

Standard Practice for Determining the Inclusion or Second-Phase Constituent Content of Metals by Automatic Image Analysis¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E 1245; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

INTRODUCTION

This practice may be used to produce stereological measurements that describe the amount, number, size, and spacing of the indigenous inclusions (sulfides and oxides) in steels. The method may also be applied to assess inclusions in other metals or to assess any discrete second-phase constituent in any material.

1. Scope

1.1 This practice describes a procedure for obtaining stereological measurements that describe basic characteristics of the morphology of indigenous inclusions in steels and other metals using automatic image analysis. The practice can be applied to provide such data for any discrete second phase.

Note 1—Stereological measurement methods are used in this practice to assess the average characteristics of inclusions or other second-phase particles on a longitudinal plane-of-polish. This information, by itself, does not produce a three-dimensional description of these constituents in space as deformation processes cause rotation and alignment of these constituents in a preferred manner. Development of such information requires measurements on three orthogonal planes and is beyond the scope of this practice.

- 1.2 This practice specifically addresses the problem of producing stereological data when the features of the constituents to be measured make attainment of statistically reliable data difficult.
- 1.3 This practice deals only with the recommended test methods and nothing in it should be construed as defining or establishing limits of acceptability.
- 1.4 The measured values are stated in SI units, which are to be regarded as standard. Equivalent inch-pound values are in parentheses and may be approximate.
- 1.5 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

Current edition approved Oct. 10, 2000. Published March 2001. Originally published as E 1245 – 88. Last previous edition E 1245 – 95.

E 3 Methods of Preparation of Metallographic Specimens²

E 7 Terminology Relating to Metallography²

E 45 Test Methods for Determining the Inclusion Content of Steel²

E 768 Practice for Preparing and Evaluating Specimens for Automatic Inclusion Assessment of Steel²

3. Terminology

- 3.1 Definitions:
- 3.1.1 For definitions of terms used in this practice, see Terminology E 7.
 - 3.2 Symbols: Symbols:

 \bar{A} = the average area of inclusions or particles, μ m².

 $A_A = 00$ = the area fraction of the inclusion or constituent.

 A_i = the area of the detected feature.

 A_T = the measurement area (field area, mm²).

 H_T = the total projected length in the hot-working direction of the inclusion or constituent in the field, um.

 \bar{L} = the average length in the hot-working direction of the inclusion or constituent, μm .

 L_T = the true length of scan lines, pixel lines, or grid lines (number of lines times the length of the lines divided by the magnification), mm.

n = the number of fields measured.

 N_A = the number of inclusions or constituents of a given type per unit area, mm².

 N_i = the number of inclusions or constituent particles or the number of feature interceptions, in

 N_L = the number of interceptions of inclusions or constituent particles per unit length (mm) of scan lines, pixel lines, or grid lines.

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E04 on Metallography and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E04.14 on Quantitative Metallography.

² Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 03.01.

 PP_i = the number of detected picture points.

 PP_T = the total number of picture points in the field

= the standard deviation. = the volume fraction.

 $V_V \ ar{X}$ = the mean of a measurement. X_i = an individual measurement.

= the mean free path (μm) of the inclusion or constituent type perpendicular to the hot-

working direction.

 $\sum X$ = the sum of all of a particular measurement over

 $\sum X^2$ = the sum of all of the squares of a particular

measurement over n fields.

95 % CI = the 95 % confidence interval. % RA = the relative accuracy, %.

4. Summary of Practice

4.1 The indigenous inclusions or second-phase constituents in steels and other metals are viewed with a light microscope or a scanning electron microscope using a suitably prepared metallographic specimen. The image is detected using a television-type scanner tube (solid-state or tube camera) and displayed on a high resolution video monitor. Inclusions are detected and discriminated based on their gray-level intensity differences compared to each other and the unetched matrix. Measurements are made based on the nature of the discriminated picture point elements in the image.³ These measurements are made on each field of view selected. Statistical evaluation of the measurement data is based on the field-tofield or feature-to-feature variability of the measurements.

5. Significance and Use

- 5.1 This practice is used to assess the indigenous inclusions or second-phase constituents of metals using basic stereological procedures performed by automatic image analyzers.
- 5.2 This practice is not suitable for assessing the exogenous inclusions in steels and other metals. Because of the sporadic, unpredictable nature of the distribution of exogenous inclusions, other methods involving complete inspection, for example, ultrasonics, must be used to locate their presence. The exact nature of the exogenous material can then be determined by sectioning into the suspect region followed by serial, step-wise grinding to expose the exogenous matter for identification and individual measurement. Direct size measurement rather than application of stereological methods is employed.
- 5.3 Because the characteristics of the indigenous inclusion population vary within a given lot of material due to the influence of compositional fluctuations, solidification conditions and processing, the lot must be sampled statistically to assess its inclusion content. The largest lot sampled is the heat lot but smaller lots, for example, the product of an ingot, within the heat may be sampled as a separate lot. The sampling of a given lot must be adequate for the lot size and characteristics.
- 5.4 The practice is suitable for assessment of the indigenous inclusions in any steel (or other metal) product regardless of its

size or shape as long as enough different fields can be measured to obtain reasonable statistical confidence in the data. Because the specifics of the manufacture of the product do influence the morphological characteristics of the inclusions, the report should state the relevant manufacturing details, that is, data regarding the deformation history of the product.

- 5.5 To compare the inclusion measurement results from different lots of the same or similar types of steels, or other metals, a standard sampling scheme should be adopted such as described in Practice E 45.
- 5.6 The test measurement procedures are based on the statistically exact mathematical relationships of stereology⁴ for planar surfaces through a three-dimensional object examined using reflected light (see Note 1).
- 5.7 The orientation of the sectioning plane relative to the hot-working axis of the product will influence test results. In general, a longitudinally oriented test specimen surface is employed in order to assess the degree of elongation of the malleable (that is, deformable) inclusions.
- 5.8 Oxide inclusion measurements for cast metals, or for wrought sections that are not fully consolidated, may be biased by partial or complete detection of fine porosity or microshrinkage cavities and are not recommended. Sulfides can be discriminated from such voids in most instances and such measurements may be performed.
- 5.9 Results of such measurements may be used to qualify material for shipment according to agreed upon guidelines between purchaser and manufacturer, for comparison of different manufacturing processes or process variations, or to provide data for structure-property-behavior studies.

6. Interferences

- 6.1 Voids in the metal due to solidification, limited hot ductility, or improper hot working practices may be detected as oxides because their gray level range is similar to that of
- 6.2 Exogenous inclusions, if present on the plane-of-polish, will be detected as oxides and will bias the measurements of the indigenous oxides. Procedures for handling this situation are given in 12.5.9.
- 6.3 Improper polishing techniques that leave excessively large scratches on the surface, or create voids in or around inclusions, or remove part or all of the inclusions, or dissolve water-soluble inclusions, or create excessive relief will bias the measurement results.
- 6.4 Dust, pieces of tissue paper, oil or water stains, or other foreign debris on the surface to be examined will bias the measurement results.
- 6.5 If the programming of the movement of the automatic stage is improper so that the specimen moves out from under the objective causing detection of the mount or air (unmounted specimen), measurements will be biased.
- 6.6 Vibrations must be eliminated if they cause motion in the image.
 - 6.7 Dust in the microscope or camera system may produce

³ Vander Voort, G. F., "Image Analysis," Vol 10, 9th ed., Metals Handbook: Materials Characterization, ASM, Metals Park, OH, 1986, pp. 309-322.

⁴ Underwood, E. E., Quantitative Stereology, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, MA, 1970.



spurious indications that may be detected as inclusions. Consequently, the imaging system must be kept clean.

7. Apparatus

- 7.1 A reflected light microscope equipped with bright-field objectives of suitable magnifications is used to image the microstructure. The use of upright-type microscope allows for easier stage control when selecting field areas; however, the specimens will require leveling which can create artifacts, such as scratches, dust remnants and staining, on the polished surface (see 12.2.1). The use of inverted microscopes usually result in a more consistent focus between fields, thereby, requiring less focussing between fields and a more rapid completion of the procedure. A scanning electron microscope also may be used to image the structure.
- 7.2 A programmable automatic stage to control movement in the x and y directions without operator attention is recommended (but not mandatory) to prevent bias in field selection and to minimize operator fatigue.
- 7.3 An automatic focus device may also be employed if found to be reliable. Such devices may be unreliable when testing steels or metals with very low inclusion contents.
- 7.4 An automatic image analyzer with a camera of adequate sensitivity is employed to detect the inclusions, perform discrimination, and make measurements.
- 7.5 A computer is used to store and analyze the measurement data.
- 7.6 A printer is used to output the data and relevant identification/background information in a convenient format.
- 7.7 This equipment must be housed in a location relatively free of airborne dust. High humidity must be avoided as staining may occur; very low humidity must also be avoided as static electricity may damage electronic components. Vibrations, if excessive, must be isolated.

8. Sampling

- 8.1 In general, sampling procedures for heat lots or for product lots representing material from a portion of a heat lot are the same as described in Practice E 45 (Microscopical Methods) or as defined by agreements between manufacturers and users.
- 8.2 Characterization of the inclusions in a given heat lot, or a subunit of the heat lot, improves as the number of specimens tested increases. Testing of billet samples from the extreme top and bottom of the ingots (after discards are taken) will define worst conditions of oxides and sulfides. Specimens taken from interior billet locations will be more representative of the bulk of the material. Additionally, the inclusion content will vary with the ingot pouring sequence and sampling should test at least the first, middle and last ingot teemed. The same trends are observed in continuously cast steels. Sampling schemes must be guided by sound engineering judgment, the specific processing parameters, and producer-purchaser agreements.

9. Test Specimens

9.1 In general, test specimen orientation within the test lot is the same as described in Practice E 45 (Microscopical Methods). The plane-of-polish should be parallel to the hot-working axis and, most commonly, taken at the quarter-thickness

- location. Other test locations may also be sampled, for example, subsurface and center locations, as desired or required.
- 9.2 The surface to be polished should be large enough in area to permit measurement of at least 100 fields at the necessary magnification. Larger surface areas are beneficial whenever the product form permits. A minimum polished surface area of 160 mm² (0.25 in.²) is preferred.
- 9.3 Thin product forms can be sampled by placing a number of longitudinally oriented pieces in the mount so that the sampling area is sufficient.

10. Specimen Preparation

- 10.1 Metallographic specimen preparation must be carefully controlled to produce acceptable quality surfaces for image analysis. Guidelines and recommended practices are given in Methods E 3, and Practices E 45 and E 768.
- 10.2 The polishing procedure must not alter the true appearance of the inclusions on the plane-of-polish by producing excessive relief, pitting, cracking or pullout. Minor fine scratches, such as from a 1-µm diamond abrasive, do not usually interfere with inclusion detection but heavier scratches are to be avoided. Proper cleaning of the specimen is necessary. Use of automatic grinding and polishing devices is recommended.
- 10.3 Establishment of polishing practices should be guided by Practice E 768.
- 10.4 Inclusion retention is generally easier to accomplish if specimens are hardened. If inclusion retention is inadequate with annealed, normalized, or low hardness as-rolled specimens, they should be subjected to a standard heat treatment (hardening) cycle, appropriate for the grade. Because inclusion retention and cracking at carbides may be a problem for certain steels in the as-quenched condition, tempering is recommended; generally, a low tempering temperature, for example, 200–260°C (400–500°F), is adequate.
- 10.5 Mounting of specimens is not always required depending on their size and shape and the available equipment; or, if hand polishing is utilized for bulk specimens of convenient size and shape.
- 10.6 The polished surface area for mounted specimens should be somewhat greater than the area required for measurement to avoid edge interferences. Unmounted specimens generally should have a surface area much greater than required for measurement to facilitate leveling using the procedure described in 12.1.1.
- 10.7 Etching of specimens is not desired for inclusion assessment.

11. Calibration and Standardization

- 11.1 Use a stage micrometer to determine the size of the frame to calibrate the image analyzer and to determine the overall magnification of the system for each objective.
- 11.2 Follow the manufacturer's recommendations in adjusting the microscope light source and setting the correct level of illumination for the television pick-up camera.
- 11.3 The flicker method of switching back and forth between the inclusion image and the detected image is recommended to establish the correct setting of the gray-level threshold controls as described in 12.2.1. Inspection of the gray



level histogram of the microstructure can be used to define the gray level range and threshold settings for the inclusion or constituent types (see 12.2.1). These settings are verified by the flicker method.

12. Procedure

- 12.1 Setting Up the Microscope:
- 12.1.1 Place the specimen on the microscope stage so that the specimen surface is perpendicular to the optic axis. With an inverted-type microscope, simply place the polished face down on the stage plate and hold it in place with the stage clamps. With an upright-type microscope, place the specimen on a slide and level the surface using clay or plasticene between the specimen and slide. If tissue paper is placed between the specimen surface and the ram of the leveling press, small pieces of tissue paper may adhere to the surface during flattening and produce artifacts that affect measurements. In some cases, adherent tissue paper can be blown off the specimen surface. An alternative leveling procedure to avoid this problem is to place an aluminum or stainless steel ring form of appropriate diameter, that has been flattened slightly in a vise to an oval shape, between the specimen and the ram. If the specimen was mounted, the ring form will rest only on the surface of the mount. If the specimen is unmounted but with a surface area substantially greater than required for measurement, the ring form can rest on the outer edges of the specimen during leveling and thus not affect the measurement area. Some upright-type microscopes can be equipped with an autoleveling stage for mounted specimens.
- 12.1.2 For an image analyzer that uses the TV-raster lines to make intercept counts, align the specimen on the stage so that the longitudinal direction is parallel to the y direction of the stage and the inclusions are oriented vertically on the monitor screen. For a software-based system, the longitudinal direction of the specimen may be oriented parallel to either the x or y axis of the stage.
- 12.1.3 The microscope light source should be checked for correct alignment and the illumination intensity should be adjusted to the level required by the television scanner tube.
- 12.1.4 Adjust the magnification of the system to provide adequate resolution of the inclusions with the largest possible field size. Choice of the optimum magnification is a compromise between resolution and field-to-field measurement variability. Higher magnification objectives have higher numerical aperture ratings and provide improved resolution. However, as magnification increases, the field-to-field measurement variability increases, which increases the standard deviation of the measurement. Also, as the magnification increases, the field area decreases. For example, if the magnification is doubled, four times as many fields must be measured to cover the same test area. In general, the lower the inclusion content, the higher the required magnification, and vice-versa. Intermediate magnification objectives (for example, $32\times$, $40\times$, $50\times$, $60\times$, and 80×) provide the best combination of resolution and field area. Avoid use of lower magnification objectives that will not permit detection of the smaller inclusions. Use the same objective for all measurements of specimens within a lot. It is recommended that the same objective be used for all measurements of specimens with the same level of inclusion content,

for example, $32\times$ to $50\times$ objectives for grades with large amounts of inclusions, such as free-machining grades, and $50\times$ to $80\times$ objectives for vacuum degassed, ladle-refined, or double-melt grades.

- 12.1.5 Select the optimum magnification and adjust the light source for best resolution. If necessary, enable the shading correction adjustment for chosen objective.
 - 12.2 Setting the Densitometer:
- 12.2.1 Gray-level threshold settings are selected to permit independent detection of sulfides and oxides, or a specific discrete second phase, using the "flicker method" of switching back and forth between the inclusion image and the thresholded image. The threshold limits are set for the oxides and the sulfides so that the inclusions are detected without enlargement of the larger inclusions. In some instances, the threshold settings may require a minor compromise between detection of the smallest inclusions and overdetection of the largest inclusions. The chosen threshold settings should be tried on inclusions in a number of fields before beginning the analysis. The threshold range for oxides is close to the black end of the reflectance scale while the range for sulfides is somewhat higher. An alternate approach to establish the threshold settings is to develop a gray-level reflectance histogram of the inclusion or constituent types present, as well as the matrix (usually in the as-polished condition). The histogram is used to identify the start (darkest gray) and end (lightest gray) of the gray level range for each inclusion or constituent type and the intersection gray level for two inclusions or constituent types with overlapping gray level ranges. Verify these settings by use of the flicker method for several randomly selected fields.
- 12.2.2 For steels with very low inclusion contents and very small inclusions, it may not be possible to reliably separate oxides from sulfides. In such cases, detect all inclusions without attempting a separation. For other metals containing inclusions of one or more types, adjust the threshold settings to separate them by type or collectively as required by the particular application.
 - 12.3 Stage Movement:
- 12.3.1 The stage controls are set to move the specimen in a square or rectangular pattern without running off the specimen surface. Depending on the area of the plane-of-polish and the number of fields to be measured, the fields can be aligned contiguously or spaced apart somewhat. Field areas should not be overlapped. If the fields are aligned contiguously over a square or rectangular area, after examination of the fields, the detected features in these fields can be added together to minimize edge correction problems.
- 12.3.2 For a given inclusion content, the statistical precision of the measurements improves as the area measured increases. The measured area depends on the size of each field, which decreases with increasing magnification, and the number of fields measured. The number of fields measured should be based on the relative accuracy of the measurements as defined in 13.4 or by agreement between producer and purchaser.
- 12.3.3 When the sections are stacked within a mount to produce the desired measurement area, stage movement must be carefully adjusted so that the interface between two adjacent specimens is not included within the measurement field.