
Elektronsko izdajanje računov - 5. del: Smernice za uporabo v sektorju ali državi v povezavi z EN 16931-1, metodologija za uporabo v realnem okolju

Electronic invoicing - Part 5: Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment

Elektronische Rechnungsstellung - Teil 5: Leitfaden über die Verwendung von branchen- oder länderspezifischen Erweiterungen der EN 16931-1 einschließlich einer im realen Umfeld einzusetzenden Methodik

Facturation électronique - Partie 5: Lignes directrices relatives à l'utilisation des extensions de secteur ou de pays conjointement avec l'EN 16931-1, avec une méthodologie à appliquer dans l'environnement réel

<https://standards.iteh.ai/>
<https://standards.iteh.ai/document/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-16931-5-2025>
Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: EN 16931-5

ICS:

03.100.20	Trgovina. Komerzialna dejavnost. Trženje	Trade. Commercial function. Marketing
35.240.63	Uporabniške rešitve IT v trgovini	IT applications in trade

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 16931-5:2025 **en,fr,de**

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION
SPÉCIFICATION TECHNIQUE
TECHNISCHE SPEZIFIKATION

FINAL DRAFT
FprCEN/TS 16931-5

April 2025

ICS 35.240.20; 35.240.63

Will supersede CEN/TR 16931-5:2017

English Version

**Electronic invoicing - Part 5: Guidelines on the use of
sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN
16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real
environment**

Facturation électronique - Partie 5: Lignes directrices
relatives à l'utilisation des extensions de secteur ou de
pays conjointement avec l'EN 16931-1, avec une
méthodologie à appliquer dans l'environnement réel

Elektronische Rechnungsstellung - Teil 5: Leitfaden
über die Verwendung von branchen- oder
länderspezifischen Erweiterungen der EN 16931-1
einschließlich einer im realen Umfeld einzusetzenden
Methodik

This draft Technical Specification is submitted to CEN members for Vote. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 434.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Warning : This document is not a Technical Specification. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a Technical Specification.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

Contents

Page

European foreword.....	4
Introduction	6
1 Scope	8
2 Normative references	8
3 Terms and definitions	8
4 The challenge of interoperability.....	12
4.1 Interoperability through standardization	12
4.2 The challenge.....	12
5 Extension Components.....	13
5.1 The benefits of Extension Components.....	13
5.2 Assessing Extension Components	13
5.3 Developing and publishing Extension Components	13
5.4 Maintenance cycle.....	16
5.5 Importance for Stakeholders.....	17
6 Extension Specifications.....	18
6.1 General.....	18
6.2 The purpose of Extension Specifications.....	18
6.3 Who will develop Extension Specifications and why?	18
6.4 Using the Scope for Extensions.....	19
6.5 What may be specified in an Extension Specification.....	19
6.6 Documentation of Extension Specifications.....	22
6.7 Mapping to syntax.....	22
6.8 Governance of Extension Specifications	23
6.9 Identification of Extension Specifications.....	23
7 Claiming Conformance	24
7.1 Compliance vs Conformance	24
7.2 Conforming objects.....	24
7.3 Conformance of Extension Specifications	24
7.3.1 General.....	24
7.3.2 Core Conformant	24
7.3.3 Partly Core Conformant.....	25
7.3.4 Comparison of Core Conformance and Partly Core Conformance.....	25
7.4 Determining Conformance	26
7.5 Conformance of an Extended Invoice Instance Document.....	26
8 The process of creating an Extension Specification	27
8.1 How parties agree on using an Extension Specification.....	27
8.2 Statement of objectives.....	27
8.3 Gathering of business requirements.....	27
8.4 Mapping against the Core Invoice Model.....	27
8.5 Evaluate what is currently in use	27
8.5.1 General.....	27
8.5.2 Using Extension Components	27

8.6	Re-evaluate requirements and/or re-evaluate processes.....	32
8.7	List requirements	33
8.8	Define required changes	33
8.9	Create specification	33
8.10	Evaluate type of changes	34
8.11	Publish Extension Specification	34
8.11.1	General	34
8.11.2	How end users use the Registry.....	36
8.12	Bind to syntax.....	37
	Bibliography	38

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 16931-5:2025](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/94b123e1-5fed-4977-bedf-98133640df1e/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-16931-5-2025)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/94b123e1-5fed-4977-bedf-98133640df1e/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-16931-5-2025>

FprCEN/TS 16931-5:2025 (E)

European foreword

This document (FprCEN/TS 16931-5:2024) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 434 “Electronic invoicing”, the secretariat of which is held by NEN.

This document is currently submitted to the CEN Vote on TS.

This document will supersede CEN/TR 16931-5:2017

This document includes the following significant technical changes with respect to CEN/TR 16931-5:2017:

- Updating concepts in alignment with EN 16931-1.
- Introducing the concept of Extension Components. This should greatly improve interoperability cross-sector and intra-EU where Extended Information Elements are required.
- Changing the definition of the Terms Compliant and Conformant. Previously they were based on TOGAF terminology[10]. This avoids confusing the terms when used in legal text compared to normative text.
- Compliant now refers only to legal specifications
- Conformant refers only to normative text
- Recommending the use of multilateral business agreements rather than bilateral when using Extension Specifications.

This document is part of a set of documents, consisting of:

- prEN 16931-1:2025, *Electronic invoicing — Part 1: Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice*
- CEN/TS 16931-2:2017, *Electronic invoicing — Part 2: List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1*
- CEN/TS 16931-3-1:2017, *Electronic invoicing — Part 3-1: Methodology for syntax bindings of the core elements of an electronic invoice*
- CEN/TS 16931-3-2:2020, *Electronic invoicing — Part 3-2: Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL 2.1) invoice and credit note*
- CEN/TS 16931-3-3:2020, *Electronic invoicing — Part 3-3: Syntax binding for UN/CEFACT XML Industry Invoice D16B*
- CEN/TS 16931-3-4:2020, *Electronic invoicing — Part 3-4: Syntax binding for UN/EDIFACT INVOIC D16B*
- CEN/TR 16931-4:2017, *Electronic invoicing — Part 4: Guidelines on interoperability of electronic invoices at the transmission level*
- FprCEN/TS 16931-5:2024, *Electronic invoicing — Part 5: Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment*