
**Water quality — Guidance and
requirements for designing an
interlaboratory trial for validation of
analytical methods**

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/TS 7013:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b2767570-25c5-4ef5-81b1-8177c91bc5db/iso-ts-7013-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b2767570-25c5-4ef5-81b1-8177c91bc5db/iso-ts-7013-2023>



iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/TS 7013:2023

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b2767570-25c5-4ef5-81b1-8177c91bc5db/iso-ts-7013-2023>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Principle	1
5 Preconditions	1
5.1 General.....	1
5.2 Special preconditions for validation of non-crucial method options in analytical methods for organic analytes.....	2
6 Design and organization	2
6.1 Requirements for participation and call for participants.....	2
6.2 Samples.....	3
6.3 Information to be distributed with the samples.....	4
6.4 Statistical evaluation.....	4
7 Assessment	5
8 Documentation and reporting	5
Annex A (informative) Checklist, timetable and reporting the performance data	6
Bibliography	8

ISO/TS 7013:2023

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b2767570-25c5-4ef5-81b1-8177c91bc5db/iso-ts-7013-2023>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 147, *Water quality*, Subcommittee SC 2, *Physical, chemical and biochemical methods*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

The final validation step during standardization of an analytical method is the evaluation of performance through an interlaboratory trial to demonstrate that a new method is fit for purpose.

This document is intended to assist persons organizing interlaboratory trials to design and organize international interlaboratory comparisons for the validation of new standardized physical, chemical and biochemical methods for water analysis.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/TS 7013:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b2767570-25c5-4ef5-81b1-8177c91bc5db/iso-ts-7013-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b2767570-25c5-4ef5-81b1-8177c91bc5db/iso-ts-7013-2023>

Water quality — Guidance and requirements for designing an interlaboratory trial for validation of analytical methods

1 Scope

This document specifies requirements and recommendations for the design and execution of an interlaboratory comparison for validation of new standardized analytical methods in the field of water analysis, e.g. the number of participating laboratories and time schedules. This document is based on ISO 5725-1 and ISO 5725-2.

NOTE The scope of other standards in the field of interlaboratory comparison, such as ISO/IEC 17043^[3] and ISO 13528^[4], is proficiency testing of analytical laboratories and not interlaboratory comparison for the validation of analytical methods.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 5725-1, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results — Part 1: General principles and definitions*

ISO 5725-2, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results — Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 5725-1 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

4 Principle

A representative number of laboratories analyse within the sample stability period the same sample material prepared and distributed by the organizer of the interlaboratory trial. The results are used to calculate the performance data of the standardized method (see [Clause A.3](#)).

5 Preconditions

5.1 General

The prerequisite for the performance of the interlaboratory trial is that the method development and the validation of the individual steps of the whole measurement process according to ISO/TS 13530^[2] have been completed.

NOTE 1 Validation of analytical methods is also described in References [\[4\]](#) and [\[5\]](#).

As the aim of this kind of interlaboratory comparison is the evaluation of the performance data of an analytical method, no technical alterations of the given protocol of the standardized analytical method are allowed to the participants of the interlaboratory trial.

NOTE 2 This is in contrast to proficiency testing interlaboratory comparisons, where the participating laboratories use different analytical methods for the determination of the same parameter.

All registered participants of an interlaboratory trial should get all samples. Only in exceptional cases they could be entitled to select samples in advance, because it is important to receive as many test results as possible. It is highly recommended that all samples are tested by the participants and results of all parameters be submitted to the organizer of the interlaboratory trial to ensure a maximum number of individual test results after outlier rejection within the evaluation.

If there are several options within the standardized analytical method, for example, for extraction or detection, separate performance data are evaluated for each option and for the undifferentiated data set. The decision to merge data sets is taken by experts of the interlaboratory trial after examination of the influence of the chosen option on the final measurement result. It is the result of the evaluation of the fitness for purpose of the tested standard.

5.2 Special preconditions for validation of non-crucial method options in analytical methods for organic analytes

This subclause is not applicable to operationally defined analytical methods.

Non-crucial method options mean, only alternatives or variants of single analytical steps, as extraction options or the change to direct injection using a highly developed apparatus instead of a specified enrichment technique are considered non-crucial. But, changing the analytical principle like switching from GC-MS to LC-MS is considered crucial and not the scope of this subclause. The alternatives or options in this sense are not independent methods.

The experts in charge of the development of the standardized analytical method define whether an option is crucial or non-crucial, based on experiments and/or experience.

In general, a method option has to be as well described as a normative method. All necessary references to the method in the main part of the standard and vice versa shall be given. Pre-normative validation work has to be performed as well as for the main method. Results according to the alternatives or options shall be equivalent.

All authorized options of one single analytical step shall be mentioned in the main document. If required, they can be described in detail in an annex. It has to be clear that all the options are equivalent and can be used.

A documented evaluation of any alternative step of a method is needed. This has to be provided by the experts of the responsible working group before carrying out the interlaboratory trial.

The results of the method options/alternatives of the interlaboratory trial are pooled. No separate evaluation of the results of the different options will be performed. The question, which of the options was applied by a laboratory, is merely additional information for documentation of the interlaboratory trial. The experts responsible for the interlaboratory trial have to evaluate the results and document their decision.

6 Design and organization

6.1 Requirements for participation and call for participants

The number of participants has an influence on the reliability of the statistically calculated performance data. A minimum of 24 outlier-free data obtained from a minimum of eight laboratories are mandatory. In this, it is therefore desirable to ensure that the number of laboratories registered for participation in the interlaboratory trial is not less than 12.

Participation in this kind of interlaboratory trials is voluntary. To achieve reliable performance data, only experienced laboratories which are able to analyse all provided test samples applying the analytical procedures described in the corresponding standardized analytical method should participate. Unexperienced laboratories may be excluded from participation. It is the task of the persons responsible of the interlaboratory trial to define, how the laboratory's competence can be demonstrated. The organizer of the interlaboratory trial can demand the analysis of a quality control standard (see [6.2](#)).

Although this goal is not easy to achieve, it is recommended to have global participation and participants from at least five countries. The call for participants for the interlaboratory trial should be distributed not later than five months before the dispatch of the samples. Laboratories should express their interest in participation within four weeks after the announcement of the interlaboratory trial.

If the call for participants yields an insufficient number of laboratories who are experienced with the method, assistance in applying this method should be provided by the responsible organizer of the interlaboratory trial, e.g. by offering interested laboratories familiar with the analytical principle the possibility to participate in a training workshop not later than three months before the dispatch of the samples. Standard solutions for checking calibration and additional information should be provided by the project leader to enable the participants to establish the methods in their laboratories.

NOTE 1 Financing the interlaboratory trial is in the responsibility of the organizer, e.g. by charging his/her institution, fund-raising, or requesting participation fees to compensate for materials and mailing expenses.

NOTE 2 If water samples are to be shipped to a foreign country, difficulties with export regulations can occur and have to be checked in advance.

6.2 Samples

In general, real or spiked real samples shall be given preference over synthetic samples. Sample matrices and concentration levels shall reflect the scope of the standardized analytical method. Particulate matter content shall be sufficient to reflect wastewater samples matrices. Organizers will provide all samples and replicates needed for the interlaboratory trial. No further information about the concentration ranges to be expected in the samples should be given to participants. The interlaboratory trial may be performed with reference materials, if suitable ones are available.

Assigned values for each analyte in the samples should be specified in order to obtain the performance characteristic η (recovery rate). ISO 13528^[1] describes different methods of determining the assigned value. The consensus value from participant results is not a suitable assigned value for determining the recovery rate.

Every participant has to perform replicate analyses of each sample according to the overall procedure. Preferably, the number of replicates should not be less than three. The actual number of replicates requested is specified in advance when designing the interlaboratory trial. Therefore, each laboratory shall get the required quantity of sample and/or number of subsamples. Samples shall be distributed in bottles that are unambiguously labelled (e.g. number of sample and subsample, participant and matrix).

The variation in the concentrations of the subsamples should not be excessively increased by the preparation procedure adopted since the reproducibility standard deviation of the test data would otherwise assume unrealistically high values. This should be borne in mind, in particular in relation to unstable and highly volatile analytes.

The stability and homogeneity of subsamples and, in particular, of the substances to be quantified, shall be given at least until the deadline for performance of the analysis. Methods for checking that the samples are adequately homogeneous and stable are given in ISO 13528^[1].

Storage conditions (e.g. temperature range, protection from light) are an important point, and compliance with the conditions can be difficult to ensure when shipping the samples to other countries. It is under the responsibility of the organiser to choose a proper way of sample dissemination or distribution.

In addition to the matrix samples, a standard solution or an extract with known but undisclosed concentration should be dispatched as “quality control sample” to check correctness of calibration. If the deviation of the result of this standard solution is bigger than the predefined tolerable deviation, all results from the respective laboratory should be examined in view of discarding from the entire data set.

6.3 Information to be distributed with the samples

The accompanying letter should include the following information:

- the latest version of the standardized analytical method and warning to strictly adhere to this analytical protocol;
- instructions for sample pretreatment and storage conditions;
- additional instructions for performance of analysis, if necessary;
- contact person (project leader or appointed organizer);
- schedule (deadlines for start of analysis and returning results);
- form for results of analysis (unit, number of significant digits etc.);
- questionnaire on experimental details (e.g. clean-up method, chromatography column), especially when testing a multi-option protocol;
- list of data to be handed over, if required (e.g. blank values, calibration data, original chromatograms).

6.4 Statistical evaluation

Before evaluation the result forms from the participants shall be checked for erroneous data. If necessary, the respective participant laboratory should be asked to recalculate their results or check for errors in data transfer.

For approximately continuously measurable analytes, the statistical evaluation shall be performed in accordance with ISO 5725-2. Results reported as “less than” are not valid and shall be excluded from the statistical evaluation.

Results of method options according to [5.2](#). are pooled.

Data sets are tested for four types of outliers according to the tests described in ISO 5725-2:

- a) outlying single result within the independent replicates of one laboratory (Grubbs test);
- b) outlying laboratory mean (Grubbs test);
- c) outlying within-laboratory variance (Cochran test);
- d) the two largest or the two smallest laboratory means are outliers (Grubbs test).

If a “quality control sample” as described in [6.2](#) was dispatched together with the other samples, the results of this sample should be checked for compliance with the predefined tolerable deviation before starting the statistical evaluation of the analytical results.

NOTE The statistical evaluation according to ISO 5725-2 is only applicable if the analytes are approximately continuously measurable, as is usually the case for chemical and physico-chemical methods.

The results of the statistical evaluation shall be presented as indicated in [Clause A.3](#).