

---

---

**Information technology — JPEG 2000  
image coding system —**

**Part 4:  
Conformance Testing**

*Technologies de l'information — Système de codage d'images JPEG  
2000 —*

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
*Partie 4: Tests de conformité*  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[ISO/IEC 15444-4:2021](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/72ca31f9-53b2-4388-b854-5ac1d79ffa0c/iso-iec-15444-4-2021)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/72ca31f9-53b2-4388-b854-5ac1d79ffa0c/iso-iec-15444-4-2021>



Reference number  
ISO/IEC 15444-4:2021(E)

© ISO/IEC 2021

## iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC 15444-4:2021  
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/72ca31f9-53b2-4388-b854-5ac1d79ffa0c/iso-iec-15444-4-2021>



### **COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO/IEC 2021

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see [https://patents.iec.ch](http://patents.iec.ch)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html). In the IEC, see [www.iec.ch/understanding-standards](http://www.iec.ch/understanding-standards).

This document was prepared by ITU-T (as ITU-T REC. T.803) and drafted in accordance with its editorial rules, in collaboration with Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 29, *Coding of audio, picture, multimedia and hypermedia information*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO/IEC 15444-4:2004), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- addition of the criteria to be achieved to claim compliance with Rec. ITU-T 814 | ISO/IEC 15444-15.

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 15444 series can be found on the ISO and IEC websites.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html) and [www.iec.ch/national-committees](http://www.iec.ch/national-committees).

## **iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW** **(standards.iteh.ai)**

ISO/IEC 15444-4:2021

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/72ca31f9-53b2-4388-b854-5ac1d79ffa0c/iso-iec-15444-4-2021>

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1	Scope .....	1
2	References .....	1
3	Definitions .....	1
4	Abbreviations and symbols .....	4
4.1	Abbreviations .....	4
4.2	Symbols .....	5
5	Conventions .....	6
6	General description .....	6
6.1	Profiles, derived sets and compliance classes .....	7
6.2	Decoders .....	8
6.3	Encoders and codestreams .....	8
6.4	Implementation compliance statement .....	8
6.5	Abstract test suites .....	9
6.6	Encoder compliance testing procedure .....	9
6.7	Decoder compliance testing procedure .....	9
7	Copyright .....	9
8	Compliance files availability and updates .....	9
Annex A	Decoder compliance classes .....	10
A.1	Compliance class parameter definitions .....	10
A.1.1	Profile; codestream guarantees .....	10
A.1.2	$H$ , $W$ , $C$ : Image size guarantees .....	10
A.1.3	$N_{cb}$ : Code-block parsing guarantee .....	11
A.1.4	$N_{comp}$ : Component parsing guarantee .....	11
A.1.5	$L_{body}$ : Coded data buffering guarantee .....	11
A.1.6	$M$ : Decoded bit-plane guarantee .....	12
A.1.7	$P$ : 9-7I precision guarantee .....	12
A.1.8	$B$ : 5-3R precision guarantee .....	12
A.1.9	$T_L$ : Transform level guarantee .....	12
A.1.10	$L$ : Layer guarantee .....	12
A.1.11	Progressions .....	12
A.1.12	Tile-parts .....	13
A.1.13	Precincts .....	13
A.1.14	$M_{MAGB}$ : Magnitude bound guarantee .....	13
A.2	Compliance class definitions .....	13
A.3	Lossless encoding and decoding .....	14
Annex B	Decoder compliance testing procedures .....	15
B.1	General .....	15
B.2	Decoder test procedure .....	15
B.2.1	Files for testing .....	16
B.2.2	Decoder settings .....	16
B.2.3	Output file format conversion .....	16
B.2.4	Compare decoded and formatted components with reference components .....	18
B.2.5	Compare error metrics with specification .....	18
B.2.6	Reference components file format .....	19
Annex C	Compliance tests .....	20
C.1	Abstract test suite (informative) .....	20
C.1.1	Syntax and compressed data order .....	20
C.1.2	Arithmetic entropy encoding .....	20
C.1.3	Coefficient bit modelling .....	21
C.1.4	Quantization .....	21
C.1.5	Discrete wavelet transform .....	21
C.1.6	DC level shift and multiple component transform .....	21
C.1.7	Region of interest .....	21
C.1.8	JP2 file format .....	22

C.1.9	High throughput cleanup pass coding .....	22
C.1.10	HT refinement pass coding .....	22
C.1.11	Placeholder passes.....	22
C.1.12	Mixing of HT and J2K code-blocks within HTJ2K codestreams .....	22
C.1.13	JPH File format .....	22
C.2	Executable test suite .....	23
C.2.1	Class 0 Profile-0.....	23
C.2.2	Class 0 Profile-1 .....	27
C.2.3	Class 1 Profile-0.....	28
C.2.4	Class 1 Profile-1 .....	29
Annex D	Encoder compliance test procedure.....	31
D.1	General .....	31
D.2	Reference decoder.....	31
D.3	Compliance requirement and acceptance .....	31
D.4	Encoding compliance test procedure.....	31
Annex E	Decoder implementation compliance statement .....	33
E.1	General .....	33
E.2	Decoder implementation compliance statement.....	33
E.3	Extended support.....	33
Annex F	Encoder implementation compliance statement.....	36
F.1	General .....	36
F.2	Encoder description.....	36
Annex G	JP2 and JPH file format reader compliance testing procedures.....	38
G.1	General .....	38
G.2	JP2 file compliance requirement and acceptance.....	38
G.3	Reading a JP2 file compliance test procedure.....	38
G.4	JP2 file format test codestreams and images.....	39
G.4.1	Test files.....	39
G.4.2	Reference decoded images .....	39
G.4.3	Tolerances .....	39
G.4.4	Additional information regarding the JP2 test files.....	40
G.5	JPH file format test codestreams and images.....	41
G.5.1	Test files.....	41
G.5.2	Relationship between the JP2 and JPH test files .....	41

ITih STANDARD PREVIEW  
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC 15444-4:2021

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7319-53b2-4388-b854-5ac1d79850c/iso-iec-15444-4-2021>

Sac1d79850c/iso-iec-15444-4-2021

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD  
ITU-T RECOMMENDATION

Information technology –  
JPEG 2000 image coding system: Conformance testing

## 1 Scope

This Recommendation | International Standard specifies the framework, concepts, methodology for testing, and criteria to be achieved to claim compliance to Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1 or Rec. ITU-T T.814 | ISO/IEC 15444-15. It provides a framework for specifying abstract test suites (ATSs) and for defining the procedures to be followed during compliance testing.

This Recommendation | International Standard:

- specifies compliance testing procedures for encoding and decoding using Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1 and Rec. ITU-T T.814 | ISO/IEC 15444-15;
- specifies codestreams, decoded images, and error metrics to be used with the testing procedures;
- specifies ATSs;
- provides guidance for creating an encoder compliance test

This Recommendation | International Standard does not include the following tests:

Acceptance testing: the process of determining whether an implementation satisfies acceptance criteria and enables the user to determine whether or not to accept the implementation. This includes the planning and execution of several kinds of tests (e.g., functionality, quality, and speed performance testing) that demonstrate that the implementation satisfies the user requirements.

Performance testing: measures the performance characteristics of an implementation under test (IUT) such as its throughput and responsiveness, under various conditions.

Robustness testing: the process of determining how well an implementation processes data which contains errors.

## 2 References

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/72ca31f9-53b2-4388-b854-5ac1d79ffa0c/iso-iec-15444-4-2021>

The following Recommendations and International Standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation | International Standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and Standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this Recommendation | International Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and Standards listed below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards. The Telecommunication Standardization Bureau of the ITU maintains a list of currently valid ITU-T Recommendations.

- Recommendation ITU-T T.800 (2019) | ISO/IEC 15444-1:2019, *Information technology – JPEG 2000 image coding system: Core coding system*.
- Recommendation ITU-T T.814 (2019) | ISO/IEC 15444-15:2019, *Information technology – JPEG 2000 image coding system: High-throughput JPEG 2000*.

## 3 Definitions

For the purposes of this Recommendation | International Standard, the terms and definitions given in Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1, Rec. ITU-T T.814 | ISO/IEC 15444-15 and the following apply.

- 3.1 abstract test suite (ATS):** Generic compliance testing concepts and procedures for a given requirement.
- 3.2 arithmetic coder:** An entropy coder that converts variable length strings to variable length codes (encoding) and vice versa (decoding).
- 3.3 big endian:** An order of bytes with the most significant byte first.
- 3.4 bit:** A contraction of the term "binary digit"; a unit of information represented by a 0 or a 1.
- 3.5 bit-depth:** The number of bits required to represent an original component of an image.

- 3.6 bit-plane:** A two-dimensional array of bits. In this Recommendation | International Standard, a bit-plane refers to all the bits of the same magnitude in all coefficients or samples. This could refer to a bit-plane in a component, tile-component, code-block, region of interest, or other.
- 3.7 bitstream:** The actual sequence of bits resulting from the coding of a sequence of symbols. It does not include the markers or marker segments in the main and tile-part headers or the end of codestream marker. It does include any packet headers and in stream markers and marker segments not found within the main or tile-part headers.
- 3.8 box:** A portion of the file format defined by a length and unique box type. Boxes of some types may contain other boxes.
- 3.9 byte:** Eight bits.
- 3.10 Cclass:** Defines a level of performance for a decoder. Also provides guidance for encoders to produce codestreams that are easily decodable by compliant decoders.
- 3.11 code-block:** A rectangular grouping of coefficients from the same sub-band of a tile-component.
- 3.12 coder:** An embodiment of either an encoding or decoding process.
- 3.13 codestream:** A collection of one or more bitstreams and the main header, tile-part headers, and the end of codestream required for their decoding and expansion into image data. This is the image data in a compressed form with all of the signalling needed to decode. This does not include the file format.
- 3.14 coding pass:** A procedure accessing coefficients in a code-block where the context and bit are determined. Typically, there are three different coding passes for each bit-plane, each coefficient will be represented in exactly one of the three passes. For an encoder a coding pass examines coefficients and augments a bitstream. For a decoder a coding pass reads a bitstream and updates coefficients.
- 3.15 coefficient:** The values that are the result of a transformation.
- 3.16 component:** A two-dimensional array of samples. An image typically consists of several components (e.g., red, green, and blue).
- 3.17 compressed image data:** Part or all of a codestream. Can also refer to a collection of bitstreams in part or all of a codestream.
- 3.18 compliance:** Fulfilment of the specified requirements, as defined in this Recommendation | International Standard, for a given Profile and Cclass.
- 3.19 compliance test procedure:** The process of assessing compliance.
- 3.20 context:** Function of coefficients previously decoded and used to condition the decoding of the present coefficient.
- 3.21 decoder:** An embodiment of a decoding process, and optionally a colour transformation process.
- 3.22 decoding process:** A process that takes as its input all or part of a codestream and outputs all or part of a reconstructed image.
- 3.23 decomposition level:** A collection of wavelet sub-bands where each coefficient has the same spatial impact or span with respect to the source component samples. These include all sub-bands of the same two-dimensional sub-band decomposition. For the last decomposition level, the LL sub-band is also included.
- 3.24 discrete wavelet transformation (DWT):** A transformation that iteratively transforms one signal into two or more filtered and decimated signals corresponding to different frequency bands. This transformation operates on spatially discrete samples.
- 3.25 encoder:** An embodiment of an encoding process, and optionally a colour transformation process.
- 3.26 encoding process:** A process that takes as its input all or part of a source image data and outputs a codestream.
- 3.27 executable test suite (ETS):** Set of executable test cases that support the abstract test cases.
- 3.28 file format:** A codestream and additional support data and information not explicitly required for the decoding of the codestream. Examples of such support data include text fields providing titling, security and historical information, data to support placement of multiple codestreams within a given data file, and data to support exchange between platforms or conversion to other file formats.
- 3.29 fully decode:** Applying Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1 to produce an image from a codestream where all coded data in the codestream has been used to produce the image.

- 3.30 guard bits:** Additional most significant bits that have been added to sample data.
- 3.31 header:** Either a part of the codestream that contains only markers and marker segments (main header and tile part header) or the signalling part of a packet (packet header).
- 3.32 image:** The set of all components.
- 3.33 image data:** The component samples making up an image. Image data can refer to either the source image data or the reconstructed image data.
- 3.34 implementation:** A realization of a specification.
- 3.35 implementation compliance statement (ICS):** Statement of specification options and the extent to which they have been implemented by an implementation under test.
- 3.36 implementation under test (IUT):** An implementation that is being evaluated for compliance.
- 3.37 irreversible:** A transformation, progression, system, quantization, or other process that, due to systemic or quantization error, prevents lossless recovery.
- 3.38 JP2 file:** The name of a file in the file format described in this Recommendation | International Standard. Structurally, a JP2 file is a contiguous sequence of boxes.
- 3.39 JPEG:** Joint Photographic Experts Group – The joint ISO/ITU committee responsible for developing standards for continuous-tone still picture coding. It also refers to the standards produced by this committee: Rec. ITU-T T.81 | ISO/IEC 10918-1, Rec. ITU-T T.83 | ISO/IEC 10918-2, Rec. ITU-T T.84 | ISO/IEC 10918-3 and Rec. ITU-T T.87 | ISO/IEC 14495-1.
- 3.40 LL sub-band:** The sub-band obtained by forward horizontal low-pass filtering and vertical low-pass filtering. This sub-band contributes to reconstruction with inverse vertical low-pass filtering and horizontal low-pass filtering.
- 3.41 layer:** A collection of compressed image data from coding passes of one, or more, code-blocks of a tile-component. Layers have an order for encoding and decoding that has to be preserved.
- 3.42 lossless:** A descriptive term for the effect of the overall encoding and decoding processes in which the output of the decoding process is identical to the input to the encoding process. Distortion-free restoration can be assured. All of the coding processes or steps used for encoding and decoding are reversible.
- 3.43 lossy:** A descriptive term for the effect of the overall encoding and decoding processes in which the output of the decoding process is not identical to the input to the encoding process. There is distortion (measured mathematically). At least one of the coding processes or steps used for encoding and decoding is irreversible.
- 3.44 main header:** A group of markers and marker segments at the beginning of the codestream that describe the image parameters and coding parameters that can apply to every tile and tile-component.
- 3.45 marker:** A two-byte code in which the first byte is hexadecimal FF (0xFF) and the second byte is a value between 1 (0x01) and hexadecimal FE (0xFE).
- 3.46 marker segment:** A marker and associated (not empty) set of parameters.
- 3.47 packet:** A part of the codestream comprising a packet header and the compressed image data from one layer of one precinct of one resolution level of one tile-component.
- 3.48 packet header:** Portion of the packet that contains signalling necessary for decoding that packet.
- 3.49 parser:** Reads and identifies components of the codestream down to the code-block level.
- 3.50 partial decoding:** Producing an image from a subset of an entire codestream.
- 3.51 precinct:** A rectangular region of a transformed tile-component, within each resolution level, used for limiting the size of packets.
- 3.52 precision:** Number of bits allocated to a particular sample, coefficient, or other binary numerical representation.
- 3.53 progression:** The order of a codestream where the decoding of each successive bit contributes to a "better" reconstruction of the image. What metrics make the reconstruction "better" is a function of the application. Some examples of progression are increasing resolution or improved sample fidelity.
- 3.54 profile:** A subset of technology, from Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1, that meets the needs of a given application with limits on parameters within a selected technology. This is a codestream limitation.

- 3.55 quantization:** A method of reducing the precision of the individual coefficients to reduce the number of bits used to represent them. This is equivalent to division while compressing and multiplying while decompressing. Quantization can be achieved by an explicit operation with a given quantization value (scalar quantization) or by dropping (truncating) coding passes from the codestream.
- 3.56 reconstructed image:** An image that is the output of a decoder.
- 3.57 reference grid:** A regular rectangular array of points used to define other rectangular arrays of data. The reference grid is used to determine the number of samples in tile-components for example.
- 3.58 region of interest (ROI):** A collection of coefficients that are considered of particular relevance by some user-defined measure.
- 3.59 reversible:** A transformation, progression, system, or other process that does not suffer systemic or quantization error and therefore allows for lossless signal recovery.
- 3.60 reversible filter:** A particular filter pair used in the wavelet transformation which allows lossless compression.
- 3.61 sample:** One element in the two-dimensional array that comprises a component.
- 3.62 selective arithmetic coding bypass:** A coding style where some of the code-block passes are not coded by the arithmetic coder. Instead, the bits to be coded are appended directly to the bitstream without coding.
- 3.63 shift:** Multiplication or division of a number by powers of two. Division of an integer via shift implies truncation toward minus infinity of the non-integer portion.
- 3.64 sign bit:** A bit that indicates whether a number is positive (value 0) or negative (value 1).
- 3.65 sign-magnitude notation:** A binary representation of an integer where the distance from the origin is expressed with a positive number and the direction from the origin (positive or negative) is expressed with a separate single sign bit.
- 3.66 source image:** An image used as input to an encoder.
- 3.67 sub-band:** A group of transform coefficients resulting from the same sequence of low-pass and high-pass filtering operations, both vertically and horizontally.
- 3.68 testing:** The process of evaluating compliance.
- 3.69 tile:** A rectangular array of points on the reference grid, registered with an offset from the reference grid origin and defined by a width and height.
- 3.70 tile-component:** All the samples of a given component in a tile.
- 3.71 tile-part:** A portion of the codestream with compressed image data for some, or all, of a tile. The tile-part may include one or more packets that make up the coded tile.
- 3.72 tile-part header:** A group of markers and marker segments at the beginning of each tile-part in the codestream that describe the tile-part coding parameters.
- 3.73 transformation:** A mathematical mapping from one signal space to another.
- 3.74 transform coefficient:** A value that is the result of a transformation.

## 4 Abbreviations and symbols

### 4.1 Abbreviations

For the purposes of this Recommendation | International Standard, the abbreviations given in Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1 and the following apply.

ATS	Abstract Test Suite
BSET	subset of the ETS consisting of HTJ2K test codestreams that differ only by $B_{MAGB}$ value
ETS	Executable Test Suite
HT	High Throughput
HTJ2K	High Throughput JPEG 2000
ICC	International Colour Consortium

ICS	Implementation Compliance Statement
ICT	Irreversible Component Transform
IDWT	Inverse Discrete Wavelet Transformation
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
ITU	International Telecommunication Union
ITU-T	International Telecommunication Union – Telecommunication Standardization Sector
IUT	Implementation Under Test
J2K	JPEG 2000 <sup>1</sup>
JPEG	Joint Photographic Experts Group
MAGB	Magnitude Bound
MSE	Mean Squared Error
RCT	Reversible Component Transform
ROI	Region Of Interest
sRGB	standard Red–Green–Blue
TCS	Test Codestream

## 4.2 Symbols

For the purposes of this Recommendation | International Standard, the following symbols apply.

0x----	Denotes a hexadecimal number
<i>B</i>	Bit-depth precision for reversible 5-3
<i>B</i> <sub>MAGB</sub>	Magnitude bound parameter for an HTJ2K codestream
<i>C</i>	Component guaranteed to be decoded
CAP	Capabilities
COC	Coding style Component
COD	Coding style Default
COM	Comment
CPF	Corresponding Profile
CRG	Component Registration
EPH	End of Packet Header
EOC	End of Codestream
<i>H</i>	image Height guarantee
<i>L</i>	Layer guarantee
<i>L</i> <sub>body</sub>	code data buffering guarantee
<i>M</i>	decoded bit-plane guarantee
<i>M</i> <sub>MAGB</sub>	Magnitude bound decoding guarantee
<i>N</i> <sub>cb</sub>	code-block parsing guarantee
<i>N</i> <sub>comp</sub>	component parsing guarantee
<i>P</i>	irreversible 9-7 Precision guarantee
PLM	Packet Length, Main header marker
PLT	Packet Length, Tile-part header marker
POC	Progression Order Change marker
PPM	Packed Packet headers, Main header marker

<sup>1</sup> As defined in Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1.

PPT	Packed Packet headers, Tile-part header marker
PRF	Profile marker
QCC	Quantization Component marker
QCD	Quantization Default marker
RGN	Region of interest marker
SIZ	image and tile Size marker
SOC	Start Of Codestream marker
SOP	Start Of Packet marker
SOD	Start Of Data marker
SOT	Start Of Tile-part marker
$T_L$	Transform level guarantee
TLM	Tile-part Lengths marker
W	image Width guarantee

## 5 Conventions

The compliance files including test codestreams, JP2 files, JPH files, reference decoded images, and descriptive files are supplied in the form of a compressed file. File locations given in this Recommendation | International Standard are expressed relative to the top level of the directory tree. A Unix style file structure and delimiters are assumed.

This Recommendation | International Standard contains instructions for the use of these files. No support can be provided by ISO | ITU-T beyond that offered in this Recommendation | International Standard.

## iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

## 6 General description

Perhaps the most distinctive feature of JPEG 2000 is its emphasis on and support for scalability. An existing codestream may be accessed at a reduced resolution, at a reduced quality (higher compression), at a reduced number of components, and even over a reduced spatial region. Moreover, this Recommendation | International Standard supports a rich family of information progression sequences whereby the information may be reordered without introducing additional distortion. This enables a single compressed codestream to serve the needs of a diverse range of applications.

This Recommendation | International Standard also covers compliance for implementations of Rec. ITU-T T.814 | ISO/IEC 15444-15. To avoid confusion, the terms JPEG 2000 (J2K) and high throughput JPEG 2000 (HTJ2K) are used in this Recommendation | International Standard, where necessary, to differentiate between JPEG 2000 codestreams that conform to Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1 and those that conform to Rec. ITU-T T.814 | ISO/IEC 15444-15, respectively. J2K codestreams can be reversibly transcoded to HTJ2K and vice-versa, without any loss in information. This property allows compliance for HTJ2K and J2K implementations to be treated in a very similar manner. In fact, all of the HTJ2K test codestreams and JPH files are provided zipped with this Specification at <https://www.itu.int/net/itu-t/sigdb/speimage/ImageForm-s.aspx?val=10100803> or at <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/15444-4/ed-3/en> have been obtained by reversibly transcoding corresponding J2K test codestreams and JP2 files available at the same location. The decoded output from an HTJ2K decoder is expected to conform to the same guidelines as the decoded output from a J2K decoder, processing the corresponding J2K codestream or JP2 file.

From the perspective of compliance, the main distinction between HTJ2K and J2K is that an HTJ2K codestream does not generally possess the same quality scalability attributes as the corresponding J2K codestream. An HTJ2K decoder cannot choose to stop the decoding of a code-block bit-stream at an arbitrary bit-plane, providing a fine grain trade-off between implementation complexity and reconstructed image quality. Considering this difference between HTJ2K and J2K, this Recommendation | International Standard provides multiple transcodings of J2K test codestreams, allowing implementations to be tested at multiple quality operating points.

JPEG 2000 encoders may employ only a fraction of the features supported by Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1. Likewise, some decoders will not support all the features supported by this Recommendation | International Standard. It is impossible to provide test cases for all possible combinations of tools that an encoder or decoder may choose to implement. This Recommendation | International Standard provides abstract test procedures for JPEG 2000 encoders and decoders. A developer may designate the features that have been implemented and determine a set of test cases that applies to those features. For the greatest level of interoperability, there are explicit decoder test procedures. These tests are run for a particular Profile (defined in Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1) and a particular compliance class defined herein. Passing the explicit tests allows a decoder to be labelled "Profile-x Cclass-y Compliant".

Even with the explicit decoder tests, it is expected that some decoders may not decode all of the information that was originally incorporated into the codestream by an encoder. This is the only way to truly exploit the scalability of Rec ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1. It is desirable to allow decoders to ignore information that is not of interest to their target application. While this flexibility is one of the strengths of JPEG 2000, it also renders inappropriate some of the conventional compliance testing methodologies that have been applied to non-scalable or less scalable compression standards.

Many approaches to compliance could be taken. At one extreme, decoder implementations might be allowed to decode any portion of the codestream that is of interest to them. At the other extreme, they might be required to correctly decode the entire codestream. The first approach offers content providers and consumers no guarantee concerning the quality of the resulting imagery. The other approach is also inappropriate because it offers the implementer no guarantee concerning the resources that may be required for decoding, and in many cases the codestream may contain information that is of no interest to the application.

This Recommendation | International Standard describes compliance for JPEG 2000 decoders in terms of a system of guarantees. These guarantees serve to discourage encoders from producing codestreams that will be exceedingly difficult or impossible for a decoder to process, to encourage decoders to provide quality images from any reasonable codestream, and to encourage use of the flexibility and scalability of JPEG 2000 codestreams.

*Profiles* define a subset of technology, from Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1, that meets the needs of a given application with limits on parameters within a selected technology. Profiles limit bitstreams. Decoders define capabilities for all bitstreams within a profile. Encoders achieve quality guarantees for particular decoders by encoding bitstreams which meet a particular profile definition. *Compliance classes* (Cclass) define guarantees of a given level of image quality for a decoder and guidance for encoders to produce codestreams that are easily decodable by compliant decoders.

Essentially, if a JPEG 2000 encoder produces a codestream with certain properties, then a decoder of a certain Cclass will be capable of producing an image with some defined level of quality. The compliance class of a decoder is based solely on passing certain tests. The tests in this Recommendation | International Standard are designed to require a compliant decoder to be capable of decoding all codestreams with a set of defined properties.

## 6.1 Profiles, derived sets and compliance classes

Two profiles are defined in Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1, labelled Profile-0 and Profile-1. The two profiles describe bitstream constraints for a Rec. ITU-T T.800 | ISO/IEC 15444-1 encoder. Profile-0 is a subset of Profile-1. Hence, any implementation capable of decoding all Profile-1 test streams shall be capable of passing the compliance tests for Profile-0 of the same Cclass.

For HTJ2K codestreams, no profiles are defined in Rec. ITU-T T.814 | ISO/IEC 15444-15. All of the HTJ2K test codestreams and JPH files are provided zipped with this specification at <https://www.itu.int/net/itu-t/sigdb/speimage/ImageForm-s.aspx?val=10100803> or at <https://standards.iso.org/iso-iec/15444-4/ed-3/en> include a CPF marker segment, that indicates the profile of the J2K codestream from which they were transcoded.

Rec. ITU-T T.814 | ISO/IEC 15444-15 defines constrained codestream sets that partition the space of all HTJ2K codestreams as a function of the capabilities, complexity or throughput of an HTJ2K decoder. One important example is the HTONLY set, which consists of HTJ2K codestreams that use only HT code-blocks. Codestreams that do not belong to the HTONLY set may use the J2K block coding algorithm for some or all of their code-blocks. In particular, the MIXED set of HTJ2K codestreams involve tile-components that use a mixture of HT and J2K code-blocks.

This International Recommendation | Standard provides test procedures and codestreams for HTJ2K decoders that are derived from the same compliance points as those for J2K decoders, by means of a transcoding step. The derived HTJ2K test codestreams are classified into the four derived sets defined in Table-1. Each of the two DS0 sets is a subset of the corresponding DS1 set, being derived from J2K profiles 0 and 1, respectively. Also, the HT derived sets are each subsets of the corresponding HM set.

NOTE – There are many other ways to construct and classify test codestreams for HTJ2K decoders that can be useful for specific applications. The principles embodied by this Recommendation | International Standard can be readily extended to the testing of HTJ2K decoders whose capabilities are classified in a different manner to that set out in Table 1.

Annex A defines three compliance classes (Cclass) for J2K codestreams, and three derived compliance classes for HTJ2K codestreams. These Cclasses define levels of image quality guarantees for decoders and guidance for encoders to produce codestreams that are easily decodable by compliant decoders. Cclass guarantees increase with the increasing Cclass numbers.