameters for performing RF exposure modelling studies of a	
379	massive MIMO site or site cluster	187
380	Table B.31 – Measurement campaign parameters for performing RF exposure	
381	assessment of a massive MIMO site or site cluster	188
382	Table B.32 – Power combination factors applicable to the normalized actual	
383	transmitted power CDF in case of combination of multiple independent identical	
384	transmitters	191
385	Table B.33 – Power combination factors applicable to two independent transmitters	
386	with a ratio p in amplitude	191
387	Table C.1 – Example of relative difference between the measured averaged	
388	transmitted power and actual power counter value for systems that allow direct power	
389	level measurements	195
390	Table C.2 – Example of correlation between the configured maximum power level and	
391	the level reported by actual power counters for BS that allow direct power level	
392	measurements	196
393	Table C.3 – Example of correlation between the configured time-averaged load levels	
394	and the actual power counter value for systems that allow direct power level	
395	measurements	196

396	Table C.4 – Example of relative difference between the configured maximum power, measured averaged transmitted power, and actual power counters for systems that do not support direct power level measurements	197
397		
398		
399	Table C.5 – Example of correlation between the configured power level and the level reported by power counters for BS that do not support direct power level measurements	199
400		
401		
402	Table C.6 – Example of correlation between time linearity of the configured maximum power level and the level reported by actual power counters for BS that do not support direct power level measurements	200
403		
404		
405	Table E.1 – Technology specific information	225
406	Table E.2 – Example of spectrum analyser settings for an integration per service	230
407	Table E.3 – Example constant power components for specific TDMA/FDMA technologies	231
408		
409	Table E.4 – WCDMA decoder characteristics	233
410	Table E.5 – Signal configurations	233
411	Table E.6 – WCDMA generator setting for power linearity	234
412	Table E.7 – WCDMA generator setting for decoder calibration	234
413	Table E.8 – WCDMA generator setting for reflection coefficient measurement	235
414	Table E.9 – Uplink-downlink configurations	241
415	Table E.10 – Theoretical extrapolation factor, N_{RS} , based on frame structure given in 3GPP TS 36.104 [21]	243
416		
417	Table E.11 – F_{BW} for each combination of BS channel bandwidth and SSB subcarrier spacing (SCS) for sub-6 GHz signals	249
418		
419	Table E.12 – F_{BW} for each combination of BS channel bandwidth and SSB subcarrier spacing (SCS) for mm-wave signals	249
420		
421	Table E.13 – List of variables in the case study	274
422	Table F.1 – Brief exposure limits for the general public integrated over intervals of between 0 min and 6 min as specified by ICNIRP-2020 [1]	279
423		
424	Table F.2 – Minimum F_{PR} , F_{PR_min} , for which compliance with the time-averaged whole-body limits ICNIRP-2020 [1] inherently ensures compliance with the brief exposure limits specified by ICNIRP-2020 [1]	284
425		
426		
427	Table G.1 – Determining target uncertainty	289
428	Table G.2 – Monte Carlo simulation of 10 000 trials, both surveyor and auditor using best estimate	291
429		
430	Table G.3 – Monte Carlo simulation of 10 000 trials, both surveyor and auditor using target uncertainty of 4 dB	291
431		
432	Table G.4 – Monte Carlo simulation of 10 000 trials where surveyor uses upper 95 % CI and auditor uses lower 95 % CI	292
433		
434	Table G.5 – Guidance on minimum separation distances for some dipole lengths such that the uncertainty does not exceed 5 % or 10 % in a measurement of E	299
435		
436	Table G.6 – Guidance on minimum separation distances for some loop diameters such that the uncertainty does not exceed 5 % or 10 % in a measurement of H	300
437		
438	Table G.7 – Example minimum separation conditions for selected dipole lengths for 10 % uncertainty in E	300
439		
440	Table G.8 – Standard estimates of dB variation for the perturbations in front of a surveyor due to body reflected fields as described in Figure G.5	303
441		
442	Table G.9 – Standard uncertainty (u) estimates for E and H due to body reflections from the surveyor for common radio services derived from estimates provided in Table G.8	303
443		
444		
445	Table G.10 – Maximum sensitivity coefficients for liquid permittivity and conductivity over the frequency range 300 MHz to 6 GHz	310
446		

447	Table G.11 – Uncertainty at 95 % for different fading models	313
448	Table G.12 – Correlation coefficients for GSM 900 and DCS 1800	315
449	Table G.13 – Variations of the standard deviations for the GSM 900, DCS 1800 and	
450	UMTS frequency bands.....	316
451	Table G.14 – Examples of total uncertainty calculation	317
452	Table G.15 – Maximum simulated error due to the influence of a human body on the	
453	measurement values of an omnidirectional probe	319
454	Table G.16 – Measured influence of a human body on omnidirectional probe	
455	measurements	320
456		
457		
458		

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[SIST EN IEC 62232:2023/oprA1:2024](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/233b8329-37c4-4391-b9a2-170cbf5fc327/sist-en-iec-62232-2023-opra1-2024)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/233b8329-37c4-4391-b9a2-170cbf5fc327/sist-en-iec-62232-2023-opra1-2024>