

FINAL DRAFT International Standard

ISO/IEC FDIS 4879

ISO/IEC JTC 1

Secretariat: ANSI

Voting begins on:

Information technology — Quantum computing — Vocabulary

iTeh Standard (https://standards. Document Preview

ISO/IEC FDIS 4879

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/680184c8-7655-4092-bb05-060de5cb67f0/iso-iec-fdis-4879

RECIPIENTS OF THIS DRAFT ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT, WITH THEIR COMMENTS, NOTIFICATION OF ANY RELEVANT PATENT RIGHTS OF WHICH THEY ARE AWARE AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

IN ADDITION TO THEIR EVALUATION AS BEING ACCEPTABLE FOR INDUSTRIAL, TECHNO-LOGICAL, COMMERCIAL AND USER PURPOSES, DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS MAY ON OCCASION HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF THEIR POTENTIAL TO BECOME STANDARDS TO WHICH REFERENCE MAY BE MADE IN NATIONAL REGULATIONS.

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/IEC FDIS 4879

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/680184c8-7655-4092-bb05-060de5cb67f0/iso-iec-fdis-4879



© ISO/IEC 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org Published in Switzerland

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

Contents

FOREWORD			
1 Scope 1			
2	Normative references 1		
3	Terms	s and definitions1	
	3.1	Background	
	3.2	Quantum physics background 2	
	3.3	Quantum physics background 2 Quantum information 5	
	3.4	Quantum processing	
	3.5	Quantum processing	
	3.6	Related quantum technologies	
Index 14			

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/IEC FDIS 4879

FOREWORD

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives or www.iso.org/directiv

ISO and IEC draw attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO and IEC take no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO and IEC had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents and https://patents.iec.ch. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see <u>www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</u>. In the IEC, see <u>www.iec.ch/understanding-standards</u>.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <u>www.iso.org/members.html</u> and <u>www.iec.ch/national-committees</u>.

INTRODUCTION

For most of computing history, the foundational hardware technology has been binary digital transistor logic. In such digital systems, data and programs represented as binary classical digits (bits) are encoded into physical transistors that have and can switch between two definite internal states: on and off. The field of quantum computing introduces a new approach to the underlying computing hardware by shifting from classical logic ("on" or "off") to a quantum logic where the "quantum bits" or "qubits" (the simplest units of quantum information) are encoded into physical registers that exhibit quantum-mechanical phenomena such as superposition and entanglement.

This shift from the classical digital representation found in today's conventional computers to a quantum digital representation in tomorrow's computers is expected to bring increases in computing power and new, innovative software applications, allowing us to tackle more complex computational problems and carry out powerful analysis of more complex data patterns that are already challenging or impossible for today's technology. Quantum computing holds the potential to revolutionize fields from chemistry and logistics to finance and physics.

However, the increase in power and capability that quantum computing will provide, will also pose an important security threat once quantum computers become large enough (or cryptographically relevant, as it is sometimes described). As strong as today's cryptographic mechanisms have been against conventional computers, almost all cryptographic protocols used are vulnerable to quantum-computing-based attacks with known algorithms. This widely known risk associated with the power of quantum computing is very concerning for governments, institutions and individuals whose encrypted data are safe today, but may become decryptable once quantum computers reach large enough size.

This document aims to assist in the understanding of quantum computing concepts and the exchange of information.

(https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/IEC FDIS 4879

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/IEC FDIS 4879

Information technology — Quantum computing — Vocabulary

1 Scope

This document defines terms commonly used in the field of quantum computing. This document is applicable to all types of organizations (e.g. commercial enterprises, government agencies, not-for-profit organizations) to exchange quantum computing concepts.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp
- IEC Electropedia: available at <u>https://www.electropedia.org/</u>

3.1 Background

(https://standards.iteh.ai)

3.1.1 model

physical, mathematical, or otherwise appropriate representation of a system, entity, phenomenon, process or data

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 22989:2022, 3.1.23, logical has been changed to appropriate]

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/680184c8-7655-4092-bb05-060de5cb67f0/iso-iec-fdis-4879 **3.1.2**

model parameter

internal variable of a model (3.1.1) that affects how it computes its outputs

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 22989:2022, 3.3.8]

3.1.3

machine learning

process of optimizing *model parameters* (<u>3.1.2</u>) through computational techniques, such that the model's behaviour reflects the data or experience

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 22989:2022, 3.3.5]

3.1.4

simulator

device, computer program, or system that behaves or operates like a given system when provided a set of controlled inputs

3.1.5

program

computer program

syntactic unit that conforms to the rules of a particular *programming language* (3.1.6) and that is composed of declarations and statements or instructions needed to solve a certain function, task, or problem

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121374, modified — Notes to entry omitted]

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

3.1.6

programming language

artificial language for expressing programs (3.1.5)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121374, modified — Notes to entry omitted]

3.1.7

programming

designing, writing, modifying, and testing of *programs* (3.1.5)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121374, modified — Notes to entry and domain identifier <fundamental terms> omitted]

3.1.8

coding

<computer programming>process of expressing a *program* (<u>3.1.5</u>) in a *programming language* (<u>3.1.6</u>)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382:2015, 2121374, modified — Notes to entry omitted]

3.1.9

algorithm

process for computation, defined by a set of rules, that will yield a corresponding output

Note 1 to entry: Definition modified from ISO/IEC 18031:2011(en), 3.1.

3.2 Quantum physics background

3.2.1

Hilbert space

iTeh Standards

vector space equipped with an inner product operation which allows distances, angles and vector norms to be defined

Note 1 to entry: When used in the context of *quantum physics* (3.2.3), the space of *quantum states* (3.2.7) of a *quantum system* (3.2.6) is described by a complex Hilbert space, referred to as the state space.

Note 2 to entry: All possible quantum states can be represented as *operators* (<u>3.2.2</u>) on the quantum system's Hilbert space.

nups://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/680184c8-7655-4092-bb05-060de5cb67f0/iso-iec-fdis-4879 3.2.2

operator

mathematical entity that transforms the elements of an input space to the elements of an output space

Note 1 to entry: In *quantum physics* (3.2.3), simple operators can be mathematically represented by a matrix that acts via matrix multiplication on vectors in a *Hilbert space* (3.2.1).

3.2.3

quantum physics

quantum mechanics

fundamental theory of physics, in which physical properties of systems are completely determined by vectors in a complex *Hilbert space* (3.2.1) whose dynamics are determined by specific types of linear transformations on that space

Note 1 to entry: There are many different formulations of quantum physics, but the specific linear transformations allowed must all correctly describe stronger correlations than can arise in classical physics, such as those that are probed by Bell and Kochen-Specker tests.

Note 2 to entry: Measurement outcome probabilities are determined from the complex vectors, typically via the Born rule.

Note 3 to entry: Importantly, quantum physics is able to successfully describe the behaviour of light and matter in operating regimes where classical theories of physics can break down, like ultrasmall sizes or energies or at low temperatures.

Note 4 to entry: In the context of *quantum computing* (3.4.3), it is normally sufficient to consider *quantum state* (3.2.7) evolution as being governed by the non-relativistic Schrödinger equation through the *Hamiltonian* (3.2.12), for particles with mass, or the quantum electrodynamics formulation of Maxwell's equations, for light. However, quantum dynamics also includes broader contexts, such as the dynamics of relativistic systems, which are governed by the Dirac equation.

3.2.4

quantum

making use of or arising from the laws of quantum physics (3.2.3) in an essential way

3.2.5

quantum

discrete, finite, indivisible, and measurable unit of a physical property such as energy

3.2.6

quantum system

system whose properties are determined by the laws of *quantum physics* (<u>3.2.3</u>), and cannot be completely described by just the laws of classical physics

3.2.7

quantum state

description of the state of a *quantum system* (<u>3.2.6</u>) defining the probability distribution of possible outcomes of any measurement upon it

Note 1 to entry: A quantum state can be mathematically represented by a vector or, more generally, a *density operator* (3.2.2) in the complex *Hilbert space* (3.2.1). (See Note 1 to entry in *quantum operator* (3.2.11) for discussion of density operators.)

Note 2 to entry: A *quantum* (3.2.4) wave-function is the mathematical representation of a quantum state in a particular basis of the Hilbert space. Wave-functions are often defined over continuous parameters, such as position, momentum and phase.

3.2.8

quantum superposition

complex linear combination of two or more different *quantum states* (3.2.7)

3.2.9

SO/IEC FDIS 4879

basis states lards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/680184c8-7655-4092-bb05-060de5cb67f0/iso-iec-fdis-4879 members of a set of *quantum states* (3.2.7) which span the *Hilbert space* (3.2.1) of a *quantum system* (3.2.6)

Note 1 to entry: Any quantum state in the Hilbert space can be written as a linear combination, or *quantum* superposition (3.2.8), of basis states.

Note 2 to entry: A set of basis states is often chosen to be complete and orthonormal. That is, the set spans the entire Hilbert space, and individual elements are orthogonal and normalised to length 1.

3.2.10

quantum entanglement

property of a *quantum state* (3.2.7) within a joint *quantum system* (3.2.6), consisting of at least two subsystems, for which the quantum state cannot be described in terms of independent characteristics of its individual constituents

3.2.11

quantum operator

operator (3.2.2) that acts on quantum states (3.2.7) in Hilbert space (3.2.1)

Note 1 to entry: In *quantum physics* (3.2.3), non-pure (or mixed) states, which are classical statistical mixtures of distinct pure quantum states, are represented by Hermitian density operators instead of complex vectors. Density operators contain information about both coherences between the *basis states* (3.2.9) used to represent the quantum state, and about the statistical distribution of those states.

3.2.12 Hamilto

Hamiltonian

<quantum physics>quantum operator (3.2.11) which determines the coherent evolution of a quantum system (3.2.6)

Note 1 to entry: The Hamiltonian operator usually corresponds to the total energy of a quantum system.

Note 2 to entry: The expectation value of the Hamiltonian gives the total energy for a particular quantum state.

3.2.13

eigenstate

<quantum physics>*quantum state* (3.2.7) left unchanged by the action of a *quantum operator* (3.2.11), except for a complex scaling factor

3.2.14

eigenvalue

<quantum physics>complex scaling factor corresponding to the *eigenstate* (3.2.13) of a *quantum operator* (3.2.11)

Note 1 to entry: Eigenvalues are real for Hermitian *operators* (3.2.2) and complex roots of unity for unitary operators.

3.2.15

eigenspace

<quantum physics>*Hilbert space* (3.2.1) spanned by a set of *eigenstates* (3.2.13) that share the same *eigenvalue* (3.2.14)

3.2.16

quantum measurement

process that outputs a physical property of a quantum state (3.2.7)

Note 1 to entry: Quantum measurement usually involves interaction with a meter system which encodes the output of the physical property.

Note 2 to entry: In quantum computing, quantum measurement is often modelled as a *projective measurement* (3.2.17).

3.2.17

ISO/IEC FDIS 4879

quantum measurement (3.2.16) for which instantaneously repeated measurements do not change the *quantum state* (3.2.7) achieved after an initial measurement

3.2.18

quantum coherence

projective measurement

existence or extent of unambiguous phase relationships between possible states of a quantum system (3.2.6)

Note 1 to entry: Quantum coherence in a quantum system is often defined between populations of different *basis states* (3.2.9) in an individual *quantum state* (3.2.7) of that quantum system.

3.2.19

decoherence

loss or degradation of quantum coherence (3.2.18)

Note 1 to entry: Decoherence requires interaction between a *quantum system* (3.2.6) and environmental degrees of freedom.

3.2.20

coherence time

characteristic time scale for *decoherence* (3.2.19)

Note 1 to entry: Different measurement protocols can be designed to probe different types of *decoherence* (3.2.19), and give rise to different complementary coherence times. Important examples of commonly used protocols are Ramsey, Hahn echo and CMPG.