INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 19450

First edition

Automation systems and integration — Object-Process Methodology

Systèmes d'automatisation et intégration — Object-Process Methodology

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/PRF 19450

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e2c317c6-17ef-4096-a8cd-a6ab072df517/iso-prf-19450

PROOF/ÉPREUVE



Reference number ISO 19450:2023(E)

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/PRF 19450

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e2c317c6-17ef-4096-a8cd-a6ab072df517/iso-prf-19450



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents							
Fore	eword			vi			
Intr	oductio	n		vii			
1	Scon	e		1			
2	-		references				
_							
3			definitions				
4	=						
5	Conf	orman	ce	10			
6	Object-Process Methodology (OPM) principles and concepts						
	6.1		modelling principles				
		6.1.1 6.1.2	Modelling as a purpose-serving activity				
		6.1.3	Identify functional value				
		6.1.4	Function versus behaviour				
		6.1.5	System boundary setting				
		6.1.6	Clarity and completeness trade-off				
	6.2		fundamental concepts				
		6.2.1	Bimodal representation				
		6.2.2	OPM modelling elements				
		6.2.3	OPM things: objects and processes				
		6.2.4	OPM links: procedural and structural				
		6.2.5	OPM context management	14			
		6.2.6	OPM model implementation (informative)				
7	OPM thing syntax and semantics						
	7.1	Objec	ets	15			
		7.1.1	Description				
		7.1.2	Representation ISO/PRF 19450				
	tan7.2rd		esses log/standards/sist/o2o317o6-17of-4006-a8od-a6ob072df517/iso-prf-10/				
		7.2.1	Description				
	7.2	7.2.2	Representation				
	7.3		things				
		7.3.1	OPM thing defined Object-process test				
		7.3.2	OPM thing generic properties				
		7.3.3 7.3.4	Default values of thing generic properties				
		7.3.4	Object states				
0	ODM		,				
8		OPM link syntax and semantics overview					
	8.1	8.1.1	edural link overviewKinds of procedural links				
		8.1.2	Procedural link uniqueness OPM principle				
		8.1.3	State-specified procedural links				
	8.2		ational semantics and flow of execution control	21			
	0.2	8.2.1	Event-Condition-Action control mechanism				
		8.2.2					
		8.2.3	Skip semantics of condition versus wait semantics of non-condition links				
9	Proc	edural	links	22			
,	9.1 Transforming links						
	7.1	9.1.1	Kinds of transforming links				
		9.1.2	Consumption link				
		9.1.3	Result link				
		9.1.4	Effect link				
		9.1.5	Basic transforming links summary				

	9.2	Enabling links 9.2.1 Kinds of enabling links			
		9.2.1 Kinds of enabling links			
		9.2.3 Instrument and instrument link			
		9.2.4 Basic enabling links summary			
	9.3	State-specified transforming links			
	7.5	9.3.1 State-specified consumption link			
		9.3.2 State-specified result link			
		9.3.3 State-specified effect links			
		9.3.4 State-specified transforming links summary			
	9.4	State-specified enabling links			
		9.4.1 State-specified agent link			
		9.4.2 State-specified instrument link			
		9.4.3 State-specified enabling links summary			
	9.5	Control links			
		9.5.1 Kinds of control links			
		9.5.2 Event links			
		9.5.3 Condition links	39		
		9.5.4 Exception links	46		
10	Struc	tural links	47		
10	10.1	Kinds of structural links			
	10.1	Tagged structural link			
	10.2	10.2.1 Unidirectional tagged structural link			
		10.2.2 Unidirectional null-tagged structural link			
		10.2.3 Bidirectional tagged structural link	47		
		10.2.4 Reciprocal tagged structural link	48		
	10.3	Fundamental structural relations	49		
	10.0	10.3.1 Kinds of fundamental structural relations			
		10.3.2 Aggregation-participation relation link	49		
		10.3.2 Aggregation-participation relation link	51		
		10.3.4 Generalization-specialization and Inheritance	54		
		10.3.5 Classification-instantiation link RE 19450	57		
			594		
	10.4	State-specified structural relations and links			
		10.4.1 State-specified characterization relation link			
		10.4.2 State-specified tagged structural relations	61		
11	Dolat	ionship cardinalities	66		
11	11.1	Object multiplicity in structural and procedural links			
	11.1	Object multiplicity expressions and constraints			
	11.3	Attribute value and multiplicity constraints			
12	Logic	al operators: AND, XOR, and OR	71		
	12.1	Logical AND procedural links			
	12.2	Logical XOR and OR procedural links			
	12.3	Diverging and converging XOR and OR links	/ 3		
	12.4	State-specified XOR and OR link fans			
	12.5	Control-modified link fans			
	12.6	State-specified control-modified link fans			
	12.7	Link probabilities and probabilistic link fans			
13	Exec	ution path and path labels	80		
14	Context management with Object-Process Methodology (OPM)				
	14.1	Completing the system diagram (SD)			
	14.2	Achieving model comprehension	82		
		14.2.1 OPM refinement-abstraction mechanisms	82		
		14.2.2 Control (operational) semantics within an in-zoomed process context	87		
		14.2.3 OPM fact consistency principle			
		14.2.4 Abstraction ambiguity resolution for procedural links	99		

Annex A (normative) Object-Process Language (OPL) formal syntax in Extended Bachus-	
Naur form (EBNF)	102
Annex B (informative) Guidance for Object-Process Methodology (OPM)	119
Annex C (informative) Modelling OPM using OPM	122
Annex D (informative) OPM dynamics and simulation	162
Bibliography	168

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/PRF 19450

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e2c31/c6-1/ef-4096-a8cd-a6ab0/2df51//iso-prf-19450

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 184, *Automation systems and integration*, Subcommittee SC 5, *Interoperability, integration, and architectures for enterprise systems and automation applications*.

This first edition cancels and replaces ISO/PAS 19450:2015, which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- document designation from PAS to International Standard (this document);
- clarified several defined terms and added term cross references;
- added introduction statement for all figures and tables;
- clarified use of "may" or "can" as appropriate;
- corrected identified errors in figures and tables.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

Object-Process Methodology (OPM) is a compact conceptual approach, language, and methodology for modelling and knowledge representation of automation systems. The application of OPM ranges from simple assemblies of elemental components to complex, multidisciplinary, dynamic systems. OPM is suitable for implementation and support by tools using information and computer technology. This document specifies both the language and methodology aspects of OPM in order to establish a common basis for system architects, designers, and OPM-compliant tool developers to model all kinds of systems.

OPM provides two semantically equivalent modalities of representation for the same model: graphical and textual. A set of hierarchically structured, interrelated Object-Process-Diagrams (OPDs) constitutes the graphical model, and a set of automatically generated sentences in a subset of the English language constitutes the textual model expressed in the Object-Process Language (OPL). In a graphical-visual model, each OPD consists of OPM elements, depicted as graphical symbols, sometimes with label annotation. The OPD syntax specifies the consistent and correct ways to manage the arrangement of those graphical elements. Using OPL, OPM generates the corresponding textual model for each OPD in a manner that retains the constraints of the graphical model. Since OPL's syntax and semantics are a subset of English natural language, domain experts easily understand the textual model.

OPM notation supports the conceptual modelling of systems with formal syntax and semantics. This formality serves as the basis for model-based systems engineering in general, including systems architecting, engineering, development, life cycle support, communication, and evolution. Furthermore, the domain-independent nature of OPM opens system modelling to the entire scientific, commercial and industrial community for developing, investigating and analysing manufacturing and other industrial and business systems inside their specific application domains, thereby enabling companies to merge and provide for interoperability of different skills and competencies into a common intuitive yet formal framework.

OPM facilitates a common view of the system under construction, test, integration, and daily maintenance, providing for working in a multidisciplinary environment. Moreover, using OPM, companies can improve their overall, big-picture view of the system's functionality, flexibility in assignment of personnel to tasks, and managing exceptions and error recovery. System specification is extensible for any necessary detail, encompassing the functional, structural and behavioural aspects of a system.

One particular application of OPM is in the drafting and authoring of technical standards. OPM helps sketch the implementation of a standard and identify weaknesses in the standard to reduce, thereby significantly improving the quality of successive drafts. With OPM, even as the model-based text of a system expands to include more details, the underlying model keeps maintaining its high degree of formality and consistency.

This document provides a baseline for system architects and designers, who can use it to model systems concisely and effectively. OPM tool vendors can utilise this document as a formal standard specification for creating software tools to enhance conceptual modelling.

This document provides a presentation of the normative text that follows the Extended Bachus Naur form (EBNF) specification of the language syntax. All elements are presented in <u>Clause 6</u> to <u>13</u> with only minimal reference to methodological aspects, <u>Clause 14</u> presents the context management mechanisms related to in-zooming and unfolding.

NOTE OPM is an established modelling paradigm with a 15-year history of use in international commerce. As such, several conventions for its use and presentation already exist in the literature and practice.

This document uses the presentation conventions for the expression of OPM related constructs found in the originating and current literature for OPM. Using a different set of conventions, or simply applying the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 drafting guidelines for these terms and presentations, creates a discontinuity between this document and the supporting references and practice, and can cause confusion in application of this document to existing and future practice.

This document applies the following conventions for the presentation of OPM elements and terminology:

- The phrases and associated abbreviations "Object-Process Methodology (OPM)", "Object-Process Diagram (OPD)" and "Object-Process Language (OPL)" are terms of art associated with the OPM paradigm and appear as specified with the hyphen and capitalization of each word in the phrase.
- In OPD and OPL text, the object name and process name appear in Cambria bold font text with capitalization of each word to distinguish the object and process from the other OPD and OPL text. The same convention for object and process name bold capitalization is carried into the text of this document as well to indicate that in the text, the word or phrase corresponds to an OPM object or process.
- In OPD and OPL text, the object state label and attribute value label appear in Cambria bold font text in lowercase, and somewhat smaller font in OPD figures, to distinguish the object state label and attribute value label from the other OPD and OPL text. The same convention for object state label and attribute value label in bold font lowercase is carried into the text of this document as well to indicate that in the text, the word or phrase corresponds to an OPM object state label or attribute value label.
- In OPD and OPL text, link tags that are not user-specified appear in Cambria lowercase, and somewhat smaller font in OPD. Link tags that are user-specified appear as entered by the user in Cambria bold font text, and somewhat smaller font in OPD.
- In OPL, the first letter of the first word of a sentence is capitalized.
- Some of these conventions are repeated in the text as appropriate to remind the reader of the distinctions.
 Some OPD figures contain colour to help distinguish OPM modelling element type distinctions.

Most figures contain both a graphical image, the OPD portion, and a textual equivalent, the OPL portion of the figure. Because this is a language specification, the precise use of term definitions is essential and several terms in common use have particular meaning when using OPM. In addition to those listed above as OPM presentation conventions, Annex B explains other conventions for the use of OPM.

Annex A presents the formal syntax for OPL, in EBNF form.

<u>Annex B</u> presents conventions and patterns commonly used in OPM applications.

Annex C presents aspects of OPM as OPM models.

Annex D summarizes the dynamic and simulation capabilities of OPM.

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e2c317c6-17ef-4096-a8cd-a6ab072df517/iso-prf-19450

Automation systems and integration — Object-Process Methodology

1 Scope

This document specifies Object-Process Methodology (OPM) with detail sufficient for enabling practitioners to utilise the concepts, semantics, and syntax of OPM as a modelling paradigm and language for producing conceptual models at various extents of detail, and for enabling tool vendors to provide application modelling products to aid those practitioners.

While this document presents some examples for the use of OPM to improve clarity, it does not attempt to provide a complete reference for all the possible applications of OPM.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp
- IEC Electropedia: available at https://www.electropedia.org/

Note 1 to entry To facilitate a term search, terms are in alphabetical sequence.

3.1

abstraction, noun

outcome of an abstraction process (3.2)

3.2

abstraction process

decreasing the extent of detail and system model *completeness* (3.9) in order to achieve better comprehension

3.3

affectee

transformee (3.79) that is affected by a process (3.59) occurrence, i.e. its state (3.69) changes

Note 1 to entry: An affectee can only be a stateful object. A stateless object can only be created or consumed, but not affected.

3.4

agent

enabler (3.18) that is a human or a group of humans

3.5

attribute

object (3.40) that characterizes a thing (3.77) other than itself

3.6

behaviour

transformation (3.78) of objects (3.40) resulting from the execution of an Object-Process Methodology (OPM) (3.44) model comprising a collection of processes (3.59) and links (3.37) to objects in the model

3.7

beneficiary

<system> stakeholder who gains functional value (3.83) from the system's operation (3.47)

3.8

class

collection of things (3.77) with the same perseverance (3.51), essence, and affiliation valuation, and the same feature (3.22) and state (3.69) set

Note 1 to entry: Perseverance, essence and affiliation are properties of things (see 7.3.3).

3.9

completeness

<system model> extent to which all the details of a system are specified in a model

3.10

condition link

procedural link (3.57) from an object (3.40) or object state (3.69) to a process (3.59), denoting a procedural constraint

3.11

consumee

transformee (3.79) that a process (3.59) occurrence consumes or eliminates

3.12

context

<model> portion of an Object-Process Methodology (OPM) (3.44) model represented by an Object-Process Diagram (OPD) (3.42) and corresponding Object-Process Language (OPL) (3.43) text

(mups://stangargs.iten.all

3.13

control link control link

procedural link (3.57) with additional control semantics

3.14

control modifier

symbol embellishing a link (3.37) to add control semantics to the link, making it a control link (3.13)

Note 1 to entry: The control modifiers are the symbols 'e' for event and 'c' for condition.

3.15

discriminating attribute

attribute (3.5) whose different values (3.82) identify corresponding specialization relations

3.16

effect

change in the state (3.69) of an object (3.40) or the value (3.82) of an attribute (3.5)

Note 1 to entry: An effect only applies to a stateful object.

3.17

element

thing (3.77) or link (3.37)

3.18

< object (3.40)</pre> that enables a process (3.59) but which the process does not transform

3.19

event

<0PM> point in time of creation (or appearance) of an *object* (3.40), or entrance of an *object* to a particular *state* (3.69), initiating an evaluation of the *precondition* (3.54)

3.20

event link

control link (3.13) denoting an event (3.19) originating from an object (3.40) or object state (3.69) to a process (3.59)

3.21

exhibitor

thing (3.77) that exhibits (is characterized by) a *feature* (3.22) by means of the exhibition-characterization relation

3.22

feature

attribute (3.5) or operation (3.47)

3.23

folding

abstraction (3.1) achieved by hiding the refineables (3.62) of a refinee (3.63) to which unfolding (3.81) applies

Note 1 to entry: The four kinds of folded refineables are parts (part folding), features (feature folding), specializations (specialization folding), and instances (instance folding).

Note 2 to entry: Folding is primarily applied to objects. When applied to a process, its subprocesses are unordered, which is adequate for modelling asynchronous systems, in which processes' temporal order is undefined.

Note 3 to entry: The opposite of folding is unfolding.

3.24

function

process (3.59) that provides functional value (3.83) to a beneficiary (3.7)

3.25

general, noun

<OPM> refineable (3.62) with specializations

3.26

informatical, adj.

of, or pertaining to informatics, e.g. data, information, knowledge

3.27

inheritance

assignment of *Object-Process Methodology (OPM)* (3.44) *elements* (3.17) of a *general* (3.25) to its specializations

3.28

input link

link (3.37) from object (3.40) source (input) state (3.69) to the transforming process (3.59)

3.29

instance

<model> modelled thing (3.77) that is a refinee (3.63) in a classification-instantiation relation

3.30

instance

< operational> actual, uniquely identifiable thing (3.77) that exists during model execution, e.g. during simulation or runtime implementation

Note 1 to entry: A process instance is identifiable by the operational instances of the involved object set during process occurrence and the process start and end time stamps of the occurrence.

3.31

instrument

non-human enabler (3.18)

3.32

invocation

<process> initiating of a process (3.59) by a process

3.33

involved object set

union of preprocess object set (3.55) and postprocess object set (3.53)

3.34

in-zoom context

things (3.77) and links (3.37) within the boundary of the thing to which object (3.40) in-zooming (3.35) or process (3.59) in-zooming (3.36) applies

3.35

in-zooming

<object> object (3.40) part unfolding (3.81) that indicates spatial ordering of the constituent objects

3.36

in-zooming

<process>process (3.59) part unfolding (3.81) that indicates temporal partial ordering of the constituent processes

3.37

link

graphical expression of a structural relation (3.74) or a procedural relation (3.58) between two Object-Process Methodology (OPM) (3.44) things (3.77)

3.38

metamodel

model of a modelling language or part of a modelling language

3.39

model fact

relation between two *Object-Process Methodology (OPM)* ($\underline{3.44}$) *things* ($\underline{3.77}$) or *states* ($\underline{3.69}$) in the *OPM* model

3.40

object

<0PM> model *element* (3.17) representing something that does or can exist physically or *informatically* (3.26)

3.41

object class

pattern for *objects* (3.40) that have the same *structure* (3.75) and pattern of *transformation* (3.78)

3.42

Object-Process Diagram

OPD

Object-Process Methodology (OPM) (3.44) graphic representation of an OPM model or part of a model, in which objects (3.40) and processes (3.59) in the universe of interest appear together with the structural links (3.73) and procedural links (3.57) among them

3.43

Object-Process Language

OPL

subset of English natural language that represents textually the *Object-Process Methodology (OPM)* (3.44) model that the *Object-Process Diagram (OPD)* (3.42) represents graphically

3.44

Object-Process Methodology

OPM

formal language and method for specifying complex, multidisciplinary systems in a single function-structure-behaviour unifying model that uses a bimodal graphic-text representation of *objects* (3.40) in the system and their *transformation* (3.78) or use by *processes* (3.59)

3.45

Object-Process Diagram object tree

OPD object tree

tree graph, whose root is an object (3.40), depicting elaboration of the object through refinement (3.64)

3.46

Object-Process Diagram process tree

OPD process tree

tree graph whose root is the *System Diagram (SD)* (3.76) and each node is an *Object-Process Diagram (OPD)* (3.42) obtained by *in-zooming* (3.36) of a *process* (3.59) in its ancestor *OPD* (or the *SD*) and for which each directed edge connected to the ancestor OPD *process* points to the same *process* in the child *OPD*

Note 1 to entry: OPM model elaboration usually occurs by process decomposition through in-zooming, therefore the OPD process tree is the primary way to navigate an OPM model.

3.47

operation

process (3.59) that a thing (3.77) performs, which characterizes the thing other than itself

3 49

output link

link (3.37) from the transforming process (3.59) to the output (destination) state (3.69) of an object (3.40)

3.49

out-zooming

<object> inverse of object (3.40) in-zooming (3.35)

3.50

out-zooming

3.51

perseverance

property (3.61) of thing (3.77) which can be static, defining an *object* (3.40), or dynamic, defining a process (3.59)

3.52

postcondition

3.53

postprocess object set

collection of *objects* (3.40) remaining or resulting from *process* (3.59) completion

Note 1 to entry: The postprocess object set can include stateful objects, for which specific states result from process performance.

3.54

precondition

3 55

preprocess object set

collection of *objects* (3.40) to evaluate prior to starting a *process* (3.59)

Note 1 to entry: The collection of the objects can include stateful objects for which specific states are necessary for process performance.

3.56

primary essence

<system> essence of the majority of *things* (3.77) in a system

Note 1 to entry: Essence pertains to the physical or informatical nature of a thing (see 7.3.3).

3.57

procedural link

graphical notation of procedural relation (3.58) in Object-Process Methodology (OPM) (3.44)

3.58

procedural relation

connection or association between an object (3.40) or object state (3.69) and a process (3.59)

Note 1 to entry: Procedural relations specify how the system operates to attain its function, designating time-dependent or conditional initiating of processes that transform objects.

Note 2 to entry: An invocation or exception link signifies a transient object in the flow of execution control between two processes.

3.59

process

transformation (3.78) of one or more objects (3.40) in the system

3.60

process class

pattern for processes (3.59) that perform the same object (3.40) transformation (3.78) pattern

3.61

property

modelling annotation common to all *elements* (3.17) of a specific kind that serve to distinguish that *element*

Note 1 to entry: Cardinality constraints, path labels, and structural link tags are frequent property annotations.

Note 2 to entry: Unlike an attribute, the value of a property cannot change during model simulation or operational implementation. Each kind of element has its own set of properties.

Note 3 to entry: Property is an attribute of an element in the OPM metamodel.

3.62

refineable, noun

<OPM> thing (3.77) amenable to refinement (3.64)

Note 1 to entry: A refineable can be a whole, an exhibitor, a general, or a class.