

~~ISO/IEC JTC 1 /SC 7 N2XXXX~~
~~Date: 2024-07-15~~
~~DIS ISO/IEC FDIS 24760-2:2024 (E(en)~~
ISO/IEC JTC-1/SC 27/WG 5
Secretariat: DIN
Date: 2024-11-26
IT Security and Privacy
— A framework for identity management
— Part 2: Reference architecture and requirements
Sécurité informatique et Protection de la vie privée—Cadre pour la gestion d'identité—Partie 2: Architecture de référence et exigences
Partie 2: Architecture de référence et exigences

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.itih.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO/IEC FDIS 24760-2

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/b03e1ba4-59f4-47bf-a73f-6b41c3d78eba/iso-iec-fdis-24760-2>

Edited DIS - MUST BE USED FOR FINAL DRAFT

Formatted: Centered

Style Definition: Heading 1

Style Definition: Heading 2

Style Definition: Heading 3

Style Definition: Heading 4

Style Definition: Heading 5

Style Definition: Heading 6

Style Definition: Default Paragraph Font

Style Definition: ANNEX

Style Definition: List Paragraph

Style Definition: Note: Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 1.7 cm, Left + Not at 2 cm

Style Definition: Body Text Indent 2

Style Definition: Body Text Indent 3

Style Definition: AMEND Terms Heading

Style Definition: AMEND Heading 1 Unnumbered

Style Definition: IneraTableMultiPar: Font: Font color: Black, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: Top: 1.4 cm, Bottom: 0.5 cm, Section start: New page

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: French (Switzerland)

Formatted: Font: Bold, Not Italic, French (Switzerland)

Formatted: Centered

© ISO/IEC 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO Copyright Office

CP 401 • CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva

Phone: + 41 22 749 01 11

Email: copyright@iso.org

Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland.

Formatted

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.itih.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO/IEC FDIS 24760-2

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/b03e1ba4-59f4-47bf-a73f-6b41c3d78eba/iso-iec-fdis-24760-2>

ISO/IEC FDIS 24760-2:2024 (E(en)

Annex A (informative) Use case	4040
Annex B (informative) Component model.....	4545
Annex C (informative) Business process model.....	5050
C.1 General	5050
C.2 Consent management.....	51
C.3 Credential lifecycle management	54
C.4 Configuration data management.....	57
Bibliography	5858

- Formatted: Font: 11 pt, French (Switzerland)
- Formatted: Centered
- Formatted: Font: 11 pt, French (Switzerland)
- Formatted: Font: 11 pt, French (Switzerland)
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Field Code Changed
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Field Code Changed
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Field Code Changed
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Formatted: English (United States), Ligatures: None
- Formatted: Tab stops: 18.18 cm, Right,Leader: ...

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.itih.ai>)
Document Preview

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/b03e1ba4-59f4-47bf-a73f-6b41c3d78eba/iso-iec-fdis-24760-2>

© ISO/IEC 2024 - All rights reserved

© ISO/IEC 2024 - All rights reserved

v

Edited DIS - MUST BE USED FOR FINAL DRAFT

Formatted: Normal, Centered, Space After: 24 pt, Tab stops: 17.2 cm, Right

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, French (Switzerland)

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, French (Switzerland)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, French (Switzerland)

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO/IEC FDIS 24760-2

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/b03e1ba4-59f4-47bf-a73f-6b41e3d78eba/iso-iec-fdis-24760-2>

~~© ISO/IEC 2024 - All rights reserved~~

vii

Formatted: Normal, Centered, Space After: 24 pt, Tab stops: 17.2 cm, Right

© ISO/IEC 2024 - All rights reserved

vii

Edited DIS - MUST BE USED FOR FINAL DRAFT

Introduction

Data processing systems commonly gather a range of information on its users, which can include people, pieces of equipment, or pieces of software connected to the equipment. Based on the information gathered on user identity, these data processing systems make decisions that can impact how users access to IT resources.

To address the need to efficiently and effectively implement systems that make identity-based decisions, this document specifies a framework for the issuance, administration and use of data. This framework serves to characterize individuals, organizations, or information technology components, which operate on behalf of individuals or organizations.

For many organizations, the proper management of identity information is crucial for maintaining the security of the organizational processes. For individuals, correct identity management is important for protecting privacy.

The ISO/IEC 24760 series specifies fundamental concepts and operational structures for identity management and provides a framework on which information systems can meet business, contractual, regulatory, and legal obligations.

This document defines a reference architecture for identity management including interrelationships. These architectural elements are described in respect to identity management deployments models. This document also specifies requirements for the design and implementation of an identity management system so that it can meet the objectives of stakeholders involved in the deployment and operation of identity management.

This document is intended to provide a foundation for the implementation of other international standards related to identity information processing such as ISO/IEC 29100, ISO/IEC 29101, ISO/IEC 29115, and ISO/IEC 29146.

This document is not a management system standard (MSS).

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Body Text, Space After: 0 pt, Tab stops: Not at 0.7 cm + 1.4 cm + 2.1 cm + 2.8 cm + 3.5 cm + 4.2 cm + 4.9 cm + 5.6 cm + 6.3 cm + 7 cm

(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO/IEC FDIS 24760-2

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/b03e1ba4-59f4-47bf-a73f-6b41e3d78eba/iso-iec-fdis-24760-2>

IT security and Privacy — A framework for identity management — Part 2: Reference architecture and requirements

1 Scope

This document:

- provides guidelines for the implementation of systems for the management of identity information, and;
- specifies requirements for the implementation and operation of a framework for identity management;

~~This document~~ is applicable to any information system where information relating to identity is processed or stored;

~~is considered to be a horizontal document for the following reasons:~~

- ~~it applies concepts such as distinguishing the term “identity” from the term “identifier” on the implementation of systems for the management of identity information and on the requirements for the implementation and operation of a framework for identity management.~~
- ~~it provides an important contribution to assess identity management systems with regard to their privacy-friendliness and their ability to assure the relevant attributes of an identity, and consequently it provides a foundation and a common understanding for any other standard addressing identity, identity information, and identity management.~~

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 24760-1, *IT Security and Privacy — A framework for identity management — Part 1: Terminology and concepts*

ISO/IEC 29115, *Information technology — Security techniques — Entity authentication assurance framework*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/IEC 24760-1 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses;

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

Formatted: Font: 11.5 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Normal, Line spacing: Exactly 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: List Continue 1, Tab stops: 0.7 cm, Left + 1.4 cm, Left + 2.1 cm, Left + 2.8 cm, Left + 3.5 cm, Left + 4.2 cm, Left + 4.9 cm, Left + 5.6 cm, Left + 6.3 cm, Left + 7 cm, Left

Formatted: Widow/Orphan control, Tab stops: Not at 1 cm

Formatted: List Continue 1, Tab stops: 0.7 cm, Left + 1.4 cm, Left + 2.1 cm, Left + 2.8 cm, Left + 3.5 cm, Left + 4.2 cm, Left + 4.9 cm, Left + 5.6 cm, Left + 6.3 cm, Left + 7 cm, Left

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Widow/Orphan control

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted

Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

Formatted

Formatted

— IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

Formatted: Font: Cambria, English (United Kingdom)

3.1 documented design

authoritative description of structural, functional, and operational system aspects

Note 1 to entry: A documented design is the documentation created to serve as guidance for the implementation of an Information and Communication Technology (ICT) system.

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 0.7 cm, Left + 1.4 cm, Left + 2.1 cm, Left + 2.8 cm, Left + 3.5 cm, Left + 4.2 cm, Left + 4.9 cm, Left + 5.6 cm, Left + 6.3 cm, Left + 7 cm, Left

Note 2 to entry: A documented design typically includes the description of a concrete architecture of the ICT system.

3.2 identity management authority

entity responsible for setting and enforcing operational policies for an identity management system

Note 1 to entry: An identity management authority typically commissions the design, implementation, and deployment of an identity management system.

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 0.7 cm, Left + 1.4 cm, Left + 2.1 cm, Left + 2.8 cm, Left + 3.5 cm, Left + 4.2 cm, Left + 4.9 cm, Left + 5.6 cm, Left + 6.3 cm, Left + 7 cm, Left

EXAMPLE:— The executive management of a company deploying an identity management system in support of its services.

3.3 invalidation

process performed in an identity management system when a particular attribute is no longer valid for a particular entity to mark the attribute invalid for future use

Note 1 to entry: Invalidation of attributes can be part of updating the attribute value, for instance, with a change of address.

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 0.7 cm, Left + 1.4 cm, Left + 2.1 cm, Left + 2.8 cm, Left + 3.5 cm, Left + 4.2 cm, Left + 4.9 cm, Left + 5.6 cm, Left + 6.3 cm, Left + 7 cm, Left

Note 2 to entry: Invalidation typically takes place for an attribute that is determined as no longer valid before the end of a validity period that had previously been associated with it.

Note 3 to entry: The term “revocation” is commonly used for invalidation of attributes that are credentials.

Note 4 to entry: Invalidation typically happens immediately after the determination that an attribute is no longer valid for a particular entity.

3.4 regulatory body

formally recognized organization tasked and empowered by law, regulation, or agreement to supervise the operation of identity management systems

3.5 stakeholder

role, position, individual, organization, or classes thereof, having an interest, right, share, or claim, in an entity of interest

Formatted: Font color: Auto, English (United Kingdom)

Formatted: Font: Cambria, Font color: Auto, English (United Kingdom)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010:2022, 3.17], modified — the Example has been removed.]

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

3.6 principal's private identity management system PPI

identity management system holding identity information for a single principal, operated by, or under exclusive control of, this principal

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 0.7 cm, Left + 1.4 cm, Left + 2.1 cm, Left + 2.8 cm, Left + 3.5 cm, Left + 4.2 cm, Left + 4.9 cm, Left + 5.6 cm, Left + 6.3 cm, Left + 7 cm, Left

Note 1 to entry: The wording “mobile identity” has been used to, among other concepts, refer to a principal's private identity management system, e.g. as implemented on a mobile phone or as a dedicated processing token.

Formatted: Font: 11.5 pt
Formatted: Font: 11.5 pt
Formatted: Centered, Space After: 30 pt, Line spacing: Exactly 11 pt
Formatted: Font: 11.5 pt

4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

- ICT information and communication technology
- IMS identity management system
- PII personally identifiable information
- PPI principal's private identity management system
- UML ~~Unified modelling~~unified modeling language

5 Reference architecture

5.1 General

This clause describes the architectural elements for identity management and the relationships between these elements.

The documented design for the architecture of identity management should be based on ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010 and address the primary concerns of this system, which can be either one or both of the following:

- a) managing identity information for the members of an organization ~~(Clause 6)~~;
- b) managing identity information for entities outside an organization ~~(Clause 7)~~.

NOTE- The reference architecture and architecture description specified in this document are based on ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010.

The documented design for the architecture of an identity management system should specify the system in its deployed context based on stakeholders and actors defined in this document. Business-level actors are stakeholders. Some stakeholders do not interact with the system. The documented design shall address requirements for both actor and non-actor stakeholders. The documented design shall exhaustively describe the actors.

A documented design for identity management should use applicable language to describe the reference architecture; components and functions of this architecture should be labelled ~~following~~according to the terms defined in ~~the~~ISO/IEC 24760 ~~(all parts)~~.series.

This clause provides an overview of the components that can be present in one or more of the architectural views that can be specified in a documented design including:

- ~~stakeholders (5.3)~~,~~(5.3)~~,
- actors ~~(5.4)~~,~~(5.4)~~ and
- processes and services ~~(5.5)~~-~~(5.5)~~.

~~Sub-clause 5.6 presents two commonly~~Two common viewpoints for identity management ~~are presented in 5.6~~.

5.2 Deployment scenarios

An identity management system can be deployed according to various scenarios. A deployment scenario impacts governance of the identity management system. The deployment scenario determines the trust relationships that exist between parties involved in operating and governing the identity management system.

Formatted: Pattern: Clear
Formatted: Pattern: Clear
Formatted: Pattern: Clear
Formatted: Pattern: Clear
Formatted: Pattern: Clear
Formatted: Pattern: Clear
Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 0.7 cm, Left + 1.4 cm, Left + 2.1 cm, Left + 2.8 cm, Left + 3.5 cm, Left + 4.2 cm, Left + 4.9 cm, Left + 5.6 cm, Left + 6.3 cm, Left + 7 cm, Left

Formatted: Pattern: Clear
Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 24 pt, Tab stops: 17.2 cm, Right

A deployment scenario can be chosen when extending an existing identity management system. An extension deployment model can be different from the original or enterprise deployment model.

The different deployment scenarios that can be used to implement an identity management system include:

- the enterprise scenario (6.3);(6.3);
- the federated scenario (7.3.1);(7.3.1);
- the service scenario (7.3.2);(7.3.2);
- the federated scenario as applied as a service (7.3.3);(7.3.3).

Formatted: Widow/Orphan control, Tab stops: Not at 1 cm

5.3 Stakeholders

5.3.1 General

The documented design can recognize the following direct and indirect stakeholders:

- principal,
- identity management authority,
- identity information authority,
- relying party,
- regulatory body,
- auditor,
- assessor,
- cloud service provider, and
- consumer/citizen representative or advocate.

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.itih.ai>)
Document Preview

Each stakeholder performs a separate function in the identity management system. These functions imply specific responsibilities and liabilities.

NOTE-1 The purpose of the deployment of an identity management system, and the regulatory environment of that deployment, indicates the involvement of stakeholders.

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 0.7 cm, Left + 1.4 cm, Left + 2.1 cm, Left + 2.8 cm, Left + 3.5 cm, Left + 4.2 cm, Left + 4.9 cm, Left + 5.6 cm, Left + 6.3 cm, Left + 7 cm, Left

Stakeholders in a particular identity management system can be affiliated with different commercial or public organizations with their interests in the system shaped by this affiliation. As the information about the system available to different stakeholders can be different, interactions between stakeholders should be based on explicitly established trust relations.

The documented design shall specify concrete representations of its stakeholders and actors as defined in 5.3 and 5.4, respectively. The documented design can add additional stakeholders or actors. It may also specify the stakeholders and actors identified in 5.3 and 5.4 with multiple distinct representations.

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Pattern: Clear

Stakeholders as specified in this document can also be actors. Non-actor stakeholders are a regulatory body and a consumer/citizen representative or advocate.

Concerns of stakeholders in an identity management system are described in [the following sub-clauses 5.3.2 and 5.3.7](#) and should be addressed in the documented design, implementation and operation of the system.

NOTE-2 Concerns expressed for each type of stakeholder are taken into consideration with references ~~in developments~~ ~~of~~ ~~when developing~~ Clauses 6 and 7. The same references can also be used ~~in the documented design~~ ~~when documenting a~~ ~~reference architecture~~.

5.3.2 Principal

Concerns of a principal in an identity management system include:

- correctness of the identity information collected, processed and stored (can be referenced as ~~“data~~ ~~correctness”~~);
- minimization of the identity information collected, processed and stored by the identity management system (can be referenced as ~~“Data minimisation”~~ ~~“data minimization”~~);
- minimization of the identity information usage by the identity management system in its domain of applicability (can be referenced as ~~“Information”~~ ~~“information sharing minimization”~~);
- ability of different relying parties to correlate identity information for a single principal (can be referenced as ~~“Principal”~~ ~~“principal correlation”~~);
- ability of a relying party to positively link the principal with their recorded identity information received to identity information that it has already stored, e.g. a user account (can be referenced as ~~“correct~~ ~~authentication”~~);
- errors in identification including false negative and false positive identification, and the detection and handling of errors (can be referenced as ~~“correct identification”~~);
- knowledge of and consent to, identity information sharing with third parties (can be referenced as ~~“Information”~~ ~~“information and consent”~~);
- being correctly represented by identity information which is captured, processed or stored (can be referenced as ~~“representative information”~~);
- correctness of operations in the delivery of services and the access to resources made available based on the attributes presented in a specific situation (can be referenced as ~~“Correct”~~ ~~“correct operation”~~);
- collecting, processing and storage of identity information only occurs with its informed consent (can be referenced as ~~“processing consent”~~),
- equitable treatment in its interactions with the system (can be referenced as ~~“equitable”~~);
- an easily understandable, effective, appropriate user interface (can be referenced as ~~“Understandable”~~ ~~“understandable”~~).

NOTE-3 A concern of a principal about how a third-party service uses identity information obtained from the identity management system is not a concern about the identity management system itself. Therefore, such a concern is not addressed explicitly in the documented design.

5.3.3 Identity management authority

Concerns of the identity management authority in an identity management system include:

- the definition of identity management objectives for the domain(s) served by the identity management system (can be referenced as ~~“defined objectives”~~);

Formatted: Font: 11.5 pt

Formatted: Font: 11.5 pt

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 30 pt, Line spacing: Exactly 11 pt

Formatted: Font: 11.5 pt

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 0.7 cm, Left + 1.4 cm, Left + 2.1 cm, Left + 2.8 cm, Left + 3.5 cm, Left + 4.2 cm, Left + 4.9 cm, Left + 5.6 cm, Left + 6.3 cm, Left + 7 cm, Left

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 0.7 cm, Left + 1.4 cm, Left + 2.1 cm, Left + 2.8 cm, Left + 3.5 cm, Left + 4.2 cm, Left + 4.9 cm, Left + 5.6 cm, Left + 6.3 cm, Left + 7 cm, Left

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 24 pt, Tab stops: 17.2 cm, Right

ISO/IEC FDIS 24760-2:2024 (E)

- specification of policies to maintain identity management objectives for the domain(s) served by the identity management system (can be referenced as **“policies specified”**);
- fulfilment of the business objectives of the identity management system with respect to principals and users of identity information (can be referenced as **“meeting user objectives”**);
- fulfilment of the business objectives of relationships with other identity management (can be referenced as **“meeting third party objectives”**);
- accuracy of the identity information provided by each principal as pertaining to that principal **and** to a specific level of assurance (can be referenced as **“correct information”**);
- compliance with regulation (can be referenced as **“compliance”**).

5.3.4 Identity information authority

Concerns of an identity information authority in an identity management system include:

- completeness, correctness and freshness of the identity information (can be referenced as **“data quality”**);
- meeting requirements from relying parties (can be referenced as **“meeting needs of relying parties”**);
- effectiveness of the cryptographic methods to assert the identity information (can be referenced as **“appropriate assertion”**);
- effectiveness of the cryptographic methods to de-identify the identity information (can be referenced as **“effective de-identification”**);
- compliance with regulation (can be referenced as **“compliance”**); and
- meeting business obligations with principals (can be referenced as **“meeting principal objectives”**).

5.3.5 Relying party

Concerns of a relying party in an identity management system include:

- confidentiality, availability and integrity, and applicability to a principal of identity information (can be referenced as **“required identity information”**);
- provisioning of accurate identity information pertaining to relevant principals at the required level of assurance (can be referenced as **“quality of information”**);
- effective, documented and secure interfaces (can be referenced as **“Usable usable interfaces”**);
- conformance to regulation applicable to its operations (can be referenced as **“compliance”**);
- effective mechanism and procedures for auditing (can be referenced as **“auditing”**).

5.3.6 Regulatory body

As an external independent organization, concerns of a regulatory body in an identity management system include:

- the proper documentation of operating policies (can be referenced as **“documented policies”**);
- correctness of operation, in particular, in applying operational policies (can be referenced as **“Correct correct operation”**);

Formatted: Widow/Orphan control, Tab stops: Not at 1 cm