

ISO/IEC PRF 5087-2

ISO/IEC JTC 1

Secretariat: ANSI

Date: 2024-03-01

Information technology — City data model —

**Part 2:
City level concepts**

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.itih.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/IEC PRF 5087-2](#)

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/68384b7-09b0-44d2-9b5d-b126c7449f01/iso-iec-prf-5087-2>

FDIS stage

© ISO/IEC 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: + 41 22 749 01 11
E-mail: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/IEC PRF 5087-2](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/b68384b7-09b0-44d2-9b5d-b128c7449f01/iso-iec-prf-5087-2)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/b68384b7-09b0-44d2-9b5d-b128c7449f01/iso-iec-prf-5087-2>

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Foreword | vi |
| Introduction..... | vii |
| 1 Scope | 1 |
| 2 Normative references..... | 1 |
| 3 Terms and definitions | 1 |
| 4 Abbreviated terms and namespace prefixes | 3 |
| 5 Unique identifiers | 5 |
| 6 City-level ontologies | 6 |
| 6.1 General | 6 |
| 6.2 Code pattern..... | 6 |
| 6.2.1 General..... | 6 |
| 6.2.2 Key classes and properties..... | 6 |
| 6.2.3 Formalization..... | 7 |
| 6.3 Infrastructure pattern..... | 7 |
| 6.3.1 General..... | 7 |
| 6.3.2 Key classes and properties..... | 7 |
| 6.3.3 Formalization..... | 8 |
| 6.4 Transportation Infrastructure pattern | 8 |
| 6.4.1 General..... | 8 |
| 6.4.2 Key classes and properties..... | 8 |
| 6.4.3 Formalization..... | 10 |
| 6.5 Building pattern..... | 11 |
| 6.5.1 General..... | 11 |
| 6.5.2 Key classes and properties..... | 11 |
| 6.5.3 Formalization..... | 13 |
| 6.6 Land Use pattern | 14 |
| 6.6.1 General..... | 14 |
| 6.6.2 Key classes and properties..... | 14 |
| 6.6.3 Formalization..... | 15 |
| 6.7 Person pattern..... | 15 |
| 6.7.1 General..... | 15 |
| 6.7.2 Key classes and properties..... | 15 |
| 6.7.3 Formalization..... | 17 |
| 6.8 City Resident pattern..... | 18 |
| 6.8.1 General..... | 18 |
| 6.8.2 Key classes and properties..... | 18 |
| 6.8.3 Formalization..... | 19 |

| | | |
|---|---------------------------------|----|
| 6.9 | Household pattern..... | 20 |
| 6.9.1 | General..... | 20 |
| 6.9.2 | Key classes and properties..... | 20 |
| 6.9.3 | Formalization..... | 20 |
| 6.10 | City Organization pattern..... | 21 |
| 6.10.1 | General..... | 21 |
| 6.10.2 | Key classes and properties..... | 21 |
| 6.10.3 | Formalization..... | 23 |
| 6.11 | City pattern..... | 25 |
| 6.11.1 | General..... | 25 |
| 6.11.2 | Key classes and properties..... | 25 |
| 6.11.3 | Formalization..... | 25 |
| 6.12 | City Service pattern..... | 26 |
| 6.12.1 | General..... | 26 |
| 6.12.2 | Key classes and properties..... | 26 |
| 6.13 | Contract pattern..... | 29 |
| 6.13.1 | General..... | 29 |
| 6.13.2 | Key classes and properties..... | 29 |
| 6.13.3 | Formalization..... | 30 |
| 6.14 | Bylaw pattern..... | 31 |
| 6.14.1 | General..... | 31 |
| 6.14.2 | Use cases..... | 31 |
| 6.14.3 | Key classes and properties..... | 32 |
| 6.14.4 | Formalization..... | 34 |
| 6.15 | Contact pattern..... | 35 |
| 6.15.1 | General..... | 35 |
| 6.15.2 | Key classes and properties..... | 35 |
| 6.15.3 | Formalization..... | 36 |
| 6.16 | Sensors pattern..... | 37 |
| 6.16.1 | General..... | 37 |
| Annex A (informative) Relationship to existing standards..... | | 38 |
| A.1 | CityGML..... | 38 |
| A.1.1 | Scope..... | 38 |
| A.1.2 | Relevance..... | 38 |
| A.1.3 | Data mappings..... | 38 |
| A.2 | INSPIRE..... | 40 |
| A.2.1 | Scope..... | 40 |
| A.2.2 | Relevance..... | 40 |
| A.2.3 | Data mappings..... | 40 |

ISO/IEC PRF 5087-2:2024(en)

| | | |
|-----------------------|---|----|
| A.3 | ISO 19152 series..... | 42 |
| A.3.1 | Scope | 42 |
| A.3.2 | Relevance..... | 42 |
| A.3.3 | Data mappings..... | 42 |
| A.4 | ISO 19160-1..... | 43 |
| A.4.1 | Scope | 43 |
| A.4.2 | Relevance..... | 43 |
| A.4.3 | Data mappings..... | 43 |
| A.5 | ISO 19144-2..... | 44 |
| A.5.1 | Scope | 44 |
| A.5.2 | Relevance..... | 44 |
| Annex B (informative) | Extending the Land Use pattern with multiple classification systems | 45 |
| Annex C (informative) | Location of pattern implementations | 50 |
| Bibliography | | 51 |

iTeh Standards (<https://standards.iteh.ai>) Document Preview

[ISO/IEC PRF 5087-2](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/b68384b7-09b0-44d2-9b5d-b128c7449f01/iso-iec-prf-5087-2)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/b68384b7-09b0-44d2-9b5d-b128c7449f01/iso-iec-prf-5087-2>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives or www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs).

ISO and IEC draw attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO and IEC take no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO and IEC had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents and <https://patents.iec.ch>. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html. In the IEC, see www.iec.ch/understanding-standards.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/b68384b7-09b0-44d2-9b5d-b128c7449f01/iso-iec-prf-5087-2>
A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 5087 series can be found on the ISO and IEC websites.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html and www.iec.ch/national-committees.

Introduction

The intended audience for this document includes municipal information systems departments, municipal software designers and developers, and organizations that design and develop software for municipalities.

Cities today face a challenge of how to integrate data from multiple, unrelated sources where the semantics of the data are imprecise, ambiguous and overlapping. This is especially true in a world where more and more data of interest are being openly published by various organizations. A morass of data is increasingly becoming available to support city planning and operations activities. In order to be used effectively, it is necessary for the data to be unambiguously understood so that it can be correctly combined, avoiding data silos. Early successes in data “mash-ups” relied upon an independence assumption, where unrelated data sources were linked based solely on geospatial location, or a unique identifier for a person or organization. More sophisticated analytics projects that require the combination of datasets with overlapping semantics entail a significantly greater effort to transform data into something useable. It has become increasingly clear that integrating separate datasets for this sort of analysis requires an attention to the semantics of the underlying attributes and their values.

A common data model enables city software applications to share information, plan, coordinate and execute city tasks, and support decision making within and across city services, by providing a precise, unambiguous representation of information and knowledge commonly shared across city services. This requires a clear understanding of the terms used in defining the data, as well as how they relate to one another. This requirement goes beyond syntactic integration (e.g. common data types and protocols), it requires semantic integration: a consistent, shared understanding of the meaning of information.

To motivate the need for a standard city data model, consider the evolution of cities. Cities deliver physical and social services that have traditionally operated as silos. If during the process of becoming smarter, transportation, social services, utilities, etc. were to develop their own data models, the result would be smarter silos. To create truly smart cities, data need to be shared across these silos. This can only be accomplished through the use of a common data model. For example, “Household” is a category of data that is commonly used by city services. Members of Households are the source of transportation, housing, education and recreation demand. This category represents who occupies a home, their age, their occupations, where they work, their abilities, etc. Though each city service can potentially gather and/or use different aspects of a Household, much of the data needs to be shared with each other.

Supporting this interoperability among city datasets is particularly challenging due to the diversity of the domain and the heterogeneity of its data sources. The purpose of this document is to support the precise and unambiguous specification of city data using the technology of ontologies^{[1],[2]} as implemented in the Semantic Web.^[3] By doing so it will:

- enable the computer representation of precise definitions, thereby reducing the ambiguity of interpretation;
- remove the independence assumption, thereby allowing the world of Big Data, open-source software, mobile apps, etc., to be applied for more sophisticated analysis;
- achieve semantic interoperability, namely the ability to access, understand, merge and use data available from datasets spread across the Semantic Web;
- enable the publishing of city data using Semantic Web and ontology standards; and
- enable the automated detection of city data inconsistency, and the root causes of variations.

With a clear semantics for the terminology, it is possible to perform consistency analysis, and thereby validate the correct use of the document.

Figure 1 identifies the three levels of the ISO/IEC 5087 series. The lowest level, defined in ISO/IEC 5087-1, provides the classes, properties and logical computational definitions for representing the concepts that are foundational to representing any data. The middle level, defined in ISO/IEC 5087-2 (this document), provides the classes, properties and logical, computational definitions for representing urban-specific concepts common to all city services but not specific to any service. The top level provides the classes, properties, and logical, computational definitions for representing service specific concepts that are used by other services across the city. For example, ISO/IEC TS 5087-3:—² is intended to define the transportation concepts. In the future, additional parts will be added to the ISO/IEC 5087 series covering services such as education, water, sanitation, energy, etc.




Figure 1 — Stratification of city data model

Figure 2 depicts example concepts for the three levels.




Figure 2 — Example concepts for each level

² Under preparation. Stage at the time of publication: ISO/IEC AWI TS 5087-3:2024.

ISO/IEC PRF 5087-2:2024(en)

There are other existing standards that overlap conceptually with some of the terms presented in this document. The relationship between this document and existing standards that address similar or related concepts is identified in [Annex A](#).

iTeh Standards (<https://standards.itih.ai>) Document Preview

[ISO/IEC PRF 5087-2](#)

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/b68384b7-09b0-44d2-9b5d-b128c7449f01/iso-iec-prf-5087-2>

Information technology — City data model —

Part 2: City level concepts

1 Scope

This document defines an ontology for city-level concepts defined using terms specified in ISO/IEC 5087-1. City-level concepts are used to represent data that is shared across multiple services and stakeholders in the city. City-level concepts are distinguished by their data being read and updated by multiple city services and stakeholders.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

SEMANTIC SENSOR NETWORK ONTOLOGY, W3C Recommendation 19 October 2017,
<https://www.w3.org/TR/vocab-ssn/>

ISO/IEC 5087-1, *Information technology — City data model — Part 1: Foundation level concepts*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

— IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

cardinality

number of elements in a set

[SOURCE: ISO/TS 21526:2019, 3.11]

3.2

description logic

DL

family of formal knowledge representation languages that are more expressive than propositional logic but less expressive than first-order logic

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 21972:2020, 3.2]

3.3

manchester syntax

compact, human readable syntax for expressing Description Logic descriptions

[SOURCE: <https://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-manchester-syntax/> (Copyright © 2012. World Wide Web Consortium. <https://www.w3.org/Consortium/Legal/2023/doc-license>).]

3.4

measure

value of the measurement (via the `numerical_value` property) which is linked to both `Quantity` and `Unit_of_measure`

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 21972:2020, 3.4]

3.5

namespace

collection of names, identified by a URI reference, that are used in XML documents as element names and attribute names

Note 1 to entry: Names may also be identified by an IRI reference.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 21972:2020, 3.5, modified — Note 1 to entry has been added.]

3.6

ontology

formal representation of phenomena of a universe of discourse with an underlying vocabulary including definitions and axioms that make the intended meaning explicit and describe phenomena and their interrelationships

[SOURCE: ISO 19101-1:2014, 4.1.26]

3.7

ontology web language

ontology language for the Semantic Web with formally defined meaning

Note 1 to entry: OWL 2 ontologies provide classes, properties, individuals and data values and are stored as Semantic Web documents.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 21972:2020, 3.7, modified — The preferred term "OWL 2 Web Ontology Language" has been replaced by the preferred term "ontology web language".]

3.8

quantity

property of a phenomenon, body, or substance, where the property has a magnitude that can be expressed as a number and a reference

Note 1 to entry: Quantities can appear as base quantities or derived quantities.

EXAMPLE 1 Length, mass, electric current (ISQ base quantities).

EXAMPLE 2 Plane angle, force, power (derived quantities).

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007, 1.1, modified — NOTES 1 to 6 have been removed; new Note 1 to entry and two EXAMPLES have been added.]

3.9

Semantic Web

W3C's vision of the Web of linked data

Note 1 to entry: Semantic Web technologies enable people to create data stores on the Web, build vocabularies, and write rules for handling data.

[SOURCE: <https://www.w3.org/standards/semanticweb/> (Copyright © 2015. World Wide Web Consortium. <https://www.w3.org/Consortium/Legal/2023/doc-license>).]

3.10

unit_of_measure

definite magnitude of a quantity, defined and adopted by convention and/or by law

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 21972:2020, 3.9]

4 Abbreviated terms and namespace prefixes

| | |
|-------|---------------------------------------|
| AAFC | Agriculture and Agri-Foods Canada |
| CGRM | Canadian Government Reference Mode |
| CLUMP | Canada Land Use Monitoring Program |
| DL | description logic |
| IPCC | International Panel on Climate Change |
| IRI | international resource identifier |
| LBCS | Land Based Classification Standards |
| OWL | ontology web language |
| RDF | resource description framework |
| RDFS | resource description framework schema |
| UML | Unified Modelling Language |

The following namespace prefixes are used in this document:

- activity: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-1/ed-1/en/ontology/Activity/>
- agent: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-1/ed-1/en/ontology/Agent/>
- agreement: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-1/ed-1/en/ontology/Agreement/>
- building: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/Building/>
- bylaw: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/Bylaw/>
- city: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/City/>
- cityresident: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-1/ed-1/en/ontology/CityResident/>
- cityunits: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-1/ed-1/en/ontology/CityUnits/>
- code: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/Code/>
- contact: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/Contact/>
- contract: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/Contract/>
- genprop: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-1/ed-1/en/ontology/GenericProperties/>

- geo: <http://www.opengis.net/ont/geosparql#>
- i72: <http://ontology.eil.utoronto.ca/ISO21972/iso21972/>
- infras: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/Infrastructure/>
- landuse: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/Landuse/>
- org: <http://www.w3c.org/ns/org#>
- org_s: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-1/ed-1/en/ontology/OrganizationStructure/>
- org_city: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/Organization/>
- owl: <https://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#>
- partwhole: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-1/ed-1/en/ontology/Mereology/>
- person: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/Person/>
- rdf: <https://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
- rdfs: <https://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>
- recurringevent: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-1/ed-1/en/ontology/RecurringEvent/>
- resource: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-1/ed-1/en/ontology/Resource/>
- loc: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-1/ed-1/en/ontology/SpatialLoc/>
- service: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/CityService/>
- transinfras: <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/5087/-2/ed-1/en/ontology/TransportationInfrastructure/>
- time: <https://www.w3.org/2006/time#>
- xsd: <https://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>

The formalization of the classes in this document is specified using the following table format, which is a simplification of description logic (DL) where the first column identifies the class name, the second column its properties and the third column each property's range restriction. It shall be read as: The <Class> is a subClassOf the conjunction of the associated <property>s with their <value>s. Range restrictions are specified using the Manchester syntax. For example, [Table 1](#) specifies that Agent is an rdfs:subClassOf the intersection of (Person or Organization) and org:memberOf only Organization.

Table 1 — Example formalization of the Agent class

| Class | Property | Value Restriction |
|-------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Agent | rdfs:subClassOf | Person or org_s:Organization |
| | org_s:memberOf | only Organization |
| | individual | {joe, frank} |