

Designation: D7729 - 12

# Standard Practice for Determining and Expressing Precision of Measurement Results, in the Analysis of Water, as Relative Standard Deviation, Utilizing DQCALC Software<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D7729; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon  $(\varepsilon)$  indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

#### 1. Scope

- 1.1 This Practice describes a procedure for developing a graphical model of relative standard deviation vs concentration for a analytical methods used in the analysis of water (methods that are subject to non-additive random errors) for the purpose of assigning a statement of noise or randomness to analytical results (commonly referred to as a precision statement), in either a manual or an automated fashion.
- 1.2 Data analysis and modeling is done with D19 Adjunct DQCALC (an Excel based tool).
- 1.3 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard. The values given in parentheses are for information only.
- 1.4 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

# 2. Introduction rds. iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/26c6b3a

- 2.1 An understanding of the uncertainty associated with measurement results is necessary for evaluating the utility of those results. Without a reported uncertainty estimate, users of measurement results are unable to determine if the data are sufficiently precise for any specific data use.
- 2.2 Measurement Uncertainty is most generally understood to be "a parameter characterizing the dispersion of the quantity values being attributed to a measurand" (from International Vocabulary of Metrology (VIM) 2.26). This definition can be implemented as an expression ("uncertainty statement") associated with an reported measurement that represents the statistically based (Type A estimate) dispersion of experimental results around a reported value.
- <sup>1</sup> This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D19 on Water and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D19.02 on Quality Systems, Specification, and Statistics.
- Current edition approved April 1, 2012. Published September 2012. DOI: 10.1520/D7729-12.

- 2.3 There is no universally agreed upon format or nomenclature for uncertainty statements. The literature offers suggestions ranging from simple expressions of standard deviation or "fractional uncertainty" (standard deviation divided by reported result) to confidence intervals to detailed "uncertainty reports".
- 2.4 In addition to the "random" errors encompassed in the ideas expressed in 1.1 and 1.2, above, there are also "systematic" errors, biases, that can be considered as part of uncertainty. The literature is not consistent on how unknown bias is considered in an uncertainty statement. For purposes of this Standard, bias is assumed to have been corrected for or insignificant in the reported results, and bias is not specifically incorporated in the proposed uncertainty statement.
- 2.5 For purposes of this Standard, the terms "MU", uncertainty statement, or measurement uncertainty will be used synonymously to designate the expression accompanying measurement results for the purpose of assessing the utility of those results.
- 2.6 This Standard proposes the use of fractional uncertainty or Relative Standard Deviation (RSD) as the expression of MU.
- 2.7 Traditionally, in the generation and publication of data related to the analysis of water, a continuous function (model) describing the relationship of uncertainty (as standard deviation) to concentration is not available. To compensate for this lack, discrete points bounding certain levels of uncertainty are calculated, for example, "detection limits" (typically around 33% RSD) and "quantitation limits" (often around 10% RSD). Results are flagged to indicate their relationship to one of these limits. Alternatively, this Practice directs the creation of a model of uncertainty (RSD vs concentration) which allows assignment of a discrete uncertainty estimate to any result value measured within the range of modeled data.
- 2.8 This Practice is based on the use of the DQCALC software that was developed to simplify the calculation of the IQE Inter-laboratory Quantitation Estimate (D6512). This Practice is restricted to the development of an uncertainty model for the reporting of MU within a single laboratory. In

addition to providing an estimate of single-laboratory measurement uncertainty, the DQCALC software automatically calculates LC – from Curie, equivalent to EPA's MDL, and the ASTM Detection Estimate for a single lab (this utilizes a "3 sigma" tolerance interval rather than the standard confidence interval).

- 2.9 This Practice provides the tools to allow a Laboratory to embed the RSD vs Concentration relationship into a sufficiently powerful Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) resulting in the ability to automatically report MU with all data reported out of the LIMS for modeled parameters.
- 2.10 The DQCALC Software is available from ASTM (see Standard D7510).
- 2.11 In addition, this Standard discusses the variables that should be considered for inclusion in the uncertainty modeling study.

#### 3. Referenced Documents

3.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

D6512 Practice for Interlaboratory Quantitation Estimate
D7510 Practice for Performing Detection and Quantitation
Estimation and Data Assessment Utilizing DQCALC
Software, based on ASTM Practices D6091 and D6512 of
Committee D19 on Water

3.2 Other Standard:<sup>3</sup>

International Vocabulary of Metrology Basic and General Concepts and Associated Terms, VIM, 3rd edition, JCGM 200:2008

# 4. Terminology

- 4.1 Definitions:
- 4.1.1 *Measurement Uncertainty, n*—in the analysis of water, a value representing the precision of a reported determination.
- 4.1.2 in the analysis of water, a value representing the precision of a reported determination, expressed as the relative standard deviation of typical measurements of the same form.
  - 4.2 Symbols

IQE - Inter-laboratory Quantitation Estimate

LIMS - Laboratory Information Management System

MU - Measurement Uncertainty

RSD - Relative Standard Deviation

### 5. Summary of Practice

5.1 The relationship between Relative Standard Deviation and concentration is modeled using a multi-replicate and multi-level design and utilizing the curve fitting tools in the DQCALC software. The DQCALC software will return the coefficients for the selected function/model of standard deviation against concentration. The general equations are given in this Practice. From the equation, the appropriate standard deviation for any concentration in the range represented in the

model study can be calculated. This can then be converted into RSD, the recommended reporting format.

5.2 The IQE Practice that forms the basis for this Practice, has the feature of correcting for recovery. Therefore, for purposes of this Practice true concentrations, that is, concentrations that have been "corrected" for recovery bias are used. Where a laboratory in use of its methods of testing does not correct resultant values, the calculated RSD will be marginally higher or lower, depending on the magnitude of the uncorrected bias in the reported data. Where uncorrected bias is less than 10% of the magnitude of the result, the error in the RSD estimate may be considered insignificant.

## 6. Sources of Imprecision

- 6.1 When utilizing the result of a measurement to make a binary decision (yes/no, pass/fail, etc.) there is a risk of making a false positive determination (saying a condition exists when it does not) or a false negative determination (saying a condition does not exist when it does). The more precise the estimate of the measurement uncertainty of the result (the smaller the relative standard deviation), the less chance there is of making such incorrect assessments.
- 6.2 The most precise possible estimate of a result's MU would be obtained through replicate measurements done at the same time as the initial measurement. (This would, of course, also give a more precise estimate of the measurement result a mean with n >1). The greater the number of replicates performed, the better the estimate of MU. In practice, this level of analytical work is rarely performed, unless there are dire consequences associated with the result.
- 6.3 Under typical circumstances in analytical laboratories, uncertainty is not determined from replicates of real-world samples. An assumption (rarely tested) is made that the uncertainty of the measurements of standards of known (traceable) concentration is comparable to the uncertainty of measurements on real world samples. It is well known that different matrices, especially matrices with suspended matter containing the analyte, have much different measurement uncertainties and they are typically greater than that of measurements on traceable standard solutions, but for pragmatic reasons this is often ignored. This means uncertainty estimates determined from standards run in replicate with the real world sample measurement, are estimates of uncertainty that are typically much smaller (implying much better precision) than is warranted and are estimates of the method performance on ideal samples.
- 6.4 But, again, under typical circumstances, replicate standard determinations are not performed with each particular real world sample measurement. They are typically performed across different batches, different days, different operators, and, even across different laboratories. Each of these elements or variables batch, day, etc. adds an extra component of "noise", each increasing the magnitude of the uncertainty estimate.
- 6.5 Within each prescribed set of variables (given batch, day, operator, etc.), the replicate precision obtained is often

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Available from BIMP at http://www.bipm.org.