-ISO/DTR-<u>9143:2023(E)</u> ISO TC 215/WG 3

Date: 2023-<mark>04-0607-10</mark>

Health informatics - Sex and Gender in Electronic Health Records

# DTR stage

# Warning for WDs and CDs

This document is not an ISO International Standard. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an International Standard. Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which

they are aware and to provide supporting documentation. ISO/DTR 9143

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/508b8f9d-f549-4fdf-989a-dc5c0ea1bf96/isodtr-9143

Secretariat: ANSI

Health informatics - Sex and gender in electronic health records

# © ISO 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office

CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8

CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva

Phone: +41 22 749 01 11

Email: copyright@iso.org

Website: <del>www.iso.org<u>www.iso.org</u></del>

Published in Switzerland

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO/DTR 9143</u>

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/508b8f9d-f549-4fdf-989a-dc5c0ea1bf96/isodtr-9143

# Contents

Introduction	
Background	
<u>1 Scope</u>	
2 Normative references	
2 Terms, definitions, and abbreviated terms	
3.1 Terms and definitions	<u>}</u>
3.2 Abbreviated terms	+
4 <u>Technical Background</u> 9	
<u>5Current State</u>	
<u>6 Challenges</u>	
<u>6.1 Overview</u> 11	E .
6.2 Electronic Health Record (EHR) Related Challenges 1	E .
6.3 Person Level Challenges 1	2
6.4 <u>Cultural and Linguistic Challenges</u>	
7 Opportunities	
7.1 <u>Overview</u> 1 <sup>4</sup>	F
7.2 Benefits 1	÷
Annex A (informative)	
Identities Which Can Be Considered as Falling Under the Nonbinary Umbrella	
Annex B (informative) 18	
Identities Which Can Be Considered to Be Gender Diverse	
Annex C (informative) Conditions Which Can Be Considered to Be Intersex	
Annex D (informative) Published Data Elements for gender and sex international standards	
Bibliography	

Foreword	iv		
Introduction	<u>v</u>		
<u>1 Scope</u>	<u></u> 2		
2 Normative references	2		
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms	2		
3.1 Terms and definitions		2	
3.2 Abbreviated terms		4	
4 Technical background	<u></u> 4		
5 Current state	<u></u> 5		
6 Challenges		c	
6.1 Overview			
6.2 Electronic health record-related challenges 6.3 Person-level challenges in EHR			
6.3 Person-level challenges in EHR 6.4 Cultural and linguistic challenges in EHR			
		<u> </u>	
7 Opportunities   7.1 Overview		10	
7.2 Benefits	Ľ,		
Annex A (informative) Identities which can be considered as falling under the nonbinary umbrella	12		
Annex B (informative) Identities which can be considered to be gender-diverse	<u></u> 15		
Annex C (informative) Conditions which can be considered to be intersex	23		
Annex D (informative) Published data elements for gender and sex international standards	28		
Bibliography	<u></u> 31		

dtr-9143

#### Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part-1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part-2 (see <a href="http://www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.org/directives</a>).

Attention is drawnISO draws attention to the possibility that some of the elementsimplementation of this document may beinvolve the subjectuse of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights- in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received fee www.iso.org/patents].

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see <a href="http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html">www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</a>

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215. Health informatics.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <u>www.iso.org/members.html</u>.

Field Code Changed

EW

# Introduction

Often, considerations related to sex- and/or gender-related data in electronic health record (EHR) systems are thought of as purely a "how does an individual identify?" issue, greatly misrepresenting the extent of the systems involved. Currently, many systems rely on a single value which dictates most (if not all) of the internal logic of the EHR. It is used for everything from how to address patients, gendered expectations of patient appearance, patient bed placement, checking demographic fields for matches before surgery, patient matching algorithms, laboratory work, reference intervals and values, diagnostic algorithms, imaging algorithms, matching with health insurance documentation, matching with various identity documents, quality assurance with diagnostics and procedures, limitations of diagnostics, limitations of procedures, alerts for particular medications and screenings, growth charts, pharmaceutical dosages and contraindications, cohort analysis in research, clinical trials recruitment, and much more.

Any successful approach moving forward needs to carefully consider all of these use cases and whether they require distinct data elements and value sets, alongside the specific cultural and jurisdictional contexts in which they occur. In addition to that, the approach needs to <u>centercentre</u> some form of interoperability between those specific contexts while simultaneously preventing loss of information.

The current inability of EHRs, and the standards and ontologies which underpin them, to distinguish between these use cases has led to issues for persons marginalized due to gender and/or sex characteristics (MGSC) and has contributed to.). Over the past decade, there has been a significant rise in interest regarding and visibility of diversification and sex- and gender-related data in EHR systems, beginning with the 2011 United States' Institute of Medicine Report on the Health of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender (LGBT) Individuals[2<sup>11</sup>]. Since the publication of that report, a number of jurisdictions have separately begun constructing their own recommendations for standards regarding sex- and gender-related data collection, such as in–Australia Standard for Sex, Gender, Variations of Sex Characteristics and Sexual Orientation Variables 2021<sup>12</sup>]. Canada; is the first country to provide census data on transgender and non-binary people in 2022 and Proposed Action Plan to Modernize Gender, Sex and Sexual Orientation Information Practices in Canadian Electronic Health Record Systems<sup>156</sup>], Nepal introducesintroduced "others" gender category in latest census 2021<sup>157</sup>]. New Zealand, Sex and gender identity statistical standards: Consultation 2020<sup>13</sup>], Pakistan, and the-United States Committee on Measuring Sex, Gender Identity, and Sexual Orientation, Committee on National Statistics, Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education, National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2022<sup>[4]</sup>.

This patchwork of differing recommendations, if they exist at all, has led to a confusing and contradictory EHR standards landscape, even within single jurisdictions. While there have been calls for changes in many international standards and systems, change has been slow, although one substantial effort has been put together by the Health Level 7®1\_(HL7) Gender Harmony Project (GHP)\_1\_1\_155\_1\_1 It is within this context that this report will providedocument provides an overview of the current state and international approaches to sex-and/or gender-related data as well as challenges and opportunities in the space. Finally, we end with this document provides expected benefits for standardization regarding such data.

While sexual orientation is also an important, and often interrelated, entity to sex and gender, through common acronyms such as "SOGI" (sexual orientation and gender identity), it is substantially different from sex and gender constructs and presents unique challenges and opportunities of its own. Therefore, this document will not consider sexual orientation specifically<del>, but future work could investigate sexual orientation as its own EHR construct. However, we will present some. See</del> information related to sexual orientation in the Challenges and Opportunities sections.

#### Background

Collection of gender- and/or sex-related data has been routine in health care for much of the 20th century Therefore, it is no surprise that it is collected as demographic information across almost all electronic health record (EHR) systems. However, despite the longevity of this data collection, the underlying constructs are many times poorly understood and not well characterized, leading to systemic inconsistencies. These

EW

989a-dc5c0ea1bf96/iso-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>-HL7 is the registered trademark of Health Level Seven International. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by ISO of the product named.

inconsistencies are most apparent in relationship to populations marginalized due to gender and/or sex characteristics.

Marginalization due to one's gender and/or sex characteristics (MGSC) permeates most, if not all, countries worldwide. Because of this, any international standard must be aware of this marginalization and carefully weigh the ethics of specific sex and/or gender characterization.

For the purposes of EHR standards development and to appreciate the impact of the current gaps, it is imperative to considerConsidering the following MGSC populations, which are the most likely to be affected by systemic changes-, can help the purposes of EHR standards development and help appreciate the impact of the current gaps. The table below provides an approximation of the impacted population.

Name	Estimated Population Size Worldwidepopulation size worldwide
Cisgender women and girls (CWG)	Approximately 3.28 billion people
Transgender people, including nonbinary people (TGNB)	Approximately 31 million people
Gender-diverse and gender-nonconforming people (GDGN)	Unknown, highly dependent upon one's analytical lens
Intersex people (I)	Approximately 130 million people

Importantly, these groups are not mutually exclusive—some transgender people are also intersex, for instance. Some cisgender women are intersex; many gender-diverse people are transgender.

Experiences in the health care system, even when present and available, are often worse among MGSC than among cisgender, heterosexual men. When we lookLooking at medical standards and ontology systems, which electronic health records were built around, we find that these systems often treat normal differences between MGSC persons and cisgender, heterosexual men as pathologies.

We also seeThere are cases where transgender persons forced into a pathological, binary system of male/female, whether that system is called "transsexualism", "gender dysphoria syndrome", "gender identity disorder", "transgenderism", etc. While newer terminology systems, like<u>such as</u> the International Classification of Diseases, 11th Edition (ICD-11), have indicated a path for depathologization, the pathologization of trans persons is still deeply embedded in EHR systems. Likewise, Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine (SNOMED CT<sup>®</sup>)<sup>®</sup> includes content that is inaccurate or out-of-date. For example, "472981000 |Fetishistic transvestism (disorder)|" is based on a label, not a true disorder. -Better, more accurate EHR standards are necessary to better characterize individual- and population-level cisgender women's health, which can be used to direct efforts where most needed.

When we consider<u>In the case of</u> GDGN people, it is <u>enormouslyvery</u> difficult to describe their health outcomes, and how they are represented, because they simply are not represented at all. Very rarely, when represented, such gender nonconformity is immediately pathologized, just as it is for transgender people and cisgender women. Better, more comprehensive, and culturally focused EHR standards <u>are neededwould help</u> to <u>even</u> grasp at the extent of the problems that GDGN people face.

Generally, the systems and EHR standards discussed are often slow to change, and do not often take in diverse inputs and lived experiences across stakeholder groups most affected by changes.

In addition to consideration of various populations of interest, it is also important to considerConsidering those groups in relation to the political realities in which they reside is also important. A transgender person in one country will have a very different relationship to health care, and therefore to EHR systems, than one in another

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>2 SNOMED CT is a trademark of SNOMED International. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by ISO if the product named.</u>

country. Language and cultural differences also lead to potential for miscommunication and exploitation within EHR systems.

Since many efforts have been undertaken to address the gaps in the past and before any effort is undertaken in the future to enhance sex and gender related standards, an in-depth understanding of lived experiences is necessary.

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

**ISO/DTR 9143** 

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/508b8f9d-f549-4fdf-989a-dc5c0ea1bf96/isodtr-9143

**TECHNICAL REPORT** 

ISO/DTR 9143:2023(E)

Health informatics - Sex and Gender in Electronic Health Records

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

**ISO/DTR 9143** 

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/508b8f9d-f549-4fdf-989a-dc5c0ea1bf96/isodtr-9143

# Health informatics - Sex and Gender in Electronic Health Records

# 41\_4Scope

The purpose of this document is to:

- \_describe the current challenges with documenting and sharing sex and gender information in electronic health records.
- \_identify the current state of international standards and specifications that include sex and gender.
- summarize the findings and identify opportunities to improve clarity and consistency in the use of sex and gender in electronic health records.

This document will not go into detail on country specific specifications, and it will not address related societal, legal, patriarchal, sexist or political issues. However, it will identify where the lack of standards in this area has contributed to societal issues.

# **62 2**Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

# 73 3-Terms, definitions, and abbreviated terms

7.13.13.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses: ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

— IEC Electropedia: available at https://www.electropedia.org/

#### <u>3.1.1</u> sex

biological category based on reproductive, anatomical and genetic characteristics with the broad categories of male, female and intersex-

Note 1 to entry: Typically, within health care settings the only officially recognized and assigned at birth categories are female and male categories, which becomes part of someone's official government record and societally assumed gender.

# 3.1.2 gender

composite of socially constructed roles, behaviours, activities and/or attributes that a given society considers appropriate for members of a given sex-

### <u>3.1.3</u>

cisgender women and girls CWG

2 © ISO #### – All rights reserved

women who were assigned female at birth (AFAB) and/or were reared or raised as female, in relationship to their culture

# [SOURCE: <u>Reference</u>58]

#### <u>3.1.4</u>

# transgender people, including nonbinary people

#### TGNB

persons whose gender identity is incongruent (either partially or fully) with their assigned gender at birth and/or the gender they were reared or raised as

Note 1 to entry: Annex-A contains a noncomprehensive list of identities often considered as falling under the nonbinary umbrella.

Note 2 to entry: Note that other Other definitions for transgender and nonbinary exist.

#### <u>3.1.5</u>

# gender-diverse and gender-nonconforming people GDGN

persons who are considered to not conform to any of various aspects of gender roles in a given culture and/or people who are considered to be beyond a Eurocentric binarist gender framework

Note 1 to entry: —'Eurocentric' means focused on European culture and history and its emigration via routes of colonialism and imperialism, to the exclusion of viewpoints outside of the Eurosphere, being those cultures and regions directly affected by such emigration.

Note 2 to entry:-\_A binarist gender framework is an artificially constructed gender system supposedly consisting of two distinct and non-overlapping cultural categories, usually labeled as "female" and "male". Such a framework is a relatively recent invention.

Note 3 to entry: Annex-B contains a non-comprehensive list of identities which can be considered to be gender-diverse.

# <del>3.1.1</del>

#### 3.1.6 intersex people

I

persons who, from birth, express biological characteristics, or have the propensity to develop biological characteristics, which are not strictly sexually dimorphic-

Note 1 to entry: A list of conditions often considered to be intersex is included in Annex\_C.

3.2-1.7 grammatical gender gender category ascribed to a class of nouns

© ISO 2023 - All rights reserved

3

Note 1 to entry: For instance, many Romance languages have a masculine and a feminine grammatical gender, while many Germanic languages have masculine, feminine, and "neuter" grammatical genders. For example, in German, "Buch" (book) is neutral, while in French "livre" (book) is masculine.

#### 7.23.2 Abbreviated terms

AFAB		Assigned female (gender) at birth	
	AGAB	Assigned gender at birth	
AMAB		Assigned male (gender) at birth	
EHR		Electronic health record	
	GDGN	Gender-diverse and gender-nonconforming people	
GHP		Gender Harmony Project	
LGBT		Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender	
	LGBTQ	<del>Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and</del> <del>Queer/Questioning</del>	
LGBTQIA+	iTeh ST	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer/Questioning, Intersex, Asexual/Aromantic/Agender, and other sexually- and gender-marginalized groups not explicitly named ("+")	
	LGBTQ25	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer/Questioning, and Two Spirit	
MGSC		Marginalization due to one's gender and/or sex characteristics /DTR 9143	
PMDS		Persistent Müllerian duct syndrome	
SOGI		Sexual orientation and gender identity	
TGNB	Transgender and nonbinary people		

# **<u>114</u> <u>4</u> <b>Technical** Background

In 2011, the U.S. Institute of Medicine report The Health of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender People<sup>[1]</sup> provided, as its third recommendation, that data related to sexual orientation and gender identity (SOGI) <del>should</del> beare "included in the required set of demographic data" and that "the collection of such data will need to be performed with adequate privacy and security protections".

In the United States in October 2015, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and Office of the National Coordinator for health information technology require EHR vendors to include sex and gender data fields as part of the EHR software certification, Inclusion of Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity in Stage 3 Meaningful Use Guidelines: A Huge Step Forward for LGBT Health [L<sup>[5</sup>],] but health carehealthcare providers are currently not required to collect this information.

In August of 2021, the Health Level 7 (HL7) Gender Harmony Project (GHP) released a product brief entitled "Gender Harmony – Modeling Sex and Gender Representation, Release 1" as the result of two years of deliberation and a successful ballot within the organization. The GHP's approach was unique in evaluating use cases in clinical settings, and recommending several distinct entities, namely: Gender Identity, Recorded Sex or Gender [RSG], Sex for Clinical Use [SFCU], Name to Use, and Third-Person Pronoun. This work is ongoing currently-within HL7.

4 © ISO #### – All rights reserved

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

4

# 125 5Current State state

Standards development organizations (SDOs) play a role in providing standards and specifications that provide the capability for systems to distinguish, capture, and share gender and sex information. ISO/TC 215 Health informatics standards define how to represent the data elements needed for identifying the subject of care, HL7 standards such as Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR®<sup>3</sup>) define how information can be shared in a consistent manner. Standard clinical terminologies such as SNOMED CT®<sup>4</sup> are often used to encode data via coded concepts.

Currently, most international standards provide a single data element to document gender and/or sex. -These international standards include inadequate data element names and descriptions, lack of use case guidance or intent of use results in the need for organizations to refine the content or leave it up to implementers to figure out. -This leads to inaccurate and inconsistent use of the standards.- And in some cases, leads to causing harm to MGSC persons.

Organizations often further refine international standards by adding or modifying data elements from international standards such as the case with the datum "assigned gender at birth".

The complex multi-level challenge to document and share sex and gender continues to contribute to implementation barriers. –The multi-level challenges with international standards and local specifications consists of a lack of adequate specification of the following:

\_\_\_\_data element names and unambiguous definitions

\_\_\_\_Gender and Sex are often represented in a single data element and that inconsistency in data capture and implementation leads to downstream issues for quality measurement instruments and outcomes.

\_\_\_\_\_The terms gender and sex are often used interchangeably within a standard adding further confusion.

- Person identity and/or patient matching. atalog/standards/sist/508b8f9d-f549-4fdf-289a-dc5c0ea1bf96/iso-
- \_\_\_Clinical use that can include the use in algorithms to suggest tests or workflows based on sex or the presence of specific organs.
- \_\_\_\_code systems that provide the concepts to be used in value sets.
  - \_\_\_\_Concepts are sometimes created without adequate understanding of the requirements.
- \_\_\_\_\_general guidance within the standard that can include how a data element is not intended to be used.
- \_\_\_\_\_data element relationships between other attributes that provide information related to the gender of an individual, due to modern thinking of gender as well as new knowledge of psychological, biological and social manifestations of gender.

© ISO 2023 - All rights reserved

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> FHIR is a trademark of HL7®. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by ISO of the product named.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>-SNOMED CT is a trademark of SNOMED International. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by ISO if the product named.