



FINAL DRAFT

International Standard

ISO/FDIS 23793-1

Intelligent transport systems — Minimal risk manoeuvre (MRM) for automated driving —

Part 1: Framework, straight-stop and in- lane stop

*Systèmes de transport intelligents — Manœuvre à risque minimal
pour la conduite automatisée (MRM) —*

Partie 1: Cadre général, arrêt en ligne droite et arrêt dans la voie

ISO/TC 204

Secretariat: **ANSI**

Voting begins on:
2024-05-07

Voting terminates on:
2024-07-02

RECIPIENTS OF THIS DRAFT ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT, WITH THEIR COMMENTS, NOTIFICATION OF ANY RELEVANT PATENT RIGHTS OF WHICH THEY ARE AWARE AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

IN ADDITION TO THEIR EVALUATION AS BEING ACCEPTABLE FOR INDUSTRIAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, COMMERCIAL AND USER PURPOSES, DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS MAY ON OCCASION HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF THEIR POTENTIAL TO BECOME STANDARDS TO WHICH REFERENCE MAY BE MADE IN NATIONAL REGULATIONS.

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO/FDIS 23793-1

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/2a361c3d-ef83-4e1a-a4c3-d684d362d0b3/iso-fdis-23793-1>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Abbreviated terms	3
5 Function framework	3
5.1 MRM description	3
5.2 State diagram	3
5.2.1 General	3
5.2.2 ADS active (1)	4
5.2.3 Minimal risk condition (4)	5
5.2.4 Sustained standstill management (5)	5
5.2.5 Manual driving (6)	5
5.3 Functionality	5
5.4 Classification	6
5.4.1 Overview	6
5.4.2 Traffic lane stop	6
5.4.3 Road shoulder stop	7
5.4.4 MRM type change	7
6 Requirements	9
6.1 General requirements	9
6.1.1 Overview	9
6.1.2 Vehicle and ADS status monitoring	9
6.1.3 MRM triggering conditions	9
6.1.4 Decision-making for MRM types	9
6.1.5 Operational speed of an MRM	9
6.1.6 Implementation of an MRM	9
6.1.7 Human user takeover of the DDT during an MRM	10
6.1.8 Deceleration control	10
6.1.9 Standstill management	10
6.1.10 Resumption of operation after an MRC	10
6.1.11 Collision mitigation and avoidance function	10
6.1.12 Failure mitigation strategy	10
6.1.13 Internal/external status information	10
6.2 Straight stop requirements	11
6.2.1 Operational speed	11
6.2.2 Detection capability	11
6.2.3 Acceleration control	11
6.2.4 Lateral control	11
6.2.5 MRC location	11
6.3 In-lane stop requirements	11
6.3.1 Operational speed	11
6.3.2 Detection capability	11
6.3.3 Acceleration control	11
6.3.4 Lateral control	11
6.3.5 MRC location	12
7 Performance evaluation test methods	12
7.1 Test conditions	12
7.1.1 General	12
7.1.2 Environmental and test course conditions	12
7.1.3 Test vehicle conditions	12

7.2	Test requirements.....	12
7.2.1	Safety requirements.....	12
7.2.2	Test case development.....	13
7.3	Basic MRM function test.....	13
7.3.1	Test procedure.....	13
7.3.2	Test confirmation.....	13
7.4	Human user takeover test.....	14
7.4.1	Test procedure.....	14
7.4.2	Test confirmation.....	14
Bibliography.....		15

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/2a361c3d-ef83-4e1a-a4c3-d684d362d0b3/iso-fdis-23793-1>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 204, *Intelligent transport systems*.

A list of all parts in the ISO 23793 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

ISO/FDIS 23793-1

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/2a361c3d-ef83-4e1a-a4c3-d684d362d0b3/iso-fdis-23793-1>

Introduction

A minimal risk manoeuvre (MRM) is the fallback function of an automated driving system (ADS) used to achieve a minimal risk condition (MRC) which is a stable, stopped state (see ISO/SAE PAS 22736).

In a scenario where the dynamic driving task is being performed by the ISO/SAE Level 3-5 ADS, an event which prevents the ADS from continuing the dynamic driving task can occur. Examples of such events include:

- a) for ISO/SAE Level 3-5 ADS, the failure of the ADS, automated driving component(s) or other vehicle component(s);
- b) for ISO/SAE Level 3 or 4 ADS, the risk that the ADS exits its operational design domain (ODD);
- c) for ISO/SAE Level 3 ADS, the failure of the fallback ready user (FRU) or passenger to take over the dynamic driving task when the ADS issues a request to intervene.

In response, the ADS initiates an MRM function to provide enhanced safety for all passengers and other road users. The MRM function selects the most appropriate MRM type to reduce the risk (taking into account the subject vehicle state and traffic conditions) and eventually stops the vehicle through vehicle control. In addition to longitudinal control, lateral control can be added for certain MRM types.

The MRM is not a system, but rather a functionality within an ADS. "MRM" and "failure mitigation strategy" appear similar in that both functions stop the vehicle in response to an adverse event for ADS operating at Level 3 to 5 automation. However, they differ in that the MRM is a function of an ADS, whereas failure mitigation strategy is a vehicle function designed to automatically bring a vehicle to a stop when it has been incapacitated.

"MRM" and "object and event detection and response" (OEDR) based collision mitigation and avoidance systems such as "forward vehicle collision mitigation system" (FVCMS) sometimes behave similarly as they try to minimize the collision risk. However, they also differ in their purposes: the collision mitigation and avoidance systems consider impacts with external objects during normal operations, whereas an MRM tries to stop the vehicle in order to limit risk under abnormal conditions (such as ADS system failures or violations of ODD conditions) by decelerating the vehicle. OEDR-based collision mitigation and avoidance will be executed independently of and in parallel to MRM.

This document can be used to define the MRM function of ADSs such as motorway chauffeur systems (MCS) (ISO/TS 23792-1) or low speed automated driving systems (LSAD) (ISO 22737).

Intelligent transport systems — Minimal risk manoeuvre (MRM) for automated driving —

Part 1: Framework, straight-stop and in-lane stop

1 Scope

This document addresses the minimum requirements for minimal risk manoeuvres (MRM), which are the response of an ADS to perform automated fallback to reach a minimal risk condition (MRC).

This document specifies the classification framework for MRMs. The classification framework establishes the concept of MRM operation, classification of different MRM types; and basic principles of the decision-making process to decide which MRM type can be performed based on the situation.

This document also specifies the minimum requirements of the control strategy and test procedures for the two simplest types of MRM: straight stop for type 1 and in-lane stop for type 2.

The scope of the MRM described in this document covers minimum requirements for ADS performance during MRM action, from initiation to termination, which aims to achieve an MRC. MRM action-specific safety requirements for robust system design, such as those specified in ISO 26262 and ISO 21448, are not within the scope of this document.

The MRM described in this document are intended to be used on light-duty vehicles equipped with Level 3-5 ADS.

The scope does not include methods for detecting ADS failures and the decision-making process to initiate an MRM. This is because there are numerous cases that can initiate MRMs, and there is no general agreement on classification of those cases in the industry.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

minimal risk manoeuvre

MRM

manoeuvre by an automated driving system while performing the DDT fallback to attain the minimal risk condition

3.2

minimal risk condition

MRC

stable, stopped condition to which a user or an automated driving system may bring a vehicle after performing the dynamic driving task fallback in order to reduce the risk of a crash when a given trip cannot or should not be continued

[SOURCE: ISO/SAE PAS 22736:2021, 3.16, modified — Notes to entry and Examples have been removed.]

3.3

subject vehicle

SV

vehicle equipped with an automated driving system that is capable of performing minimal risk manoeuvres

3.4

standstill management

function that is implemented in actions taken to maintain the vehicle in minimal risk condition

3.5

lane boundary

borderline of the lane that is determined by a visible lane marking, and in the absence of a visible lane marking, by incidental detectable road features or other means such as localization relative to a digital map, magnetic markers, crash barriers, etc.

3.6

road shoulder

part of the road installed at the edge of the road, outside the lane boundary, to enable an emergency vehicle to bypass traffic congestion or to provide a place for a vehicle that encounters a problem to get out of the active traffic

3.7

acceleration control

control that generates positive acceleration using vehicle functions such as powertrain control

3.8

deceleration control

control that generates negative acceleration using vehicle functions such as brake

3.9

failure mitigation strategy

vehicle function [not an automated driving (ADS) function] designed to automatically bring an ADS-equipped vehicle to a controlled stop in path following either:

- 1) prolonged failure of the fallback-ready user of a Level 3 ADS feature to perform the fallback after the ADS has issued a request to intervene; or
- 2) occurrence of a system failure or external event so catastrophic that it incapacitates the ADS, which can no longer perform vehicle motion control in order to perform the fallback and achieve a minimal risk condition

[SOURCE: ISO/SAE PAS 22736:2021, 3.11]

3.10

collision mitigation and avoidance system

vehicle system that senses and monitors conditions inside and outside the vehicle for the purpose of identifying perceived present and potential dangers to the vehicle, occupants and/or other road users, and that automatically intervenes to help avoid or mitigate potential collisions via active control of the vehicle subsystems (brakes, throttle, suspension, etc.)

4 Abbreviated terms

ADS	automated driving system
CAN	control area network
DDT	dynamic driving task
ECU	electronic control unit
FRU	fallback-ready user
HMI	human-machine interface
MRM	minimal risk manoeuvre
MRC	minimal risk condition
OEDR	object and event detection and response
ODD	operational design domain
SV	subject vehicle
V2X	vehicle to everything

5 Function framework

5.1 MRM description


The MRM is a fallback action by ADS which entails stopping as the last countermeasure of an ADS in response to various risky situations such as failures and ODD constraint violations. Therefore, MRM is performed only when an ADS is not able to continue performing the DDT, and once an MRM starts, the ADS cannot automatically return to a normal state. MRM is not triggered in situations when ADS performance of the DDT can be maintained. Maintaining the DDT includes temporary stops (e.g. due to changes in environmental conditions) under the condition of remaining within the prescribed ODD.

The MRM is a function that aims to stop the SV in the safest achievable way, not to stop the SV as quickly as possible. Therefore, it is not a sudden hard braking control, but rather a longitudinal/lateral control according to the situation, which continuously seeks ways to minimize risks. The MRM is designed to minimize traffic hazards, but it can violate traffic regulations while performing the MRM to minimize the risk (e.g. stop in-lane).

5.2 State diagram

5.2.1 General

[Figure 1](#) shows an example of an MRM state diagram to help illustrate the feature. Specific states of the MRM and transition conditions can be different for each ADS.



Key

1	ADS active	A	transition to MRM
2	ADS normal operation	B	transition to MRC
3	ADS MRM operation	C	transition to sustained standstill management
4	minimal risk condition	D	transition to manual driving
5	sustained standstill management	E	transition to sustained standstill management
6	manual driving		

Figure 1 — State Diagram

5.2.2 ADS active (1)

5.2.2.1 ADS normal operation (2)

In the ADS normal operation state, the ADS performs the DDT as the system is intended to operate.

In the ADS normal operation state, the ADS determines whether an MRM is required. If it is determined that an MRM is required, the ADS transitions to MRM operation (A).

5.2.2.2 MRM operation (3)

In the MRM operation state, the ADS controls the SV to reach the MRC state.

In the MRM operation state:

- the ADS makes a decision on MRM type;
- the ADS controls the SV to execute the MRM;
- if a human user is on board, the ADS provides status information about the MRM;
- when the ADS is operated in the presence of other traffic, it displays an external indication that an MRM is occurring.

In the transition from the MRM operation to an MRC (B):

- the SV speed is zero ($V_{SV} = 0$ km/h) and the ADS activates standstill management, which is the ADS functionality.

In the transition from the MRM operation to sustained standstill management (C):

- the SV activates the failure mitigation strategy, either: