#### ISO/IEC-DTS 22237-31:2023(X)

ISO/IEC-\_JTC-\_1/SC-\_39<del>/WG 3</del>

Secretariat:-ANSI

Date: 2023-08-21

Information technology—Data centre facilities and infrastructures——

#### Part-31:

**Key performance indicators for Resilience resilience** 

## iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC DTS 22237-31

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/1f3eee2c-24ed-4651-8603-7b8e9f257f9b/iso-iec-dts-22237-31

### **DTS**FDIS stage

#### **Warning for WDs and CDs**

This document is not an ISO International Standard. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an International Standard.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

To help you, this guide on writing standards was produced by the ISO/TMB and is available at <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/how-to-write-standards.pdf">https://www.iso.org/iso/how-to-write-standards.pdf</a>

A model manuscript of a draft International Standard (known as "The Rice Model") is available at <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/model-document-rice-model.pdf">https://www.iso.org/iso/model-document-rice-model.pdf</a>

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC DTS 22237-31

© ISO

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC DTS 22237-31

#### ISO/IEC DTS-22237-31:2023 (X:(E)

#### © ISO/IEC 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva

Phone: +\_41 22 749 01 11

EmailE-mail: copyright@iso.org

Website: www.iso.orgwww.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC DTS 22237-31

### **Contents**

Foreword	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
<u>Introduction</u>	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
1 Scope	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
2 Normative references	Error!	Bookma	ırk not defined.	
3 Terms and definitions	Error!	Bookma	ork not defined.	
3.1 Terms and definitions	Error!	Bookma	ork not defined.	
3.2 Symbols and abbreviated terms	Error!	Bookma	ork not defined.	
4 Area of application	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
4.1 General	Error!	Bookma	ork not defined.	
4.2 DCI service definition	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
5 Resilience considerations as part of the life cycle	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
5.1 Implementation in the design process	Error!	Bookma	ork not defined.	
5.2 <b>Documentation during operation</b>	Error!	Bookma	ork not defined.	
5.3 Documentation of resilience level	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
5.4 Documentation of dependability	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
5.5 <b>Documentation of fault tolerance</b>	Error!	Bookma	ork not defined.	
5.6 <b>Documentation of availability tolerance</b>	Error!	Bookma	ork not defined.	
6 Determination of KPIs for resilience	<u></u> Error!	Bookma	rk not defined.	
6.1 General	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
6.2 Structuring of the KPIs for resilience	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
6.3 Dependability iec-dts-22237-31	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
6.4 Fault tolerance	Error!	Bookma	ork not defined.	
6.5 Availability tolerance	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
6.6 Resilience level (RL)	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
6.7 Application to data centre infrastructures	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
(informative) Resilience analysis for DCIs	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
(informative) SPoF Analysis for DCIs	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
(informative) Resilience level analysis for DCIs	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
<u>(informative) Example of Failure Mode Effects and Criticality A</u> defined.	<u>nalysis</u> Er	ror!	Bookmark	not
(informative) Interval of confidence	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
Bibliography	Error!	Bookma	ark not defined.	
Foreword v				
Introduction vi				
1 Scope 1				
2 Normative references 1				
3 Terms and definitions 2				
Terms and deminions 2				

### ISO/IEC DTS\_22237-31:<del>2023 (X</del>:[E)

3.1 Terms and definitions 2
3.2 Abbreviations 6
4 Area of Application 7
4.1 General 7
4.2 DCI Service Definition 7
5 Resilience Considerations as Part of the Lifecycle 8
5.1 Implementation in the Design Process 8
5.1.1 General 8
5.1.2 Phase 1 – Strategy 8
5.1.3 Phase 2 Objectives 9
5.1.4—Phase 3—System specifications———9
5.1.5 Phase 4 – Design Proposal 9
5.1.6 Phase 6 – Functional Design 9
5.1.7 Phase 8 – Final design and project plan 9
5.1.8 Phase 10 Construction 10
5.1.9 Phase 11 Operation 10
5.2 Documentation During Operation 10 RD PREVIEW
5.2.1 General 10
5.3 Documentation of Resilience Level 10 0 S 110 1 31
5.3.1 General 10
5.3.2 Requirements 11 ISO/IEC DTS 22237-31
5.3.2 Requirements 11  https://standards.itch.ni/catalog/standards/sist/1f3eee2c-24ed-4651-8603-7b8e9f257f9b/iso-  5.4 Documentation of Dependability 11  icc-dis-22237-31
5.4.1 Requirements 11
5.4.2 Recommendations 11
5.5 Documentation of Fault Tolerance 11
5.5.1 Requirements 11
5.6 Documentation of Availability Tolerance 11
5.6.1 Requirements 11
5.6.2 Recommendations 11
6 Determination of KPIs for Resilience 12
6.1 General 12
6.2 Structuring of the KPIs for Resilience 13
6.2.1 General 13
6.2.2 KPIs 13
6.2.3 Failure Rate 14
6.2.4 Metrics 14
6.3 Dependability 15
6.3.1 Provided KPIs 15
6.3.2 Reliability 15

© ISO/IEC 2023-\_- All rights reserved

6.3.3 Availability 17
6.3.4 Failure Rate 18
6.4 Fault Tolerance 18
6.4.1 General 18
6.4.2 Single Point of Failure 19
6.4.3 Double Point of Failure 19
6.5 Availability Tolerance 19
6.5.1 General 19
6.5.2 Single Point of Reduced Availability 19
6.5.3 Double Point of Reduced Availability 20
6.6 Resilience Level 20
6.6.1 General 20
6.6.2 Operation at Normal Resilience Level 21
6.6.3 Operation at Reduced Resilience Level22
6.7— Application to Data Centre Infrastructures 23
6.7.1 Methodology and Analysis Considerations 23
6.7.2 Analysis Process 24 TANDARD PREVIEW
6.7.3 Method of Reliability Block Diagrams 24
6.7.4 Method of Failure Mode Effects and Criticality Analysis 25
Annex A (informative) Resilience Analysis for DCIs 26
Annex B (informative) SPoF Analysis for DCIs 30 DTS 22237-31
Annex C (informative) Resilience Level Analysis for DCIs 32
Annex D (informative) Example of Failure Mode Effects and Criticality Analysis 34
Annex E (informative) Interval of Confidence 36
Bibliography 38

#### Foreword

viii

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide federation of national standardsstandardization. National bodies (that are members of ISO member bodies). The work or IEC participate in the development of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see <a href="www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.org/directives</a> or <a href="www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.o

Attention is drawnISO and IEC draw attention to the possibility that some of the elements implementation of this document may be involve the subjectuse of [a] patent rights. ISO[s]. ISO and IEC take no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO and IEC had not received notice of [a] patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents and https://patents.iec.ch. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html">www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</a>. In the IEC, see <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html">www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</a>. In the IEC, see <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html">www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</a>.

This document was prepared by <u>loint</u> Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC-1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC-39, *Sustainability*, *IT and data centres*.

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 22237 series can be found on the ISO website and IEC websites.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <a href="https://www.iso.org/members.html">www.iso.org/members.html</a> and <a href="https://www.iso.org/members.html">www.iso.org/members.html</a

© ISO	/IEC 2023-	- All ri	ghts re	served

#### Introduction

The data centre standards various parts of the ISO/IEC 22237 series reference four qualitative Availability Classes as well as structural definitions to categorize different designs. The standards documents also refer to Resilience criteria in order to improve structural requirements on for a qualitative approach.

In order to meet the requirements necessary to evaluate/comparefor evaluating or comparing different designs or to validate Service Level Agreementsservice level agreements (SLAs) for data centres, this document introduces quantitative metrics as key performance indicators (KPIs) are introduced in this document.). The proposed KPIs cover Resilience attributes, including Dependability and Fault Tolerancefault tolerance metrics. Furthermore, the The characteristics of aging of infrastructures are covered by the Reliability reliability criteria.

Through the use of KPIs, the comparison of designs, functional elements, and components of infrastructure designs become possible. In addition, it is possible to optimise Data Centre Infrastructures optimize data centre infrastructures (DCI) with holistic targets. It is recommended to use the KPIs of this document in combination with the efficiency and sustainability KPIs of the ISO/IEC 30134 series.

Annex A of ISO/IEC 22237-1 proves: 2021, Annex A, demonstrates that a single KPI, such as Availability, is not sufficient to describe the complexity of a DCI. In recognition, this document has been developed in order to compare and value different designs with different Availability Classes of DCIs based on a set of selected KPIs.

Furthermore, the document has been created to establish KPIs for Resilience of data centre infrastructures DCIs with defined Resilience Levels. Depending resilience levels. The resilience objectives can vary depending on the outcome of the risk analysis of ISO/IEC 22237-1 risk analysis, the end user Information Technology Equipment information technology equipment (ITE) process criticality, and the data centre type of business, the resilience objectives can vary.

Using the different stages of a data centre design process, this document describes in which phases the application of KPIs for Resilience is appropriate. With its assistance, data centre designers, planners, and operators will be supported to define Resilience Levels, to perform theoretical assessments and to designdesigning and operateoperating DCIs which are able to meet SLAs.

# iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/IEC DTS 22237-31

### Information technology—\_— Data centre facilities and infrastructures———

Part—\_\_\_\_31:

### **Key performance indicators for Resilience resilience**

#### 1 Scope

This document:

- a) defines metrics as <u>key performance indicators (KPIs)</u> for <u>Resilience</u>, as <u>well as of Dependability</u>, <u>Fault Tolerance</u>, <u>resilience</u>, <u>dependability</u>, <u>fault tolerance</u> and <u>Availability Tolerance</u> availability tolerance for data centres;
- b) covers the Data Centre Infrastructuredata centre infrastructure (DCI) of power distribution and supply, and environmental control;
- c) can be referred to for covering further infrastructures, as e.-g. telecommunications cabling;
- d) defines the measurement and calculation of the KPIs and Resilience Levels (RLresilience levels (RLs);
- e) targets maintainability, recoverability, and vulnerability;
- f) provides examples on for calculating these KPIs for the purpose of analytical comparison of different DCIs.

This document does not coverapply to IT-equipment, cloud services, software or business applications.

https://gtandards.itah.gi/gatalag/gtandards/gigt/1f2aaa2a.24ad.4651\_8602\_7b8a0f257f0b/isa

#### 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC-22237-1, Information technology - Data centre facilities and infrastructures - Part 1: General concepts

ISO/IEC-\_22237-3, Information technology -\_\_\_ Data centre facilities and infrastructures -\_\_\_ Part 3: Power distribution

ISO/IEC\_22237-4, Information technology — Data centre facilities and infrastructures — Part 4: Environmental control

ISO/IEC—22237-5, Information technology - Data centre facilities and infrastructures - Part 5: Telecommunications cabling infrastructure

<del>ISO/IEC</del>\_30134-1, Information technology -\_\_ Data centres -\_\_ Key performance indicators -\_\_ Part 1: Overview and general requirements

IEC-61078, Reliability block diagrams

#### 3 Terms and definitions

#### 3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/IEC 22237-1, ISO/IEC 22237-3, ISO/IEC 22237-4 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at https://www.electropedia.org/
- ——ISO Online browsing platform: available at <a href="https://www.iso.org/obp">https://www.iso.org/obp</a>
- IEC Electropedia: available at https://www.electropedia.org/

#### 3.1.1

#### availability

ability to be in a state to perform as required

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-01-23—, modified:— Notes 1 and 2 to entry have been deleted].]

#### 3.1.2

#### availability tolerance

ability to be in a state to perform as required with certain failures  $\frac{(3.1.7)(3.1.8)}{(3.1.8)}$  present

#### 3.1.3

#### dependability

ability to perform as and when required

Note-1-to-entry:-In this document, the term is used for the determination of data centre *reliability*; (3.1.28), *availability*; (3.1.1) and *failure rate* (3.1.9).

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192-;:2015, 192-01-22-, modified:— Notes 1 and 2 to entry have been replaced by a new Note 1 to entry].]

#### 3.1.4

#### double point of failure

#### **DPoF**

combination of two functional elements whose simultaneous *failures* (3.1.8) causes overall system *fault* (3.1.9)

{(3.1.10)[SOURCE: IET, Journal of Engineering, Vol. 2019 Iss. 12, 99. 8419-8427-[1]] [1]

#### 3.1.5

#### double point of reduced availability

#### **DPoRA**

combination of two functional elements whose simultaneous failures (3.1.8) results in the violation of the service level agreement

[4.1] [3.1.30] SOURCE: IET, Journal of Engineering, Vol. 2019 Iss. 12, 99. 8419-8427

#### 3.1.6

#### down state

state of being unable to perform as required, due to failures  $\frac{(3.1.8)(3.1.8)}{(3.1.8)}$  or faults  $\frac{(3.9.10)(3.1.10)}{(3.1.10)}$ 

Note-1-to-entry:-The state can be related to failures of items or faults at a specified Operation Point (OP) (3.1.21).

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192-<u>;:2015,</u> 192-02-20]

#### 3.1.7

#### event

something that happens and leads to one or more failures (3.1.8)(3.1.8) or faults (3.1.10)(3.1.10)

#### 3.1.8

#### failure.

#### <of an item>

loss of ability to perform as required

Note-1-to-entry:-In this context it is irrelevant if itsthe cause was planned or unplanned.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-03-01—, modified:— Notes 1 to 3 to entry <u>have been</u> replaced by Note 1 to entry <u>l.</u>]

#### 3.1.9

#### failure rate

limit of the ratio of the conditional probability that the instant of time, T of a *failure* (3.1.8) of a product falls within a given *time interval* (t, t +  $\Delta t$ (3.1.35) (t, t +  $\Delta t$ ) and the duration of this interval,  $\Delta t$  when  $\Delta t$  tends towards zero, given that the item is in an *up state* (3.1.36) at the start of the time interval

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 821-12-21]

#### 3.1.10

#### fault

inability to perform as required, due to an internal state

Note-1-to-entry:-Opposite of success; in. In the context of the expected Resilience Level (RL), (3.1.26), at a specified operation point  $(OP_{-})$  (3.1.21).

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192<u>:2015</u>, 192-04-01]

#### 3.1.11

#### fault tolerance

ability to continue functioning with certain *faults* (3.1.10) present

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-10-09]

#### 3.1.12

#### information technology equipment

#### **ITE**

equipment providing data storage, processing, and transport services together with equipment dedicated to providing direct connection to core and/or access networks

#### 3.1.13

#### infrastructure

technical systems providing the functional capability of the data centre

Note-1-to-entry:-Examples are power distribution, environmental control, telecommunications cabling, physical security

#### ISO/IEC DTS 22237-31:(E)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 22237-1:2021, 3.1.21], modified — "telecommunications cabling" has been added to the list in Note 1 to entry.]

#### 3.1.14

#### inherent availability

availability (3.1.1) [3.1.1] provided by the design under ideal conditions of operation and maintenance

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-08-02]

#### 3.1.15

#### mean down time

#### **MDT**

average downtime caused by scheduled and unscheduled maintenance, including any logistics time (expectations including detection time, diagnostic time, spare part delivery time, repair time)

[SOURCE: IEEE Std. 493-2007]

#### 3.1.16

#### mean operating time between failures

expectation of the duration of the operating time between failures (3.1.8) (3.1.8)

Note-1-to entry:-Mean operating time between failures should only be applied to repairable items. For nonrepairable items, see *mean operating time to failure*. (3.1.17).

Note-2-to entry:-The term "mean time between failures" (MTBF) is used synonymously in this document.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-05-13]

#### 3.1.17

### mean operating time to failure system and the second system of the secon

expectation of the operating time to failure (3.1.8)(3.1.8)

Note-1-to entry:-In the case of non-repairable items with an exponential distribution of operating times to failure f<sub>L</sub>i.-e. a constant failure rate) (3.1.9), the MTTFmean operating time to failure is numerically equal to the reciprocal of the failure rate. This is also true for repairable items if after restoration they can be considered to be "as-goodas-new".

Note-2-to entry:-The term "mean time to failures" (MTTF) is used synonymously in this document.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192<u>:2015</u>, 192-05-11]

#### 3.1.18

#### mean time between maintenance

average time between all maintenance events, (3.1.7), scheduled and unscheduled, and also includes any associated logistics time

[SOURCE: IEEE Std. 493-2007]

#### 3.1.19

#### mean time to restoration

mean time to replace or repair a failed component

Note-1-to-entry:-Logistics time associated with the repair, such as parts acquisitions, or crew mobilization, are not included.

[SOURCE: IEEE Std. 493-2007]

#### 3.1.20

#### normal resilience level

#### **NRL**

resilience level (3.1.26) mandatory during nominal operation

#### 3.1.21

#### operation point

#### <u>OP</u>

point of reference for which calculation of resilience level (3.1.26) is performed

Note-1-to-entry:-This can be an individual *socket* (3.1.33) taking into account the entire data centre infrastructure (DCI) or certain defined parts of the *infrastructure*; the (3.1.13). The documentation of the referenced Operation Point (OP) is required for any key performance indicator (KPI-).

#### 3.1.22

#### operational availability

availability (3.1.1) experienced under actual conditions of operation and maintenance

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-08-03—, modified:— Note 1 to entry has been deleted.]

#### 3.1.23

#### past availability

availability (3.1.1)(3.1.1) measured during a period of 1-year

Note-1-to-entry:-For the purposes of this document, 1-year equals 8 760-hours.

#### 3.1.24

#### reduced resilience level

#### **RRL**

resilience level (3.1.26) mandatory during reduced operation in case of one or more failures (3.1.8)(3.1.8)

#### 3.1.25

#### resilience

ability to withstand and reduce the magnitude and <code>/-/or</code> duration of disruptive <code>events\_(3.1.7)</code>, including the capability to anticipate, absorb, adapt to, and <code>/-/or</code> rapidly recover from such an event

[SOURCE: IEEE Task Force on Definition and Quantification of Resilience, PES-TR65:2018-04, [2]] [2]

#### 3.1.26

#### resilience level

enumeration of attributes for the determination of *resilience* (3.1.25) aspects of a defined service at a defined *operation point* (OP + (3.1.21)) (3.1.21)

#### 3.1.27

#### redundancy

<in a system> provision of more than one means for performing a function

Note-1-to-entry:-In a data centre, redundancy can be achieved by duplication of devices, functional elements, and/or supply paths.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-192:2015, 192-10-02—, modified:— Note 1 to entry <u>has been</u> replaced by <u>a</u> new <u>Note 1 to entry</u>].]