
**Information technology — Metadata
registries (MDR) —**

**Part 31:
Metamodel for data specification
registration**

*Technologies de l'information — Registres de métadonnées (RM) —
Partie 31: Métamodèle pour l'enregistrement des spécifications de
données*

[ISO/IEC 11179-31:2023](https://standards.iso.org/iso/iec/11179-31-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0ed02334-c7c2-4146-b443-086398dc2df0/iso-iec-11179-31-2023>



iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC 11179-31:2023

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0ed02334-c7c2-4146-b443-086398dc2df0/iso-iec-11179-31-2023>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword.....	vii
Introduction.....	viii
1 Scope.....	1
2 Normative references.....	1
3 Terms and definitions.....	1
4 Abbreviated terms.....	6
5 Conformance.....	6
5.1 Overview of conformance.....	6
5.2 Degree of conformance.....	6
5.2.1 General.....	6
5.2.2 Strictly conforming implementations.....	7
5.2.3 Conforming implementations.....	7
5.3 Conformance by feature.....	7
5.4 Registry conformance.....	8
5.4.1 Standard profiles for edition 4 registries.....	8
5.4.2 Conformance labels.....	8
5.5 Implementation conformance statement (ICS).....	8
5.6 Obligation.....	8
6 Relationship to ISO/IEC 11179-3.....	9
6.1 Metamodel for a metadata registry.....	9
6.2 Specification of the metamodel.....	9
6.3 Use of UML Class diagrams and textual description.....	9
6.4 Package dependencies.....	10
6.5 Subclassing the Constraint_Set class.....	10
7 Data_Specification package.....	11
7.1 Overview of the Data_Specification package.....	11
7.2 High-level Data Specification metamodel region.....	12
7.2.1 Overview of the high-level metamodel region.....	12
7.2.2 Classes in the High-level Data Specification metamodel region.....	13
7.2.3 Associations of the High-Level Data Specification metamodel region.....	16
7.2.4 Constraints of the High Level metamodel region.....	17
7.3 Data Element Concept metamodel region.....	18
7.3.1 Overview of the Data Element Concept metamodel region.....	18
7.3.2 Classes in the Data Element Concept metamodel region.....	19
7.3.3 Associations in the Data Element Concept metamodel region.....	22
7.4 Conceptual Domain and Value Domain metamodel region.....	22
7.4.1 Overview of the Conceptual Domain and Value Domain metamodel region.....	22
7.4.2 Classes in the Conceptual Domain and Value Domain metamodel region.....	24
7.4.3 Association Classes in the Conceptual Domain and Value Domain metamodel region.....	41
7.4.4 Associations in the Conceptual Domain and Value Domain metamodel region.....	44
7.4.5 Additional Constraints of the Conceptual Domain and Value Domain metamodel region.....	45
7.5 Measurement metamodel region.....	46
7.5.1 Overview of the Measurement metamodel region.....	46
7.5.2 Classes in the Measurement metamodel region.....	47
7.5.3 Associations in the Measurement metamodel region.....	50
7.6 Data Element metamodel region.....	51
7.6.1 Overview of the Data Element metamodel region.....	51
7.6.2 Classes in the Data Element metamodel region.....	52
7.6.3 Associations in the Data Element metamodel region.....	56

7.7	Value Domain Subset metamodel region.....	57
7.7.1	Overview of the Value Domain Subset metamodel region.....	57
7.7.2	Classes in the Value Domain Subset metamodel region.....	57
7.7.3	Association classes in the Value Domain Subset metamodel region.....	61
7.7.4	Associations in the Value Domain Subset metamodel region.....	62
7.7.5	Constraints in the Value Domain Subset metamodel region.....	63
7.8	Conceptual Domain Subset metamodel region.....	63
7.8.1	Overview of the Conceptual Domain Subset metamodel region.....	63
7.8.2	Classes in the Conceptual Domain Subset metamodel region.....	64
7.8.3	Association classes in the Conceptual Domain Subset metamodel region.....	66
7.8.4	Associations in the Conceptual Domain Subset metamodel region.....	67
7.8.5	Constraints in the Conceptual Domain Subset metamodel region.....	68
7.9	Composite Data Elements and Datatypes metamodel region.....	68
7.9.1	Overview of the Composite Data Elements and Datatypes metamodel region.....	68
7.9.2	Classes in the Composite Data Elements and Datatypes metamodel region.....	69
7.9.3	Association Class in the Composite Data Elements and Datatypes metamodel region.....	71
7.9.4	Associations in the Composite Data Elements and Datatypes metamodel region.....	72
Annex A (informative) Consolidated class hierarchy.....		73
Annex B (informative) Example of Address as Composite Data Element and Datatype.....		74
Annex C (informative) Partial consolidated data specification metamodel.....		75
Bibliography.....		76

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/IEC 11179-31:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0ed02334-c7c2-4146-b443-086398dc2df0/iso-iec-11179-31-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0ed02334-c7c2-4146-b443-086398dc2df0/iso-iec-11179-31-2023>

List of Figures

Figure 1 — Package dependencies.....	10
Figure 2 — Subclasses of Constraint_Set.....	11
Figure 3 — High-level Data Specification metamodel.....	12
Figure 4 — Data Element Concept metamodel region.....	18
Figure 5 — Conceptual Domain and Value Domain metamodel region.....	23
Figure 6 — Measurement metamodel region.....	46
Figure 7 — Data Element metamodel region.....	51
Figure 8 — Value Domain Subset metamodel region.....	57
Figure 9 — Conceptual Domain Subset metamodel region.....	63
Figure 10 — Composite Data Elements and Datatypes metamodel region.....	69
Figure A.1 — Consolidated Class Hierarchy.....	73
Figure B.1 — Object diagram of Address as Composite Datatype and Data Element.....	74
Figure C.1 — Partial Consolidated Data Specification metamodel.....	75

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/IEC 11179-31:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0ed02334-c7c2-4146-b443-086398dc2df0/iso-iec-11179-31-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0ed02334-c7c2-4146-b443-086398dc2df0/iso-iec-11179-31-2023>

List of Tables

Table 1 — Attributes of Data_Element_Concept_Constraint_Set class	21
Table 2 — Attributes of Conceptual_Domain class	24
Table 3 — Attributes of Enumerated_Conceptual_Domain class	26
Table 4 — Attributes of Enumerated_Conceptual_Domain_Definition class	29
Table 5 — Attributes of Value_Domain class	30
Table 6 — Attributes of Permissible_Value class	33
Table 7 — Attributes of Reference_Enumerated_Value_Domain class	34
Table 8 — Attributes of Datatype class	35
Table 9 — Example 1 of Datatype class	35
Table 10 — Example 2 of Datatype class	35
Table 11 — Attributes of Datatype_Scheme class	36
Table 12 — Attributes of Reference_Enumerated_CD_Constraint_Set class	37
Table 13 — Attributes of Local_Enumerated_CD_Constraint_Set class	38
Table 14 — Attributes of Value_Domain_Constraint_Set class	38
Table 15 — Attributes of Described_Value_Domain_Constraint_Set class	39
Table 16 — Attributes of Reference_Enumerated_VD_Constraint_Set class	40
Table 17 — Attributes of Local_Enumerated_VD_Constraint_Set class	40
Table 18 — Attributes of Permissible_Value_Constraint_Set class	41
Table 19 — Attributes of Value_Meaning_Set association class	42
Table 20 — Attributes of Permissible_Value_Meaning association class	43
Table 21 — Attributes of Permissible_Value_Set association class	44
Table 22 — Attributes of Dimensionality class	50
Table 23 — Attributes of Data_Element class	52
Table 24 — Attributes of Data_Element_Example class	53
Table 25 — Attributes of Data_Element_Derivation_Rule class	54
Table 26 — Attributes of Data_Element_Constraint_Set class	55
Table 27 — Attributes of Local_Enumerated_Value_Domain_Subset class	60
Table 28 — Attributes of Local_Enumerated_VD_Subset_Constraint_Set class	61
Table 29 — Attributes of Subset_Values association class	62
Table 30 — Attributes of Local_Enumerated_Conceptual_Domain_Subset class	65
Table 31 — Attributes of Local_Enumerated_CD_Subset_Constraint_Set class	66
Table 32 — Attributes of Subset_Value_Meanings association class	67
Table 33 — Attributes of Data_Element_Collection class	70
Table 34 — Attributes of Data_Element_Sequence association class	71

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives or www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see <https://patents.iec.ch>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html. In the IEC, see www.iec.ch/understanding-standards.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC/JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 32, *Data management and interchange*.

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 11179 series can be found on the ISO and IEC websites.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html and www.iec.ch/national-committees.

Introduction

In ISO/IEC 11179-3, the structure of a Metadata Registry is specified in the form of a conceptual data model. ISO/IEC 11179-3 specifies a metamodel for “registry common facilities”, which is intended to be extended by other parts of ISO/IEC 11179 for specific purposes.

This document provides a specification of the extensions to the registry metamodel specified in ISO/IEC 11179-3 to enable the registration of metadata about data elements and associated concepts, such as “data element concepts”, “conceptual domains” and “value domains”. Generically, these are all referred to as “metadata items”. Such metadata are necessary to clearly describe, record, analyse, classify and administer data.

This document is part of the 4th edition modularization of the ISO/IEC 11179 series. It extracts the Data Description package from ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013 to make it more accessible and renames it “Metamodel for data specification registration”. At the same time, some enhancements have been made as follows:

- support for externally defined “reference enumerated conceptual domains” (7.4.2.6) and “reference enumerated value domains” (7.4.2.13);
- support for sub-setting of value domains (7.7) and conceptual domains (7.8) within a specified context;
- support for composite data elements and data types (7.9);
- finer-grained conformance options (see 5.3);
- relaxation of some constraints in the standard, while giving registration authorities the ability to enforce them if they wish (see 6.5).

From [Clause 5](#) onwards, this document uses:

- **bold** font to highlight terms which represent metadata objects specified by the metamodel;
- normal font for terms which represent concepts defined in [Clause 3](#).

EXAMPLE **Conceptual_Domain** (7.2.2.2) is a class each instance of which models a conceptual domain.

Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) —

Part 31: Metamodel for data specification registration

1 Scope

This document provides a specification for an extension to a Metadata Registry (MDR), as specified in ISO/IEC 11179-3, in which metadata that describes data elements and associated concepts, such as “data element concepts”, “conceptual domains” and “value domains” can be registered.

The specification in this document, together with the relevant clauses of the specification in ISO/IEC 11179-3, provides the ability to record metadata about:

- a) data elements, units of measure and derivation rules;
- b) data element concepts and associated object classes and properties;
- c) conceptual domains, conceptual domain subsets and value meanings;
- d) value domains, value domain subsets, datatypes and permissible values.

This document is applicable to the formulation of data representations, concepts, meanings and relationships to be shared among people and machines, independent of the organization that produces the data. It is not applicable to the physical representation of data as bits and bytes at the machine level.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 11179-3:2023, *Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) — Part 3: Metamodel for registry common facilities*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/IEC 11179-3 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1 object class

set of ideas, abstractions or things in the real world that are identified with explicit boundaries and meaning and whose properties and behaviour follow the same rules

3.2 property

quality common to all members of an *object class* (3.1)

3.3 characteristic

abstraction of a *property* (3.2)

EXAMPLE 'Having a cable for connecting with a computer' as a characteristic of the concept 'cord mouse'.

Note 1 to entry: Characteristics are used for describing *concepts* (3.4).

[SOURCE: ISO 1087:2019, 3.2.1]

3.4 concept

unit of knowledge created by a unique combination of *characteristics* (3.3)

Note 1 to entry: Concepts are not necessarily bound to particular natural languages. They are, however, influenced by the social or cultural background which often leads to different categorizations.

Note 2 to entry: A concept is independent of its representation.

[SOURCE: ISO 1087:2019, 3.2.7, modified — Note 2 to entry changed.]

3.5 conceptual domain CD

concept (3.4) whose meaning is expressed as an enumerated set, a description of subordinate concepts or both, which are *value meanings* (3.10)

3.6 described conceptual domain

conceptual domain (3.5) that is specified by a description or specification, such as a rule, a procedure or a range (i.e. interval)

3.7 enumerated conceptual domain

conceptual domain (3.5) that is specified by a list of all its *value meanings* (3.10)

Note 1 to entry: No ordering of the value meanings is implied.

3.8 local enumerated conceptual domain

enumerated conceptual domain (3.7) whose *value meanings* (3.10) are enumerated locally within the registry

Note 1 to entry: c.f. *reference enumerated conceptual domain* (3.11).

3.9 local enumerated conceptual domain subset

subset of the *value meanings* (3.10) in a *local enumerated conceptual domain* (3.8) used to restrict the value meanings a *data element concept* (3.25) can assume in a particular context

3.10 value meaning

semantic content of a value

Note 1 to entry: The representation of value meanings in a *registry* (3.36) shall be independent of (and shall not constrain) their representation in any corresponding *value domain* (3.13).

3.11 reference enumerated conceptual domain

enumerated conceptual domain (3.7) that is specified by a formal definition

Note 1 to entry: The definition may reference externally enumerated *value meanings* (3.10).

3.12**enumerated conceptual domain definition**

formal definition of an *enumerated conceptual domain* (3.7)

Note 1 to entry: The definition may reference externally enumerated *value meanings* (3.10).

3.13**value domain****VD**

set of *permissible values* (3.19)

Note 1 to entry: The *value domain* provides representation but has no implication as to what *data element concept* (3.25) the values are associated with nor what the values mean.

Note 2 to entry: The *permissible values* can either be enumerated, expressed via a description, or a combination of the two.

3.14**described value domain**

value domain (3.13) that is specified by a description or specification, such as a rule, a procedure or a range (i.e. interval)

3.15**enumerated value domain**

value domain (3.13) that is specified by a list of all its *permissible values* (3.19)

Note 1 to entry: No ordering of the permissible values is implied.

3.16**local enumerated value domain**

enumerated value domain (3.15) whose *permissible values* (3.19) are stored within the registry

Note 1 to entry: c.f. *reference enumerated value domain* (3.18).

3.17**local enumerated value domain subset**

subset of the *permissible values* (3.19) in a *local enumerated value domain* (3.16) used to restrict the value meanings a *data element* (3.23) can assume in a particular context

3.18**reference enumerated value domain**

enumerated value domain (3.15) that is specified by reference to an external specification, including externally enumerated *permissible values* (3.19)

3.19**permissible value**

designation of a *value meaning* (3.10)

Note 1 to entry: Permissible values may be specified either as part of a *value domain* (3.13) or only associated with a *value meaning* (3.10).

Note 2 to entry: Within a value domain, permissible values can either be enumerated, expressed via a description, or a combination of the two.

Note 3 to entry: Explicit mapping of a single permissible value to a single value meaning is possible only when both the value meaning and permissible value are enumerated, e.g. for code sets. For described permissible values, it is possible for the described meaning to be associated with a range of values, e.g. weight in kilograms.

3.20

data

re-interpretable representation of information in a formalized manner suitable for communication, interpretation or processing

Note 1 to entry: Data can be processed by humans or by automatic means.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121272, modified — Notes to entry 2 and 3 deleted.]

3.21

datatype

set of distinct values, characterized by properties of those values and by operations on those values

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11404:2007, 3.12]

3.22

datatype scheme

source of the specification of one or more *datatypes* ([3.21](#))

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11404:2007, 3.12]

3.23

data element

(organization of data) unit of *data* ([3.20](#)) that is considered in context to be indivisible

Note 1 to entry: The definition states that a data element is “indivisible” in some context. This means that it is possible that a data element considered indivisible in one context (e.g. telephone number) may be divisible in another context, (e.g. country code, area code, local number).

EXAMPLE The data element “age of a person” with values consisting of all combinations of 3 decimal digits.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121599, modified — Example moved to the end without the Note to entry prefix. Other Notes to entry have been replaced.]

3.24

data element collection

one or more *data elements* ([3.23](#)) that may be unordered or ordered

Note 1 to entry: Examples of unordered collections are a set or a bag (or multiset). An example of an ordered collection is a list.

3.25

data element concept

concept ([3.4](#)) that can be represented in the form of a *data element* ([3.23](#)), described independently of any particular representation

Note 1 to entry: A data element concept is implicitly associated with both the property and the object class whose combination it expresses.

Note 2 to entry: A data element concept may also be associated with zero, one or more *conceptual domains* ([3.5](#)) each of which expresses its *value meanings* ([3.10](#)).

Note 3 to entry: A data element concept may also be associated with zero, one or more *data elements* ([3.23](#)) each of which provide representation for the data element concept via its associated *value domain* ([3.13](#)).

3.26

data element derivation

application of a *data element derivation rule* ([3.28](#)) to one or more input *data elements* ([3.23](#)) to derive one or more output data elements

3.27

data element example

representative illustration of a *data element* ([3.23](#))

3.28**data element derivation rule**

logical, mathematical, other operations or some combination specifying derivation

3.29**dimensionality**

set of equivalent *units of measure* (3.33)

Note 1 to entry: Equivalence between two units of measure is determined by the existence of a quantity preserving one-to-one correspondence between values measured in one unit of measure and values measured in the other unit of measure, independent of context, and where characterizing operations are the same.

Note 2 to entry: The equivalence defined here forms an equivalence relation on the set of all units of measure. Each equivalence class corresponds to a dimensionality. The units of measure "temperature in degrees Fahrenheit" and "temperature in degrees Celsius" have the same dimensionality, because:

- a) given a value measured in degrees Fahrenheit there is a value measured in degrees Celsius with the same quantity, and vice-versa, by the well-known correspondences $^{\circ}\text{C} = (5/9) * (^{\circ}\text{F} - 32)$ and $^{\circ}\text{F} = (9/5) * (^{\circ}\text{C}) + 32$.
- b) the same operations can be performed on both values.

Note 3 to entry: The units of measure "temperature in degrees Celsius" and "temperature in kelvins" do not belong to the same dimensionality. Even though it is easy to convert quantities from one unit of measure to the other ($^{\circ}\text{C} = \text{K} - 273,15$ and $\text{K} = ^{\circ}\text{C} + 273,15$), the characterizing operations in kelvins include taking ratios, whereas this is not the case for degrees Celsius. For instance, 20 K is twice as warm as 10 K, but 20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ is not twice as warm as 10 $^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Note 4 to entry: Units of measure are not limited to physical categories. Examples of physical categories are: linear measure, area, volume, mass, velocity, time duration. Examples of non-physical categories are: currency, quality indicator, colour intensity.

Note 5 to entry: Quantities may be grouped together into categories of quantities which are mutually comparable. Lengths, diameters, distances, heights, wavelengths and so on would constitute such a category. Mutually comparable quantities have the same dimensionality. ISO 80000-1^[13] calls these "quantities of the same kind".

Note 6 to entry: ISO 80000-1 specifies physical dimensions (e.g. length, mass, velocity). This document also permits non-physical dimensions (e.g. value dimensions such as: currency, quality indicator). The present concept of dimensionality equates to what ISO 80000-1 calls Dimensional Product, rather than to Dimension.

3.30**measure class**

set of equivalent *units of measure* (3.33) for association with one or more *dimensionalities* (3.29)

3.31**coordinate**

measurement from the origin of a frame of reference

3.32**notation**

formal syntax and associated semantics

EXAMPLE UML, MOF, OCL, OWL/RDF, SKOS, CGIF, XCL, XTM or ISO/IEC 11404

Note 1 to entry: Formal syntax is often intended for machine processing.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-3:2023, 3.2.36]

3.33**unit of measure**

(value domain) actual units in which the associated values are measured

Note 1 to entry: ISO 80000-1^[13] specifies a system of physical measurement (the International System of Units, SI). Physical measurement is only one type of measurement. Value measurement is another type of measurement. This document permits the use of any appropriate system of measurement.

Note 2 to entry: The *dimensionality* (3.29) of the associated *conceptual domain* (3.5) shall be appropriate for the specified *unit of measure*.

3.34

unit of measure dimensionality

dimensionality (3.29) that specifies the equivalence relation that applies to all values representing a particular unit

3.35

quantity

value associated with a *unit of measure* (3.33)

Note 1 to entry: 32° Fahrenheit and 0° Celsius are quantities, and they are equivalent values in different measuring systems.

3.36

registry

information system for *registration* (3.37)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-1:2023, 3.2.34]

3.37

registration

set of rules, operations and procedures for inclusion of an item in a *registry* (3.36)

Note 1 to entry: A detailed description of registration as it applies in ISO/IEC 11179 is found in ISO/IEC 11179-6.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-1:2023, 3.2.88]

ITEH STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

4 Abbreviated terms

CD	conceptual domain	ISO/IEC 11179-31:2023
DE	data element	https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0ed02334-c7c2-4146-b443-086398dc2df0/iso-iec-11179-31-2023
DEC	data element concept	
UML	Unified Modeling Language	
URI	Universal Resource Identifier	
VD	value domain	
XML	eXtensible Markup Language	

5 Conformance

5.1 Overview of conformance

Conformance rules for a metadata registry are specified in ISO/IEC 11179-3:2023, Clause 4. The subclause “Degree of conformance” is repeated here for convenience. The subsequent subclauses extend the rules from ISO/IEC 11179-3.

5.2 Degree of conformance

5.2.1 General

The distinction between “strictly conforming” and “conforming” implementations is necessary to address the simultaneous needs for interoperability and extensions. This document describes

specifications that promote interoperability. Extensions are motivated by needs of users, vendors, institutions and industries, and:

- a) are not directly specified by this document;
- b) are specified and agreed to outside this document;
- c) may serve as trial usage for future editions of this document.

A strictly conforming implementation can be limited in usefulness but is maximally interoperable with respect to this document. A conforming implementation can be more useful but can be less interoperable with respect to this document.

5.2.2 Strictly conforming implementations

A strictly conforming implementation:

- a) shall support all mandatory, optional and conditional classes, attributes, datatypes and associations;
- b) shall not use, test, access or probe for any extension features nor extensions to classes, attributes, datatypes, associations or any combination thereof;
- c) shall not recognize, nor act on, nor allow the production of classes, attributes, datatypes, associations or any combination thereof that are dependent on any unspecified, undefined or implementation-defined behaviour.

NOTE The use of extensions to the metamodel can cause undefined behaviour.

5.2.3 Conforming implementations

A conforming implementation:

- a) shall support all mandatory, optional and conditional classes, attributes, datatypes and associations;
- b) as permitted by the implementation, may use, test, access or probe for extension features or extensions to classes, attributes, datatypes, associations or any combination thereof;
- c) may recognize, act on or allow the production of classes, attributes, datatypes, associations or any combination thereof that are dependent on implementation-defined behaviour.

NOTE 1 All strictly conforming implementations are also conforming implementations.

NOTE 2 The use of extensions to the metamodel can cause undefined behaviour.

5.3 Conformance by feature

Conformance claims may be made to the whole of [Clause 7](#) or to specific features within that clause. [Clause 7](#) is dependent upon one or more clauses of ISO/IEC 11179-3, so conformance to all or part of [Clause 7](#) shall be understood to imply conformance also to relevant provisions specified in one or more of the clauses in ISO/IEC 11179-3.

A conformance statement shall specify exactly the features supported and not supported.