# TECHNICAL REPORT



First edition 2020-12

# Road vehicles — Safety and cybersecurity for automated driving systems — Design, verification and validation

Véhicules routiers — Sécurité et cybersécurité pour les systèmes de conduite automatisée — Conception, vérification et validation **iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW** 

# (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO/TR 4804:2020</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/80289014-85d4-4ab1-a9afe423092e10e9/iso-tr-4804-2020



Reference number ISO/TR 4804:2020(E)

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO/TR 4804:2020</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/80289014-85d4-4ab1-a9afe423092e10e9/iso-tr-4804-2020



# **COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2020

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

Page

Forew	ord		<b>v</b>				
Introd	luction		vi				
1	Scope		1				
2	_	ative references					
3		and definitions					
4		General approach and overview					
т	4.1	Introduction and motivation					
	4.2	Overview of this document					
	4.3	Structure and development examples used in this document	12				
	4.4	Safety vision					
		4.4.1 Background					
		4.4.2 Positive risk balance and avoidance of unreasonable risk					
		4.4.3 Principles of safety and cybersecurity for automated driving					
5	Systematically developing dependability to support safety by design						
	5.1 5.2	General					
		Deriving capabilities of automated driving from dependability domains 5.2.1 Applying the related safety standards	18				
		5.2.1 Applying the related safety standards	10				
		5.2.2 ISO/14521446 - Safety of the interfaced functionality	19				
		<ul> <li>5.2.3 ISO 26262 series - Functional safety: an an</li></ul>	20				
		5.2.5 Capabilities of automated driving L	21				
		<ul> <li>5.2.5 Capabilities of automated driving</li></ul>					
	5.3	Elements for implementing the capabilities	27				
		5.3.1 Implementing the capabilities 5.3.2 https://www.science.com/science/scie	27				
		5.3.2 mips Elements itel av catalog statutatus sist 80289014-8304-4401-8941-	33				
		5.3.3 Generic logical architecture tr-4804-2020					
6		cation and validation					
	6.1	General					
	6.2	The scope and main steps of verification and validation for automated driving systems.	49				
	6.3	Key challenges for verification and validation of SAE L3 and SAE L4 automated driving systems	50				
		6.3.1 Challenge 1: Statistical demonstration of avoidance of unreasonable risk	50				
		and a positive risk balance without driver interaction	51				
		6.3.2 Challenge 2: System safety with driver interaction (especially in takeover					
		manoeuvres)					
		6.3.3 Challenge 3: Consideration of scenarios currently not known					
		6.3.4 Challenge 4: Validation of various system configurations and variants					
	<i>.</i> .	6.3.5 Challenge 5: Validation of (sub)systems that are based on machine learning					
	6.4	Verification and validation approach for automated driving systems					
		<ul><li>6.4.1 Defining test goals and objectives (why and how well)</li><li>6.4.2 Test design techniques (how)</li></ul>					
		<ul><li>6.4.2 Test design techniques (how)</li><li>6.4.3 Test platforms (where)</li></ul>					
		6.4.4 Test strategies in response to the key challenges					
	6.5	Quantity and quality of testing					
	010	6.5.1 Equivalence classes and scenario-based testing					
	6.6	Simulation					
		6.6.1 Types of simulation					
		6.6.2 Simulation scenario generation					
		6.6.3 Validating simulation					
		6.6.4 Further applications of simulation					
	6.7	Verification and validation of elements					
		6.7.1 A-priori information and perception (map)	03				

	6.7.2	Localization (including GNSS)	
	6.7.3	Localization (including GNSS) Environment perception sensors, V2X and sensor fusion	
	6.7.4	Interpretation and prediction, drive planning and traffic rules	64
	6.7.5	Motion control	
	6.7.6	Monitor, ADS mode manager (including the vehicle state)	
	6.7.7	Human machine interaction and user state monitor	
6.8	Field o	peration (monitoring, configuration, updates)	65
	6.8.1	Testing traceability Robust configuration and change management process	
	6.8.2	Robust configuration and change management process	
	6.8.3	Regression prevention	67
	6.8.4	Cybersecurity monitoring and updates	
	6.8.5	Continuous monitoring and corrective enforcement	67
Annex A (i	nformative	e) Development examples	
Annex B (i	nformative	e) Using deep neural networks to implement safety-related elements	
		d driving systems	
Annex C (i	nformative	e) Principles of safety and cybersecurity for automated driving	
Annex D (i	nformativ	e) List of proposed standards	
Bibliograp	ohy		

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO/TR 4804:2020</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/80289014-85d4-4ab1-a9afe423092e10e9/iso-tr-4804-2020

# Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see <a href="https://www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.org/directives</a>).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see <a href="https://www.iso.org/patents">www.iso.org/patents</a>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html">www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</a>.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 22, Road Vehicles.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.lisb.org/members.html.

# Introduction

Automated driving is one of the key modern technologies. In addition to offering broader access to mobility, it may also help to reduce the number of road traffic related accidents and crashes. When doing so, the safe operation of automated driving vehicles is one of the most important factors. Designed to supplement existing standards and publications on various aspects of safety, this document presents a more technical overview of the recommendations, guidance and methods to achieve a positive risk balance and to avoid unreasonable risk and cybersecurity related threats, emphasizing the importance of safety by design. This document closes the loop to provide a discussion with recommendations and methods on the verification and validation of automated driving systems.

Set forth are a proposed framework and guidelines focused on the safety and cybersecurity during the development, verification, validation, production and operation of automated driving systems for all stakeholders in the automotive and mobility world – from technology start-ups through to established OEMs and the tiered suppliers of key technologies.

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO/TR 4804:2020</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/80289014-85d4-4ab1-a9afe423092e10e9/iso-tr-4804-2020

# Road vehicles — Safety and cybersecurity for automated driving systems — Design, verification and validation

# 1 Scope

This document describes steps for developing and validating automated driving systems based on basic safety principles derived from worldwide applicable publications. It considers safety- and cybersecurity-by-design, as well as verification and validation methods for automated driving systems focused on vehicles with level 3 and level 4 features according to SAE J3016:2018. In addition, it outlines cybersecurity considerations intersecting with objectives for safety of automated driving systems.

## 2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document

# 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

— IEC Electropedia: available at <a href="http://www.electropedia.org/">http://www.electropedia.org/</a>

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/80289014-85d4-4ab1-a9af-

e423092e10e9/iso-tr-4804-2020

# automated driving system ADS

set of *elements* (3.14) that offer a specific conditional or higher automated driving *use case* (3.63) in or for a specific *ODD* (3.37)

#### 3.2 automated vehicle AV

vehicle equipped with at least one conditional (SAE level 3) or higher (SAE level 4/level 5) *automated driving system* (3.1)

## 3.3

3.1

#### availability

*capability* (3.4) of a product to provide a stated function if demanded, under given conditions over its defined lifetime

Note 1 to entry: In the context of this document the product is the *automated driving system* (3.1).

Note 2 to entry: In the context of this document "availability" is defined solely referring to the automated driving system aspects and does not include human factor aspects.

[SOURCE: ISO 26262-1:2018, 3.7]

# 3.4

#### capability

ability of a product to deliver a function, feature or service

Note 1 to entry: In the context of this document the product is the *automated driving system* (3.1).

#### conventional driver

*driver* (3.11) who manually exercises in-vehicle braking, accelerating, steering and transmission gear selection input devices in order to operate the vehicle

[SOURCE: SAE J3016:2018, 3.29.1.1]

#### 3.6

#### corner case

*scenario* (3.53) in which two or more parameter values are each within the *capabilities* (3.4) of the system, but together constitute a rare condition that challenges its capabilities

Note 1 to entry: In the context of this document the system is the *automated driving system* (3.1).

[SOURCE: ISO/PAS 21448:2019, Table 11]

#### 3.7

#### crash

undesirable, unplanned event that leads to an unrecoverable loss due to unfavourable external conditions (e.g. human error), typically involving material damage, financial loss or human injuries and/or fatalities

#### 3.8

#### cybersecurity

condition in which assets are sufficiently protected against threat *scenarios* (3.53) to electrical or electronic components of road vehicles and their functions **PREVIEW** 

[SOURCE: ISO/SAE 21434]

# (standards.iteh.ai)

# 3.9 degradation

ISO/TR 4804:2020

state or transition to a state of a the teitemat (3,26) doriselement ((3,14)) 4 with reduced functionality, performance, or both e423092e10e9/iso-tr-4804-2020

Note 1 to entry: In the context of this document the item is the *automated driving system* (3.1).

[SOURCE: ISO 26262-1:2018, 3.28, modified — Note 1 to entry added.]

#### 3.10

#### dependability

ability of a system to provide a service or function regarding the attributes of *reliability* (3.44), *availability* (3.3), maintainability, *safety* (3.51) and security (RAMSS)

Note 1 to entry: In the context of this document the system is the *automated driving system* (3.1).

# 3.11

#### driver

user (3.64) who performs in real-time part or all of the *DDT* (3.13) and/or DDT fallback for a particular vehicle

[SOURCE: SAE J3016:2018, 3.29.1, modified — The word "human" was removed from the term and the note was deleted.]

#### 3.12

# driver in the loop

## DiL

execution of the target software on prototype or target hardware in the target vehicle or a mock-up, in which the environment is modified with virtual stimuli, and the driver's reaction influences the vehicle's behaviour

EXAMPLE Driving simulator or vehicle in the loop (ViL) (augmented reality for safety-related manoeuvres in real vehicles).

#### 3.13 dynamic driving task DDT

all of the real-time operational and tactical functions required to operate a vehicle in on-road traffic

Note 1 to entry: This excludes the strategic functions such as trip scheduling and selecting destinations and waypoints, and includes without limitation:

- lateral vehicle motion control via steering (operational);
- longitudinal vehicle motion control via acceleration and deceleration (operational);
- monitoring the driving environment via object and event detection, recognition, classification, and response
  preparation (operational and tactical);
- object and event response execution (operational and tactical);
- manoeuvre planning (tactical); and
- enhancing conspicuity via lighting, signalling or gesturing, etc. (tactical).

[SOURCE: SAE J3016:2018, 3.13, modified — Note 1 to entry was previously part of the definition, the notes, figure and additional information were removed.]

## 3.14

#### element

at least first-level decomposition of capabilities (3.4) to a logical system architecture

Note 1 to entry: One or more elements realize one or more capabilities.

#### 3.15

#### equivalence class

class being identified based on the division of inputs and outputs, such that a representative test value can be selected for each class e423092e10e9/iso-tr-4804-2020

Note 1 to entry: See ISO 26262-6:2018, Table 8.

#### 3.16

#### fail-degraded

property of the *item* (3.26) to operate with reduced functionality in the presence of a *fault* (3.20)

(standards.iteh.ai)

Note 1 to entry: This property can be realized as fail-degraded *capability* (<u>3.4</u>) of fail-degraded mode.

Note 2 to entry: In the context of this document the item is the *automated driving system* (3.1).

Note 3 to entry: This means that the item is fault-tolerant for a subset of its intended functionality.

Note 4 to entry: The absence of *unreasonable risk* (3.62) can require the duration of the presence of the fault to be time limited and/or system maintenance in a limited time frame.

Note 5 to entry: The absence of unreasonable risk in the presence of the fault can require limitations of the item behaviour.

#### 3.17 fail-operational

property of the *item* (3.26) to maintain its full intended functionality in the presence of a *fault* (3.20)

Note 1 to entry: In the context of this document the item is the *automated driving system* (see <u>3.1</u>).

Note 2 to entry: This means that the item is fault-tolerant for its intended functionality.

Note 3 to entry: The absence of *unreasonable risk* (3.62) can require the duration of the presence of the fault to be time limited and/or system maintenance in a limited time frame.

#### fail-safe

property of an *automated driving system* (3.1) to achieve a *minimal risk condition* (3.29) and to achieve a safe state (3.50) in the event of a failure (3.19)

Note 1 to entry: A fail-safe condition is to be reached for example, by means of: demanding the vehicle control to driver/vehicle operator (3.39) and/or switching off the automated driving function.

#### 3.19

#### failure

termination of an intended behaviour of an *element* (3.14) or the *automated driving systems* (3.1) due to a *fault* (3.20) manifestation

[SOURCE: ISO 26262-1:2018, 3.50, modified — The term "automated driving system" replaces "item" and Note 1 to entry is not included here.]

#### 3.20

fault

abnormal condition that can cause an *element* (3.14) or the *automated driving system* (3.1) to fail

[SOURCE: ISO 26262-1:2018, 3.54, modified — The term "automated driving system" replaces "item" and Notes to entry are not included here.]

#### 3.21

## field operational testing

#### FOT

use of large-scale testing programs aimed at generating a comprehensive assessment of the efficiency, quality, robustness and acceptance of transport solutions. iteh.ai)

#### 3.22

#### high definition map

ISO/TR 4804:2020

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/80289014-85d4-4ab1-a9af-HD map

maps with high level precision mostly used in the context of automated driving system (3.1) to give the vehicle precise information about the road environment

#### 3.23

#### hardware in the closed loop

HiL

execution of target software on target hardware, whereby the hardware outputs influence the hardware inputs

Note 1 to entry: HiL executes the target software in real time.

**EXAMPLE** AUTOSAR stack on radar with no frontend.

#### 3.24

#### hardware open loop

HoL

execution of target software on target hardware, whereby the hardware outputs do not influence the hardware inputs

**EXAMPLE** Monitor hardware testbench.

#### 3.25

#### human-machine interaction

interdisciplinary interaction between a human and an *automated vehicle* (3.2), considering the humanmachine interface (HMI) with the aim to develop a user interface that satisfies requirements regarding mental, cognitive and manual abilities of the user (3.64)

#### item

system or combination of systems, that implements a function or part of a function at the vehicle level

[SOURCE: ISO 26262-1:2018, 3.84, modified — The phrase "to which ISO 26262 is applied" and the Note 1 to entry were deleted.]

#### 3.27

#### lagging measure

metrics that are assessed after deployment of an *automated driving system* (3.1) and provide confirmation that the *positive risk balance* (3.42) as well as the conformance with the safety-by-design techniques have been achieved

EXAMPLE Statistics for *crashes* (3.7) or other *safety* (3.51) events.

Note 1 to entry: See Reference [1].

#### 3.28

#### leading measure

metrics that are derived from data that is assessed prior to deployment of an *automated driving system* (3.1) indicating that the automated driving system conforms with safety-by-design techniques to achieve a *positive risk balance* (3.42) and avoidance of *unreasonable risk* (3.62)

A design *verification* (3.67) that the HMI guidelines were incorporated into the vehicle's design. EXAMPLE

Note 1 to entry: See Reference [1]. ITeh STANDARD PREVIEW

#### 3.29

MRC

#### (standards.iteh.ai) minimal risk condition

condition to which a user (3.64) or an *automated driving system* (3.1) may bring a vehicle after performing the *minimal risk manoeuvre* (3.30) in order to reduce the risk of a crash (3.7) when a given trip cannot be completed e423092e10e9/iso-tr-4804-2020

Note 1 to entry: The minimal risk condition integrates the meaning of avoidance of *unreasonable risk* (3.62), according to the ISO 26262:2018 series. They can be combined but they never exclude one each other.

[SOURCE: SAE J3016:2018, 3.17, modified — The term "minimal risk manoeuvre" replaces "DDT fallback", the notes and examples were deleted and the Note 1 to entry was added.]

#### 3.30minimal risk manoeuvre **MRM**

automated driving system's (3.1) capability (3.4) of transitioning the vehicle between nominal and *minimal risk conditions* (3.29)

#### 3.31 operating mode awareness

*driver's* (3.11) *capability* (3.4) to identify the current automation mode and his/her driving responsibility

#### 3.32 naturalistic driving study NDS

driving study where research subjects are recruited to drive on public roads (not in a simulator or on a test track), where there is no in-vehicle experimenter or confederate vehicles, and where driving conditions are not experimentally controlled or manipulated

Note 1 to entry: Subjects are not instructed to drive differently than they normally would and the data collection instrumentation is unobtrusive.

Note 2 to entry: Typically, these studies last a minimum of several weeks for each subject and can go much longer.

Note 3 to entry: An approach during which the driver becomes unaware of observation as data is collected as discreetly as possible. This data is then used to examine the relationship between the driver, vehicle and/or environment.

#### 3.33

#### nominal performance

performance of the system free from fault (3.20) and that meets its defined performance criteria

#### 3.34

#### non-vulnerable road user

protected *road users* (3.46) such as users (3.64) in other vehicles, trucks, construction and agricultural machines

#### 3.35

#### object under test

OuT

*item* (3.26) or *element* (3.14) to be tested as planned and specified

Note 1 to entry: Similar usage as ISO 16750 for device under test.

#### 3.36

#### open road testing

execution of target software on target hardware in the target vehicle with a *driver* (3.11), whereby the driving environment is real, road infrastructures are public and can be only partially controlled

**EXAMPLE** Field operational testing (3.21) or naturalistic driving studies (3.32), testing in the development vehicles. II EN STANDARD PREVIEN

3.37

# (standards.iteh.ai)

# operational design domain

#### **ODD**

operating conditions under which a given *automated driving system* (3.1) or feature thereof is specifically designed to function, including, but not limited to, environmental, geographical, and time-of-day restrictions, and/or the requisite presence or absence of certain traffic or roadway characteristics

Note 1 to entry: These limitations, as from constraints as specified in operating conditions, reflect the technological *capability* (3.4) of the automated driving system.

[SOURCE: SAE J3016:2018, 3.22, modified — Note 1 to entry is added, and the note and examples are not included here.]

#### 3.38

#### **ODD** functional adaptation

operational design domain functional adaptation

property of a system to operate safely with reduced performance in the case of detected functional insufficiencies inside the ODD (3.37)

**EXAMPLE** Speed adaptation because of low fuel level, or dense fog, or sensor performance insufficiencies.

#### 3.39

#### operator

designated person, appropriately trained and authorized, to operate the vehicle

#### 3.40

#### other road user

vulnerable road users (3.68) and non-vulnerable road users (3.34) with no role in the ego automated vehicle (3.2)

#### 3.41

#### passenger

user (3.64) in a vehicle who has no role in the operation of that vehicle

#### positive risk balance

benefit of sufficiently mitigating residual risk of traffic participation due to *automated vehicles* (3.2)

Note 1 to entry: This includes the expectation that automated vehicles cause less *crashes* (3.7) on average compared to those made by drivers.

Note 2 to entry: Positive risk balance is one of the concepts that can be considered when defining the acceptance criteria of ISO/PAS 21448:2019.

#### 3.43

#### proving ground testing

execution of target software on target hardware in the target vehicle in a realistic but controlled and private driving environment

Note 1 to entry: The driver can be real or a robot.

EXAMPLE Emergency braking assistant tests on soft crash target.

#### 3.44

#### reliability

ability of a system to continuously provide correct service

#### 3.45

#### reprocessing

replay of time stamped, recorded data to provide input for the *object under test* (3.35)

(standards.iteh.ai)

Note 1 to entry: The time stamp needs a sufficient time accuracy.

#### 3.46

#### road user

anyone who uses a road including sidewalk and other adjacent spaces https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/80289014-85d4-4ab1-a9af-

Note 1 to entry: Relationship between the human related terms are shown in Figure 1.



#### Figure 1 — Decision tree for terms related to automated driving systems

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/80289014-85d4-4ab1-a9afe423092e10e9/iso-tr-4804-2020

#### 3.47 remote driver

*driver* (3.11) who is not seated in a position to manually exercise in-vehicle braking, accelerating, steering and transmission gear selection input devices (if any) but is able to operate the vehicle

[SOURCE: SAE J3016:2018, 3.29.1.2, modified — The notes and examples were removed.]

#### 3.48

#### remote operator

*operator* (3.39) who is not seated in a position to manually exercise in-vehicle braking, accelerating, steering and transmission gear selection input devices (if any) but is able to operate the vehicle with or without direct vision

#### 3.49

#### SAE levels of driving automation

levels of driving automation as defined in SAE J3016

Note 1 to entry: Six levels of driving automation, ranging from no driving automation (level 0) to full driving automation (level 5), in the context of motor vehicles and their operation on roadways. See <u>Table 1</u>.

Level	Level of automation			
Driver performs part or all of the DDT				
0	No driving automation			
1	Driver assistance			
2	Partial driving automation			

#### Table 1 — Level of automation SAE level

Level	Level of automation			
ADS performs the entire DDT (while engaged)				
3	Conditional driving automation			
4	High driving automation			
5	Full driving automation			

 Table 1 (continued)

# safe state

operating mode that is reasonably safe

Note 1 to entry: The safe state is the state in which both *fail-safe* (3.18) and *fail-degraded* (3.16) systems will provide a solution (technically provided by an alternative functionality) to avoid risk, in an acceptable criterion, to any road user (3.46).

#### 3.51

safety

absence of unreasonable risk (3.62)

[SOURCE: ISO 26262-1:2018, 3.132]

# 3.52

#### safety of the intended functionality SOTIF

absence of unreasonable Fisk (3.62) due to hazards resulting from functional insufficiencies of the intended functionality or by reasonably foreseeable misuse by road users (3.46)

[SOURCE: ISO/PAS 21448:2019, modified — The term "road users" replaces "persons".]

ISO/TR 4804:2020

3.53 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/80289014-85d4-4ab1-a9afscenario

scenario <u>e423092e10e9/iso-tr-4804-2020</u> description of the consecutive time series of activities integrating the subject vehicle, all its external environment and their interactions in the process of performing a certain driving task

## 3.54

#### scene

snapshot of the *scenario* (3.53) at a given point of time

Note 1 to entry: Only a simulated scene can be all-embracing (i.e. objective, otherwise known as ground truth), whereby a real-world scene is incomplete, afflicted with *faults* (3.20) and uncertainties, and observed from a subjective perspective.

#### 3.55

#### scenerv

part of the environment that remains unchanged

# 3.56

# simulation

approximated imitation of selected behavioural characteristics of one physical or abstract system by a static or dynamic model

Note 1 to entry: The simulation represents the behaviour over time in which the system or parts of it are replaced by the model. It includes SiL (3.58), SoL (3.57), HiL (3.23), HoL (3.24) and DiL (3.12).

## 3.57

# software reprocessing open loop

#### SoL

execution of target software on hardware, whereby the software decisions have no influence on the stimulus