

ISO/FDIS 7405:2024(en)

ISO/TC 106

Secretariat: SC

Date: 2024-12-19/2025-03-11

Dentistry — Evaluation of biocompatibility of medical devices used in dentistry

Médecine bucco-dentaire — Évaluation de la biocompatibilité des dispositifs médicaux utilisés en médecine bucco-dentaire

FDIS stage

iTeh Standards

(<https://standards.itih.ai>)

Document Preview

ISO/FDIS 7405

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/41b3bbcd-4a04-447a-843d-c419fb983b02/iso-fdis-7405>

ISO/~~CD~~FDIS 7405:2023/2025(en)

© ISO 2024/2025

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO Copyright Office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: + 41 22 749 01 11

Email: copyright@iso.org

E-mail: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland.

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO/FDIS 7405

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/41b3bbcd-4a04-447a-843d-c419fb983b02/iso-fdis-7405>

Contents—Page

Foreword	viii
Introduction	x
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	2
4 Categorization of medical devices	3
4.1 Categorization by nature of contact	3
4.2 Categorization by duration of contact	4
5 Biological evaluation process	4
5.1 General	4
5.2 Selection of tests and overall assessment	5
5.3 Selection of test methods	5
5.4 Types of test	5
5.5 Re-evaluation of biocompatibility	7
6 Test procedures specific to dental materials	7
6.1 Recommendations for sample preparation	7
6.2 Agar diffusion test	9
6.3 Filter diffusion test	13
6.4 Pulp and dentine usage test	16
6.5 Pulp capping test	23
6.6 Endodontic usage test	26
Annex A (informative) Types of test to be considered for evaluation of biocompatibility of medical devices used in dentistry	30
Annex B (informative) Dentine barrier cytotoxicity test	33
Annex C (informative) Endosseous dental implant usage test	43
Annex D (informative) Antioxidant responsive element (ARE) reporter assay oxidative stress test	48
Annex E (informative) Margin of safety (MoS) for medical devices used in dentistry	60
Bibliography	70
Foreword	8
Introduction	9
1 Scope	11
2 Normative references	11
3 Terms and definitions	12
4 Categorization of medical devices	13
4.1 Categorization by nature of contact	13
4.1.1 General	13

4.1.2	Non-contact devices	13
4.1.3	Surface-contacting devices	14
4.1.4	External communicating devices	14
4.1.5	Implant devices used in dentistry	14
4.2	Categorization by duration of contact	14
4.2.1	General	14
4.2.2	Limited exposure devices	14
4.2.3	Prolonged exposure devices	14
4.2.4	Long-term exposure devices	15
5	Biological evaluation process	15
5.1	General	15
5.2	Selection of tests and overall assessment	15
5.3	Selection of test methods	15
5.4	Types of test	16
5.4.1	General	16
5.4.2	Physical and chemical characterization	16
5.4.3	Group I	16
5.4.4	Group II	17
5.4.5	Group III	17
5.5	Re-evaluation of biocompatibility	17
6	Test procedures specific to dental materials	18
6.1	Recommendations for sample preparation	18
6.1.1	General	18
6.1.2	General recommendations for sample preparation	18
6.1.3	Specific recommendations for light curing materials	18
6.1.4	Specific recommendations for chemically setting materials	19
6.1.5	Positive control material	19
6.2	Agar diffusion test	19
6.2.1	Objective	19
6.2.2	Cell line	20
6.2.3	Culture medium, reagents and equipment	20
6.2.4	Sample preparation	20
6.2.5	Control materials	21
6.2.6	Test procedure	21
6.2.7	Parameters of assessment	21
6.2.8	Assessment of results	22
6.2.9	Test report	23
6.3	Filter diffusion test	23
6.3.1	Objective	23
6.3.2	Cell line	23
6.3.3	Culture medium, reagents and equipment	23
6.3.4	Sample preparation	24
6.3.5	Control materials	24
6.3.6	Test procedure	24
6.3.7	Assessment of cell damage	25
6.3.8	Assessment of results	26
6.3.9	Test report	26
6.4	Pulp and dentine usage test	26
6.4.1	Objective	26

6.4.2	Animals and animal welfare	26
6.4.3	Test procedure	27
6.4.4	Assessment of results	32
6.4.5	Test report	32
6.5	Pulp capping test	32
6.5.1	Objective	32
6.5.2	Animals and animal welfare	33
6.5.3	Test procedure	33
6.5.4	Assessment of results	35
6.5.5	Test report	35
6.6	Endodontic usage test	35
6.6.1	Objective	35
6.6.2	Animals and animal welfare	35
6.6.3	Test procedure	35
6.6.4	Assessment of results	38
6.6.5	Test report	38
Annex A (informative) Types of test to be considered for evaluation of biocompatibility of medical devices used in dentistry		39
Annex B (informative) Dentine barrier cytotoxicity test		42
B.1	Objective	42
B.2	Apparatus and materials	42
B.2.1	Cells	42
B.2.2	Culture medium	42
B.2.3	Reagents	42
B.2.4	Equipment	42
B.3	Test procedure	44
B.3.1	Cell culture preparation	45
B.3.1.1	Three-dimensional cell cultures	45
B.3.1.2	Monolayer cultures	45
B.3.2	Preparation of dentine slices	45
B.3.2.1	Human origin	45
B.3.2.2	Bovine origin	45
B.3.2.3	Treatment of dentine slices	45
B.3.2.4	Perfusion assembly	45
B.3.2.4.1	Minucells device	45
B.3.2.4.2	Assembly of the ADA-perfusion chamber	46
B.4	Control material	46
B.5	Assessment of results	47
B.6	Test report	47
Annex C (informative) Endosseous dental implant usage test		49
C.1	General	49

C.2	Test method	49
C.2.1	Test protocol	49
C.2.2	Animals and animal welfare	50
C.2.2.1	Animal welfare	50
C.2.2.2	Test animals	50
C.2.2.3	Number of animals	50
C.2.3	Test procedure	50
C.2.3.1	Test specimens	50
C.2.3.2	Control specimens	50
C.2.3.3	Surgical preparation of sites for the placement of the dental implant and control	50
C.2.3.4	Placement of dental implant systems	51
C.2.3.5	Test periods	51
C.2.3.6	Dental plaque control	51
C.2.3.7	Clinical and radiographic examination	51
C.2.3.8	Termination of the test period	51
C.2.4	Evaluation	51
C.2.4.1	Clinical evaluation	52
C.2.4.2	Radiographic assessment	52
C.2.4.3	Specimen retrieval	52
C.2.4.4	Specimen preparation for histopathological examination	52
C.2.4.5	Microscopic assessment	52
C.2.4.6	Statistical analysis	53
C.2.5	Test report	53
Annex D (informative)	Antioxidant responsive element (ARE) reporter assay oxidative stress test	54
D.1	General	54
D.2	Outline of test method	54
D.3	Cell line	54
D.4	Culture medium and reagents	54
D.5	Apparatus	55
D.6	Preparation of solutions	56
D.6.1	General	56
D.6.2	Test sample	56
D.6.3	Media	56
D.6.4	Detergent	56

D.6.5 — Luminescence substances.....	56
D.7 — Guidance on procedures.....	56
D.7.1 — General.....	57
D.7.2 — Quality check of assay (I); positive control material and negative control material.....	57
D.7.3 — Quality check of assay (II); blank.....	57
D.7.4 — Quality check of assay (III); reference material.....	57
D.7.5 — Quality check of assay (IV); antioxidant material (counteractive effect material).....	57
D.8 — Test procedure.....	57
D.8.1 — Workflow.....	57
D.8.2 — Preparation of cells.....	58
D.8.3 — First day.....	58
D.8.4 — Second day.....	58
D.8.5 — Data recording.....	59
D.8.6 — Data analysis.....	59
D.9 — Test report.....	61
Annex E (informative) Margin of safety (MoS) for medical devices used in dentistry.....	63
E.1 — General.....	63
E.2 — Tolerable Intake.....	64
E.3 — Worst Case Estimated Exposure Dose.....	64
E.4 — Calculation of MoS.....	69
E.4.1 — General.....	69
E.4.2 — Hypothetical worst case.....	69
E.4.3 — Worst case.....	70
E.4.4 — Realistic worst case.....	71
E.4.5 — Simulated worst case.....	72
E.5 — Conclusion.....	72
Bibliography.....	73

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 106, *Dentistry*, in collaboration with the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) Technical Committee CEN/TC 55, *Dentistry*, in accordance with the Agreement on technical cooperation between ISO and CEN (Vienna Agreement).

This fourth edition of ISO 7405 cancels and replaces ISO 7405:2018 which has been technically revised.

The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

- update on normative references (e.g. replacement of ISO 6344-1 with ISO 6344-3);
- clarification on text of definitions and addition of definition for dentine barrier (3.8);
- for the agar diffusion test (6.2) the criteria for assessment of decolorization zone (Table 1) and qualitative morphological/lysis index (Table 2) were harmonized with ISO 10993-5;
- addition of Annex D with an antioxidant responsive element (ARE) reporter assay cytotoxicity test.
- addition of Annex E "Margin of safety (MoS) for medical devices used in dentistry".

ISO/FDIS 7405:~~2024~~2025(en)

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.htmlwww.iso.org/members.html.

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.itih.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO/FDIS 7405

<https://standards.itih.ai/catalog/standards/iso/41b3bbcd-4a04-447a-843d-c419fb983b02/iso-fdis-7405>

Introduction

This document describes the evaluation of the biocompatibility of medical devices used in dentistry. It is intended to be used in conjunction with the ISO 10993 series. This document contains special tests, for which ample experience exists in dentistry and which acknowledge the special needs of dentistry.

Only the test methods for which the members of the committee considered there was sufficient published data have been included. In recommending test methods, the need to minimize the number and exposure of test animals was given a high priority. It is essential that the decision to undertake tests involving animals be reached only after a full and careful review of the evidence indicating that a similar outcome cannot be achieved by other types of test. In order to keep the number of animals required for tests to an absolute minimum, consistent with achieving the objective indicated, it can be appropriate to conduct more than one type of test on the same animal at the same time, e.g. pulp and dentine usage test and pulp capping test. However, in accordance with ISO 10993-2, these tests are performed both in an efficient and humane way. On all occasions when animal testing is undertaken, such tests are conducted empathetically and in accordance with standardized procedures as described for each test.

This document does not explicitly describe test methods for occupationally related risks.

Annex B is included to encourage the development of in vitro and ex vivo test methods which will further reduce the use of animals in the evaluation of the biocompatibility of medical devices used in dentistry.

Annex C is based on and replaces ISO/TS 22911.

iteh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

ISO/FDIS 7405

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/41b3bbcd-4a04-447a-843d-c419fb983b02/iso-fdis-7405>

Dentistry — Evaluation of biocompatibility of medical devices used in dentistry

1 Scope

This document specifies test methods for the evaluation of biological effects of medical devices used in dentistry. It includes testing of pharmacological agents that are an integral part of the device under test.

This document does not cover testing of materials and devices that do not come into direct or indirect contact with the patient's body.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 1942, *Dentistry — Vocabulary*

ISO 6344-3, *Coated abrasives — Grain size analysis — Part 3: Determination and designation of grain size distribution of microgrits — Part 3: Microgrit sizes P240 to P2 500 P5000*

ISO 10993-1, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 1: Evaluation and testing within a risk management process*

ISO 10993-2, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 2: Animal welfare requirements*

ISO 10993-3, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 3: Tests for genotoxicity, carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity*

ISO 10993-5:2009, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 5: Tests for in vitro cytotoxicity*

ISO 10993-6, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 6: Tests for local effects after implantation*

ISO 10993-10, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 10: Tests for skin sensitization*

ISO 10993-11, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 11: Tests for systemic toxicity*

ISO 10993-12:2021, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 12: Sample preparation and reference materials*

ISO 10993-17:2023, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 17: Toxicological risk assessment of medical device constituents*

ISO 10993-18:2020, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 18: Chemical characterization of medical device materials within a risk management process*

ISO/TS 10993-19, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 19: Physico-chemical, morphological and topographical characterization of materials*

ISO/~~CD~~**FDIS 7405:2023**~~(en)~~

ISO 10993-23, *Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 23: Tests for irritation*

ISO 14971, *Medical devices — Application of risk management to medical devices*

ISO 16443, *Dentistry — Vocabulary for dental implants systems and related procedure*

CIE S 017, *ILV: International Lighting Vocabulary*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 1942, ISO 10993-1, ISO 10993-12, ISO 10993-17, ISO 10993-18, ISO 16443, CIE S 017 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1 ~~3.1~~

dental material

substance or combination of substances specially formulated and prepared for use in the practice of dentistry and/or associated procedures

Note_1_to_entry: Material is included within substance in this definition.

[SOURCE: ISO 1942: 2020, 3.1.4.8, modified — Note 1 to entry has been added]

3.2 ~~3.2~~

final product

medical device or device component that includes all manufacturing processes for the “to be marketed” device including packaging and sterilization, if applicable, and that includes processes prior to intended use, such as mixing, preconditioning and preparation

[SOURCE: ISO 10993-1:2018, 3.8, modified — Wording from “and that includes processes prior to intended use...” has been added.]

3.3 ~~3.3~~

positive control material

well characterized material or substance that, when evaluated by a specific test method, demonstrates the suitability of the test system to yield a reproducible, appropriately positive or reactive response in the test system

3.4 ~~3.4~~

negative control material

well characterized material or substance that, when evaluated by a specific test method, demonstrates the suitability of the test system to yield a reproducible, appropriately negative, non-reactive or minimal response in the test system

Note_1_to_entry: In practice, negative control materials include blanks, vehicles or solvents and *reference materials* ~~(3.5)~~ **(3.5)**.

3.5 ~~3-5~~

reference material

material with one or more property values that are sufficiently reproducible and well established to enable use of the material or substance for the calibration of an apparatus, the assessment of a measurement method or for the assignment of values to materials

Note 1 to entry: For the purpose of this document, a reference material is any well characterized material or substance that, when tested by the procedure described, demonstrates the suitability of the procedure to yield a reproducible, predictable response. The response can be negative or positive.

3.6 ~~3-6~~

in vitro pulp chamber

device that holds a thin slice of dentine between two chambers and allows fluid and molecules to filter or to diffuse across the *dentine barrier* (3.9)(3.8)

3.7 ~~3-7~~

diffusion

establishment of passive movement of solutes (solubilized constituents) by means of a diffusion gradient through the *dentine barrier* (3.9)(3.8)

3.8 ~~3-8~~

dentine barrier

barrier made out of a slice of dentine from human or animal origin

4 Categorization of medical devices

4.1 Categorization by nature of contact

4.1.1 General

For the purposes of this document, the classification of medical devices used in dentistry is derived from ISO 10993-1. If a device or material can be placed in more than one category, the more rigorous testing requirements shall apply. With multiple exposures, the decision into which category a device is placed shall take into account the potential cumulative effect, bearing in mind the period of time over which these exposures occur.

NOTE For the purposes of this document, the term dentistry includes the oromaxillofacial environment.

4.1.2 Non-contact devices

These devices do not contact the patient's body directly or indirectly and are not included in ISO 10993-1.

4.1.3 Surface-contacting devices

These devices include those that contact the surface of intact or breached or otherwise compromised skin, the surface of intact or breached or otherwise compromised oral mucosa, and those that contact the external surfaces of dental hard tissue, including enamel, dentine and cementum.

NOTE In some circumstances, dentine and cementum are considered as surfaces, e.g. after gingival recession.

4.1.4 External communicating devices

These devices include dental devices that penetrate and are in contact with oral mucosa, dental hard tissues, dental pulp tissue or bone, or any combination of these, and are exposed to the oral environment.

NOTE 1: This group also includes any kind of lining or base material to be used under a restoration.

NOTE 2:— This group does not include implant devices used in dentistry (see ~~4.1.5~~ 4.1.5).

4.1.5 Implant devices used in dentistry

These devices include dental implants and other dental devices that are partially or fully embedded in one or more of the following:

- a) ~~a)~~ soft tissue, e.g. subperiosteal implants and subdermal implants;
- b) ~~b)~~ bone, e.g. endosteal implants and bone substitutes;
- c) ~~c)~~ pulpodentinal system of the tooth, e.g. endodontic materials;
- d) ~~d)~~ any combination of these, e.g. transosteal implants.

4.2 Categorization by duration of contact

4.2.1 General

For the purposes of this document, medical devices used in dentistry are classified by duration of contact as described in ISO 10993-1 and listed in ~~4.2.2 to 4.2.4~~ 4.2.2 to 4.2.4.

With multiple exposures to the device, the decision into which category a device is placed shall take into account the potential cumulative effect, bearing in mind the period of time over which these exposures occur.

NOTE When calculating the duration of exposure for contact categorization of repeat use devices, the total exposure period in days between the first and last use of the medical device can be considered. For instance, the same device can be reused intermittently over a number of days, or replacement devices can be used over a number of days. If the treatment intervals are expected to be long relative to the elimination time of any leachable toxins from the body, this infrequent use can be considered as for a single treatment episode.

4.2.2 Limited exposure devices

Devices whose cumulative sum of single, multiple or repeated duration of contact is up to 24 h.

4.2.3 Prolonged exposure devices

Devices whose cumulative sum of single, multiple or repeated duration of contact time is likely to exceed 24 h but not 30 days.

4.2.4 Long-term exposure devices

Devices whose cumulative sum of single, multiple or repeated contact time exceeds 30 days.

5 Biological evaluation process

5.1 General

Each medical device used in dentistry shall be subjected to a structured biological evaluation programme within a risk management process (see ISO 10993-1). The implementation of this programme shall be in accordance with ISO 14971 and ISO 10993-1.