

# DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

## ISO/ASTM DIS 51818

ISO/TC 85

Secretariat: AFNOR

Voting begins on:  
2019-12-17

Voting terminates on:  
2020-03-10

---

### Practice for dosimetry in an electron beam facility for radiation processing at energies between 80 and 300 keV

*Pratique de la dosimétrie dans une installation de traitement par irradiation utilisant un faisceau d'électrons d'énergies comprises entre 80 et 300 keV*

ICS: 17.240

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
(standards.iteh.ai)  
Full standard:  
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/22d64357-d527-4eed-ad83-564b197ee452/iso-astm-prf-51818>

THIS DOCUMENT IS A DRAFT CIRCULATED FOR COMMENT AND APPROVAL. IT IS THEREFORE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AND MAY NOT BE REFERRED TO AS AN INTERNATIONAL STANDARD UNTIL PUBLISHED AS SUCH.

IN ADDITION TO THEIR EVALUATION AS BEING ACCEPTABLE FOR INDUSTRIAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, COMMERCIAL AND USER PURPOSES, DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS MAY ON OCCASION HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF THEIR POTENTIAL TO BECOME STANDARDS TO WHICH REFERENCE MAY BE MADE IN NATIONAL REGULATIONS.

RECIPIENTS OF THIS DRAFT ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT, WITH THEIR COMMENTS, NOTIFICATION OF ANY RELEVANT PATENT RIGHTS OF WHICH THEY ARE AWARE AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

This document is circulated as received from the committee secretariat.



Reference number  
ISO/ASTM DIS 51818:2019(E)

© ISO 2019

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
(standards.iteh.ai)  
Full standard:  
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/22d64357-d527-4eed-ad83-564b197ee452/iso-astm-prf-51818>



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO/ASTM International 2019

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester. In the United States, such requests should be sent to ASTM International.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

ASTM International  
100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700  
West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, USA  
Phone: +610 832 9634  
Fax: +610 832 9635  
Email: [khooper@astm.org](mailto:khooper@astm.org)  
Website: [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org)

## Explanatory Material

This international standard is part of the project between ISO and ASTM International to develop and maintain a group of ISO/ASTM dosimetry standards for radiation processing.

ISO/ASTM 51818 (Ed. 3), published in 2013, was balloted by ISO for the 5-year Systematic Review in 2018. Although the Systematic Review, which closed August 13, 2018 recommended confirmation, it was decided at the Review Meeting held in Budapest in October 2018, that the standard required a major revision to update and improve the standard. The standard is now being balloted as an ISO DIS.

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
(standards.iteh.ai)  
Full standard:  
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/22d64357-d527-4eed-ad83-564b197ee452/iso-astm-prf-51818>

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
(standards.iteh.ai)

Full standard:  
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/22d64357-d527-4eed-ad83-564b197ee452/iso-astm-prf-51818>

## Contents

	Page
1 Scope .....	1
2 Referenced documents .....	2
3 Terminology .....	2
4 Significance and use .....	3
5 Selection and calibration of the dosimetry system .....	3
6 Installation and operational qualification .....	4
7 Performance qualification .....	5
8 Routine process control .....	5
9 Measurement uncertainty .....	5
10 Documentation .....	5
11 Keywords .....	6
<b>Annexes</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>Figure A1.1</b> Example of measurement of dose as function of average beam current $I$ , conveying speed $V$ and beam width $W_p$ . Measured at an electron accelerator with beam energy 110 keV. $K = 216.57 \text{ (kGy} \cdot \text{m}^2) / (\text{A} \cdot \text{s})$ .....	<b>7</b>
<b>Figure A1.2</b> Example of beam width measurement (3 measurements and their average are shown). Beam width was measured on a low-energy accelerator installed in an electron beam tunnel for an aseptic filling line (3) .....	<b>7</b>
<b>Figure A1.3</b> Example of beam width measurement at a low-energy electron accelerator facility for curing purpose .....	<b>8</b>
<b>Figure A1.4</b> Calculated depth-dose distribution in water (specific density $1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) .....	<b>8</b>
<b>Figure A1.5</b> Calculated depth-dose distribution in water (specific density $1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) .....	<b>9</b>
<b>Figure A1.6</b> Methods for measurement of depth-dose distribution .....	<b>9</b>
<b>Figure A1.7</b> Examples of measurements of depth-dose distributions at the same electron beam facility, but at different beam energies .....	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure A2.1</b> Apparent dose measured with three dosimeters (18 $\mu\text{m}$ RCD film dosimeter ( $1.12 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ); 50 $\mu\text{m}$ RCD film dosimeter ( $1.15 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ); 130 $\mu\text{m}$ alanine film dosimeter ( $1.36 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) all calibrated by irradiation at a 10 MeV electron accelerator, and now irradiated at a 116 keV electron accelerator. ....	<b>11</b>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. International Standards are drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

ASTM International is one of the world's largest voluntary standards development organizations with global participation from affected stakeholders. ASTM technical committees follow rigorous due process balloting procedures.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and ASTM International shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by ASTM Committee E61 Radiation Processing and by Technical Committee ISO/TC 85, nuclear energy, nuclear technologies and radiological protection.

This fourth edition cancels and replaces the third edition (ISO/ASTM 51818:2013), which has been technically revised.

## ISO/ASTM DIS 51818:2019(E)



# Standard Practice for Dosimetry in an Electron Beam Facility for Radiation Processing at Energies Between 80 and 300 keV<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation ISO/ASTM DIS 51818; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision.

## INTRODUCTION

Low energy electron beams, typically 80 – 300 keV, are used in several industrial processes, from curing of prints and crosslinking of plastic foils to surface sterilization of containers for pharmaceuticals and medical devices. These different applications are addressed through IQ, OQ, PQ and routine dose monitoring, although radiation curing and crosslinking might only require that reproducibility of dose delivery during execution of the process can be demonstrated.

This standard practice describes the dose measurements that might be required for full documentation of a low energy electron beam sterilization process. The dose measurement requirements for sterilization using low energy electron beams are derived from the international standard for radiation sterilization ISO 11137-1.

Not all low energy e-beam applications require dose measurement documentation with traceability to national standards. For radiation curing or crosslinking processes, for example, it might not be a requirement that calibration of the dosimetry system is established and maintained with traceability to national or international standards. The user must decide whether or not measurement traceability is required for the specific irradiation process, and it is the user who therefore accepts responsibility for reproducibility and documentation of the process.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This practice covers dosimetric procedures to be followed in installation qualification, operational qualification and performance qualification (IQ, OQ, PQ), and routine processing at electron beam facilities to ensure that the product has been treated with an acceptable range of absorbed doses. Other procedures related to IQ, OQ, PQ, and routine product processing that may influence absorbed dose in the product are also discussed.

1.2 The electron beam energy range covered in this practice is between 80 and 300 keV, generally referred to as low energy.

1.3 Dosimetry is only one component of a total quality assurance program for an irradiation facility. Other measures may be required for specific applications such as medical device sterilization and food preservation.

<sup>1</sup> This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E61 on Radiation Processing and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E61.03 on Dosimetry Application, and is also under the jurisdiction of ISO/TC 85/WG 3.

Current edition approved by ASTM April 1, 2019. Published XXXX. Originally published as ASTM E1818–96. The present Fourth Edition of International Standard ISO/ASTM 51818:2019(E) is a major revision of the Third Edition of ISO/ASTM 51818:2013(E).

1.4 Other specific ISO and ASTM standards exist for the irradiation of food and the radiation sterilization of health care products. For the radiation sterilization of health care products, see ISO 11137-1. In those areas covered by ISO 11137-1, that standard takes precedence. For food irradiation, see ISO 14470. Information about effective or regulatory dose limits for food products is not within the scope of this practice (see ASTM F1355 and F1356).

1.5 This document is one of a set of standards that provides recommendations for properly implementing dosimetry in radiation processing, and describes a means of achieving compliance with the requirements of ISO/ASTM 52628. It is intended to be read in conjunction with ISO/ASTM 52628.

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.7 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the*



Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.

## 2. Referenced documents

### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

**E2232** Guide for Selection and Use of Mathematical Methods for Calculating Absorbed Dose in Radiation Processing Applications

**E3083** Terminology Relating to Radiation Processing: Dosimetry and Applications

**F1355** Guide for Irradiation of Fresh Agricultural Produce as a Phytosanitary Treatment

**F1356** Guide for Irradiation of Fresh, Frozen or Processed Meat and Poultry to Control Pathogens and Other Microorganisms

### 2.2 ISO/ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

**51261** Practice for Calibration of Routine Dosimetry Systems for Radiation Processing

**51275** Practice for Use of a Radiochromic Film Dosimetry System

**51607** Practice for Use of an Alanine-EPR Dosimetry System

**51649** Practice for Dosimetry in an Electron Beam Facility for Radiation Processing at Energies between 300 keV and 25 MeV

**51650** Practice for Use of a Cellulose Triacetate Dosimetry System

**51707** Guide for Estimating Uncertainties in Dosimetry for Radiation Processing

**52303** Guide for Absorbed-Dose Mapping in Radiation Processing Facilities

**52628** Practice for Dosimetry in Radiation Processing

**52701** Guide for Performance Characterization of Dosimeters and Dosimetry Systems for Use in Radiation Processing

### 2.3 International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU) Report:<sup>3</sup>

**ICRU Report 80** Dosimetry Systems for Use in Radiation Processing

**ICRU Report 85a** Fundamental Quantities and Units for Ionizing Radiation

### 2.4 ISO Standards:<sup>4</sup>

**11137-1:2006** Sterilization of health care products – Radiation – Part 1: Requirements for development, validation and routine control of a sterilization process for medical devices

**14470:2011** Food irradiation – Requirements for the development, validation and routine control of the ionizing radiation used for the treatment of food

**17025:2017** General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories

**12749-4** Nuclear energy, nuclear technologies, and radiological protection – Vocabulary – Part 4: Dosimetry for radiation processing

### 2.5 Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology (JCGM)

#### Reports:

**JCGM 100:2008**, **GUM 1995**, with minor corrections, Evaluation of measurement data – Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement<sup>5</sup>

**JCGM 200:2012**, **VIM** International vocabulary of metrology – Basic and general concepts and associated terms<sup>6</sup>

## 3. Terminology

### 3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 *absorbed dose (D)*—quotient of  $d\bar{\epsilon}$  by  $dm$ , where  $d\bar{\epsilon}$  is the mean energy imparted by ionizing radiation to matter of incremental mass  $dm$  (ICRU-85a), thus

$$D = d\bar{\epsilon} / dm$$

3.1.1.1 *Discussion*—The SI unit of absorbed dose is the gray (Gy), where 1 gray is equivalent to the absorption of 1 joule per kilogram of the specified material (1 Gy = 1 J / kg).

3.1.1.2 *Discussion*—Throughout this practice, “absorbed dose” is referred to as “dose”.

3.1.2 *approved laboratory*—laboratory that is a recognized national metrology institute; or has been formally accredited to ISO/IEC 17025; or has a quality system consistent with the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025.

3.1.3 *average beam current*—time-averaged electron beam current.

3.1.4 *beam width*—dimension of the irradiation zone perpendicular to the direction of product movement, at a specified distance from the accelerator window.

3.1.5 *calibration curve*—expression of the relation between indication and corresponding measured **quantity value** (VIM).

3.1.5.1 *Discussion*—In radiation processing standards, the term ‘**dosimeter response**’ is generally used for ‘indication.’

3.1.6 *depth-dose distribution*—variation of absorbed dose with depth from the incident surface of a material exposed to a given radiation.

3.1.7 *dosimeter*—device that, when irradiated, exhibits a quantifiable change that can be related to absorbed dose in a given material using appropriate measurement instruments and procedures.

3.1.8 *dosimetry system*—interrelated elements used for measuring absorbed dose, consisting of dosimeters, measurement instruments and their associated reference standards, and procedures for the system’s use.

3.1.9 *electron beam energy*—kinetic energy of the accelerated electrons in the beam.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org), or contact ASTM Customer Service at [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org). For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard’s Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

<sup>3</sup> Available from the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements, 7910 Woodmont Ave., Suite 800, Bethesda, MD 20814, U.S.A.

<sup>4</sup> Available from International Organization for Standardization (ISO), ISO Central Secretariat, BIBC II, Chemin de Blandonnet 8, CP 401, 1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland, <http://www.iso.org>.

<sup>5</sup> Document produced by Working Group 1 of the Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology (JCGM WG1), Available free of charge at the BIPM website (<http://www.bipm.org>).

<sup>6</sup> Document produced by Working Group 2 of the Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology (JCGM WG2), Available free of charge at the BIPM website (<http://www.bipm.org>).





3.1.10 *measurement uncertainty*—non-negative parameter characterizing the dispersion of the quantity values being attributed to a measurand, based on the information used (VIM).

3.1.11 *metrological traceability*—property of a measurement result whereby the result can be related to a reference through a documented unbroken chain of calibrations, each contributing to the measurement uncertainty (VIM).

3.1.12 *reference material*—homogeneous material of known radiation absorption and scattering properties used to establish characteristics of the irradiation process, such as scan uniformity, depth-dose distribution, and reproducibility of dose delivery.

3.1.13 *routine monitoring position*—position where absorbed dose is monitored during routine processing to ensure that the product is receiving the absorbed dose specified for the process.

3.1.14 *uncertainty budget*—statement of a measurement uncertainty, of the components of that measurement uncertainty, and of their calculation and combination (VIM).

3.1.14.1 *Discussion*—An uncertainty budget should include the measurement model, estimates, and measurement uncertainties associated with the quantities in the measurement model, covariances, type of applied probability density functions, degrees of freedom, type of evaluation of measurement uncertainty, and any coverage factor.

### 3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.2.1  $D_{\mu}$ —absorbed dose to water in the first micrometer of water equivalent absorbing material (1).<sup>7</sup>

3.2.1.1 *Discussion*— $D_{\mu}$  is a term used by an approved laboratory to specify reported surface dose values of transfer standard dosimeters based on adjustments made to account for user site specific calibration irradiation conditions.

3.2.2 *linear process rate*—product length irradiated per unit time to deliver a given dose.

3.2.3 *mass process rate*—product mass irradiated per unit time to deliver a given dose.

3.2.4 *area process rate*—product area irradiated per unit time to deliver a given dose.

NOTE 1—Definitions of other terms used in this standard that pertain to radiation measurement and dosimetry may be found in ISO/ASTM 52628, ASTM Terminology E3083, and ISO 12749-4. Definitions in these documents are compatible with ICRU Report 85a, and therefore, may be used as alternative references. Where appropriate, definitions used in this standard have been derived from, and are consistent with, general metrological definitions given in the VIM.

## 4. Significance and use

4.1 A variety of irradiation processes use low energy electron beam facilities to modify product characteristics. Dosimetry requirements, the number and frequency of measurements, and record keeping requirements will vary depending on the type and end use of the products being processed. Dosimetry is

often used in conjunction with physical, chemical, or biological testing of the product, to help verify specific treatment parameters.

NOTE 2—In many cases dosimetry results can be related to other quantitative product properties; for example, gel fraction, melt flow, elastic modulus, molecular weight distribution, or degree of cure.

4.2 Radiation processing specifications usually include a minimum or maximum absorbed dose limit, or both. For a given application these limits may be set by government regulation or by limits inherent to the product itself.

4.3 Critical operating parameters must be controlled to obtain reproducible dose distribution in processed materials. The electron beam energy, beam current, beam width and process line speed (conveying speed) affect absorbed dose.

4.4 Before any electron beam facility can be routinely utilized, it must be characterized to determine the relationship between dose to product and the main operating parameters. This involves testing of the process equipment, calibrating the measuring instruments and the dosimetry system, and demonstrating the ability to consistently deliver the required dose within predetermined specifications.

4.5 In order to establish metrological traceability for a dosimetry system and to measure doses with a known level of uncertainty, it is necessary to calibrate the dosimetry system under irradiation conditions that are consistent with those encountered in routine use. For example, a dosimetry system calibration conducted using penetrating gamma radiation or high energy electrons may result in significant dose measurement errors when the dosimetry system is used at low energy electron beam facilities. Details of calibration are discussed in Section 5.

## 5. Selection and calibration of the dosimetry system

### 5.1 Selection of Dosimetry Systems:

5.1.1 ISO/ASTM 52628 identifies requirements for selection of dosimetry systems. For dosimetry at low-energy electron beam facilities consideration should specifically be given to the limited range of such electrons which might give rise to significant dose gradients through the thickness of the dosimeter. By choosing thin film dosimeters this problem can be minimized (1).

5.1.2 When selecting a dosimetry system, consideration should be given to effects of influence quantities on the response of the dosimeter (see ISO/ASTM 52701). One such influence quantity might be irradiation atmosphere, and some low-energy accelerator applications involve irradiation in oxygen-free conditions which might influence dosimeter response.

### 5.2 Calibration of the Dosimetry System:

5.2.1 The dosimetry system shall be calibrated prior to use and at intervals thereafter in accordance with the user's documented procedure that specifies details of the calibration process and quality assurance requirements. General conditions for calibration methods are given in ISO/ASTM 51261.

NOTE 3—For some applications it might not be a requirement that calibration of the dosimetry system is established and maintained with traceability to national or international standards. The user must decide

<sup>7</sup> The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the bibliography at the end of this standard.



whether or not measurement traceability is required for the specific irradiation process, and it is the user who therefore accepts responsibility for reproducibility and documentation of the process. For more information on relative dose measurements see [Annex A4](#).

5.2.2 The calibration irradiation may be performed by irradiating the dosimeters at (a) an approved laboratory or (b) an irradiator where the normal irradiation conditions are used for calibration irradiation of routine dosimeters together with transfer standard dosimeters issued and analyzed by an approved laboratory. In case of option (a), the resulting calibration curve shall be verified for the actual conditions of use (see [ISO/ASTM 51261](#)). The same applies for option (b) if irradiation conditions different from the actual production conditions have been used for the calibration irradiation.

NOTE 4—While 5.2.2 is valid for most dosimeter calibration irradiations, it must be recognized that the irradiation of various dosimeters with low energy electrons (less than 300 keV) will likely lead to dose gradients through the thickness of the dosimeter. When the dosimeter response is measured, this will lead to a dose value (an apparent dose,  $D_{app}$ ) that is based on the assumption that there are no dose gradients within the dosimeter. However, if dose gradients exist within the dosimeter, then for a given set of irradiation conditions, the apparent dose will depend on the thickness of the dosimeter, i.e., dosimeters with different thickness will measure different apparent doses. One solution to overcome this problem is that all dose measurements are specified as dose to water in the first micrometer of the water equivalent absorbing material. This is given the symbol  $D_w$  and is independent of the dosimeter thickness (1). [Annex A2](#) describes the application of this principle for dose measurements carried out during calibration.

NOTE 5—Dose gradient within a dosimeter is most pronounced in dosimeters with thicknesses that represent a significant fraction of the electron range (see [Fig. A2.1](#)). Using thin dosimeters, e.g. in the order of 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , will reduce the gradient and hence the difference between dose at the front and the back of the dosimeter.

5.3 *Measurement Instrument Calibration and Performance Verification*—For the calibration of the instruments, and for the verification of instrument performance between calibrations, [ISO/ASTM 51261](#), the relevant ISO/ASTM or ASTM standard for the dosimetry system, and/or instrument-specific operating manuals should be consulted.

## 6. Installation and operational qualification

6.1 Installation qualification (IQ) is carried out to demonstrate that the irradiation equipment and any ancillary items have been supplied and installed in accordance with their specifications.

6.1.1 IQ typically involves measurement of depth-dose distribution and dose uniformity that can be used to calculate estimates of process throughput to verify the equipment performance specifications.

NOTE 6—The dosimetric measurements to be carried out during IQ depend on the agreement between supplier and user of the facility. They might be similar to the ones carried out during Operational Qualification (OQ).

NOTE 7—A dosimetry system calibration curve obtained by dosimeter irradiation at another facility might be used for these dose measurements, but in order to ensure that the dose measurements are valid, the calibration curve must be verified for the actual conditions of use.

6.2 Operational qualification (OQ) is carried out to characterize the performance of the irradiation equipment with respect to reproducibility of dose to product. This is achieved through irradiator dose mapping.

NOTE 8—Some applications may not require OQ dose measurements to be traceable to a national standard (see [Annex A4](#)).

NOTE 9—Dose measurements for OQ may have to be carried out using a dosimetry system calibration curve obtained by irradiation at another facility. This calibration curve should be verified, and corrections applied to the OQ dose measurements as needed.

NOTE 10—Distance between beam window and dosimeter should be specified for dose measurements carried out during OQ.

6.2.1 The performance of the low-energy electron beam facility depends primarily on the electron beam energy. It might therefore be necessary to carry out separate OQ measurements for each energy selected for the operation of the facility.

6.2.2 The relevant dosimetric OQ measurements are described in more detail in [Annex A1](#). They typically include the following:

6.2.2.1 *Dose as Function of Average Beam Current, Beam Width and Conveying Speed*—Dose to the product irradiated in an electron beam facility is proportional to average beam current ( $I$ ), inversely proportional to conveying speed ( $V$ ), and inversely proportional to beam width ( $W_b$ ). The last relationship is valid for product that is conveyed through the beam zone perpendicular to the beam width. This is expressed as:

$$D = (K \cdot I) / (V \cdot W_b) \quad (1)$$

where:

$D$  = absorbed dose (Gy),

$I$  = average beam current (A),

$V$  = conveying speed ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ),

$W_b$  = beam width (m), and

$K$  = slope of the straight line relationship in [Eq 1](#) ( $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{m}^2 / (\text{A} \cdot \text{s})$ ).

This straight-line relationship shall be determined for each energy selected for the operation of the facility. In order to determine the relationship, dose shall be measured at a specific location using a number of selected parameter sets of beam current, conveying speed and beam width to cover the operating range of the facility.

NOTE 11—Deviations from the straight-line relationship should be investigated.

6.2.2.2 *Beam Width*—The beam width is measured by irradiating dosimeter strips or discrete dosimeters at selected intervals over the full beam width. Whenever possible, dosimeters should be placed beyond the expected beam width to identify the limits of the full beam width.

6.2.2.3 *Depth-dose Distribution*—The depth-dose distribution is measured using a stack of thin dosimeters or by placing a dosimeter strip under thin layers of plastic foils.

NOTE 12—Depth-dose distribution might be calculated using mathematical modeling (see [ASTM E2232](#)). Such calculations might be useful in supporting and understanding measurements.

6.2.2.4 *Dose Distribution on Reference Material*—The distribution of dose on or in a reference material is measured by placing dosimeters on the surface of a reference material or within a reference material.

6.2.2.5 *Process Interruption*—A process interruption can be caused by, for example, failure of beam current delivery or by the conveyor stopping. The effect of a process interruption on