

FINAL
DRAFT

INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD

ISO/FDIS
16454

ISO/TC 20/SC 14

Secretariat: ANSI

Voting begins on:
2023-12-07

Voting terminates on:
2024-02-01

Space systems — Structural design — Stress analysis requirements

*Systèmes spatiaux — Conception des structures — Exigences relatives
à l'analyse des contraintes*

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/FDIS 16454](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/56d4b123-018e-4778-a970-efc2c9bb8c6c/iso-fdis-16454>

RECIPIENTS OF THIS DRAFT ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT, WITH THEIR COMMENTS, NOTIFICATION OF ANY RELEVANT PATENT RIGHTS OF WHICH THEY ARE AWARE AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

IN ADDITION TO THEIR EVALUATION AS BEING ACCEPTABLE FOR INDUSTRIAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, COMMERCIAL AND USER PURPOSES, DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS MAY ON OCCASION HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF THEIR POTENTIAL TO BECOME STANDARDS TO WHICH REFERENCE MAY BE MADE IN NATIONAL REGULATIONS.



Reference number
ISO/FDIS 16454:2023(E)

© ISO 2023

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO/FDIS 16454](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/56d4b123-018e-4778-a970-efc2c9bb8c6c/iso-fdis-16454)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/56d4b123-018e-4778-a970-efc2c9bb8c6c/iso-fdis-16454>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Requirements	5
4.1 General.....	5
4.2 Basic data.....	5
4.2.1 General.....	5
4.2.2 Structural configuration, geometry and gauges.....	5
4.2.3 Structural materials and their properties.....	6
4.2.4 Loading data.....	7
4.3 Analysis methodology and software.....	7
4.3.1 Analysis methodology.....	7
4.3.2 Software verification.....	7
4.4 Structural mathematical model.....	8
4.4.1 General.....	8
4.4.2 Boundary conditions.....	8
4.5 Structure mathematical model check.....	8
4.6 Failure modes.....	8
4.6.1 General.....	8
4.6.2 Detrimental yielding.....	8
4.6.3 Rupture.....	9
4.6.4 Collapse.....	9
4.6.5 Local buckling.....	9
4.7 Critical location analysis.....	9
4.8 Determination margins of safety.....	9
4.9 Report.....	10
Annex A (informative) Structural mathematical model check	11
Bibliography	14

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 20, *Aircraft and space vehicles*, Subcommittee SC 14, *Space systems and operations*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 16454:2007), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- updated the terms and definitions;
- updated requirements in [Clause 4](#).

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

From the beginning of the space age, structural integrity verification has been one of the main fields of activity of experts in the domain of mechanics. Mission failure and potential danger to human life, expensive ground constructions and other public and private properties are the most probable consequences of a space structural integrity failure. Static strength is one of the most important critical conditions for structural integrity analysis. It is usually the main criterion for space structure weight evaluation. If the space structure is too heavy, the mission can be extremely expensive or impossible to achieve. If the space structure is under-designed, it can result in mission failure, structural failure, leading to high risk associated with safety of life, and loss of expensive hardware and other properties. It is therefore necessary to specify unique requirements for static strength analysis in order to provide cost-effective design and light-weight, reliable and low-risk structures for space application.

iTeh Standards (<https://standards.iteh.ai>) Document Preview

[ISO/FDIS 16454](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/56d4b123-018e-4778-a970-efc2c9bb8c6c/iso-fdis-16454)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/56d4b123-018e-4778-a970-efc2c9bb8c6c/iso-fdis-16454>

Space systems — Structural design — Stress analysis requirements

1 Scope

This document provides requirements for the determination of maximum stress and corresponding margin of safety under loading and defines criteria for static strength failure modes, such as rupture, collapse and detrimental yielding. This document does not cover critical-conditions-induced fatigue, creep and crack growths. Notwithstanding these limitations in scope, the results of stress calculations based on the requirements of this document are applicable to other critical condition analysis.

This document is applicable to the determination of the stress/strain distribution and margins of safety in launch vehicles and spacecraft load-bearing elements design. Liquid propellant engine structures, solid propellant engine nozzles and the solid propellant itself are not covered, but liquid propellant tanks, pressure vessels and solid propellant cases are within the scope of this document.

In accordance with the requirements of this document, the models, methods and procedures for stress calculation can also be applicable to the displacements and deformation calculation, as well as the calculation of loads, applied to substructures and structural elements under consideration. When this document is applied, it is assumed that temperature distribution has been determined and is used as input data.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 14622, *Space systems — Structural design — Loads and induced environment*

ISO 14623, *Space systems - Pressure vessels and pressurized structures — Design and operation*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

A-basis allowable

mechanical strength value above which at least 99 % of the population of values is expected to fall, with a confidence level of 95 %

3.2

allowable load

allowable stress

allowable strain

maximum *load* (3.18) that can be accommodated by a material or *structure* (3.31) without potential *rupture* (3.25), *collapse* (3.5) or *detrimental yielding* (3.12) in a given environment

Note 1 to entry: The load can imply the corresponding stress or strain.

Note 2 to entry: Allowable loads commonly correspond to the statistically based minimum ultimate strength, buckling strength and yield strength, respectively.

Note 3 to entry: The allowable load shall be determined in accordance with the criteria formulated in [4.6](#) and the requirements of [4.2](#).

3.3

basic data

input data required to perform *stress analysis* ([3.30](#)) and to determine margins of safety

3.4

B-basis allowable

mechanical strength value above which at least 90 % of the population of values is expected to fall, with a confidence level of 95 %

3.5

collapse

failure mode induced by quasi-static compression, shear or combined stress, accompanied by very rapid irreversible loss of *load* ([3.18](#)) resistance capability

3.6

composite material

combination of materials different in composition or form on a macro scale

Note 1 to entry: The constituents retain their identities in the composite.

Note 2 to entry: The constituents can normally be physically identified; and there is an interface between them.

3.7

creep

process of a permanent material deformation resulting from long duration under constant or slowly altered *load* ([3.18](#))

Note 1 to entry: The ultimate creep deformation, corresponding to the loss of material integrity, is often much larger than the ultimate deformation in the case of *short time loading*.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/56d4b123-018e-4778-a970-efc2c9bb8c6c/iso-fdis-16454>

3.8

critical condition

most severe environmental condition in terms of *load* ([3.18](#)) and temperature, or combination thereof, imposed on a *structure* ([3.31](#)), system, subsystem or component during its service life

3.9

critical location

structural point at which *rupture* ([3.25](#)), *local buckling* ([3.20](#)) or *detrimental yielding* ([3.12](#)) first leads to structural failure

3.10

design safety factor

coefficient by which *limit loads* ([3.17](#)) are multiplied in order to account for the statistical variations of *loads* ([3.18](#)) and *structure* ([3.31](#)) resistance, and inaccuracies in the knowledge of their statistical distributions

3.11

destabilizing load

load ([3.18](#)) that produces compressive stress at *critical location* ([3.9](#))

3.12

detrimental yielding

(metallic structures) permanent deformation specified at the system level to be detrimental

3.13**development test**

test to provide design information that can be used to check the validity of analytic technique and assumed design parameters, to uncover unexpected system response characteristics, to evaluate design changes, to determine interface compatibility, to prove qualification and acceptance procedures and techniques, to check manufacturing technology, or to establish accept/reject criteria

3.14**flight-type hardware test**

test of a flight *structure* (3.31) article, a protoflight model, a representative special model or a structural element fabricated with the same or close to flight hardware technology

3.15**gauge**

thickness or other *structure* (3.31) dimension that affects stress levels and/or *margin of safety* (3.21) significantly

3.16**knockdown coefficient**

empirical coefficient, other than *design safety factor* (3.10), used to determine analytically actual or *allowable loads* (3.2), as well as allowable stresses or strains, and defined on the basis of test results of flight-type *structures* (3.31), model structures or structural elements as compared with corresponding *stress analysis* (3.30) data

3.17**limit load**

maximum *load* (3.18) that can be expected during life cycle of the *structure* (3.31)

3.18**load**

volume force or moment, concentrated and/or distributed over the *structure* (3.31) surfaces or structure, caused by its interaction with environment and adjacent parts of vehicle, and accelerations

Note 1 to entry: This includes *pressure* (3.23), external load and enforced displacement acted at considered structural element, pretension, inertial load caused by accelerations and thermal gradient.

3.19**loading case**

particular condition described in terms of *loads* (3.18), pressures and temperatures combinations, which can occur for some parts of *structure* (3.31) at the same time during its service life

3.20**local buckling**

failure mode that occurs when an alternative equilibrium mode of a structural element exists and can lead to *detrimental yielding* (3.12) or *rupture* (3.25) of that element

Note 1 to entry: Local buckling is not considered as a *critical condition* (3.8) if the *structure* (3.31) can be operated normally during and after loading.

3.21**margin of safety**

M_S

$$M_S = \left[\frac{L_A}{(f \times L_L)} \right] - 1$$

where

L_A is the *allowable load* (3.2) under specified functional conditions [e.g. yield, *rupture* (3.25), *collapse* (3.5), *local buckling* (3.20)];

L_L is the *limit load* (3.17);

f is the *design safety factor* (3.10)

Note 1 to entry: Load can imply corresponding stress or strain.

3.22

minimum allowable

minimum material mechanical properties warranted by the supplier

3.23

pressure

external *load* (3.18) caused by fluid action on a structural surface

Note 1 to entry: The terms “pressure” and “load” are sometimes referred to simultaneously in this document.

3.24

primary structure

part of a vehicle that carries the main *loads* (3.18) and/or defines the fundamental resonance frequencies

3.25

rupture

loss of integrity by *structure* (3.31) material differed from fatigue and ultimate *creep* (3.7) deformation attainment, which can prevent the structure from withstanding *load* (3.18) combinations

3.26

semi-finished item

product that is used for *structure* (3.31) manufacturing or assembling

EXAMPLE Sheets, plates, profiles, strips.

3.27

stabilizing load

load (3.18) which decreases compressive stresses if applied in conjunction with *destabilizing loads* (3.11)

3.28

static strength

property of a *structure* (3.31), characterized by its capability to withstand *loads* (3.18) and temperature combinations without *rupture* (3.25), *collapse* (3.5), detrimental *local buckling* (3.20) and *detrimental yielding* (3.12)

3.29

strength failure mode

condition of a *structure* (3.31) or a structural element considered as a *critical condition* (3.8) in accordance with *stress analysis* (3.30) results

3.30

stress analysis

analytical procedure to determine structure stress or strain distribution, deformations and margins of safety

3.31

structure

primary structure (3.24), *unit* (3.34) attachments, *pressure* (3.23) or *loads* (3.18) carrying elements of pressure vessels, loads carrying elements of appendages (solar panels and antennas)