FINAL DRAFT

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/FDIS 19905-1

ISO/TC 67/SC 7

Secretariat: BSI

Voting begins on: **2023-08-08**

Voting terminates on:

2023-10-03

Oil and gas industries including lower carbon energy — Site-specific assessment of mobile offshore units —

Part 1:

Jack-ups: elevated at a site

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO 19905-1

https://standards.iteh.ai/cataloo/standards/sist/0aec3a6d-a9b7-498b-81ca-d7a6af5ea3b2/iso-19905-1

ISO/CEN PARALLEL PROCESSING

RECIPIENTS OF THIS DRAFT ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT, WITH THEIR COMMENTS, NOTIFICATION OF ANY RELEVANT PATENT RIGHTS OF WHICH THEY ARE AWARE AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

IN ADDITION TO THEIR EVALUATION AS BEING ACCEPTABLE FOR INDUSTRIAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, COMMERCIAL AND USER PURPOSES, DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS MAY ON OCCASION HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF THEIR POTENTIAL TO BECOME STANDARDS TO WHICH REFERENCE MAY BE MADE IN NATIONAL REGULATIONS.



Reference number ISO/FDIS 19905-1:2023(E)

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO 19905-1

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0aec3a6d-a9b7-498b-81ca-d7a6af5ea3b2/iso-19905-1



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents		Page
1	Scope	1
2	Normative references	1
3	Terms and definitions	2
4	Symbols and abbreviated terms	16
4.1	Symbols	16
4.1.1	General	
4.1.2	Symbols used in A.6	
4.1.3	Symbols used in A.7	
4.1.4	Symbols used in A.8	
4.1.5	Symbols used in A.9 and Annex E	
4.1.6	Symbols used in A.10	
4.1.7	Symbols used in A.11	
4.1.8	Symbols used in A.12	
4.2	Abbreviated terms	
5	Overall considerations	31
5.1	General	
5.1.1	Competency	
5.1.2	Planning	
5.1.3	Assessment situations and associated criteria	
5.1.4	Reporting	
5.1.5	Regulations	
5.1.6	Classification of unit	
5.2	Assessment approach	
5.3	Selection of assessment situations	
5.4	Determination of assessment situations	
5.4.1	General	
5.4.2	Reaction point and foundation fixity	
5.4.3	Extreme storm event approach angle	
5.4.4	Weights and centre of gravity	
5.4.5	Hull elevation	
5.4.6 5.4.7	Leg length reserve	
5.4.7 5.4.8	Adjacent structures	
5.4.8 5.5	OtherExposure levels	
5.5.1	Determination of exposure level	
5.5.1 5.5.2	Exposure level L1	
5.5.2	Exposure level L2	
5.5.4	Exposure level L3	
5.5.5	Exposure level for earthquake	
5.6	Analytical tools	
6	Data to assemble for each site	
6.1	Applicability	
6.2	Jack-up data	
6.3	Site and operational data	
6.4	Metocean data	
6.5	Geophysical and geotechnical data	
6.6	Earthquake data	
6.7	Ice data	
J. /	*** **********************************	

7	Actions	41
7.1	Applicability	41
7.2	General	41
7.3	Metocean actions	42
7.3.1	General	42
7.3.2	Hydrodynamic model	
7.3.3	Wave and current actions	
7.3.4	Wind actions	
7.4	Functional actions	
7.5	Displacement dependent effects	_
7.6	Dynamic effects	
7.7	Earthquakes	
7.8	Ice actions	
7.9	Other actions	
8	Structural modelling	
8.1	Applicability	
8.2	Overall considerations	
8.2.1	General	
8.2.2	Modelling philosophy	
8.2.3	Levels of FE modelling	
8.3	Modelling the leg	
8.3.1	General	45
8.3.2	Detailed leg	45
8.3.3	Equivalent leg (stick model)	45
8.3.4	Combination of detailed and equivalent leg	45
8.3.5	Stiffness adjustment	45
8.3.6	Leg inclination	45
8.4	Modelling the hull	45
8.4.1	General	45
8.4.2	Detailed hull model	45
8.4.3	Equivalent hull model	45
8.5	Modelling the leg-to-hull connection	46
8.5.1	General	46
8.5.2	Guide systems	46
8.5.3	Elevating system	46
8.5.4	Fixation system	46
8.5.5	Shock pad — floating jacking systems	
8.5.6	Jackcase and associated bracing	
8.6	Modelling the spudcan and foundation	
8.6.1	Spudcan structure	
8.6.2	Seabed reaction point	
8.6.3	Foundation modelling	
8.7	Mass modelling	
8.8	Application of actions	
8.8.1	Assessment actions	
8.8.2	Functional actions due to fixed load and variable load	
8.8.3	Hull sagging	
8.8.4	Metocean actions	
8.8.5	Inertial actions	
8.8.6	Large displacement effects	
8.8.7	Conductor actions	
8.8.8	Earthquake actions	
8.8.9	Ice actions	
9	Foundations	51

9.1	Applicability	51
9.2	General	51
9.3	Geotechnical analysis of independent leg foundations	51
9.3.1	Foundation modelling and assessment	51
9.3.2	Leg penetration during preloading	52
9.3.3	Yield interaction	53
9.3.4	Foundation stiffnesses	53
9.3.5	Vertical-horizontal foundation capacity envelopes	53
9.3.6	Acceptance checks	54
9.4	Other considerations	55
9.4.1	Skirted spudcans	55
9.4.2	Hard sloping strata	56
9.4.3	Footprint considerations	
9.4.4	Leaning instability	
9.4.5	Leg extraction difficulties	
9.4.6	Cyclic mobility, liquefaction and liquefaction-induced lateral flow	
9.4.7	Scour	
9.4.8	Spudcan interaction with adjacent infrastructure	
9.4.9	Geohazards	
9.4.10	Carbonate material	
10	Structural response	57
10.1	Applicability	
10.2	General considerations	
10.3	Types of analyses and associated methods	
10.4	Common parameters	
10.4.1	General	
10.4.2	Natural periods and related considerations	
10.4.3	Damping	
10.4.4	Foundations	
10.4.5	Storm excitation	
10.5 sta	Storm analysis	
10.5.1	General	
10.5.2	Two-stage deterministic storm analysis	
10.5.3	Stochastic storm analysis	
10.5.4	Initial leg inclination	
10.5.5	Limit state checks	
10.6	Fatigue analysis	
10.7	Earthquake analysis	63
10.8	Ice	
10.8.1	General	65
10.8.2	ULS	65
10.8.3	ALS	65
10.8.4	Assessments in the area types	
10.8.5	Additional factors for arctic and cold regions	66
10.9	Accidental situations	66
10.10	Alternative analysis methods	66
10.10.1	Ultimate strength analysis	66
10.10.2	Methodology	66
11	Long-term applications	67
11.1	Applicability	
11.2	Assessment data	
11.3	Special requirements	
_	Fatigue assessment	
11.3.2	Weight control	
		<i>0</i> /

11.3.3	Corrosion protection	
11.3.4	Marine growth	68
11.3.5	Foundations	
11.4	Survey requirements	68
12	Structural strength	
12.1	Applicability	
12.1.1	General	
12.1.2	Truss type legs	69
12.1.3	Other leg types	
12.1.4	Fixation system and/or elevating system	69
12.1.5	Spudcan strength including connection to the leg	69
12.1.6	Overview of the assessment procedure	
12.2	Classification of member cross-sections	70
12.2.1	Member types	70
12.2.2	Material yield strength	70
12.2.3	Classification definitions	70
12.3	Section properties of non-circular prismatic members	71
12.3.1	General	71
12.3.2	Plastic and compact sections	71
12.3.3	Semi-compact sections	71
12.3.4	Slender sections	71
12.3.5	Cross-section properties for the assessment	71
12.4	Effects of axial force on bending moment	72
12.5	Strength of tubular members	
12.6	Strength of non-circular prismatic members	
12.7	Assessment of joints	
13	Acceptance criteria Document Preview	
	Applicability	
13.1 13.1.1		
13.1.1 13.1.2	General	
13.1.2 13.1.3	Ultimate limit states	
	Serviceability and accidental limit states	
13.1.4	Fatigue limit statesGeneral formulation of the assessment check	
13.2		
13.3	Leg strength assessment	
13.4	Holding system strength assessment	
13.5	Spudcan strength assessment	
13.6	Hull elevation assessment	
13.7	Leg length reserve assessment	
13.8	Overturning stability assessment	
13.9	Foundation integrity assessment	
13.9.1	Foundation capacity check	
13.10	Displacement check	
13.11	Interaction with adjacent infrastructure	
13.12	Temperatures	78
Annex A	A (informative) Additional information and guidance	79
A.1	Guidance on scope	
A.2	Guidance on normative references	
A.3	Guidance on terms and definitions	79
A.4	Guidance on symbols	
A.4.1	Symbols used in A.1	
A.4.2	Symbols used in A.2	
A.4.3	Symbols used in A.3	
A.4.4	Symbols used in A.4	
A.4.5	Symbols used in A.5	
	-	

A.4.6	Symbols used in A.6	
A.4.7	Symbols used in A.7	79
A.4.8	Symbols used in A.8	80
A.4.9	Symbols used in A.9	80
A.4.10	Symbols used in A.10	80
A.4.11	Symbols used in A.11	
A.4.12	Symbols used in A.12	
A.5	Guidance on overall considerations	
A.6	Guidance on data assembled for each site	
A.6.1	Scope	
A.6.2	lack-up data	
A.6.3	Site data	
A.6.4	Metocean data	
A.6.5	Geophysical and geotechnical data	
A.6.6	Earthquake data	
A.6.7	Ice data	
A.7	Guidance on actions	
A.7.1	Applicability	
A.7.1 A.7.2	General	
A.7.2 A.7.3	Metocean actions	
A.7.3 A.7.4	Functional actions	
A.7.4 A.7.5		
1217.10	Displacement dependent actions	
A.7.6	Dynamic effects	
A.7.7	Earthquakes	
A.7.8	Ice actions	
A.7.9	Other actions	
A.8	Guidance on structural modelling	
A.8.1	Applicability	
A.8.2	Overall considerations	
A.8.3	Modelling the leg	
A.8.4	Modelling the hull	
A.8.5	Modelling the leg-to-hull connection	
A.8.6	Modelling the spudcan and foundation	
A.8.7	Mass modelling	
A.8.8	Application of actions	132
A.9	Guidance on foundations	136
A.9.1	Applicability	136
A.9.2	General	136
A.9.3	Geotechnical analysis of independent leg foundations	136
A.9.4	Other considerations	
A.10	Guidance on structural response	
A.10.1	Applicability	
A.10.2	General considerations	
A.10.3	Types of analyses and associated methods	
A.10.4	Common parameters	
A.10.5	Storm analysis	
A.10.6	Fatigue analysis	
A.10.7	Earthquake analysis	
A.10.7 A.10.8	Ice	
	Accidental situations	
	Accidental situations	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A.11	Guidance on long-term applications	
	Applicability	
	Assessment data	
A.11.3	Special requirements	233

A.11.4	Survey requirements	237
A.12	Guidance on structural strength	238
A.12.1	Applicability	238
A.12.2	Classification of member cross-sections	240
A.12.3	Section properties of non-circular prismatic members	247
A.12.4	Effects of axial force on bending moment	253
A.12.5	Strength of tubular members	256
A.12.6	Strength of non-circular prismatic members	263
A.12.7	Assessment of joints	
A.13	Guidance on acceptance checks	278
Annex E	(normative) Summary of partial action and partial resistance factors	279
Annex (C (informative) Additional information on structural modelling and response analysis	281
C.1	Guidance on 8.5 — Modelling the leg-to-hull connections	
C.2	Guidance on A.10.5.3.4 — Methods for determining the MPME	
C.2.1	Guidance on the first method of Table A.10.5-1 — Fitting Weibull distributions to	202
0.2.1	the results of a number of time domain simulations to determine responses at the	
	required probability level and average the results	282
C.2.2	Guidance on the second method of Table A.10.5-1: Fitting Gumbel distribution to	
	histogram of absolute maximum responses from a number of time domain	
	simulations to determine responses at required probability level	284
C.2.3	Guidance on the third method of Table A.10.5-1 — Application of Winterstein's	0.
0.2.0	Hermite polynomial method to the results of time domain simulation(s)	286
C.2.4	Guidance on the fourth method of Table A.10.5-1: Application of drag-inertia	
0.2.1	method to determine the base shear and overturning moment DAF from time	
	domain simulation	. 288
	(informative) Foundations — Recommendations for the acquisition of site-specific geotechnical data	291
Annex E E.1	(informative) Foundations — Additional information and alternative approaches Guidance on A.9.3.2.2: Penetration in clays — Bearing capacity factors of Houlsby and Martin	
E.2	Guidance on A.9.3.2.4 — Penetration in silica sands	
E.3	Guidance on A.9.3.2.6.4 — Punch-through — Sand overlying clay — Further details	
LiJ	on alternate methods	306
E.4	Calculated foundation capacities approach	
E.4.1	General	
E.4.2	Background	
E.4.3	Suitable spudcan geometries	
E.4.4	Criterion for use of calculated foundation capacities	
E.4.5	Representative soil strength parameters	
E.4.6	Calculated foundation yield surface and fixity	
E.4.7	Bearing capacity check	
E.4.8	Sliding capacity check	
E.4.9	Spudcan-to-leg connection and spudcan structural integrity checks	
E.4.10	Precautions and considerations when adopting calculated foundation capacities	
E.4.10 E.5	Example of simplified free-field liquefaction assessment calculation method	
E.5.1	General	
E.5.1 E.5.2	Calculation of cyclic resistance ratio (λ_{CRR}) based on shear wave velocity	
E.5.2 E.5.3	Calculation of the cyclic resistance ratio (λ_{CRR}) based on CPT data	
E.5.4	Cyclic stress ratio (λ_{CSR}) calculation	
E.5.5	Ratio of cyclic resistance ratio to cyclic shear stress ratio	
	•	
	(informative) Guidance on Clause A.12 — Structural strength	
F.1	Guidance on A.12.6.2.4 — Axial compressive column buckling strength	323

F.2	Guidance on A.12.6.3.2 — Interaction formula approach — Determination of η	324
F.3	Guidance on A.12.6.3.3 — Interaction surface approach	325
Annex	G (informative) Contents list for typical site-specific assessment report	337
Annex	H (informative) Regional information	344
H.1	General	
H.2	Norway	344
H.2.1	Description of region	344
H.2.2	Technical requirements	344
H.2.4	Additional national requirements	347
H.3	US Gulf of Mexico	348
H.3.1	Description of region	348
H.3.2	Regulatory framework	348
H.3.3	Metocean conditions	349

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO 19905-1

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0aec3a6d-a9b7-498b-81ca-d7a6af5ea3b2/iso-19905-1

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 67, *Oil and gas industries including lower carbon energy*, Subcommittee SC 7, *Offshore structures*, in collaboration with the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) Technical Committee CEN/TC 12, *Oil and gas industries including lower carbon energy*, in accordance with the Agreement on technical cooperation between ISO and CEN (Vienna Agreement).

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO 19905-1:2016), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- updates regarding operations in arctic areas in: Scope, 5.1.5, Figure 5.2-1, 6.7, 7.2, 7.6, 7.8, 10.8, Table 10.3-1 and A.10.8, and added 8.8.9;
- need for Classification revised in Scope and expanded in 5.1.8;
- Clause 3 updated to align with 19900 and other sources. Further definitions added;
- added definitions of symbols for undrained shear strength in 4.1.2;
- added definitions of symbols for horizontal and moment capacity coefficients and cyclic degradation factors in 4.1.5;
- interaction with SSA-I explained in 5.1;

- exposure levels (in 5.5) revised to align with ISO 19900:2019;
- requirements and information on earthquake response analysis gathered in 10.7 and A.10.7 respectively and referenced from 8.6, 8.7, 8.8, A.8.6.3, A.8.7;
- 9.3, A.9.3.1.2, A.9.3.3.1 and A.9.4.1 expanded to include foundation capacities and stiffnesses based on strength parameters rather than applied preload. Clause E.4 added to address the former;
- clarifications of Step 2 foundation checks in 9.3.6;
- 9.4.6 on cyclic mobility expanded to address liquefaction and liquefaction-induced lateral flow and A.9.4.6 expanded accordingly;
- earthquake analysis requirements (in 10.7) revised; reference to 5.5.5 added and text moved from other clauses inserted;
- minor update to alternative analysis methods (see 10.10, formerly 10.9);
- minor clarifications in 13.2;
- clarified that the H_{max} to H_{srp} relationships in A.6.4.2.2 are defaults in the absence of site-specific data; the application of kinematics reduction in A.6.4.2.3 is no longer by means of wave height reduction;
- most probable peak enhancement factor in A.6.4.2.7 now given as a range;
- default current profile in A.6.4.3 revised;
- alternative wind profiles now permitted in A.6.4.6.2;
- added references to ISO 19901-10 and ISO 19901-8 in A.6.5.1.1;
- added reference to liquefaction-induced lateral flows in Table A.6.5-1;
- the requirements for the geotechnical report in A.6.5.1.5.3 have been revised and expanded especially in respect of shear strength;
- penetration in clays in A.9.3.2.2 updated to address strain rate dependency and strain softening;
- squeezing of clay in A.9.3.2.6.2 revised;
- punch-through for sand overlying clay in A.9.3.2.6.4 clarified and formula revised;
- major update to the ultimate vertical/horizontal/rotational capacity interaction function in A.9.3.3.2 for spudcans in sand and clay due to the addition of a caveat on the need to consider the effects of cyclic loading on foundation capacities;
- the effect of cyclic loading on the yield surface has been added in A.9.3.3.7; incorporates text that was in A.9.3.4.2.2;
- revised guidance on the selection of shear modulus for clay in A.9.3.4;
- Step 2a foundation capacity and sliding checks in A.9.3.6.4 revised and the figures corrected;
- guidance on Cyclic mobility in A.9.4.6 significantly expanded, and this clause now also addresses liquefaction and liquefaction-induced lateral flow;

- guidance on structural and foundation modelling expanded in A.10.7.3.2 with particular reference to modelling for earthquake response analysis;
- guidance on ice added in A.10.8;
- guidance in A.12.2.3.2 on non-circular prismatic member classification and in A.12.2.3.3 on reinforced components clarified in respect of slender components;
- sketch in Table 12.3-1 b) corrected;
- clarifications in Table A.12.4-1 and correction to formula in Figure A.12.4-1;
- guidance on strength of tubular members in A.12.5 updated to align with ISO 19902:2020 (combined axial and bending loading in A.12.5.3 of cosine interaction form instead of previous form using linear interaction) and simplified combined axial, bending, beam shear and torsion checks have been added;
- clarified calculation for *e* in A.12.6.2.3 on axial compressive local strength check;
- clarified F_v in A.12.6.2.5.4 on Class 4 slender-section bending moment strength;
- beam shear area formulations updated for chord cross sections updated in A.12.6.3.4;
- Table B-2: revised partial resistance factor for horizontal foundation capacity for total stress (clay/undrained) and added partial resistance factors for vertical-horizontal foundation bearing capacity when considering material factored representative soil strength and for calculated foundation capacities.
- corrections to formulae in Figure C.2.4-1, "The drag-inertia method including DAF scaling factor";
- Figure E.1-1 corrected;
- https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0aec3a6d-a9b7-498b-81ca-d7a6af5ea3b2/iso-19905-
- Figure E.3-1 b) corrected;
- added Clause E.4 on calculated foundation capacities approach;
- added Clause E.5 providing an example of a simplified free-field liquefaction assessment calculation method;
- Norway regional requirements in H.2 updated. H.2.2 Regulatory framework and H.2.4 Technical commentary deleted. Added new H.2.3 Technical requirements for jack-up rigs operating close to a permanent occupied installation.
- US Gulf of Mexico requirements (H.3) metocean data replaced by reference to hurricane data from API RP-2MET, 2019. General updates. Unoccupied post-evacuation case expanded.

A list of all parts in the ISO 19905 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

This document is one of the International Standards on offshore structures prepared by TC 67/SC 7 (i.e. ISO 19900, the ISO 19901 series, ISO 19902, ISO 19903, ISO 19904-1, the ISO 19905 series and ISO 19906).

NOTE 1 These are sometimes incorrectly referred to as the ISO 19900 series on offshore structures.

The International Standards on offshore structures prepared by TC 67/SC 7 address design requirements and assessments for all offshore structures used by the petroleum and natural gas industries worldwide. Through their application, the intention is to achieve reliability levels appropriate for attended and unattended offshore structures, regardless of the type of structure and the nature or combination of the materials used.

It is important to recognize that structural integrity is an overall concept comprising models for describing actions, structural analyses, design or assessment rules, safety elements, workmanship, quality control procedures and national requirements, all of which are mutually dependent. The modification of one aspect of design or assessment in isolation can disturb the balance of reliability inherent in the overall concept or structural system. The implications involved in modifications, therefore, must be considered in relation to the overall reliability of all offshore structural systems.

The International Standards on offshore structures prepared by TC 67/SC 7 are intended to provide wide latitude in the choice of structural configurations, materials and techniques without hindering innovation. Sound engineering judgment is, therefore, necessary in the use of these documents.

This document, which has been developed from the Society of Naval Architects and Marine Engineers (SNAME) Technical & Research Bulletin 5-5A (2002)^[170], states the general principles and basic requirements for the site-specific assessment of mobile jack-ups; it is intended to be used for site-specific assessment and not for jack-up design.

NOTE 2 For the exposure level 1 (L1) assessment and, where appropriate, the exposure level 2 (L2) assessment prior to evacuation being effected, this document requires the use of 50 year independent or 100 year joint probability metocean extremes, together with associated partial action factors. It is based on extensive benchmarking and best practice in the international community.

Site-specific assessment is normally carried out when it is intended to install an existing jack-up unit at a specific site. The assessment is not intended to provide a full evaluation of the jack-up; it assumes that aspects not addressed herein have been addressed using other practices and standards at the design stage. In some instances, the original design of all or part of the structure could be in accordance with other International Standards on offshore structures prepared by TC 67/SC 7, and in some cases, different practices or standards could have been applied.

The purpose of the site assessment is to demonstrate the adequacy of the jack-up and its foundations for the assessment situations and defined limit states, taking into account the consequences of failure. It is important that the results of a site-specific assessment be appropriately recorded and communicated to those persons required to know or act on the conclusions and recommendations. Alternative approaches to the site-specific assessment can be used, provided that they have been shown to give a level of structural reliability equivalent, or superior, to that implicit in this document.

Annex A provides background to and guidance on the use of this document. The clause numbering in Annex A is the same as in the main text in order to facilitate cross-referencing. ISO/TR 19905-2 provides additional background to some clauses and a detailed sample 'go-by' calculation.

NOTE 3 ISO/TR 19905-2:2012 is based on ISO 19905-1:2012. The second edition of ISO/TR 19905-2 will be based on this document.

Annex B summarizes the partial factors. Supplementary information is presented in Annexes C to G. Annex H presents regional information.

NOTE 4 The site-specific assessment (SSA) of a jack-up normally comprises the two parts: an elevated SSA (SSA-E), addressed in this document, and an installation and removal SSA (SSA-I), which is planned to be addressed in an International Standard as part of the ISO 19905 series.

In this document, the following verbal forms are used:

- "shall" indicates a requirement;
- "should" indicates a recommendation;
- "can" indicates a possibility or a capability;
- "may" indicates a permission.

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO 19905-1

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0aec3a6d-a9b7-498b-81ca-d7a6af5ea3b2/iso-19905-1