ISO/TC-334

Secretariat: SABS

Date: 2023-10-17

Reference materials—— Approaches for characterization and assessment of homogeneity and stability

iTeh Standards

FDIS stage

ISO/FDIS 33405

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/e1a1290c-f011-4d91-910e-1489a062e31a/iso-fdis-33405

Edited DIS MUST BE USED
FOR FINAL
DRAFT

© ISO <del>2023</del>2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +\_41 22 749 01 11 EmailE-mail: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

# iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

**ISO/FDIS 33405** 

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/e1a1290c-f011-4d91-910e-1489a062e31a/iso-fdis-33405

# Contents

Forev	vordxii
Introd	luctionxi
1	Scope
2	Normative references
3	Terms and definitions
4	Symbols
5	Conventions
6	Overview of reference material (RM) production
6.1	General
6.2	Summary of project design
6.3	Acquisition of starting material
6.4	Feasibility studies
6.5	Reference material processing
6.6	Homogeneity assessment.
6.7	Stability assessment
6.8	Choice of measurement procedures
6.9	Metrological traceability
6.10	Characterization and uncertainty evaluation
6.11	Commutability assessment
6.12	Transport issues
6.13	Value assignment
6.14	Stability monitoring ISO/FDIS 22405
6.15	Reference materials produced in repeated batches
7	Assessment of homogeneity10
7.1	Preamble1
7.2	Need for an experimental homogeneity study1
7.3	Properties to be studied1
7.4	Statistically valid sampling schemes
7.4.1	Minimum number of units for a homogeneity study1
7.4.2	Use of statistical power analysis1
7.4.3	Sampling strategies for a homogeneity study1
7.5	Choice and conduct of the measurement procedure for a homogeneity study1
7.5.1	Choice of measurement procedure1
7.5.2	Conduct of measurements for homogeneity studies1
7.6	Homogeneity study designs1
7.6.1	Objective of a homogeneity study1
7.6.2	The basic homogeneity study design – measurement in a single run

9 489a062e31a/iso-fdis-33405

7.6.3	Randomized block design	20
7.6.4	Balanced nested design	21
7.6.5	Alternative strategies	21
7.7	Evaluating a homogeneity study	<u></u> 22
7.7.1	Initial inspection for measurement trends and outliers	22
7.7.2	Inspection for processing trends	23
7.7.3	Evaluation of the between-unit term – basic design	23
7.7.4	Evaluation of the between-unit term – randomized block design	24
7.7.5	Evaluation of the between-unit term – balanced nested design	<u></u> 24
7.7.6	Other homogeneity designs and alternative estimation methods	<u></u> 25
7.8	Insufficient repeatability of the measurement procedure	25
7.9	Within-unit homogeneity	26
7.9.1	Assessing the need for within-unit homogeneity study	26
7.9.2	Testing for significant within-unit heterogeneity	26
7.9.3	Assessing minimum sample size	29
7.10	Check for sufficient homogeneity	
7.11	Uncertainty evaluation from homogeneity studies	30
8	Assessment and monitoring of stability	31
8.1	Preamble	31
8.2	Assessment of stability	
8.2.1	Requirement for stability assessment	32
8.2.2	Types of (in)stability	32
8.2.3	General methods for assessment of stability	33
8.2.4	Need for experimental study of stability	
8.3	Classification of stability studies	<u>-910e</u> 34
8.3.1	General	<u></u> 34
8.3.2	Classification according to conditions of measurement	<u></u> 34
8.3.3	Classification according to stability study duration and conditions	<u></u> 35
8.3.4	Classification by study objective	<u></u> 35
8.3.5	Designs for different storage and treatment conditions	<u></u> 36
8.4	General requirements for effective stability studies	<u></u> 37
8.4.1	Overview of requirements	<u></u> 37
8.4.2	Selection of RM units	<u></u> 37
8.4.3	Suitable measurement procedure(s) for stability studies	<u></u> 37
8.4.4	Appropriate experimental design	38
8.5	Evaluation of stability study results	<u></u> 39
8.5.1	General considerations for stability study data treatment	<u></u> 39
8.5.2	The basic stability study: multiple points in time at a single storage condition	40
8.5.3	Isochronous designs	<u></u> 41

8.5.4	Accelerated stability studies with multiple exposure conditions4	<b>5</b>
8.5.5	Additional sources of random variation in stability studies4	•
8.6	Action on finding a significant trend in a stability study4	•
8.7	Uncertainty evaluation from stability studies5	þ
8.7.1	General considerations for uncertainty evaluation from stability studies5	þ
8.7.2	Sources of uncertainty in predicted change over time5	þ
8.7.3	Estimation of stability uncertainties in the absence of significant trends5	<u>I</u>
8.7.4	Evaluation of stability uncertainties in the case of a known significant trend5	<u> </u>
8.8	Estimation of storage lifetime ("shelf life") from a stability study5	<u> </u>
8.9	Instructions for use related to management of stability	<u> </u>
8.10	Stability monitoring5	В
8.10.1	Requirements for monitoring5	В
8.10.2	Choice of initial monitoring point and monitoring interval(s)5	В
8.10.3	Experimental approaches and evaluation for stability monitoring5	5
9	Characterization of the material5	Ď
9.1	Preamble5	Ď
9.2	Establishing metrological traceability5	7
9.2.1	Principle5	7
9.2.2	Metrological references5	z <b>a</b> 1)
9.2.3	Types of measurands5	В
9.2.4	Effect of sample preparation or pre-treatment5	þ
9.2.5	Verification of traceability5	þ
9.3 ISO/II	Characterization using a single reference measurement procedure (as defined i EC Guide 99) in a single laboratory5	
	Characterization by a reference measurement procedure without direct comparison with a CRI same kind5	<u>1</u> 489a062e31a/iso-fd
9.3.2 refere	Characterization by value transfer from a reference material to a closely matched candidatence material using a single measurement procedure performed by one laboratory	_
9.3.3	Selection of RM units for single-laboratory characterization	
9.3.4	Formulation methods6	2
9.4	Characterization of a non-operationally defined measurand using two or more methods of	f
demo	nstrable accuracy in one or more competent laboratories6	В
9.4.1	<u>Concept6</u>	В
9.4.2	Study design6	1
9.4.3	Evaluation6	5
9.4.4	Single-laboratory multi-method studies6	5
9.5	Characterization of an operationally defined measurand using a network of competer	
	Atories6	
9.5.1	Concept	
9.5.2	Study setup6	{

9.5.3 Evaluation	<u></u> 67
9.6 Purity	<u></u> 67
9.6.1 General	<u></u> 67
9.6.2 Direct determination of purity	68
9.6.3 Indirect determination of purity	<u></u> 68
9.7 Ordinal scales	<u></u> 69
9.8 Nominal properties including identity	<u></u> 69
9.9 Characterization of non-certified values	<u></u> 70
10 Evaluating measurement uncertainty	<u></u> 70
10.1 Basis for evaluating the uncertainty of a property value of a CRM	<u></u> 70
10.2 Basic model for a batch characterization	70
10.3 Uncertainty sources	71
10.4 Coverage intervals and factors	72
Annex A (informative) Design and evaluation of studies for the characterization of a m	nethod-
independent measurand using two or more methods of demonstrable accuracy in one or more con	
laboratories	
A.1 Study design	
A.1.1 Selection of measurement procedures.	
A.1.2 Choice of calibration standards	73
A.1.4 Number of independent data sets	74
A.1.6 Quality control materials	
A.1.7 Instructions for participants	
A.1.8 — Reporting	<del>100</del> -1-10
A.2 Evaluation	
A.2.1 General considerations for evaluation	
A.2.2 Initial screening	78
A.2.3 Statistical evaluation	
A.2.4 Assigned value (weighted or unweighted mean)	
A.2.5 Assigned uncertainty	
A.3 Use of collaborative studies for multiple purposes	
Annex B (informative) Statistical approaches	<u></u> 85
B.1 One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)	
B.2 Two way ANOVA for nested designs	
B.3 Linear regression (univariate linear model)	
B.3.1 The basic model	89
B.3.2 Fitting the model	<u></u> 90
B.3.3 Inspection and check of assumptions	90

B.3.4	Testing for statistically significant change9	L
B.3.5	Confidence interval for the regression line9	L
<u>B.4</u>	Predicting lifetime or choosing initial monitoring point based on stability study results9	L
B.4.1	Principle9	L
B.4.2	Prediction of lifetime in the case of a linear trend91	L
B.4.3	Prediction of lifetime for other degradation models93	3
B.4.4	Choosing an initial monitoring point93	3
<u>B.5</u>	Robust statistics 9	3
B.5.1	Robust estimators for interlaboratory studies9:	3
B.5.2	Evaluation of uncertainties for robust estimators90	Ó
Anne	x C (informative) Examples9	7
<u>C.1</u>	Homogeneity study9	7
<u>C.2</u>	Between-unit homogeneity, considering the limited repeatability of the measurement procedure	2
	_98	
<u>C.3</u>	Homogeneity assessment using a randomized block design	
C.3.1	Background and data90	
<u>C.3.2</u>	Evaluation100	
<u>C.4</u>	Homogeneity assessment: simple design with correction for linear trend	
C.4.1	Background and data103	
<u>C.4.2</u>	Method 1: correction for trend followed by classical ANOVA	
<u>C.4.3</u>	Method 2: incorporating the run trend in the analysis10	
	x D (informative) Measurement uncertainty evaluation11	
Biblic	ography	3
Forev	https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/e1a1290c-f011-4d91-910e-vord viii	
Intro	<del>luction ix</del>	
1	—Scope (mandatory) — 1	
2	Normative references 1	
3	Terms and definitions (mandatory) 2	
4	Symbols 2	
5	Conventions 3	
6	An overview of reference material production 3	
6.1	General 3	
6.2	Summary of project design 3	
6.3	Acquisition of starting material 5	
6.4	Feasibility studies 6	
6.5	Reference material processing 6	
6.6	Homogeneity assessment 6	

© ISO 2024 – All rights reserved

6.7	Stability assessment 7
6.8	Choice of measurement procedures 7
6.9	Metrological traceability 7
6.10	—Characterization and uncertainty evaluation—7
6.11	Commutability assessment 7
6.12	Transport issues 8
6.13	Value assignment 8
6.14	Stability monitoring 8
6.15	Reference materials produced in repeated batches 8
7	Assessment of homogeneity 8
7.1	Preamble 8
7.2	Need for an experimental homogeneity study 10
7.3	Properties to be studied 10
7.4	Statistically valid sampling schemes 11
7.4.1	Minimum number of units for a homogeneity study 11
7.4.2	Use of statistical power analysis 12
7.4.3	Use of statistical power analysis 12 Sampling strategies for a homogeneity study 13 Peh Standards
7.5	—Choice and conduct of the measurement procedure for a homogeneity study 13 —Choice of measurement procedure — 13
7.5.1	Choice of measurement procedure 13 SS // SUZIII ULZIF ULS - IUCII - ZII)
7.5.2	Conduct of measurements for homogeneity studies — 14
7.6	Homogeneity study designs 15 Document Preview
7.6.1	Objective of a homogeneity study 15
	The basic homogeneity study design – measurement in a single run 16
7.6.3	
7.6.4	Hitney/standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/e1a1290c-f011-4d91-910e-1489a062e31a/iso-fdis-33405 Balanced nested design 17
7.6.5	Alternative strategies 18
7.7	Evaluating a homogeneity study 18
7.7.1	Initial inspection for measurement trends and outliers 18
7.7.2	Inspection for processing trends 19
7.7.3	Evaluation of the between-unit term — basic design — 20
7.7.4	Evaluation of the between-unit term – randomized block design 20
7.7.5	Evaluation of the between-unit term – balanced nested design 21
7.7.6	Other homogeneity designs and alternative estimation methods 21
7.8	Insufficient repeatability of the measurement procedure 21
7.9	Within-unit homogeneity 22
7.9.1	Assessing the need for within-unit homogeneity study 22
7.9.2	Testing for significant within-unit heterogeneity 22
7.9.3	Assessing minimum sample size 24
7 1 0	Chool- for sufficient homeography 25

7.11	Uncertainty evaluation from homogeneity studies 25	
8	Assessment and monitoring of stability 25	
8.1	Preamble 25	
8.2	—Assessment of stability27	
8.2.1	Requirement for stability assessment 27	
8.2.2	Types of (in)stability 27	
8.2.3	General methods for assessment of stability 27	
8.2.4	Need for experimental study of stability 28	
8.3	—Classification of stability studies 29	
8.3.1	General 29	
8.3.2	Classification according to conditions of measurement 29	
8.3.3	Classification according to stability study duration and conditions 29	
8.3.4	Classification by study objective 30	
8.3.5	Designs for different storage and treatment conditions 31	
8.4	General requirements for effective stability studies 31	
8.4.1	—Overview of requirements 31	
8.4.2	Selection of units 32	
8.4.3	Suitable measurement procedure(s) for stability studies 32	
8.4.4	Suitable measurement procedure(s) for stability studies 32  Appropriate experimental design 32	
8.5	Evaluation of stability study results 33	
8.5.1	General considerations for stability study data treatment 33	
	The basic stability study: multiple points in time at a single storage condition34	
8.5.3	Isochronous designs 35	
8.5.4	Accelerated stability studies with multiple exposure conditions 37	
8.5.5	Additional sources of random variation in stability studies 41	
8.6	Action on finding of a significant trend in a stability study 41	
8.7	Uncertainty evaluation from stability studies 42	
8.7.1	General considerations for uncertainty evaluation from stability studies 42	
8.7.2	Sources of uncertainty in predicted change over time 42	
8.7.3	Estimation of stability uncertainties in the absence of significant trends 43	
8.7.4	Evaluation of stability uncertainties in the case of a known significant trend 43	
8.8	—Estimation of storage lifetime ("shelf life") from a stability study 44	
8.9	Instructions for use related to management of stability 44	
8.10	Stability monitoring 44	
8.10.1	L Requirements for monitoring 44	
8.10.2	2 Choice of initial monitoring point and monitoring interval(s) 45	
8.10.3	3 Experimental approaches and evaluation for stability monitoring 46	
9	Characterization of the material 47	
9.1	Preamble 47	

© ISO 2024 – All rights reserved

9.2 Establishing metrological traceability 48
9.2.1 Principle 48
9.2.2 Metrological references 49
9.2.3 Types of measurands 49
9.2.4 Effect of sample preparation or pre-treatment50
9.2.5 Verification of traceability 50
9.3 Characterization using a single reference measurement procedure (as defined in ISO/IEC Guide 99) in a single laboratory 51
9.3.1 Characterization by a reference measurement procedure without direct comparison with a CRM of the same kind 51
9.3.2 Characterization by value transfer from a reference material to a closely matched candidate
reference material using a single measurement procedure performed by one laboratory 52
9.3.3 Selection of RM units for single-laboratory characterization 53
9.3.4 Formulation methods 53
9.4 Characterization of a non-operationally defined measurand using two or more methods of demonstrable accuracy in one or more competent laboratories 54
9.4.1 Concept 54
9.4.2 Study design 55 IIIeh Standards
9.4.3 Evaluation 56
9.4.4 Single laboratory multi-method studies 57 Standards item al
9.5 Characterization of an operationally defined measurand using a network of competent laboratories 57
9.5.1 Concept 57
9.5.2 Study setup 58
9.5.3 Evaluation 58 ISO/FDIS 33405
9.6   Purity   58   ndards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/e1a1290c-f011-4d91-910e-1489a062e31a/iso-fdis-33405
9.6.1 General 58
9.6.2 Direct determination of purity 58
9.6.3 Indirect determination of purity 59
9.7 Ordinal scales 59
9.8 Nominal properties including identity 60
9.9 Characterization of non-certified values 60
10 Evaluating measurement uncertainty 60
10.1 Basis for evaluating the uncertainty of a property value of a CRM 60
10.2 Basic model for a batch characterization 60
10.3 Uncertainty sources 61
10.4 Coverage intervals and factors 62
Annex A (informative) Design and evaluation of studies for the characterization of a method-independent measurand using two or more methods of demonstrable accuracy in one or more competent laboratories  63
A.1. Study docion 63

A.1.1 — Selection of measurement procedures 63	
A.1.2 Choice of calibration standards 63	
A.1.3 Selection of laboratories 64	
A.1.4—Number of independent data sets 64	
A.1.5 Number of units and replicate determinations 65	
A.1.6 Quality control materials 65	
A.1.7 Instructions for participants 66	
A.1.8 Reporting 67	
A.2 Evaluation 67	
A.2.1 General considerations for evaluation 67	
A.2.2 Initial screening 68	
A.2.2.1 General 68	
A.2.2.2 Technical evaluation 68	
A.2.3 Statistical evaluation 68	
A.2.3.1 General principles for statistical evaluation 68	
A 2 3 3 Outliers 69  A 2 3 3 Outliers 69	
A.2.3.3 Outliers 69	
A.2.3.4 Robust statistics 70	
A.2.3.5 Grouping ("clustering") 70 ttps://standards.iteh.ai)	
A.2.1 Assigned value (weighted/unweighted mean) 70	
A.2.5 Assigned uncertainty 71 Document Preview	
A.2.5.1 Use of analysis of variance for uncertainty evaluation 71	
A.2.5.2 Uncertainty-based evaluation 71  A.2.5.3 Evaluation without the laboratories' uncertainties 72	
A.2.5.3 Evaluation without the laboratories' uncertainties 72	
A.3 Use of collaborative studies for multiple purposes 72	
Annex B (informative) Statistical approaches 74	
B.1—One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) 74	
B.2 Two factor ANOVA for nested designs 75	
B.3 Linear regression (univariate linear model) 78	
B.3.1 The basic model 78	
B.3.2 Fitting the model 78	
B.3.3—Inspection and check of assumptions 79	
B.3.4 Testing for statistically significant change 79	
B.3.5 Confidence interval for the regression line 79	
B.4 Predicting lifetime or choosing initial monitoring point based on stability study results 80	
B.4.1 Principle 80	
B.4.2 Prediction of lifetime in the case of a linear trend 80	
B.4.3 Prediction of lifetime for other degradation models 81	
8.4.4 Choosing an initial monitoring point 81	

© ISO 2024 – All rights reserved

B.5.1 Robust estimators for interlaboratory studies 81
B.5.2 Evaluation of uncertainties for robust estimators 83
Annex C (informative) Examples 85
C.1 Homogeneity study 85
C.2 Between unit homogeneity, considering the limited repeatability of the measurement procedure 86
C.3 Homogeneity assessment using a randomized block design 87
C.3.1 Background and data 87
C.3.2 Evaluation 88
C.4 Homogeneity assessment: simple design with correction for linear trend 90
C.4.1 Background and data 90
C.4.2 Method 1: Correction for trend followed by classical ANOVA 91
C.4.3 Method 2: Incorporating the run trend in the analysis 92
Annex D (informative) Measurement uncertainty evaluation 96
Bibliography 98

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

**ISO/FDIS 33405** 

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/e1a1290c-i011-4d91-910e-1489a062e31a/iso-fdis-33405

#### **Foreword**

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see <a href="https://www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.org/directives</a>).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity, or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at <a href="https://www.iso.org/patents">www.iso.org/patents</a>. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html">www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</a>.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 334, Reference materials.

This first edition cancels and replaces ISO Guide 35:2017, which has been technically revised. 910e-1489a062e31a/iso-file-33405

The main changes are as follows:

— technical requirements for the characterization and the assessment of homogeneity and stability
of reference materials as stipulated in ISO 17034 is reiterated in ISO 33405this document with
additional guidance on approaches that can be used.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <a href="https://www.iso.org/members.html">www.iso.org/members.html</a>.

#### Introduction

The production of reference materials (RMs) is a key activity for the improvement and maintenance of a worldwide coherent measurement system. As detailed in ISO  $33403_7^1$ , RMs with different characteristics are used in measurements, such as calibration, quality control, proficiency testing and method validation, as well as for the assignment of values to other materials. Certified reference materials (CRMs) are also used to confirm or establish metrological traceability to conventional scales, such as the octane number, hardness scales and pH.

To be comparable across borders and over time, measurements need to be traceable to appropriate and stated references. CRMs play a key role in implementing the concept of traceability of measurement results in chemistry, biology and physics among other sciences dealing with substances and materials. Laboratories use these CRMs as readily accessible measurement standards to establish traceability of their measurement results to International Standards. The property values carried by a CRM can be made traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or other internationally agreed references during production. This document explains how approaches can be developed that will lead to well established property values, which are made traceable to appropriate stated references.

For reference material producers (RMPs), this document refers to ISO 17034, one other standard of the 33400 series ISO 33401<sup>2</sup> and ISO-Guide 30 that support the production and certification of RMs:

- ISO 17034 outlines the general requirements to be met by an RMP to demonstrate competence;
- ——ISO 33401<sup>a</sup> describes the contents of certificates for CRMs and of accompanying documents for other RMs, respectively.
- ISO Guide 30 contains terms and definitions related to reference materials.

Alongside developments in RM production approaches, the range of classes of RMs is growing with advances in technology, increasing the need for more widely applicable technical guidance in RM production. In addition, increasing use of ISO/IEC 17025 and ISO 15189 by laboratories has led to greater demand for clear statements of metrological traceability.

This document describes examples of possible designs for homogeneity, stability and characterization studies that are in line with ISO 17034. It also contains specific provisions concerning the establishment of metrological traceability in RM production.

<sup>1</sup> Under preparation. Stage at the time of publication: ISO/FDIS 33403:2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Under preparation. Stage at the time of publication: ISO/DIS 33401:2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Under preparation. Stage at the time of publication: ISO/DIS 33401:2023.