

International Standard

ISO 4721

Water quality — Strontium 90 — Test method using ICP-MS

First edition 2024-12

Qualité de l'eau — Strontium 90 — Méthode d'essai par ICP-MS

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO 4721:2024

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/87d5cf26-69de-4125-9a37-961b13bccc3f/iso-4721-2024

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO 4721:2024

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/87d5cf26-69de-4f25-9a37-961b13bccc3f/iso-4721-2024



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org Published in Switzerland

Contents

Introduction v 1 Scope 1 2 Normative references 1 3 Terms and definitions 2 4 Symbols 2 5 Principle 3 6 Sampling and sample storage 5 7 Chemical reagents and equipment 5 7.1 General 5 7.2 Chemical reagents 5 7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10.1 General 8 10.1 10.2 Data analysis LIPDS LIPDS LIPD	Forew	ord		iv
1 Scope 1 2 Normative references 1 3 Terms and definitions 2 4 Symbols 2 5 Principle 3 6 Sampling and sample storage 5 7 Chemical reagents and equipment 5 7.1 General 5 7.2 Chemical reagents 5 7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 7 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 8 10 Expression of results 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 10 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.2 Limit of duantification 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit o	Introd	luction	1	v
2 Normative references 1 3 Terms and definitions 2 4 Symbols 2 5 Principle 3 6 Sampling and sample storage 5 7 Chemical reagents and equipment 5 7.1 General 5 7.2 Chemical reagents 5 7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 8 10 Expression of results 10 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 10 8 10.3 Background 8 8 10.4 Data analysis 10 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium achemical yield tracer 9 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration <td>1</td> <td>Scope</td> <td></td> <td>1</td>	1	Scope		1
2 Normal control of control of strontium concentration 1 3 Terms and definitions 2 4 Symbols 2 5 Principle 3 6 Sampling and sample storage 5 7 Chemical reagents and equipment 5 7.1 General 5 7.2 Chemical reagents 5 7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 9 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5 Data analysis 9 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Linit of quantification	2	Norm	ative references	1
3 Terms and definitions 2 4 Symbols 2 5 Principle 3 6 Sampling and sample storage 5 7 Chemical reagents and equipment 5 7.1 General 5 7.2 Chemical reagents 5 7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10.6 General 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 8 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.5 Limit of quantification <td< td=""><td>2</td><td>T</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	2	T		
4 Symbols 2 5 Principle 3 6 Sampling and sample storage 5 7 Chemical reagents and equipment 5 7.1 General 5 7.2 Chemical reagents 5 7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 9 9 10.3 Background 8 10.3 8 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Kampl	3	Term	s and definitions	Z
5 Principle 3 6 Sampling and sample storage 5 7 Chemical reagents and equipment 5 7.1 General 5 7.2 Chemical reagents 5 7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10 Expression of results 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 10 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 8 8 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.5 Data malysis in total standard 9 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 11 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 11 10.5.5 111 10.5.2 <	4	Symb	ols	2
6 Sampling and sample storage 5 7 Chemical reagents and equipment 5 7.1 General 5 7.2 Chemical reagents 5 7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10.1 General 8 10.1 10.2 Data analysis 10.1 Standards 8 10.3 Background 8 10.3 8 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5.1 11 8 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10 10.5.4 8 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.6.6 10.6.2 11 10.6.1	5	Princi	iple	3
7 Chemical reagents and equipment 5 7.1 General 5 7.2 Chemical reagents 5 7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 8 10 Expression of results 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 9 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 8 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Limit of detection 12 10.6.1 General 12 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution	6	Samp	ling and sample storage	5
7.1 General 5 7.2 Chemical reagents 5 7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 8 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.5.2 Stope spike solution 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of quantification 11 1	7	Chem	ical reagents and equipment	5
7.2 Chemical reagents 5 7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10 Expression of results 8 10.2 Data analysis 8 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.6.1 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6	-	7.1	General	5
7.3 Apparatus 6 8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10 Expression of results 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 10.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.		7.2	Chemical reagents	5
8 Chemical separation 6 9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10 Expression of results 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 9 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5 Disc of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 12 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.6.5 Limit of quantification 12 10.6.6 Limit of detection 12 10.6		7.3	Apparatus	6
9 Quality control 6 9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10 Expression of results 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 9 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.4 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.5 Limit of quantification 11 10.5.6 Limit of detection 11 10.5.1 Isotope spike solution 11 10.5.2 State stope spike solution 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 12 10.6.7 General 11 10.6.8 Sample mass concentration to mass	8	Chem	ical separation	6
9.1 General 6 9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement 7 9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 7 9.4 Method verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10 Expression of results 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 9 10.5 Data analysis 9 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 12 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of detection 12 10.6.	9	Qualit	ty control	6
9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement. 7 9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10 Expression of results. 11 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 11 11 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification	-	9.1	General	6
9.3 Instrument verification 7 9.4 Method verification 8 10 Expression of results 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 8 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of duantification 11 10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8		9.2	Variables that can influence the measurement	7
9.4 Method verification 8 10 Expression of results 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 8 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 12 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of quantification 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion from mass to volume units		9.3	Instrument verification	7
10 Expression of results 8 10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 8 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.6 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of detection 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activit		9.4	Method verification	8
10.1 General 8 10.2 Data analysis 8 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of quantification 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 12 10.6.7 Conversion from mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.7 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 13 Annex A (informative)	10	Expre	ession of results <u>illeh Standards</u>	8
10.2 Data analysis 8 10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of quantification 11 10.5.6 General 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.7 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 Annex A (informative) Chemical sep		10.1	General	8
10.3 Background 8 10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.6 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of duantification 12 10.6.6 Limit of duantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 Annex A		10.2	Data analysis	8
10.4 Mass bias evaluation 9 10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 12 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of detection 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 Annex A (informat		10.3	Background	8
10.5 Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer 9 10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 General 11 10.6.5 Limit of quantification 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.7 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report		10.4	Mass bias evaluation	9
10.5.1 Internal standard 9 10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity. 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 <td></td> <td>10.5</td> <td>Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer</td> <td>9</td>		10.5	Use of naturally occurring stable strontium as chemical yield tracer	9
10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration 10 10.5.3 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 12 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13			10.5.1 Internal standard	9
https://stam 10.3.5 Mass bias evaluation 11 10.5.4 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.5 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 12 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification to mass activity 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium soluti			10.5.2 Determination of stable strontium concentration	10
10.5.7 Sample mass concentration 11 10.5.6 Limit of detection 11 10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 13 Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 15 Annex B (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 17 Annex C (informative) Chemical separation of st			10.5.4 Sample mass concentration	411
10.5.6 Limit of quantification 11 10.6.1 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 15 Annex B (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 17 Annex C (informative) Chemical separation of strontium for large volume water samples by cation exchange resin and strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin 19 Bibliography 21			10.5.5 Limit of detection	11
10.6 Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike 11 10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 15 Annex B (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 17 Annex C (informative) Chemical separation of strontium for large volume water samples by cation exchange resin and strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin 19 Bibliography 21			10.5.6 Limit of quantification	
10.6.1 General 11 10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·1 ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 15 Annex B (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·1 ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 17 Annex C (informative) Chemical separation of strontium for large volume water samples by cation exchange resin and strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin 19 Bibliography 21		10.6	Isotope dilution method using an enriched isotope spike	11
10.6.2 Isotope spike solution 11 10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 11 Test report 13 12 Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 15 Annex B (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 17 Annex C (informative) Chemical separation of strontium for large volume water samples by cation exchange resin and strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin 19 Bibliography 21			10.6.1 General	11
10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation 12 10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 15 Annex B (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 17 Annex C (informative) Chemical separation of strontium for large volume water samples by cation exchange resin and strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin 19 Bibliography 21			10.6.2 Isotope spike solution	11
10.6.4 Sample mass concentration 12 10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 15 Annex B (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 17 Annex C (informative) Chemical separation of strontium for large volume water samples by cation exchange resin and strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin 19 Bibliography 21			10.6.3 Mass bias evaluation	12
10.6.5 Limit of detection 12 10.6.6 Limit of quantification 13 10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 15 Annex B (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 17 Annex C (informative) Chemical separation of strontium for large volume water samples by cation exchange resin and strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin 19 Bibliography 21			10.6.4 Sample mass concentration	
10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity			10.6.5 Limit of detection	.12
10.7 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity 13 10.8 Conversion from mass to volume units 13 11 Test report 13 Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 15 Annex B (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃ 17 Annex C (informative) Chemical separation of strontium for large volume water samples by cation exchange resin and strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin 19 Bibliography 21		10 7	10.0.0 Limit of qualitification	. 13
11 Test report		10.7	Conversion of mass to volume units	. 13
Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃	11	Tost r	anort	12
Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO3 15 Annex B (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO3 17 Annex C (informative) Chemical separation of strontium for large volume water samples by cation exchange resin and strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin 19 Bibliography 21		I Coll		. 13
Annex B (informative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃	Annex	chron	formative) Chemical separation of strontium by crown ether-based extraction natographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 4 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃	.15
Annex C (informative) Chemical separation of strontium for large volume water samples by cation exchange resin and strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin 19 Bibliography 21	Annex	B (in chron	formative) Chemical separation of strontium by strontium-specific extraction natographic resin — Sample strontium solution in 0,01 mol·l ⁻¹ HNO ₃	. 17
Bibliography 21	Annex	c C (inf	formative) Chemical separation of strontium for large volume water samples by 1 exchange resin and strontium-specific extraction chromatographic resin	. 19
	Biblio	granhy		21

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 147, *Water quality*, Subcommittee SC 3, *Radioactivity measurements*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <u>www.iso.org/members.html</u>.

<u>SO 4721:2024</u>

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/87d5cf26-69de-4f25-9a37-961b13bccc3f/iso-4721-2024

Introduction

Radionuclides are present throughout the environment; thus, water bodies (e.g. surface waters, ground waters, sea waters) contain radionuclides, which can be of either natural or anthropogenic origin.

- Naturally-occurring radionuclides, including ³H, ¹⁴C, ⁴⁰K and those originating from the thorium and uranium decay series, in particular ²¹⁰Pb, ²¹⁰Po, ²²²Rn, ²²⁶Ra, ²²⁸Ra, ²²⁷Ac, ²³²Th, ²³¹Pa, ²³⁴U and ²³⁸U, can be found in water bodies due to either natural processes (e.g. desorption from the soil and runoff by rain water) or released from technological processes involving naturally occurring radioactive materials (e.g. mining, mineral processing, oil, gas and coal production, water treatment, and production and use of phosphate fertilisers).
- Anthropogenic radionuclides, such as ⁵⁵Fe, ⁵⁹Ni, ⁶³Ni, ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁹Tc, transuranic elements (e.g. Np, Pu, Am, Cm) and some gamma emitting radionuclides, such as ⁶⁰Co and ¹³⁷Cs, can also be found in natural waters. Small quantities of anthropogenic radionuclides can be discharged from nuclear facilities to the environment as a result of authorized routine releases. The radionuclides present in liquid effluents are usually controlled before being discharged into the environment^[1] and water bodies. Anthropogenic radionuclides used for medical and industrial applications can be released to the environment after use. Anthropogenic radionuclides are also found in waters due to contamination from fallout resulting from above-ground nuclear detonations and accidents such as those that have occurred at the Chernobyl and Fukushima nuclear facilities.

Radionuclide activity concentrations in water bodies can vary according to local geological characteristics and climatic conditions and can be locally and temporally enhanced by releases from nuclear facilities during planned, existing and emergency exposure situations^{[2],[3]}. Some drinking water sources can thus contain radionuclides at activity concentrations that can present a human health risk. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends to routinely monitor radioactivity in drinking waters^[4] and to take proper actions when needed to minimize the health risk.

National regulations usually specify the activity concentration limits that are authorized in drinking waters, water bodies, and liquid effluents to be discharged to the environment. These limits can vary for planned, existing, and emergency exposure situations. As an example, during either a planned or existing situation, the WHO guidance level for 90 Sr in drinking water is 10 Bq·l^{-1[4]}, see NOTES 1 and 2. Compliance with these limits is assessed by measuring radioactivity in water samples and by comparing the results obtained, with their associated uncertainties, as specified by ISO/IEC Guide 98-3 and ISO 5667-20^[5].

NOTE 1 If the value is not specified in Annex 6 of Reference $[\underline{4}]$, the value has been calculated using the formula provided in Reference $[\underline{4}]$ and the dose coefficient data from References $[\underline{6}]$ and $[\underline{7}]$.

NOTE 2 The guidance level calculated in Reference [4] is the activity concentration that results in an effective dose of 0,1 mSv·a⁻¹ to members of the public for an intake of 2 l·d⁻¹ of drinking water for one year. This is an effective dose that represents a very low level of risk to human health and which is not expected to give rise to any detectable adverse health effects^[4].

This document contains method(s) to support laboratories, which need to determine ⁹⁰Sr in water samples. The method(s) described in this document can be used for various types of waters (see <u>Clause 1</u>). For radiometric methods, minor modifications such as sample volume- and counting time-can be made if needed to ensure that the decision threshold, detection limit, and uncertainties are below the required limits. For ICP-MS methods, minor modifications to, for example, the sample pre-concentration volume and the interference separation, can be made if needed to ensure that the limit of detection, limit of quantification and uncertainties are below the required limits. This can be done for several reasons such as emergency situations, lower national guidance limits and operational requirements.

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

<u>ISO 4721:2024</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/87d5cf26-69de-4f25-9a37-961b13bccc3f/iso-4721-2024

Water quality — Strontium 90 — Test method using ICP-MS

WARNING — Persons using this document should be familiar with normal laboratory practices. This document does not purport to address all of the safety problems, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety and health practices and to determine the applicability of any other restrictions.

IMPORTANT — It is essential that tests conducted according to this document be carried out by suitably trained staff.

1 Scope

This document specifies methods to determine strontium-90 (⁹⁰Sr) by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The mass concentrations obtained can be converted into activity concentrations.

The method described in this document is applicable to test samples of supply water, drinking water, rainwater, surface and ground water, as well as cooling water, industrial water, domestic and industrial wastewater after proper sampling and handling and test sample preparation.

The limit of detection depends on the sample volume, the instrument used, the background count rate, the detection efficiency and the chemical yield. In this document, the limit of detection of the method using currently available apparatus and chemical pre-concentration, is approximately 5 Bq·l⁻¹, which is lower than the WHO criteria for safe consumption of drinking water (10 Bq·l⁻¹)^[4].

The method described in this document covers the measurement of 90 Sr in water at activity concentrations up to 1 000 Bq·l⁻¹. Samples with higher activity concentrations than 1 000 Bq·l⁻¹ can be measured if a dilution is performed.

The method described in this document is applicable in the event of an emergency situation.

Filtration of the test sample is necessary for the method described in this document. The analysis of ⁹⁰Sr adsorbed to suspended matter is not covered by this method. The analysis of the insoluble fraction requires a mineralization step that is not covered by this document. In this case, the measurement is made on the different phases obtained.

It is the user's responsibility to ensure the validity of this test method for the water samples tested.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3, Uncertainty of measurement — Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)

ISO/IEC Guide 99, International vocabulary of metrology — Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM)

ISO 5667-1, Water quality — Sampling — Part 1: Guidance on the design of sampling programmes and sampling techniques

ISO 5667-3, Water quality — Sampling — Part 3: Preservation and handling of water samples

ISO 5667-10, Water quality — Sampling — Part 10: Guidance on sampling of waste water

ISO/IEC 17025, General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories

ISO 17294-1:2024, Water quality — Application of inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) — Part 1: General guidelines

ISO 17294-2:2023, Water quality — Application of inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) — Part 2: Determination of selected elements including uranium isotopes

ISO 80000-10, Quantities and units — Part 10: Atomic and nuclear physics

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/IEC Guide 98-3, ISO/IEC Guide 99 and ISO 80000-10 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <u>https://www.iso.org/obp</u>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <u>https://www.electropedia.org/</u>

4 Symbols

а	Regression line slope	s ⁻¹ ·g ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹
b	Coordinate at the origin of the regression line	s ⁻¹
С	Mass activity corresponding to the mass concentration, ρ , measured for a given radionuclide	Bq∙kg ⁻¹
c _{int}	Internal standard correction factor tandards.iteh.ai	—
c _S	Specific activity corresponding to one gram of the radionuclide	Bq•g ^{−1}
I ₈₄	Isotopic abundance of ⁸⁴ Sr: 0,005 6 (natural isotopic abundance is assumed)	—
I ₈₆	Isotopic abundance of ⁸⁶ Sr: 0,098 6 (natural isotopic abundance is assumed)	4721-2024
k	Coverage facto used as a multiplier of the combined standard uncertainty in order to obtain an expanded uncertainty	
L _D	Limit of detection, the lowest mass concentration that can be detected with statistical uncertainty	g·g ⁻¹
L _Q	Limit of quantification, the lowest mass concentration that can be quantified with statistical uncertainty	g·g ⁻¹
М	Isotope mass number	_
т	Mass of the sample	kg
Δm	Mass difference	_
m _c	Mass of the calibration standard tracer solution added to a standard	g
m _{cs}	Mass of the calibration standard solution added to a standard	g
m _{IS}	Mass of the internal standard added to a blank and a sample	g
m _{ISS}	Mass of the internal standard solution added to a blank or a sample	g
m _{SP}	Mass of the enriched spike solution	g

m / z	Mass-to-charge ratio measured by ICP-MS	—
Ν	Number of counts per second measured by ICP-MS of a sample at a given mass-to- charge ratio	counts∙s ⁻¹
N ₀	Number of counts per second measured by ICP-MS of a blank sample at a given mass-to-charge ratio	counts·s ^{−1}
$\overline{N_0}$	Average number of counts per second for several blank samples measured by ICP-MS at a given mass-to-charge ratio	counts·s ^{−1}
N _{net}	Net number of counts per second, $N - N_0$	counts∙s ⁻¹
r	Measured isotopic ratio	—
R	Corrected isotopic ratio	—
S _{N0}	Standard deviation obtained by measurement of 10 test portions of the blank sample	counts•s ⁻¹
U	Expanded uncertainty and the coverage factor k with $k = 1, 2,, U = k \cdot u$	Bq∙kg ⁻¹
и	Uncertainty such as counts per second, mass added etc.	—
u(C)	Standard uncertainty of the mass activity result	Bq∙kg ⁻¹
u _{cal}	Uncertainty associated with the calibration	g·g ⁻¹
u(I ₈₄)	Uncertainty on isotopic abundance of ⁸⁴ Sr: 0,000 2 (natural isotopic abundance is assumed)	—
u(I ₈₆)	Uncertainty on isotopic abundance of ⁸⁶ Sr: 0,002 0 (natural isotopic abundance is assumed)	—
u(ρ)	Standard uncertainty associated with the measurement result	g∙kg ⁻¹
V https://	Volume of the samplelog/standards/iso/87d5cf26-69de-4f25-9a37-961b13bccc3f/iso-4	4721- 1 024
α	Measurement bias constant which allows a correction for the signal intensity bias between the tracer and the analyte	—
ρ	Mass concentration of the analyte for a given radionuclide per sample unit mass	g·kg ⁻¹
$ ho_{\rm c}$	Mass concentration of the calibration standard solution	g·g ⁻¹
$ ho_{ m IS}$	Mass concentration of the internal standard	$g \cdot g^{-1}$
$ ho_{(Sr)}$	Mass concentration of stable Sr	g·kg ⁻¹
$ ho_{ m v}$	Mass concentration of a given radionuclide per sample unit volume	g·l ⁻¹
$ ho_{ m SP}$	Mass concentration of the spike	g•g ⁻¹

5 Principle

The principle of measurement of analysis using ICP-MS is described in ISO 17294-1 and ISO 17294-2.

ICP-MS has been successfully used to measure the mass concentration of ⁹⁰Sr in water samples [8]-[14].

The results can be converted in activity concentrations using the specific activity as a conversion factor, which is given in $\underline{\text{Table 1}}$.

Isotope	Half-life	Specific activity
	years	Bq•g ^{−1}
⁹⁰ Sr	28,80 (7)	5,112 (12)·10 ¹²

Table 1 — Half-life and specific activity of ⁹⁰Sr^[15]

When performing the analysis of the pure beta-emitting radionuclide ⁹⁰Sr using radiation measurement, it is imperative to eliminate interfering nuclides prior to measurement. Nevertheless, ICP-MS, by discerning analyte ions through their mass-to-charge ratio, streamlines the pretreatment process before radiation measurement, thereby shortening the overall analysis time.

The limit of detection of direct measurement by ICP-MS is higher than the WHO criteria for safe consumption of drinking water (10 Bq·l⁻¹)^[4]. Therefore, preconcentration of water samples is essential prior to ICP-MS when demonstration of meeting this particular criterion is the aim.

An example of the limit of detection that can be obtained with ICP-MS with preconcentration and chemical separation is given in <u>Table 2</u>.

Isotope	Limit of detection	Limit of detection	
	µg·l ^{−1}	Bq·l ^{−1}	
⁹⁰ Sr	2.10-6	10	

Table 2 — Example of the limit of detection

The measurement of analysis of ⁹⁰Sr by ICP-MS is affected by several interferences shown in <u>Table 3</u>.

Type of interference	Description	⁹⁰ Sr interference
Isobaric	Isotopes with a similar mass to the analyte	⁹⁰ Zr
Polyatomic	Isotopes combining in the plasma to form an ion with a similar mass to the analyte	⁵⁰ Cr ⁴⁰ Ar, ⁵⁰ Ti ⁴⁰ Ar, ⁷⁴ Ge ¹⁶ O, ⁷⁴ Se ¹⁶ O, ⁸⁹ YH
Tailing interference	Isotopes of one or two mass units on either side of the analyte with a relatively high abundance (> 10^6) relative to the analyte	⁸⁸ Sr

Table 3 — Interference of ⁹⁰Sr affecting ICP-MS measurement^{[8],[9],[10],[16]}

It is important to ensure that all potential interferences have been removed prior to measurement. The most significant interference affecting ⁹⁰Sr measurement by ICP-MS is stable isobaric ⁹⁰Zr. The other interferences that shall be considered are polyatomic, most notably ⁷⁴Ge¹⁶O and ⁷⁴Se¹⁶O, and tailing from stable ⁸⁸Sr.

A chemical separation is performed to remove most of the potential interferences.

It is important to know the interference decontamination factor achievable by chemical separation. This can initially be assessed by running stable element standards at increasing concentrations to monitor the impact at m / z = 90.

An aliquot of a water sample can be directly measured by ICP-MS to determine the stable element composition. Samples with elevated levels of dissolved salts can need to be diluted to a greater extent before this measurement, depending on the sample introduction system of the instrument used. Some designs offer online aerosol dilution capability that can run high matrix samples such as seawater without prior dilution.

If some potential interferences are still present in the sample after chemical separation, it will result in an invalid result unless a correction is performed. The interference from 90 Zr can be monitored by measuring the 91 Zr isotope at m / z = 91 and correcting for 90 Zr assuming natural isotopic ratios. The same approach can be used to correct for 74 Ge 16 O by monitoring Ge at m / z = 72. Selenium can be monitored at m / z = 78, and yttrium can be monitored at m / z = 89. This type of correction should only be used if absolutely necessary, as it increases the measurement uncertainty and affects the detection limit and measurement precision.

Chemical separation is required to remove interferences, sample matrix and pre-concentrate 90 Sr prior to measurement. As described in the ISO 17294 series, a chemical yield tracer is needed to evaluate the chemical recovery.

Stable strontium is usually used to determine the chemical yield. Isotope dilution with an enriched strontium isotope spike is an alternative solution to correct for ⁹⁰Sr losses.

It is also important to evaluate the mass bias and to correct it.

To quantify any potential interference coming from the reagents, a blank sample is prepared in the same ways as the test sample. This blank sample is prepared using ultrapure water.

6 Sampling and sample storage

Sampling, handling and storage of the water shall be done as specified in ISO 5667-1, ISO 5667-3 and ISO 5667-10, and guidance is given for the different types of water in References [17] to [23]. It is important that the laboratory receives a sample that is truly representative and has neither been damaged nor modified during either transportation or storage.

The sample is filtered to remove suspended matter using a 0,45 μ m filter (e.g. 0,45 μ m PTFE membrane). A smaller pore size filter can also be used, but the filtration can be more time consuming. The sample shall be acidified after filtration to a pH of less than 2 using HNO₃.

Minimising contamination and losses is of primary concern. Dust in the laboratory and impurities in the reagents and on the laboratory equipment, which are in contact with the samples, can be potential sources of stable element contamination that increases the background at m / z = 90. The sample containers can lead to either a positive or a negative bias in the determination of trace elements by superficial desorption or adsorption.

7 Chemical reagents and equipment Document Preview

7.1 General

Impurities in reagents and gases can increase the detection limit by increasing the background and decreasing sensitivity of the analyte. Reagents of an appropriate purity should be used depending on the detection limit required, impact of interferences on the measurement and the ICP-MS instrument design used for measurement.

The chemical reagents and equipment used for chemical treatment and preparation of the samples are described in <u>Annexes A</u>, <u>B</u> and <u>C</u>.

7.2 Chemical reagents

7.2.1 Ultrapure water, with a resistivity of 18,2 M Ω ·cm at 25 °C and total organic carbon of less than 1 µg·l⁻¹.

Unless otherwise stated, water refers to ultrapure water.

7.2.2 Instrument blank, for example 0,3 mol·l⁻¹ nitric acid used to determine the background count rate of the instrument at selected mass-to-charge ratios.

7.2.3 Strontium-90 solution, used to prepare calibration standards to calculate the concentration in the sample.

7.2.4 Internal standard solution, prepared with a stable element. The choice of element for the reference-element solution depends on the analytical problem. Solutions of these elements should cover the mass range of interest. The concentrations of these elements in the sample should be negligibly low. If not,